f0(980) production in inelastic pp collisions at √s=5.02 TeV s ...

(ALICE Collaboration) Acharya, Sheryasi; ...; Erhardt, Filip; ...; Gotovac, Sven; ...; Jerčić, Marko; ...; Karatović, David; ...; ...

Source / Izvornik: **Physics Letters B, 2023, 846**

Journal article, Published version Rad u časopisu, Objavljena verzija rada (izdavačev PDF)

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2022.137644>

Permanent link / Trajna poveznica: <https://urn.nsk.hr/urn:nbn:hr:217:186470>

Rights / Prava: [Attribution 4.0 International](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) / [Imenovanje 4.0 međunarodna](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Download date / Datum preuzimanja: **2024-09-11**

Repository / Repozitorij:

[Repository of the Faculty of Science - University o](https://repozitorij.pmf.unizg.hr)f [Zagreb](https://repozitorij.pmf.unizg.hr)

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.ScienceDirect.com/)

Physics Letters B

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/physletb

*f*₀(980) production in inelastic pp collisions at \sqrt{s} = 5.02 TeV .ALICE [Collaboration](#page-9-0) *-*

A R T I C L E I N F O A B S T R A C T

Article history: Received 22 June 2022 Received in revised form 2 November 2022 Accepted 21 December 2022 Available online 17 September 2023 Editor: M. Doser

Dataset link: [https://](https://www.hepdata.net/record/ins2094796) www.hepdata.net/record/ins2094796

The measurement of the production of $f_0(980)$ in inelastic pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 5.02$ TeV is presented. This is the first reported measurement of inclusive $f_0(980)$ yield at LHC energies. The production is measured at midrapidity, $|y| < 0.5$, in a wide transverse momentum range, $0 < p_T < 16$ GeV/*c*, by reconstructing the resonance in the $f_0(980) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ hadronic decay channel using the ALICE detector. The *p*T-differential yields are compared to those of pions, protons and *φ* mesons as well as to predictions from the HERWIG 7.2 QCD-inspired Monte Carlo event generator and calculations from a coalescence model that uses the AMPT model as an input. The ratio of the p_T -integrated yield of $f_0(980)$ relative to pions is compared to measurements in e+e− and pp collisions at lower energies and predictions from statistical hadronisation models and HERWIG 7.2. A mild collision energy dependence of the f0*(*980*)* to pion production is observed in pp collisions from SPS to LHC energies. All considered models underpredict the *p*_T-integrated $2f_0(980)/(\pi^+ + \pi^-)$ ratio. The prediction from the canonical statistical hadronisation model assuming a zero total strangeness content of $f_0(980)$ is consistent with the data within 1.9*σ* and is the closest to the data. The results provide an essential reference for future measurements of the particle yield and nuclear modification in p–Pb and Pb–Pb collisions, which have been proposed to be instrumental to probe the elusive nature and quark composition of the $f_0(980)$ scalar meson.

© 2022 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Funded by SCOAP3.

1. Introduction

The conventional picture for the classification of hadrons is based on the constituent quark model introduced in the 1960s [\[1\]](#page-8-0), in which the observed mesons and baryons are described as colourless $q\overline{q}$ and qqq bound states, respectively. Most of the known observed states fit into the quark model picture. At the same time, there are states whose quantum numbers are known but their mass and width have not been measured, and observed resonances whose properties suggest an exotic structure [[2](#page-8-0)]. One remarkable case is that of the light scalar mesons, light-flavoured states with spin zero, positive parity and charge $(J^{PC} = 0^{++})$ and masses below 2 GeV/c^2 , whose identification represents a long-standing puzzle in particle physics [\[3–8](#page-8-0)]. From a theoretical point of view, the structure of these states is highly debated [\[2](#page-8-0)]: light scalar mesons could be conventional $q\overline{q}$ mesons, or compact $(qq)(\overline{qq})$ structures (tetraquarks), or meson–meson bound states in the form of hadronic molecules, or a superposition of all these components, or glueballs.

From an experimental point of view, light scalar resonances are typically reconstructed via their dominant decay channels into pseudoscalar mesons (e.g., *ππ*, *ηπ*, *ηη*...). The states decaying into pions, in particular, have large characteristic decay widths, of the

order of few tens to few hundreds of MeV/ c^2 , due to the large available phase space. Therefore, the isolation of the particle signals is particularly challenging as broad signals strongly overlap. In addition, for some of the scalar meson states, different decay channels can open up within a short mass interval and distort the line shapes of the nearby resonances.

Among the scalar mesons, the $f_0(980)$ state is particularly interesting for two reasons. First, despite a long history of experimental and theoretical studies, its nature is still controversial as the properties of the $f_0(980)$ state are compatible with a conventional $q\overline{q}$ meson [[9\]](#page-8-0), a tetraquark [\[10\]](#page-8-0), and a K \overline{K} molecular [\[11\]](#page-8-0) structure. Secondly, the $f_0(980)$ represents an interesting probe of the highdensity hadronic final state of heavy-ion collisions and in-medium particle formation mechanisms [\[12](#page-8-0)].

The f₀(980) couples predominantly to the $\pi\pi$ and KK channels and its signal overlaps strongly with the background represented mainly by the $f_0(500)$ and the $f_0(1370)$, among the scalar mesons. An indication in favour of the tetraquark structure of $f_0(980)$ [\[13\]](#page-8-0) comes from measurements of the *φ* meson radiative decay branching ratios by SND [[14\]](#page-8-0), CMD2 [\[15\]](#page-8-0), and KLOE [\[16,17\]](#page-8-0) experiments. This is further supported by a recent analysis [\[10](#page-8-0)] of the *J/ψ* radiative decay data from BESIII $[10,18]$ $[10,18]$. The $f_0(980)$ is also prominently produced in D_s^+ decays as reported by the E791 collaboration $[19]$, and observed in weak decays of B and B_s mesons measured with LHCb $[20,21]$ $[20,21]$. There, the appearance of the $f_0(980)$ in

⁻ E-mail address: alice-publications@cern.ch.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2022.137644>

^{0370-2693/© 2022} The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)). Funded by SCOAP³.

competition with the *φ* meson in these decays could be explained by a large ss component of this state, combined with the fact that the $c \rightarrow s$ coupling is Cabibbo favoured. In this scenario, the structure of the f₀(980) would be $|f_0(980)\rangle = |(\overline{u}\overline{u} + d\overline{d})s\overline{s}/\sqrt{2}$ [\[2\]](#page-8-0). An analysis of the measured couplings of the B and B_s mesons to $J/\psi + f_0(980)$ excluded the tetraquark hypothesis [[21](#page-8-0)], a conclusion that is however challenged by a different analysis of the same data $[22]$. Indications that $f_0(980)$ could be a KK molecule come instead from the study of pion–pion and kaon–kaon scattering via non-perturbative QCD methods, which use effective mesonexchange models of the $\pi\pi$ interaction [\[23,24](#page-8-0)] and study the KK interaction for coupled and single channels in chiral effective theory [[11,25](#page-8-0)].

In addition to measuring the production rates and branching fractions of $f_0(980)$ in ϕ and heavy-flavour decays, several authors [[7,26–28](#page-8-0)] have proposed to investigate its nature by using heavy-ion collisions and exploiting the unique production (and decay) environment accessible in these reactions. In high-energy heavy-ion collisions, two extreme states of matter are reached one after the other. If enough energy is deposited in the collision region, the state of deconfined strongly interacting matter called quark–gluon plasma (QGP) is produced and expands as a nearly perfect liquid until the temperature reaches the pseudo-critical value of \approx 155 MeV [\[29](#page-8-0)] and a transition to confined QCD matter takes place. A hot ($T \approx 100-150$ MeV) and dense gas of interacting hadrons is formed in which resonances decay and particles interact (pseudo)elastically until they decouple. At the LHC, the system produced in Pb–Pb collisions decouples after about 10 fm/*c* [\[30\]](#page-8-0) and the production of hadronic resonances with lifetimes of the order of 1 to 10 fm/*c* is studied to characterise the hadronic stage of the collision [\[31–33](#page-8-0)]. With its width between 10 and 100 MeV/ c^2 and a corresponding lifetime of \approx 5–10 fm/*c*, the f₀(980) is a probe for the dense hadron gas formed in the late stage of heavy-ion collisions [\[12\]](#page-8-0).

Measurements of the nuclear modification factor [[26](#page-8-0)], the particle yield per event [[27](#page-8-0)], and the elliptic flow coefficient [[28\]](#page-8-0) have been suggested to provide insights into the internal structure of the $f_0(980)$. Models of hadron formation via recombination (coalescence) [\[34](#page-8-0)–[36](#page-8-0)] of quarks in the quark–gluon plasma that have been successful in describing LHC data, indicate that the $f_0(980)$ production in the intermediate transverse momentum range (2 *<* $p_T < 5$ GeV/*c*) is sensitive to the number of constituent quarks. Theory calculations based on a coalescence model [\[27](#page-8-0)] show that the p_T -integrated production of $f_0(980)$ in central heavy-ion collisions at LHC energies is expected to be two orders of magnitude lower if the state has a tetraquark structure compared to the results for a non-exotic diquark structure $q\overline{q}$, or a hadronic molecule configuration. On the other hand, the production of a tetraquark state would be enhanced in heavy-ion collisions with respect to pp collisions at the same energy in the \approx 2–6 GeV/ ϵ momentum range [[7,26\]](#page-8-0). Measurements of the nuclear modification factor $[7,26]$ or of the p_T -dependent yield ratio of the $f_0(980)$ to particles with different (but established) quark content could therefore shed light on the nature of the state. The authors of [[28](#page-8-0)] also suggest that the azimuthal production asymmetry in the $f_0(980)$ momentum distributions, quantified by the elliptic flow coefficient, could be sensitive to the number of constituent quarks in the kinematic range in which hadron formation occurs predominantly via quark recombination (coalescence). A measurement of the $f_0(980)$ production in pp collisions is necessary for the determination of the nuclear modification factor and constitutes a reference for the study of the particle production in heavy-ion collisions.

In this letter, the first measurement of the inclusive production of $f_0(980)$ in inelastic pp collisions at the LHC is reported. To provide a baseline for studies in heavy-ion interactions, the data using collisions at \sqrt{s} = 5.02 TeV were analysed, corresponding to the centre-of-mass energy per nucleon pair of the p–Pb and Pb–Pb data samples collected during the LHC Run 2. Measurements of $f₀(980)$ in p–Pb and Pb–Pb collisions at this energy will be the subject of future publications. The production of $f_0(980)$ is measured at midrapidity, |*y*| *<* 0*.*5, in a broad transverse momentum range between 0 and 16 GeV/*c*. An overview of the ALICE experimental setup is given in Sec. 2, followed by a description of the analysis strategy in Sec. 3. This includes details on the data sample, the $f₀(980)$ signal reconstruction, the yield extraction and corrections, and the systematic uncertainty estimation. Results are discussed in comparison to lower energy data and theoretical models in Sec. [4,](#page-4-0) while in Sec. [5](#page-7-0) the conclusions are summarised.

2. Experimental setup

The experimental setup and details on the performance of the ALICE detector are described in Refs. [[37](#page-8-0),[38](#page-8-0)]. The ALICE detector consists of a central barrel with a set of detectors devoted to the reconstruction and identification of the charged particles, a forward muon spectrometer and a set of backward and forward systems for triggering and event characterisation purposes. The central barrel detectors are located inside a solenoidal magnet that provides a magnetic field of 0.5 T. The main detectors employed for the analysis presented in this work are the V0, the Inner Tracking System (ITS), the Time Projection Chamber (TPC), and the Timeof-Flight detector (TOF). The V0 consists of two scintillator arrays placed on both sides of the interaction point covering the pseudorapidity regions ²*.*⁸ *< η <* ⁵*.*1 (V0A) and −3*.*⁴ *< η <* −1*.*7 (V0C), respectively. The V0 provides the minimum bias trigger of the experiment and is used for suppressing beam-induced background at the offline analysis level. The position of the collision vertex and the tracks of charged particles are reconstructed in the central barrel using the ITS and the TPC. The ITS is a high-resolution tracker that consists of six cylindrical layers of silicon detectors. The TPC is a large cylindrical drift detector covering a radial distance of 85 *< r <* 247 cm from the beam axis and having longitudinal dimensions of about $-250 < z < 250$ cm. The TOF is a large area array of multigap resistive plate chambers, placed at a radius of about 370–399 cm from the beam line. In the central barrel, charged particles can be identified via measurements of their specific energy loss, d*E/*d*x*, provided by the TPC with a resolution of 5%, and via their time-of-flight measured by the TOF with a resolution of about 80 ps.

3. Data analysis

The measurement of $f_0(980)$ production is performed using a sample of minimum bias pp collision events at a centre-of-mass energy of $\sqrt{s} = 5.02$ TeV, collected in the years 2015 and 2017. The minimum-bias trigger requires at least one hit in both V0A and V0C detectors [\[39](#page-8-0)]. The integrated luminosity after trigger selection is ≈ ²¹*.*8 nb[−]1. Events are selected for the analysis if the position of the reconstructed collision vertex along the beam axis is located within 10 cm from the nominal interaction point. To reduce the pileup caused by multiple interactions in the same bunch crossing, a criterion based on the offline reconstruction of multiple primary vertices in the two innermost layers of the ITS, namely the Silicon Pixel Detector (SPD) is applied [\[37\]](#page-8-0). The rejected events account for less than 1% of the total events. After applying these selection criteria, \approx 9.14 \times 10⁸ collision events have been analysed.

The $f_0(980)$ resonance signal is reconstructed via its decay into a pair of oppositely charged pions, $f_0(980) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$. This requires the reconstruction, selection and identification of pion tracks in the central barrel of ALICE. To ensure a uniform detector acceptance, only charged tracks with $p_T > 0.15$ GeV/ c and pseudorapidity $|\eta|$ < 0.8 are considered for the analysis. Track selection criteria are applied to the charged tracks as in previous works [\[31,32\]](#page-8-0) to ensure a good quality of the reconstruction. To this end, each track in the TPC is required to have crossed at least 70 readout pad rows out of a maximum possible 159. To reduce the contamination from secondary particles, tracks are accepted if their distance of closest approach to the collision vertex in the longitudinal (d_z) and transverse (d_{xy}) directions satisfy $d_z < 2$ and $d_{xy} < 0.0105$ $+0.0350 \times p_{\text{T}}^{-1.1}$, where p_{T} and distance are in units of GeV/*c* and cm, respectively.

The identification of pions is performed using the TPC and the TOF detectors and criteria based on the difference between the measured and expected signals for a given particle hypothesis, divided by the resolution (σ_{TPC} , σ_{TOF}). In the TPC, charged particles are identified as π if the measured dE/dx is compatible with the expected pion mean specific energy loss within two standard deviations ($2\sigma_{TPC}$) over the entire momentum range. If a measurement of the particle time-of-flight by the TOF is available, a TOF-based $3\sigma_{\text{TOF}}$ selection criterion is applied on top of the TPC-based one, over the measured momentum range.

3.1. Raw yield extraction

The $f_0(980)$ resonance signal is reconstructed via an invariant mass analysis by combining oppositely-charged pions within the same event into pairs and imposing the pair to have a rapidity within the range $|y| < 0.5$. To remove the combinatorial background, the like-sign method is employed. The same-charge pion tracks from the same event are combined into $\pi^+\pi^+$ and $\pi^-\pi^$ pairs. The total like-sign invariant mass distribution is calculated as the geometric mean of the positively-charged and negativelycharged pair distributions, as 2 [√]*N*++*N*−−, where *^N*++ and *^N*−− are the number of $\pi^+\pi^+$ and $\pi^-\pi^-$ pairs, respectively. The *π*+*π*[−] and like-sign background invariant mass distributions are extracted for various intervals of the pair p_T , and for each of these, the like-sign background is subtracted from the unlike-sign pair distribution. After the subtraction of the combinatorial background, the $f_0(980)$ signal peak, sitting on the right-hand tail of the broad ρ (770) meson signal, is visible on top of a residual background. Two examples of the π^+ π^- invariant mass distributions after combinatorial background subtraction are shown in Fig. [1](#page-4-0) for a low- p_T and for a high- p_T interval. With increasing p_T , the significance of the f₂(1270) resonance signal increases and the broad $f_2(1270)$ peak becomes visible on the right side of the $f₀(980)$ signal. The residual background originates from correlated $\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$ pairs from mini-jets and from misidentified particles. The main contributions to the correlated background arise from the decay of the ρ (770) and the f₂(1270) resonances into oppositelycharged π pairs. In order to extract the $f_0(980)$ yields in each p_T interval, the distributions are fitted in the invariant mass interval $0.8 < M_{\pi\pi} < 1.6$ GeV/ c^2 with a function that is the sum of three relativistic Breit-Wigner functions (rBW) describing the ρ (770), $f_0(980)$ and $f_2(1270)$ signals [[31,40,41](#page-8-0)], and a residual background. Since the resolution on the invariant mass is negligible with respect to the natural width of the considered resonances, the resonance shape can be modelled with a rBR with no need for any additional Gaussian smearing to account for detector resolution effects. Each of the rBW functions is defined as

$$
rBW(M_{\pi\pi}) = \frac{AM_{\pi\pi} \Gamma(M_{\pi\pi})M_0}{(M_{\pi\pi}^2 - M_0^2)^2 + M_0^2 \Gamma^2(M_{\pi\pi})}
$$
(1)

$$
\Gamma(M_{\pi\pi}) = \left[\frac{(M_{\pi\pi}^2 - 4m_{\pi}^2)}{(M_0^2 - 4m_{\pi}^2)} \right]^{(2J+1)/2} \times \frac{\Gamma_0 M_0}{M_{\pi\pi}}.
$$
\n(2)

Here, *A* is the normalisation constant, M_0 and Γ_0 are the rest mass and width of the resonance, m_{π} is the charged pion mass and the spin is $J = 0$ for $f_0(980)$, $J = 1$ for $\rho(770)$ and $J = 2$ for $f₂(1270)$. The shape of the residual background resembles that of a Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution and therefore it is fitted with a similar functional form $f_{\text{bg}}(M_{\pi\pi})$

$$
f_{\text{bg}}(M_{\pi\pi}) = B\sqrt{(m_{\pi\pi} - m_{\text{cutoff}})^n} C^{3/2} \exp\left[-C(m_{\pi\pi} - m_{\text{cutoff}})^n\right],\tag{3}
$$

where *B* is the normalisation constant and m_{cutoff} is the low-mass cutoff expected to be equal to the rest mass of the $\pi^+\pi^-$ pair. This function was proven to provide a good description of the residual background in previous analyses [[33\]](#page-8-0). The residual background term takes also into account any possible additional background from $f_0(500)$ and $f_0(1370)$, which have not been added to the signal model due to the large indetermination^{I} on the broad width parameter of these states.

For the extraction of the particle yields, the fits are performed with the following configuration of the fit parameters. The mass and the width of the ρ (770), and the width of the f₂(1270) are fixed to their vacuum values, $m_\rho = 775.26 \text{ MeV}/c^2$, $\Gamma_\rho = 149.1 \text{ MeV}$ V/c^2 V/c^2 , and $\Gamma_{f_2} = 186.7 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ [2]. The width of the f₀(980) is fixed to the average value of the range reported in Ref. [[2](#page-8-0)] that corresponds to $\Gamma_{f_0} = 0.055$ GeV/ c^2 . The masses of the $f_0(980)$ and the $f_2(1270)$, as well as the m_{cutoff} , C and *n* parameters of f_{bg} are left free. The fit parameter configuration has been varied to take into account possible imperfections in the description of the background and signal shapes, as discussed in Section [3.3.](#page-4-0) In Fig. [1,](#page-4-0) the fit result to the invariant mass distribution of $\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$ pairs after like-sign background subtraction is shown for two p_T intervals, namely $0.6 < p_T < 0.8$ GeV/*c* and $5 < p_T < 6$ GeV/*c*.

3.2. Yield corrections

In order to obtain the $f_0(980)$ production yield per unit of rapidity and p_T per inelastic event $\left(\frac{1}{N_{\text{INEL}}} \frac{d^2 N}{dy dp_T}\right)$, several correction factors are applied to the raw yields obtained from the fit procedure in each p_T interval according to the following formula

$$
\frac{1}{N_{\text{INEL}}} \frac{d^2 N}{dp_T dy} = \frac{1}{N_{\text{evt}}} \frac{N_{f_0(980) \to \pi\pi}}{\Delta p_T \Delta y} \frac{\varepsilon_{\text{trig}} \varepsilon_{\text{vtx}}}{A \times \varepsilon_{\text{rec}}} \frac{f_{\text{sig}}}{BR}.
$$
(4)

Here, $N_{f_0(980)\to\pi\pi}$ is the f₀(980) raw yield measured in a given rapidity (Δy) and transverse momentum ($\Delta p_{\rm T}$) interval, $N_{\rm evt}$ is the number of collision events that satisfy the selection criteria. The minimum-bias trigger efficiency, the vertex reconstruction efficiency and the signal loss correction factor are represented by $\varepsilon_{\text{trig}}$, ε_{vtx} and f_{sig} , respectively. The branching ratio correction amounts to BR = (46 ± 6) % $[42]$ assuming dominance of $\pi\pi$ and KK channels. The yields of $f_0(980)$ are normalised to the number of inelastic pp collisions with a trigger efficiency correction, ε _{trig} = 0.757 \pm 0.019 [\[43,44](#page-8-0)], which takes into account the efficiency of the V0-based trigger to select inelastic events. The vertex reconstruction efficiency in pp collisions at \sqrt{s} = 5.02 TeV is found to be ε_{vtx} = 0.958 [\[32](#page-8-0)]. The $A \times \varepsilon_{\text{rec}}$ factor corrects for the detector acceptance times the $f_0(980)$ reconstruction efficiency and is evaluated using a detailed Monte Carlo simulation of the ALICE detector geometry, material, and response. The pp collision events

¹ The $f_0(500)$ width ranges from 400 to 700 MeV, the $f_0(1370)$ width ranges from 200 to 500 MeV [[2\]](#page-8-0).

Fig. 1. Left (right) plot shows the invariant mass distribution of $\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$ pairs after like-sign background subtraction in low (high) transverse-momentum interval in pp collisions at \sqrt{s} = 5.02 TeV in |y| < 0.5. Solid blue curves represent fits with the function shown in Eq. [\(1\)](#page-3-0) and a residual background shown in Eq. ([3\)](#page-3-0). Solid red curve represents f₀(980) signal while other dashed curves represent the background contributions from $ρ(770)$, f₂(1270) and residual background.

Table 1

Contributions to the relative systematic uncertainty of the p_T -dependent yield of $f_0(980)$ in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 5.02$ TeV. The uncertainties are given for the lowest and the highest p_T intervals of the measured spectrum as well as for one intermediate p_T interval. The total uncertainty is obtained as the sum in the quadrature of the individual contributions. Values are expressed in percentage (%).

Source of uncertainty	p_T (GeV/c)		
	$0 - 0.2$	$4 - 4.5$	$12 - 16$
Yield extraction	7.1%	8.8%	15.3%
Track selection	9.3%	2.2%	2.1%
Global tracking efficiency	2%	4%	4%
Particle identification	6.8%	1.5%	6%
Event selection	7.6%	2.1%	3.3%
Material budget	5.2%	0%	0 [∞]
Hadronic interaction	3.4%	0%	0%
Total	16.8%	10.2%	17.4%

are simulated using the PYTHIA 8 event generator [[45\]](#page-8-0) with the addition of the $f_0(980)$ signals. The generated particles in the simulation are propagated through the detector using GEANT3 [[46\]](#page-8-0). The $A \times \varepsilon_{\text{rec}}$ is calculated in the rapidity range $|y| < 0.5$ as a function of p_T and is defined as the ratio of the number of reconstructed and generated $f_0(980)$. The reconstruction of $f_0(980)$ in the simulation is performed using the same event and track selection criteria as employed for the analysis of the data.

The signal loss correction factor, *f*sig, accounts for the fraction of f0*(*980*)* signal lost due to trigger inefficiencies and can be determined as a function of p_T using Monte Carlo simulations. Because a simulation with injected $f_0(980)$ signals may not lead to a realistic estimate of this correction factor, the correction is taken to be the same as for the *φ* meson at the same collision energy. The earlier analysis in [\[32\]](#page-8-0) showed that this correction does not depend significantly on the particle mass for resonances decaying strongly into two charged particles. This factor ranges between 1.07 for $0 < p_T < 0.2$ GeV/*c* and 1 for $p_T > 2.5$ GeV/*c*.

3.3. Systematic uncertainties

The sources of systematic uncertainty in the measurement of the $f_0(980)$ yields are summarised in Table 1. These include yield extraction, track and event selection, global tracking efficiency, particle identification, the knowledge of the ALICE material budget, and that of the hadron interaction cross section in the detector material. The estimated values of the uncertainties are reported in Table 1 for low, intermediate and high- p_T intervals. The systematic uncertainty associated with the yield extraction arises from the fit procedure and is determined by varying the fitting range as well as the signal and the background fit parameters. In particular, the width of the $f_0(980)$ was varied by sampling the range

from 10 to 100 MeV/*c*² given in [[2\]](#page-8-0) with 15 variations and the width of the f₂(1270) was varied within \pm 7.5 MeV/ $c²$ that corresponds to a $\pm 3\sigma$ range of the width value reported in [[2](#page-8-0)]. These variations result in the largest contribution to the uncertainty on the yield extraction. The uncertainties due to the yield extraction are p_T dependent and vary from 7.1% in the lowest p_T interval, to 15.3% in the highest p_T interval of this analysis. The systematic uncertainty due to the track selection is evaluated by varying a single track selection criterion at a time in both data and simulation, and by repeating all the steps of the analysis. This contribution ranges from 9.3% to 2.1% from low to high p_T . The difference in the efficiency of the matching of TPC tracks to ITS clusters (global tracking efficiency) between data and simulations results in a contribution to the systematic uncertainty of $2-4$ % depending on p_T . The systematic uncertainty associated with the particle identification is due to an imperfection in the description of the d*E/*d*x* in the TPC-based *nσ* selection in the Monte Carlo simulation as compared to data. The *nσ* selection is varied in data and simulation simultaneously to a $3\sigma_{TPC}$ particle identification criterion and results in a p_T -dependent relative systematic uncertainty of 1.5–6.8%. The choice of the event selection criteria leads to a systematic uncertainty of 2.1–7.6%. The systematic uncertainty associated with the signal loss correction is estimated by comparing the correction for ϕ mesons, used as a proxy for $f_0(980)$, with that of other light-flavour hadrons and is found to be lower than 1%. Finally, the uncertainty on the knowledge of the ALICE material budget and that of the hadron interaction cross section in the detector material leads to a systematic uncertainty lower than 5.3% and 3.4%, respectively [[38,](#page-8-0)[47](#page-9-0),[48](#page-9-0)]. The total relative systematic uncertainty is obtained as the sum in the quadrature of these contributions.

4. Results and discussion

The *p*_T-differential yield of $f_0(980)$ for $|y| < 0.5$ in inelastic pp collisions at \sqrt{s} = 5.02 TeV is shown in the upper panel of Fig. [2.](#page-5-0) The measurement spans a wide p_T range from 0 to 16 GeV/*c*.

The normalisation and branching ratio relative uncertainties on the yields are independent of p_T and amount to 2.5% and 13%, respectively [\[42,44\]](#page-8-0).

At present, most of the Monte Carlo generators commonly employed to simulate pp collisions do not implement the generation of $f_0(980)$ in their default configurations. One notable exception is the HERWIG 7.2 event generator [[49,50](#page-9-0)]. HERWIG 7.2 is a QCDinspired Monte Carlo event generator that includes processes like initial and final state QCD radiation, a description of the underlying event via an eikonal multiple parton–parton interaction model, and a cluster hadronisation model for the formation of hadrons from the quarks and gluons produced in the parton shower. The

Fig. 2. The *p*_T-differential yield of $f_0(980)$ in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 5.02$ TeV is compared with predictions from the HERWIG 7.2 event generator [\[49](#page-9-0),[50](#page-9-0)] and with a coalescence calculation [\[28\]](#page-8-0) based on the AMPT model [[51](#page-9-0)]. The statistical and systematic uncertainties on data (full black markers) are shown as bars and boxes, respectively. The middle and bottom panels show the model to data ratios. The grey boxes at unity represent the sum in quadrature of the statistical and systematic uncertainty on the data. The ratios of uūss tetraquark and K+K[−] molecule configurations from AMPT model predictions to data are multiplied by 100 to improve visibility. In all three panels, the uncertainties associated with the models are statistical ones.

default hadronisation and shower parameters are tuned to $e^+e^$ data [\[50\]](#page-9-0) with the addition of a tune for multi-parton processes based on the minimum bias LHC data [\[49](#page-9-0)]. To allow for the comparison, model calculations have been performed in the same p_T intervals of the data. As shown in the HERWIG/Data ratio reported in the middle panel of Fig. 2, HERWIG underestimates the measured yields by a factor of about two for $1 < p_T < 4$ GeV/*c* but reproduces at least qualitatively the shape of the p_T spectrum in this range. At $p_{\text{T}}\lesssim$ 0.5 GeV/c, the model is consistent with data within uncertainty but the p_T dependence is not described. At $p_T > 4$ GeV/*c*, HERWIG is not able to reproduce the data neither qualitatively nor quantitatively.

The data are also compared to a recent coalescence calculation [\[28,](#page-8-0)[51\]](#page-9-0) that uses the AMPT multiphase transport model [[51\]](#page-9-0), coupled with a coalescence afterburner with Gaussian Wigner function to generate $f_0(980)$ in three configurations, i.e., as a ss meson, as a uuss tetraquark state, and as a K^+K^- molecule. The AMPT model contains four main components namely initial conditions, partonic interactions, conversion from partonic to hadronic matter, and interactions among hadrons based on a relativistic transport (ART) model [[52](#page-9-0),[53](#page-9-0)]. The initial conditions are obtained from the HIJING model [\[54](#page-9-0)] and the partonic interactions are determined according to the Zhang's Parton Cascade model [[55\]](#page-9-0). In [\[28\]](#page-8-0), the authors use the phase-space information of quarks from this stage to implement quark coalescence for the $f_0(980)$ with the ss and tetraquark configurations. In the default version of AMPT, the conversion of partons to hadrons is then calculated with the Lund string fragmentation [[56](#page-9-0)–[58\]](#page-9-0), while in the string melting version of the model [\[59](#page-9-0)], a quark coalescence approach is used to combine partons to form hadrons. The phase-space information of kaons generated at this stage by AMPT is used as input for the coalescence afterburner for the $f_0(980)$ molecular state. As shown in Fig. 2, the ss calculation underestimates the $f_0(980)$ p_T distribution by a factor of about three, whereas the molecule and the tetraquark configuration predictions are two and three orders of magnitude lower, respectively. Note that the molecule and the tetraquark configuration prediction ratios to data are reported in the lowest panel of Fig. 2 multiplied by a factor of 100 to improve the visibility. In addition, the shape of the p_T spectra for the ss and the uuss tetraquark configurations are found to be significantly steeper than the measured one. Instead, the ratio between the model prediction for the K^+K^- molecule configuration and the data exhibits a milder p_T dependence within uncertainties in the considered p_T range (0–3.5 GeV/c), indicating that in this configuration the model can reproduce qualitatively better the measured spectral shape. Recent theoretical calculations that investigate the inclusive $f_0(980)$ production according to the colour-singlet gluongluon fusion and colour evaporation model have been proposed by the authors of [[60](#page-9-0)]. As these exploratory studies are currently available only for \sqrt{s} = 7 TeV, a comparison with the data presented in this letter is auspicable in the near future.

The per-event p_T -integrated yield, dN/dy , and average transverse momentum, $\langle p_T \rangle$, are calculated by integrating the p_T differential yield in the measured transverse momentum range. The obtained values are the following:

$$
\frac{dN}{dy} = 0.0385 \pm 0.0001 \text{(stat.)} \pm 0.0047 \text{(syst.)} \tag{5}
$$

 $\langle p_{\rm T} \rangle = 0.9624 \pm 0.0014$ (stat.) ± 0.0357 (syst.) GeV/c (6)

Notably, the yield for $p_T > 16$ GeV/*c* has a negligible contribution to the d*N*/d*y* and thus no extrapolation was employed.

The production of $f_0(980)$ is compared to that of other light-flavour hadrons in Fig. [3](#page-6-0) where the ratios of the $f_0(980)$ yield to those of π^+ + π^- [[61](#page-9-0)], p+ \overline{p} [\[61](#page-9-0)], and ϕ [\[32\]](#page-8-0) measured in pp collisions at \sqrt{s} = 5.02 TeV are reported as a function of p_T . The ratio to π^+ + π^- mesons exhibits an increasing trend as a function of p_T at low p_T and for $p_T > 5$ GeV/*c* it saturates within uncertainties.

The comparison of the production of $f_0(980)$ to that of protons and of the *φ* meson is particularly interesting as these particles have similar masses [[2](#page-8-0)] but different quark content. In particular, the ϕ meson is a pure ss state, while the f₀(980) contains a light flavour component ($u\overline{u}$, dd) as well as a large $s\overline{s}$ component, as suggested by measurements of $f_0(980)$ produced in D_s^+ decays [\[19](#page-8-0)]. The $f_0(980)$ to $p + \overline{p}$ ratio shows an increasing monotonic trend as a function of p_T , whereas the f₀(980) to ϕ ratio decreases for $p_T < 1.5$ GeV/*c*, remains flat till $p_T \simeq 8$ GeV/*c*, and increases for $p_T > 8$ GeV/*c*.

The measured p_T -differential particle yield ratios are compared in Fig. [3](#page-6-0) to the predictions from the HERWIG 7.2 event generator. The shape of the measured $2f_0(980)/(\pi^+ + \pi^-)$ ratio is fairly well reproduced over almost the entire measured p_T range, although the yield is underestimated by about a factor of two by the model. The model underestimates the $2f_0(980)/(p+\overline{p})$ ratio and fails to reproduce its p_T -dependence, with the measured ratio being more steeply increasing with p_T than the predicted one. The trend of $f_0(980)/\phi$ ratio is flat for $1 < p_T < 10$ GeV/*c* suggesting that its p_T dependence is qualitatively well reproduced by HERWIG in this momentum interval. However, the model overestimates the ratio by nearly a factor of two. For $p_T < 1$ GeV/*c*, the $f₀(980)/\phi$ ratio exhibits a steeply decreasing trend, that is qualitatively present also

Fig. 3. (Upper panels) Particle yield ratios of $f_0(980)$ to $\pi^+ + \pi^-$ [\[61](#page-9-0)] (left panel), $p + \bar{p}$ [[61](#page-9-0)] (middle panel), and ϕ [\[32\]](#page-8-0) (right panel) measured in inelastic pp collisions at √*s* = 5.02 TeV as a function of *p*_T. Data are compared to HERWIG 7.2 model predictions. The statistical and systematic uncertainties are shown as bars and boxes, respectively. (Lower panels) Ratio of measured particle ratios to the HERWIG model calculations (dashed histogram). The grey boxes at unity represent the sum in quadrature of the statistical and systematic uncertainty on the data. In the right panel, the ratio in the region for $p_T < 0.8$ GeV/*c* is off-scale. The relative uncertainty of 13% due to the branching ratio correction [\[42](#page-8-0)] is shown as a green box with an arbitrary horizontal width for visibility.

Fig. 4. Comparison of the measured $2f_0/(\pi^+ + \pi^-)$ ratio with measurements in ^e+e[−] collisions at √*^s* ⁼ ²⁹ GeV [[62](#page-9-0)], √*^s* ⁼ ⁹¹ GeV [[63](#page-9-0)] and in pp collisions at √*^s* = 27.5 GeV [[64](#page-9-0)]. The ratios are compared to predictions from statistical hadronisation model (SHM) calculations for e^+e^- collisions [[65](#page-9-0)] and pp collisions [[66](#page-9-0)], GC-SHM [\[67](#page-9-0)] and HERWIG 7.2 [\[49,50](#page-9-0)]. The hollow boxes represent the total uncertainty on data. The relative uncertainty of 13% due to the branching ratio correction [\[42](#page-8-0)] applies to all data points and is shown as a yellow box. All error boxes are drawn with an arbitrary horizontal width for visibility.

in the model prediction. At high p_T , the HERWIG predictions are consistent with the $f_0(980)/\phi$ data within the uncertainties.

The ratio of the p_T -integrated $f_0(980)$ yield relative to pions in pp collisions at \sqrt{s} = 5.02 TeV amounts to $2f_0/(\pi^+ + \pi^-)$ = (0.0186 ± 0.0026) , with the uncertainty being the sum in quadrature of the statistical and systematic uncertainties. The value is shown in Fig. 4 (red point) in comparison with results from measurements in pp and e^+e^- collisions at lower centre-of-mass energies as well as with model calculations.

The low energy experiment results were originally reported using different branching ratios, therefore all of them have been updated to take into account the most recent value of 46% [\[42\]](#page-8-0) used in this letter. In Fig. 4, the same uncertainty on the BR is applied to all data points and reported as a shaded yellow box. The particle ratio value from the fixed-target NA27 experiment at the CERN SPS, measured in pp collisions at \sqrt{s} = 27.5 GeV [\[64\]](#page-9-0) is 44.5% lower than the ratio measured at $\sqrt{s} = 5.02$ TeV, suggesting a mild increase of the $f_0(980)$ yield relative to pions with increas-

Fig. 5. The $2f_0/(\pi^+ + \pi^-)$ ratio measured in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 5.02$ TeV [[61](#page-9-0)] is compared to two distinct predictions for f_0/π^+ from a canonical statistical model (*γs*− CSM [[68](#page-9-0)], see text for details) as ^a function of d*N*ch*/*d*η*. The two calculations differ by the assumed strangeness content of $f_0(980)$ and correspond to zero total strangeness, $|S| = 0$ and $|S| = 2$. The height of the hollow red box represents the total uncertainty on the ratio, its width represents the uncertainty on the $\langle dN_{ch}/d\eta \rangle$. The relative uncertainty of 13% due to the branching ratio correction [[42](#page-8-0)] is shown as a yellow box with an arbitrary horizontal width for visibility.

ing energy of the pp collisions. The particle ratio values from $e^+e^$ collisions at \sqrt{s} = 29 GeV [[62](#page-9-0)] and \sqrt{s} = 91 GeV [\[63\]](#page-9-0) are lower by 61% and higher by a factor of two, respectively. The particle ratios are compared with predictions based on statistical hadronisation models [\[65](#page-9-0)–[67](#page-9-0)] and the HERWIG 7.2 event generator. The statistical hadronisation model predictions by Becattini et al. for the e⁺e[−] case [\[65\]](#page-9-0) and for the pp collisions [\[66](#page-9-0)] case underestimate the measurement by about a factor of two, similarly to a Grand Canonical formulation of the statistical hadronisation model (GC-SHM) from the GSI-Heidelberg group [\[67\]](#page-9-0). The value from HERWIG is also a factor of about two lower than the measured ratio.

In Fig. 5, the measured p_T -integrated $2f_0/(\pi^+ + \pi^-)$ ratio in pp collisions at \sqrt{s} = 5.02 TeV is compared to predictions based on the canonical statistical hadronisation model (CSM) described in [[68\]](#page-9-0), as a function of the multiplicity of particles produced in the collision, expressed in terms of the average pseudorapidity density of charged particles, $\langle dN_{ch}/d\eta \rangle$. The prediction spans a large d*Nch/*d*η* interval, reaching the high multiplicity achieved in central heavy-ion collisions at LHC energies. In canonical statistical hadronisation models, hadrons are formed from a source that is assumed to have reached full chemical equilibrium at the chemical freeze-out temperature T_{ch} , and their yields are determined from the partition function for a canonical ensemble. The multiplicity dependence of hadron production is driven by the canonical suppression, namely the exact conservation of baryon number, electric charge, and strangeness over the correlation volume. The model considered here, with a temperature $T_{ch} = 155$ MeV and a correlation volume that spans three units of rapidity, is able to reproduce the multiplicity dependence of hadron-to-pion ratios of several species over the charged particle multiplicity range covered by the ALICE measurements at the LHC, both qualitatively and quantitatively in most cases (see Fig. 5 of [\[68\]](#page-9-0)). In addition, to describe the multiplicity dependence of the ϕ/π ratio observed at the LHC, the model, henceforth labelled as γ_s – CSM, incorporates the incomplete equilibration of strangeness by introducing a strangeness saturation factor γ_s < 1. Notably, in the strangeness nonequilibrium picture a $s\overline{s}$ pair like the ϕ meson is effectively a double-strange particle ($|S| = 2$), and ALICE ϕ data seem to be best described with $|S| = 1-2$ [[69\]](#page-9-0). The $f_0(980)/\pi$ ⁺ ratio is calculated for two scenarios, first assuming that the total strangeness content of $f_0(980)$ is equal to zero (yellow continuous line) and second, assuming a total strangeness content equal to two (blue dashed line). At high multiplicity, where the strangeness content of the system saturates in presence of a QGP, the calculations for the two scenarios converge and reach the grand canonical limit.

In the first scenario ($|S| = 0$), γ_s -CSM predicts higher values for the $f_0(980)$ to pion yield ratio as compared to the second scenario $(|S| = 2)$ in the low $\langle dN_{ch}/d\eta \rangle$ region. The two predictions match each other for $\langle dN_{ch}/d\eta \rangle \ge 100$. The measured $2f_0/(\pi^+ + \pi^-)$ ratio in pp collisions at \sqrt{s} = 5.02 TeV differs by 1.9 σ from the γ_s -CSM prediction for the $f_0(980)$ with net strangeness equal to zero, and by 4.0σ from the $|S| = 2$ prediction, indicating that the former scenario is favoured over the latter in this implementation of the model.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, the first measurement of $f_0(980)$ production in inelastic pp collisions at \sqrt{s} = 5.02 TeV at the LHC is presented. The measurement is performed in a wide p_T interval from 0 to 16 GeV/*c* at midrapidity by reconstructing the resonance in the hadronic decay channel $f_0(980) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$. The inclusive $f_0(980)$ production is underestimated by HERWIG 7.2 by a factor of two for $1 < p_T < 4$ GeV/*c* and by a large factor (up to more than four) in $4 < p_T < 16$ GeV/*c*. However, this QCD-inspired event generator is able to describe the p_T -dependence of the $2f_0(980)/(\pi^+ + \pi^-)$ and $f_0(980)/\phi$ ratios in a rather broad p_T range, while failing to reproduce the $2f_0(980)/(p+\overline{p})$ ratio over the entire measured p_T range. The production of $f_0(980)$ is also not described by a AMPT+coalescence model prediction in three configurations (ss meson, uuss tetraquark state, and K^+K^- molecule), which use the phase space information of quarks and kaons from the AMPT model. In order to compare the new measurement of the p_T -integrated $f_0(980)$ to pion ratio with low energy data, the low energy points were updated with the latest branching ratio. The new result presented in this letter suggests a mild increase of the production of $f_0(980)$ relative to pions in inelastic pp collisions from \sqrt{s} = 27.5 GeV to \sqrt{s} = 5.02 TeV. For the same ratio, HERWIG 7.2 predicts a value that is about 43% lower than the measured one whereas different implementations of the statistical hadronisation model underestimate the data by up to a factor of about two. Notably, the *γs*-CSM prediction for the $f_0(980)$ assuming net strangeness equal to zero is consistent with the data within 1.9*σ* .

In summary, the description of the inclusive f_0 production in pp collisions provided by the few event generators and theoretical calculations that attempt its modelling is, at present, unsatisfactory. Future developments in this direction may help gaining insight over the nature of this particle, as new data may become available. From the experimental point of view, the results presented in this letter set the necessary baseline for the future measurements of the production and the nuclear modification factor of $f_0(980)$ in p–Pb and Pb–Pb collisions at the LHC, which have been suggested as observables that are sensitive to the elusive nature of this particle.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Data availability

This manuscript has associated data in a HEPData repository at: [https://www.hepdata.net/record/ins2094796.](https://www.hepdata.net/record/ins2094796)

Acknowledgements

The ALICE Collaboration would like to thank all its engineers and technicians for their invaluable contributions to the construction of the experiment and the CERN accelerator teams for the outstanding performance of the LHC complex. The ALICE Collaboration gratefully acknowledges the resources and support provided by all Grid centres and the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid (WLCG) collaboration. The ALICE Collaboration acknowledges the following funding agencies for their support in building and running the ALICE detector: A. I. Alikhanyan National Science Laboratory (Yerevan Physics Institute) Foundation (ANSL), State Committee of Science and World Federation of Scientists (WFS), Armenia; Austrian Academy of Sciences, Austrian Science Fund (FWF): [M 2467-N36] and Nationalstiftung für Forschung, Technologie und Entwicklung, Austria; Ministry of Communications and High Technologies, National Nuclear Research Center, Azerbaijan; Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), Financiadora de Estudos e Projetos (Finep), Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP) and Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS), Brazil; Bulgarian Ministry of Education and Science, within the National Roadmap for Research Infrastructures 2020-2027 (object CERN), Bulgaria; Ministry of Education of China (MOEC), Ministry of Science & Technology of China (MSTC) and National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC), China; Ministry of Science and Education and Croatian Science Foundation, Croatia; Centro de Aplicaciones Tecnológicas y Desarrollo Nuclear (CEADEN), Cubaenergía, Cuba; Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic, Czech Republic; The Danish Council for Independent Research | Natural Sciences, the Villum Fonden and Danish National Research Foundation (DNRF), Denmark; Helsinki Institute of Physics (HIP), Finland; Commissariat à l'Énergie Atomique (CEA) and Institut National de Physique Nucléaire et de Physique des Particules (IN2P3) and Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), France; Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung (BMBF) and GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung GmbH, Germany; General Secretariat for Research and Technology, Ministry of Education, Research and Religions, Greece; National Research, Development and Innovation Office, Hungary; Department of Atomic Energy, Government of India (DAE), Department of Science and Technology, Government of India (DST), University Grants Commission, Government of India (UGC) and Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR),

India; National Research and Innovation Agency - BRIN, Indonesia; Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN), Italy; Japanese Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT) and Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) KAK-ENHI, Japan; Consejo Nacional de Ciencia (CONACYT) y Tecnología, through Fondo de Cooperación Internacional en Ciencia y Tecnología (FONCICYT) and Dirección General de Asuntos del Personal Académico (DGAPA), Mexico; Nederlandse Organisatie voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek (NWO), Netherlands; The Research Council of Norway, Norway; Commission on Science and Technology for Sustainable Development in the South (COMSATS), Pakistan; Pontificia Universidad Católica del Perú, Peru; Ministry of Education and Science, National Science Centre and WUT ID-UB, Poland; Korea Institute of Science and Technology Information and National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF), Republic of Korea; Ministry of Education and Scientific Research, Institute of Atomic Physics, Ministry of Research and Innovation and Institute of Atomic Physics and University Politehnica of Bucharest, Romania; Ministry of Education, Science, Research and Sport of the Slovak Republic, Slovakia; National Research Foundation of South Africa, South Africa; Swedish Research Council (VR) and Knut & Alice Wallenberg Foundation (KAW), Sweden; European Organization for Nuclear Research, Switzerland; Suranaree University of Technology (SUT), National Science and Technology Development Agency (NSTDA) and National Science, Research and Innovation Fund (NSRF via PMU-B B05F650021), Thailand; Turkish Energy, Nuclear and Mineral Research Agency (TENMAK), Turkey; National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Ukraine; Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC), United Kingdom; National Science Foundation of the United States of America (NSF) and United States Department of Energy, Office of Nuclear Physics (DOE NP), United States of America. In addition, individual groups or members have received support from: Marie Skłodowska Curie, European Research Council, Strong 2020 - Horizon 2020 (grant nos. 950692, 824093, 896850), European Union; Academy of Finland (Center of Excellence in Quark Matter) (grant nos. 346327, 346328), Finland; Programa de Apoyos para la Superación del Personal Académico, UNAM, Mexico.

References

- [1] M. [Gell-Mann,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib22B961076BF4B31F65FDDAC6F80A4F34s1) A schematic model of baryons and mesons, Phys. Lett. 8 (1964) [214–215.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib22B961076BF4B31F65FDDAC6F80A4F34s1)
- [2] Particle Data Group [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibFAAAF74F35146E327E214817806CA021s1) P. Zyla, et al., Review of particle physics, PTEP [2020 \(8\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibFAAAF74F35146E327E214817806CA021s1) (2020), 083C01.
- [3] R.L. Jaffe, Multi-quark hadrons. 1. The [phenomenology](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib08B522B09E2ED3788030C58E0D887C56s1) of (2 quark 2 anti-quark) [mesons,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib08B522B09E2ED3788030C58E0D887C56s1) Phys. Rev. D 15 (1977) 267.
- [4] R.L. Jaffe, [Multi-quark](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib039F2E3AC8F0A9310FEC100DD0335B60s1) hadrons. 2. Methods, Phys. Rev. D 15 (1977) 281.
- [5] F.E. Close, N.A. [Tornqvist,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib8B54F94D2AFBD82EF5FA7D0337752EAFs1) Scalar mesons above and below 1-GeV, J. Phys. G 28 (2002) R249–R267, [arXiv:hep-ph/0204205.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib8B54F94D2AFBD82EF5FA7D0337752EAFs1)
- [6] C. Amsler, N.A. [Tornqvist,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibA46AF140C9CB3F7CF24F50F5CFCF4348s1) Mesons beyond the naive quark model, Phys. Rep. 389 (2004) [61–117.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibA46AF140C9CB3F7CF24F50F5CFCF4348s1)
- [7] L. Maiani, F. [Piccinini,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibFBC6D457A0D0E32AA26B3F1220D64527s1) A.D. Polosa, V. Riquer, A new look at scalar mesons, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93 (2004) 212002, [arXiv:hep-ph/0407017.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibFBC6D457A0D0E32AA26B3F1220D64527s1)
- [8] E. Klempt, A. Zaitsev, Glueballs, hybrids, multiquarks. [Experimental](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib301135AE1F86F71CF49FD6743393A9C1s1) facts versus QCD inspired concepts, Phys. Rep. 454 (2007) 1–202, [arXiv:0708.4016 \[hep-ph\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib301135AE1F86F71CF49FD6743393A9C1s1)
- [9] C.-H. Chen, Evidence for two quark content of f(0) (980) in [exclusive](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib2C8C55315C04792F7A2F949F511E5F2Bs1) b —*>* c decays, Phys. Rev. D 67 (2003) 094011, [arXiv:hep-ph/0302059.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib2C8C55315C04792F7A2F949F511E5F2Bs1)
- [10] N.N. Achasov, J.V. Bennett, A.V. Kiselev, E.A. Kozyrev, G.N. [Shestakov,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibCD439E9081E8BF101703BC380DD78C90s1) Evidence of the [four-quark](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibCD439E9081E8BF101703BC380DD78C90s1) nature of *f*0(980) and *f*0(500), Phys. Rev. D 103 (1) (2021) 014010, [arXiv:2009.04191 \[hep-ph\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibCD439E9081E8BF101703BC380DD78C90s1)
- [11] H.A. Ahmed, C.W. Xiao, Study the [molecular](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib065CF1F4BBC12ACB10595BB27FB7391Ds1) nature of σ , $f_0(980)$, and $a_0(980)$ states, Phys. Rev. D 101 (9) (2020) 094034, [arXiv:2001.08141 \[hep-ph\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib065CF1F4BBC12ACB10595BB27FB7391Ds1)
- [12] D. [Oliinychenko,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib920E54B141C15A8022DF6B653122D085s1) C. Shen, Resonance production in PbPb collisions at 5.02 TeV via hydrodynamics and hadronic afterburner, [arXiv:2105.07539 \[hep-ph\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib920E54B141C15A8022DF6B653122D085s1)
- [13] N.N. Achasov, [Radiative](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibEA844714B5758514388C01E6098545BDs1) decays of phi meson about nature of light scalar resonances, Nucl. Phys. A 728 (2003) 425–438, [arXiv:hep-ph/0309118.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibEA844714B5758514388C01E6098545BDs1)
- [14] M.N. [Achasov,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib35858412D2109EDEA1F7CB73D852AC7Bs1) et al., The phi –*>* eta pi0 gamma decay, Phys. Lett. B 479 (2000) 53–58, [arXiv:hep-ex/0003031.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib35858412D2109EDEA1F7CB73D852AC7Bs1)
- [15] CMD-2 [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibEEAB2299D8602165CBC15F7A2CDBA190s1) R.R. Akhmetshin, et al., First observation of the phi –*>* pi+ pi- gamma decay, Phys. Lett. B 462 (1999) 371, [arXiv:hep-ex/9907005.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibEEAB2299D8602165CBC15F7A2CDBA190s1)
- [16] KLOE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib9618E4C81ABC37D7F12D6915746BC1D7s1) A. Aloisio, et al., Study of the decay $\phi \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \gamma$ with the KLOE detector, Phys. Lett. B 536 (2002) 209–216, [arXiv:hep-ex/0204012.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib9618E4C81ABC37D7F12D6915746BC1D7s1)
- [17] KLOE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib673F017EABFB418ED8276EA06B008DD2s1) F. Ambrosino, et al., Dalitz plot analysis of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\nu$ events at [√]*^s* [approximately](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib673F017EABFB418ED8276EA06B008DD2s1) M(*φ*) with the KLOE detector, Eur. Phys. J. ^C ⁴⁹ (2007) 473–488, [arXiv:hep-ex/0609009.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib673F017EABFB418ED8276EA06B008DD2s1)
- [18] BESIII [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibE42EBF6E569E2D6292B6245A6D61C22Bs1) M. Ablikim, et al., Amplitude analysis of the $\pi^0\pi^0$ system [produced](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibE42EBF6E569E2D6292B6245A6D61C22Bs1) in radiative J/*ψ* decays, Phys. Rev. D 92 (5) (2015) 052003, arXiv: [1506.00546 \[hep-ex\],](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibE42EBF6E569E2D6292B6245A6D61C22Bs1) Erratum: Phys. Rev. D 93 (2016) 039906.
- [19] E791 [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib59D6B970890678C74550BE7AFBE3A6E4s1) E.M. Aitala, et al., Study of the $D_s^+ \to \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$ decay and [measurement](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib59D6B970890678C74550BE7AFBE3A6E4s1) of f(0) masses and widths, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86 (2001) 765–769, [arXiv:hep-ex/0007027.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib59D6B970890678C74550BE7AFBE3A6E4s1)
- [20] LHCb [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib027A9A50E798C6B368FA28DB3CE9B5E0s1) R. Aaij, et al., Measurement of resonant and CP components in $\bar{B}_{s}^{0} \to J/\psi \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$ decays, Phys. Rev. D 89 (9) (2014) [092006,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib027A9A50E798C6B368FA28DB3CE9B5E0s1) arXiv: [1402.6248 \[hep-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib027A9A50E798C6B368FA28DB3CE9B5E0s1)
- [21] LHCb [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibD43A9C719EFC5EF434544F307E5DC47Bs1) R. Aaij, et al., Measurement of the resonant and CP components in $\overline{B}^0 \to J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$ decays, Phys. Rev. D 90 (1) (2014) [012003,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibD43A9C719EFC5EF434544F307E5DC47Bs1) arXiv: [1404.5673 \[hep-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibD43A9C719EFC5EF434544F307E5DC47Bs1)
- [22] J.T. Daub, C. Hanhart, B. Kubis, A [model-independent](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib317288A0A910EBA72D3F6293DAA8CC54s1) analysis of final-state [interactions](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib317288A0A910EBA72D3F6293DAA8CC54s1) in $\overline{B}_{d/s}^0 \to J/\psi \pi \pi$, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2016) 009, arXiv: [1508.06841 \[hep-ph\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib317288A0A910EBA72D3F6293DAA8CC54s1)
- [23] G. Janssen, B.C. Pearce, K. Holinde, J. Speth, On the [structure](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib1C51EDF101E88D02CFEFBFE10B40EB8Es1) of the scalar mesons *f*₀ (975) and *a*₀ (980), Phys. Rev. D 52 (1995) 2690-2700, arXiv: [nucl-th/9411021.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib1C51EDF101E88D02CFEFBFE10B40EB8Es1)
- [24] J.D. Weinstein, N. Isgur, K anti-K [molecules,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib8949C861C91F8DDB459125187F1362B2s1) Phys. Rev. D 41 (1990) 2236.
- [25] C.W. Xiao, U.G. Meißner, J.A. Oller, [Investigation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibFCB071C5445D8A6F9BE3D3A46B8CA93Fs1) of *J/ψ* $\gamma \pi^0 \eta (\pi^+ \pi^-, \pi^0 \pi^0)$ radiative decays including final-state [interactions,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibFCB071C5445D8A6F9BE3D3A46B8CA93Fs1) Eur. Phys. J. A 56 (1) (2020) 23, [arXiv:1907.09072 \[hep-ph\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibFCB071C5445D8A6F9BE3D3A46B8CA93Fs1)
- [26] L. Maiani, A.D. Polosa, V. Riquer, C.A. Salgado, [Counting](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibF8ED83083A72A14484FE073994672586s1) valence quarks at RHIC and LHC, Phys. Lett. B 645 (2007) 138–145, [arXiv:hep-ph/0606217.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibF8ED83083A72A14484FE073994672586s1)
- [27] ExHIC [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibE7BAD602709CAC36A22DFF9E226D33FDs1) S. Cho, et al., Exotic hadrons from heavy ion collisions, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 95 (2017) 279–322, [arXiv:1702.00486 \[nucl-th\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibE7BAD602709CAC36A22DFF9E226D33FDs1)
- [28] A. Gu, T. Edmonds, J. Zhao, F. Wang, Elliptical flow [coalescence](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib69962340E4739AE9FBA711B93C20594Fs1) to identify the *f*0(980) content, Phys. Rev. C 101 (2) (2020) 024908, [arXiv:1902.07152 \[nucl](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib69962340E4739AE9FBA711B93C20594Fs1)[ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib69962340E4739AE9FBA711B93C20594Fs1)
- [29] HotQCD [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibAE239A4CCC891FC3597D7F292846A5B6s1) A. Bazavov, et al., Chiral crossover in QCD at zero and non-zero chemical potentials, Phys. Lett. B 795 (2019) 15–21, [arXiv:1812.08235](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibAE239A4CCC891FC3597D7F292846A5B6s1) [\[hep-lat\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibAE239A4CCC891FC3597D7F292846A5B6s1)
- [30] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib55EDE73544198CDB32F0A2582500FB2As1) K. Aamodt, et al., Two-pion Bose-Einstein correlations in central Pb-Pb [collisions](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib55EDE73544198CDB32F0A2582500FB2As1) at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 2.76 TeV, Phys. Lett. B 696 (2011) 328–337, [arXiv:1012.4035 \[nucl-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib55EDE73544198CDB32F0A2582500FB2As1)
- [31] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib50B210D9C63EBAD09693CEE320296F5As1) S. Acharya, et al., Production of the $\rho(770)^0$ meson in pp and Pb-Pb [collisions](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib50B210D9C63EBAD09693CEE320296F5As1) at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 2.76 TeV, Phys. Rev. C 99 (6) (2019) 064901, [arXiv:1805.04365 \[nucl-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib50B210D9C63EBAD09693CEE320296F5As1)
- [32] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib003D3B91BE5EF54BB46068335F556FE0s1) S. Acharya, et al., Evidence of rescattering effect in Pb– Pb collisions at the LHC through [production](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib003D3B91BE5EF54BB46068335F556FE0s1) of $K^*(892)^0$ and $\phi(1020)$ mesons, Phys. Lett. B 802 (2020) 135225, [arXiv:1910.14419 \[nucl-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib003D3B91BE5EF54BB46068335F556FE0s1)
- [33] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibBE09845AFE4793CF68CEB826CA758635s1) S. Acharya, et al., Suppression of *(*1520*)* resonance production in central Pb-Pb [collisions](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibBE09845AFE4793CF68CEB826CA758635s1) at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 2.76 TeV, Phys. Rev. C 99 (2019) 024905, [arXiv:1805.04361 \[nucl-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibBE09845AFE4793CF68CEB826CA758635s1)
- [34] R.J. Fries, B. Muller, C. Nonaka, S.A. Bass, [Hadronization](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibC412022E200F0709FEC5B02214F6B006s1) in heavy ion collisions: [recombination](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibC412022E200F0709FEC5B02214F6B006s1) and fragmentation of partons, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90 (2003) 202303, [arXiv:nucl-th/0301087.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibC412022E200F0709FEC5B02214F6B006s1)
- [35] V. Minissale, F. Scardina, V. Greco, Hadrons from [coalescence](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib29E8501D37922C84550F866BE39D7A29s1) plus fragmentation in AA collisions at energies available at the BNL [Relativistic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib29E8501D37922C84550F866BE39D7A29s1) Heavy Ion Collider to the CERN Large Hadron [Collider,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib29E8501D37922C84550F866BE39D7A29s1) Phys. Rev. C 92 (5) (2015) 054904, [arXiv:1502.06213 \[nucl-th\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib29E8501D37922C84550F866BE39D7A29s1)
- [36] S. Plumari, V. [Minissale,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibE46567034561899A1D1766049F1EB363s1) S.K. Das, G. Coci, V. Greco, Charmed hadrons from coalescence plus fragmentation in relativistic [nucleus-nucleus](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibE46567034561899A1D1766049F1EB363s1) collisions at RHIC and LHC, Eur. Phys. J. C 78 (4) (2018) 348, [arXiv:1712.00730 \[hep-ph\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibE46567034561899A1D1766049F1EB363s1)
- [37] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib7AA8DFA2B732D940E1729D1035DA16C3s1) B.B. Abelev, et al., Performance of the ALICE experiment at the CERN LHC, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 29 (2014) 1430044, [arXiv:1402.4476 \[nucl](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib7AA8DFA2B732D940E1729D1035DA16C3s1)[ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib7AA8DFA2B732D940E1729D1035DA16C3s1)
- [38] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib74324F3A25C36872BACFC3C10296A2D0s1) K. Aamodt, et al., The ALICE experiment at the CERN LHC, J. [Instrum.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib74324F3A25C36872BACFC3C10296A2D0s1) 3 (2008) S08002.
- [39] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibDB53B877DCFB467D8C5FE60D7515A55Cs1) E. Abbas, et al., Performance of the ALICE VZERO system, J. Instrum. 8 (2013) P10016, [arXiv:1306.3130 \[nucl-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibDB53B877DCFB467D8C5FE60D7515A55Cs1)
- [40] STAR Collaboration, C. Adler, et al., Coherent ρ^0 production in [ultraperipheral](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib2F72F5FCCD6D765F751A31D088FB61C8s1) heavy ion collisions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89 (2002) 272302, [arXiv:nucl-ex/0206004.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib2F72F5FCCD6D765F751A31D088FB61C8s1)
- [41] ALICE Collaboration, J. Adam, et al., Coherent *ρ*⁰ [photoproduction](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib96B57EC45DDD85C99545E4FFC7886A98s1) in ultra[peripheral](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib96B57EC45DDD85C99545E4FFC7886A98s1) Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 2.76 TeV, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2015) 095, [arXiv:1503.09177 \[nucl-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib96B57EC45DDD85C99545E4FFC7886A98s1)
- [42] S. Stone, L. Zhang, Use of $B \rightarrow J/\psi f_0$ decays to discern the $q\bar{q}$ or [tetraquark](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib81EAD372C0D059069DA4439122E3E1A0s1) nature of scalar [mesons,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib81EAD372C0D059069DA4439122E3E1A0s1) Phys. Rev. Lett. 111 (6) (2013) 062001.
- [43] B.B. Abelev, et al., ALICE Collaboration, ALICE luminosity determination for pp collisions at \sqrt{s} = 5 TeV, ALICE-PUBLIC-2016-005 (2016).
- [44] C. Loizides, J. Kamin, D. d'Enterria, Improved Monte Carlo Glauber [predictions](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib460B1C9B52712E7503F5A67B695D7BFEs1) at present and future nuclear [colliders,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib460B1C9B52712E7503F5A67B695D7BFEs1) Phys. Rev. C 97 (5) (2018) 054910, [arXiv:1710.07098 \[nucl-ex\],](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib460B1C9B52712E7503F5A67B695D7BFEs1) Erratum: Phys. Rev. C 99 (1) (2019) 019901.
- [45] P. Skands, S. [Carrazza,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib303AFAB922CD5DFF46850B87BD569A7Fs1) J. Rojo, Tuning PYTHIA 8.1: the Monash 2013 tune, Eur. Phys. J. C 74 (8) (2014) 3024, [arXiv:1404.5630 \[hep-ph\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib303AFAB922CD5DFF46850B87BD569A7Fs1)
- [46] R. Brun, F. Bruyant, F. Carminati, S. Giani, M. Maire, A. McPherson, G. Patrick, L. Urban, GEANT Detector Description and Simulation Tool, CERN-W-5013 (10, 1994).
- [47] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib74317CE97B7657B924186B0695F8686Ds1) S. Acharya, et al., Transverse momentum spectra and nuclear [modification](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib74317CE97B7657B924186B0695F8686Ds1) factors of charged particles in pp, p-Pb and Pb-Pb collisions at the LHC, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2018) 013, [arXiv:1802.09145 \[nucl-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib74317CE97B7657B924186B0695F8686Ds1)
- [48] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibAC995272768D4DF92513FF11B042A218s1) J. Adam, et al., Measurement of pion, kaon and proton production in [proton–proton](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibAC995272768D4DF92513FF11B042A218s1) collisions at \sqrt{s} = 7 TeV, Eur. Phys. J. C 75 (5) .
(2015) 226. [arXiv:1504.00024 \[nucl-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibAC995272768D4DF92513FF11B042A218s1)
- [49] J. Bellm, et al., Herwig [7.0/Herwig++](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibA7E1901DE5619FCB7F66AF96C961ECEEs1) 3.0 release note, Eur. Phys. J. C 76 (4) (2016) 196, [arXiv:1512.01178 \[hep-ph\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibA7E1901DE5619FCB7F66AF96C961ECEEs1)
- [50] M. Bahr, et al., [Herwig++](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibE33EC5EB1D9CF4178438882A50EF156Bs1) physics and manual, Eur. Phys. J. C 58 (2008) 639–707, [arXiv:0803.0883 \[hep-ph\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibE33EC5EB1D9CF4178438882A50EF156Bs1)
- [51] Z.-W. Lin, C.M. Ko, B.-A. Li, B. Zhang, S. Pal, A [multi-phase](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib59EA2DCA6306B7B7081E26520C25A024s1) transport model for relativistic heavy ion collisions, Phys. Rev. C 72 (2005) 064901, [arXiv:nucl-th/](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib59EA2DCA6306B7B7081E26520C25A024s1) [0411110.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib59EA2DCA6306B7B7081E26520C25A024s1)
- [52] B.-A. Li, C.M. Ko, Formation of superdense hadronic matter in [high-energy](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib62E5FB152AA597CA28689E91A0C429E0s1) heavy ion collisions, Phys. Rev. C 52 (1995) 2037–2063, [arXiv:nucl-th/9505016.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib62E5FB152AA597CA28689E91A0C429E0s1)
- [53] B. Li, A.T. Sustich, B. Zhang, C.M. Ko, Studies of [superdense](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib3E9DCEDDE90DC61B9308585A2EA2AB69s1) hadronic matter in a [relativistic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib3E9DCEDDE90DC61B9308585A2EA2AB69s1) transport model, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E 10 (2001) 267–352.
- [54] X.-N. Wang, M. [Gyulassy,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib903B777E79687CAE61171DDE8C682993s1) HIJING: A Monte Carlo model for multiple jet production in p p, p A and A A collisions, Phys. Rev. D 44 (1991) [3501–3516.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib903B777E79687CAE61171DDE8C682993s1)
- [55] B. Zhang, ZPC 1.0.1: a parton cascade for [ultrarelativistic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib4BAC4D400E4A201BAB77454F72E29A85s1) heavy ion collisions, Comput. Phys. Commun. 109 (1998) 193–206, [arXiv:nucl-th/9709009.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib4BAC4D400E4A201BAB77454F72E29A85s1)
- [56] B. Andersson, G. Gustafson, B. Soderberg, A general model for jet [fragmenta](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib1B3A686412DE0A7597450F3B6E7D3204s1)tion, Z. Phys. C 20 [\(1983\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib1B3A686412DE0A7597450F3B6E7D3204s1) 317.
- [57] B. Andersson, G. Gustafson, G. Ingelman, T. Sjöstrand, Parton [fragmentation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib9867A72BBC2522B0FE2F6F25C8AD1AE0s1) and string [dynamics,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib9867A72BBC2522B0FE2F6F25C8AD1AE0s1) Phys. Rep. 97 (1983) 31–145.
- [58] T. Sjöstrand, [High-energy](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib1048A986636B47AF419FBB3ABC15B9AFs1) physics event generation with PYTHIA 5.7 and JETSET 7.4, Comput. Phys. [Commun.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib1048A986636B47AF419FBB3ABC15B9AFs1) 82 (1994) 74–90.
- [59] Z.-w. Lin, C.M. Ko, [Partonic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibFD5D4A1A7BD1F926A87754B84796DA6Bs1) effects on the elliptic flow at RHIC, Phys. Rev. C 65 (2002) 034904, [arXiv:nucl-th/0108039.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibFD5D4A1A7BD1F926A87754B84796DA6Bs1)
- [60] P. [Lebiedowicz,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib79B1A8B8ADB1779C542485610C5A8483s1) R. Maciuła, A. Szczurek, Production of $f_0(980)$ meson at the LHC: color evaporation versus [color-singlet](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib79B1A8B8ADB1779C542485610C5A8483s1) gluon-gluon fusion, Phys. Lett. B 806 (2020) 135475, [arXiv:2003.08200 \[hep-ph\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib79B1A8B8ADB1779C542485610C5A8483s1)
- [61] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib36782808987D5C2139D2570404E57A9Es1) S. Acharya, et al., Production of charged pions, kaons, and [\(anti-\)protons](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib36782808987D5C2139D2570404E57A9Es1) in Pb–Pb and inelastic pp collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 5.02 TeV, Phys. Rev. C 101 (4) (2020) 044907, [arXiv:1910.07678 \[nucl-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib36782808987D5C2139D2570404E57A9Es1)
- [62] W. Hofmann, Particle composition in hadronic jets in *e*+*e*− [annihilation,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib31109D9A39574D7DDE1F467007168C92s1) Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 38 (1988) [279–322.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib31109D9A39574D7DDE1F467007168C92s1)
- [63] P.V. Chliapnikov, Hyperfine splitting in [light-flavour](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib77EE09D052EE73E0E41FD50984244FEDs1) hadron production at LEP, Phys. Lett. B 462 (1999) [341–353.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib77EE09D052EE73E0E41FD50984244FEDs1)
- [64] M. [Aguilar-Benitez,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib092267D6AE5AB7C0A7B456C19F2EA29Es1) et al., Inclusive particle production in 400-GeV/c pp interactions, Z. Phys. C 50 (1991) [405–426.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib092267D6AE5AB7C0A7B456C19F2EA29Es1)
- [65] F. Becattini, P. Castorina, J. Manninen, H. Satz, The thermal [production](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibCEE7B200F36BBC162F7432C8DDE439E4s1) of strange and [non-strange](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibCEE7B200F36BBC162F7432C8DDE439E4s1) hadrons in e^+e^- collisions, Eur. Phys. J. C 56 (2008) 493–510, [arXiv:0805.0964 \[hep-ph\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibCEE7B200F36BBC162F7432C8DDE439E4s1)
- [66] F. Becattini, U.W. Heinz, Thermal hadron [production](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibBED4ABD1E32F3DA2D660B2C44F4A9E38s1) in p p and p anti-p collisions, Z. Phys. C 76 (1997) 269–286, [arXiv:hep-ph/9702274,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibBED4ABD1E32F3DA2D660B2C44F4A9E38s1) Erratum: Z. Phys. C 76 [\(1997\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibBED4ABD1E32F3DA2D660B2C44F4A9E38s1) 578.
- [67] A. Andronic, P. [Braun-Munzinger,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib9A4A64358F47918367FBCA7CCC795C50s1) K. Redlich, J. Stachel, Decoding the phase structure of QCD via particle production at high energy, Nature [561 \(7723\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib9A4A64358F47918367FBCA7CCC795C50s1) (2018) 321–330, [arXiv:1710.09425 \[nucl-th\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib9A4A64358F47918367FBCA7CCC795C50s1)
- [68] V. [Vovchenko,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib5AA9B0269FE20000ACD1974B9A8175A4s1) B. Dönigus, H. Stoecker, Canonical statistical model analysis of p-p, p-Pb, and Pb-Pb [collisions](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib5AA9B0269FE20000ACD1974B9A8175A4s1) at energies available at the CERN Large Hadron Collider, Phys. Rev. C 100 (5) (2019) 054906, [arXiv:1906.03145 \[hep-ph\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bib5AA9B0269FE20000ACD1974B9A8175A4s1)
- [69] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibF7E15C55D4FFE287394D63CE84393A27s1) B.B. Abelev, et al., K∗*(*892*)*⁰ and *φ(*1020*)* production in Pb-Pb [collisions](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibF7E15C55D4FFE287394D63CE84393A27s1) at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 2.76 TeV, Phys. Rev. C 91 (2015) 024609, arXiv: [1404.0495 \[nucl-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00778-X/bibF7E15C55D4FFE287394D63CE84393A27s1)

ALICE Collaboration

S. Acharya ^{[124](#page-13-0)}, D. Adamová ^{[85](#page-13-0)}, A. Adler ^{[69](#page-13-0)}, G. Aglieri Rinella ^{[32](#page-12-0)}, M. Agnello ^{[29](#page-12-0)}, N. Agrawal ^{[50](#page-12-0)}, Z. Ahammed 132 132 132 , S. Ahmad 15 15 15 , S.U. Ahn 70 70 70 , I. Ahuja 37 37 37 , A. Akindinov 140 140 140 , M. Al-Turany 97 97 97 ,

- D. Aleksandrov 140 140 140 , B. Alessandro 55 55 55 , H.M. Alfanda 6 6 , R. Alfaro Molina 66 66 66 , B. Ali 15 15 15 , Y. Ali 13 13 13 , A. Alici 25 25 25 ,
- N. Alizadehvandchali 113 113 113 , A. Alkin 32 32 32 , J. Alme 20 20 20 , G. Alocco 51 51 51 , T. Alt 63 63 63 , I. Altsybeev 140 140 140 , M.N. Anaam 6 6 , C. Andrei ^{[45](#page-12-0)}, A. Andronic ^{[135](#page-14-0)}, V. Anguelov ^{[94](#page-13-0)}, F. Antinori ^{[53](#page-13-0)}, P. Antonioli ^{[50](#page-12-0)}, C. Anuj ^{[15](#page-12-0)}, N. Apadula ^{[73](#page-13-0)}, L. Aphecetche ^{[103](#page-13-0)}, H. Appelshäuser ^{[63](#page-13-0)}, C. Arata ^{[72](#page-13-0)}, S. Arcelli ^{[25](#page-12-0)}, M. Aresti ^{[51](#page-12-0)}, R. Arnaldi ^{[55](#page-13-0)}, I.C. Arsene ^{[19](#page-12-0)}, M. Arslandok 137 137 137 , A. Augustinus 32 32 32 , R. Averbeck 97 97 97 , S. Aziz 128 128 128 , M.D. Azmi 15 15 15 , A. Badalà 52 52 52 , Y.W. Baek 40 40 40 , X. Bai 117 117 117 , R. Bailhache 63 63 63 , Y. Bailung 47 47 47 , R. Bala 90 90 90 , A. Balbino 29 29 29 , A. Baldisseri 127 127 127 , B. Balis 2 2 , D. Banerjee 4 4 , Z. Banoo ^{[90](#page-13-0)}, R. Barbera ^{[26](#page-12-0)}, L. Barioglio ^{[95](#page-13-0)}, M. Barlou ^{[77](#page-13-0)}, G.G. Barnaföldi ^{[136](#page-14-0)}, L.S. Barnby ^{[84](#page-13-0)}, V. Barret ^{[124](#page-13-0)}, L. Barreto 109 109 109 , C. Bartels 116 116 116 , K. Barth 32 32 32 , E. Bartsch 63 63 63 , F. Baruffaldi 27 27 27 , N. Bastid 124 124 124 , S. Basu 74 74 74 , G. Batigne ^{[103](#page-13-0)}, D. Battistini ^{[95](#page-13-0)}, B. Batyunya ^{[141](#page-14-0)}, D. Bauri ^{[46](#page-12-0)}, J.L. Bazo Alba ^{[101](#page-13-0)}, I.G. Bearden ^{[82](#page-13-0)}, C. Beattie ^{[137](#page-14-0)}, P. Becht ^{[97](#page-13-0)}, D. Behera ^{[47](#page-12-0)}, I. Belikov ^{[126](#page-13-0)}, A.D.C. Bell Hechavarria ^{[135](#page-14-0)}, F. Bellini ^{[25](#page-12-0)}, R. Bellwied ^{[113](#page-13-0)}, S. Belokurova [140](#page-14-0), V. Belyaev [140](#page-14-0), G. Bencedi [136](#page-14-0)*,*[64](#page-13-0), S. Beole [24](#page-12-0), A. Bercuci [45](#page-12-0), Y. Berdnikov [140](#page-14-0), A. Berdnikova 94 , L. Bergmann 94 94 , M.G. Besoiu 62 62 62 , L. Betev 32 32 32 , P.P. Bhaduri 132 132 132 , A. Bhasin 90 90 90 , M.A. Bhat 4 , B. Bhattacharjee $^{\rm 41}$ $^{\rm 41}$ $^{\rm 41}$, L. Bianchi $^{\rm 24}$ $^{\rm 24}$ $^{\rm 24}$, N. Bianchi $^{\rm 48}$ $^{\rm 48}$ $^{\rm 48}$, J. Bielčík $^{\rm 35}$ $^{\rm 35}$ $^{\rm 35}$, J. Bie $^{\rm 85}$ $^{\rm 85}$ $^{\rm 85}$, J. Biernat $^{\rm 106}$ $^{\rm 106}$ $^{\rm 106}$, A.P. Bigot $^{\rm 126}$ $^{\rm 126}$ $^{\rm 126}$, A. Bilandzic ^{[95](#page-13-0)}, G. Biro ^{[136](#page-14-0)}, S. Biswas ^{[4](#page-12-0)}, N. Bize ^{[103](#page-13-0)}, J.T. Blair ^{[107](#page-13-0)}, D. Blau ^{[140](#page-14-0)}, M.B. Blidaru ^{[97](#page-13-0)}, N. Bluhme ^{[38](#page-12-0)}, C. Blume [63](#page-13-0), G. Boca [21](#page-12-0)*,*[54](#page-13-0), F. Bock [86](#page-13-0), T. Bodova [20](#page-12-0), A. Bogdanov [140](#page-14-0), S. Boi [22](#page-12-0), J. Bok [57](#page-13-0), L. Boldizsár [136](#page-14-0), A. Bolozdynya [140](#page-14-0), M. Bombara [37](#page-12-0), P.M. Bond [32](#page-12-0), G. Bonomi [131](#page-13-0)*,*[54](#page-13-0), H. Borel [127](#page-13-0), A. Borissov [140](#page-14-0), H. Bossi [137](#page-14-0), E. Botta [24](#page-12-0), L. Bratrud [63](#page-13-0), P. Braun-Munzinger [97](#page-13-0), M. Bregant [109](#page-13-0), M. Broz [35](#page-12-0), G.E. Bruno [96](#page-13-0)*,*[31](#page-12-0), M.D. Buckland 116 116 116 , D. Budnikov 140 140 140 , H. Buesching 63 63 63 , S. Bufalino 29 29 29 , O. Bugnon 103 103 103 , P. Buhler 102 102 102 , Z. Buthelezi [67](#page-13-0)*,*[120](#page-13-0), J.B. Butt [13](#page-12-0), S.A. Bysiak [106](#page-13-0), M. Cai [27](#page-12-0)*,*[6](#page-12-0), H. Caines [137](#page-14-0), A. Caliva [97](#page-13-0), E. Calvo Villar [101](#page-13-0), J.M.M. Camacho ^{[108](#page-13-0)}, P. Camerini ^{[23](#page-12-0)}, F.D.M. Canedo ^{[109](#page-13-0)}, M. Carabas ^{[123](#page-13-0)}, F. Carnesecchi ^{[32](#page-12-0)}, R. Caron ^{[125](#page-13-0)}, J. Castillo Castellanos [127](#page-13-0), F. Catalano [24](#page-12-0)*,*[29](#page-12-0), C. Ceballos Sanchez [141](#page-14-0), I. Chakaberia [73](#page-13-0), P. Chakraborty [46](#page-12-0), S. Chandra 132 132 132 , S. Chapeland 32 32 32 , M. Chartier 116 116 116 , S. Chattopadhyay 132 , S. Chattopadhyay 99 99 99 , T.G. Chavez 44 44 44 , T. Cheng [6](#page-12-0), C. Cheshkov [125](#page-13-0), B. Cheynis [125](#page-13-0), V. Chibante Barroso [32](#page-12-0), D.D. Chinellato [110](#page-13-0), E.S. Chizzali [95](#page-13-0)*,*[II](#page-14-0), J. Cho ^{[57](#page-13-0)}, S. Cho ⁵⁷, P. Chochula ^{[32](#page-12-0)}, P. Christakoglou ^{[83](#page-13-0)}, C.H. Christensen ^{[82](#page-13-0)}, P. Christiansen ^{[74](#page-13-0)}, T. Chujo ^{[122](#page-13-0)} M. Ciacco [29](#page-12-0), C. Cicalo [51](#page-12-0), L. Cifarelli [25](#page-12-0), F. Cindolo [50](#page-12-0), M.R. Ciupek [97](#page-13-0), G. Clai [50](#page-12-0)*,*[III](#page-14-0), F. Colamaria [49](#page-12-0), J.S. Colburn [100](#page-13-0), D. Colella [96](#page-13-0)*,*[31](#page-12-0), A. Collu [73](#page-13-0), M. Colocci [32](#page-12-0), M. Concas [55](#page-13-0)*,*[IV](#page-14-0), G. Conesa Balbastre [72](#page-13-0), Z. Conesa del Valle ^{[128](#page-13-0)}, G. Contin ^{[23](#page-12-0)}, J.G. Contreras ^{[35](#page-12-0)}, M.L. Coquet ^{[127](#page-13-0)}, T.M. Cormier ^{[86](#page-13-0), [I](#page-14-0)}, P. Cortese ^{[130](#page-13-0),[55](#page-13-0)}, M.R. Cosentino [111](#page-13-0), F. Costa [32](#page-12-0), S. Costanza [21](#page-12-0)*,*[54](#page-13-0), J. Crkovská [94](#page-13-0), P. Crochet [124](#page-13-0), R. Cruz-Torres [73](#page-13-0), E. Cuautle 64 64 64 , P. Cui ^{[6](#page-12-0)}, L. Cunqueiro 86 86 86 , A. Dainese 53 53 53 , M.C. Danisch 94 94 94 , A. Danu 62 62 62 , P. Das 79 79 79 , P. Das 4 4 4 ,

S. Das 4 4 , A.R. Dash 135 135 135 , S. Dash 46 46 46 , R.M.H. David 44 44 44 , A. De Caro 28 28 28 , G. de Cataldo 49 49 49 , L. De Cilladi 24 24 24 , J. de Cuveland 38 38 38 , A. De Falco 22 22 22 , D. De Gruttola 28 28 28 , N. De Marco 55 55 55 , C. De Martin 23 23 23 , S. De Pasquale 28 , S. Deb 47 47 47 , R.J. Debski^{[2](#page-12-0)}, K.R. Deja ^{[133](#page-14-0)}, R. Del Grande ^{[95](#page-13-0)}, L. Dello Stritto ^{[28](#page-12-0)}, W. Deng ^{[6](#page-12-0)}, P. Dhankher ^{[18](#page-12-0)}, D. Di Bari ^{[31](#page-12-0)}, A. Di Mauro [32](#page-12-0), R.A. Diaz [141](#page-14-0)*,*[7](#page-12-0), T. Dietel [112](#page-13-0), Y. Ding [125](#page-13-0)*,*[6](#page-12-0), R. Divià [32](#page-12-0), D.U. Dixit [18](#page-12-0), Ø. Djuvsland [20](#page-12-0), U. Dmitrieva ^{[140](#page-14-0)}, A. Dobrin ^{[62](#page-13-0)}, B. Dönigus ^{[63](#page-13-0)}, A.K. Dubey ^{[132](#page-13-0)}, J.M. Dubinski ^{[133](#page-14-0)}, A. Dubla ^{[97](#page-13-0)}, S. Dudi ^{[89](#page-13-0)}, P. Dupieux ^{[124](#page-13-0)}, M. Durkac ^{[105](#page-13-0)}, N. Dzalaiova ^{[12](#page-12-0)}, T.M. Eder ^{[135](#page-14-0)}, R.J. Ehlers ^{[86](#page-13-0)}, V.N. Eikeland ^{[20](#page-12-0)}, F. Eisenhut ^{[63](#page-13-0)}, D. Elia ^{[49](#page-12-0)}, B. Erazmus ^{[103](#page-13-0)}, F. Ercolessi ^{[25](#page-12-0)}, F. Erhardt ^{[88](#page-13-0)}, M.R. Ersdal ^{[20](#page-12-0)}, B. Espagnon ^{[128](#page-13-0)}, G. Eulisse ^{[32](#page-12-0)}, D. Evans ^{[100](#page-13-0)}, S. Evdokimov ^{[140](#page-14-0)}, L. Fabbietti ^{[95](#page-13-0)}, M. Faggin ^{[27](#page-12-0)}, J. Faivre ^{[72](#page-13-0)}, F. Fan ^{[6](#page-12-0)}, W. Fan ^{[73](#page-13-0)}, A. Fantoni ^{[48](#page-12-0)}, M. Fasel 86 86 86 , P. Fecchio 29 29 29 , A. Feliciello 55 55 55 , G. Feofilov 140 140 140 , A. Fernández Téllez 44 44 44 , M.B. Ferrer 32 32 32 , A. Ferrero ^{[127](#page-13-0)}, C. Ferrero ^{[55](#page-13-0)}, A. Ferretti ^{[24](#page-12-0)}, V.J.G. Feuillard ^{[94](#page-13-0)}, V. Filova ^{[35](#page-12-0)}, D. Finogeev ^{[140](#page-14-0)}, F.M. Fionda ^{[51](#page-12-0)}, G. Fiorenza 96 96 96 , F. Flor 113 113 113 , A.N. Flores 107 107 107 , S. Foertsch 67 67 67 , I. Fokin 94 94 94 , S. Fokin 140 140 140 , E. Fragiacomo 56 56 56 , E. Frajna 136 136 136 , U. Fuchs 32 32 32 , N. Funicello 28 28 28 , C. Furget 72 72 72 , A. Furs 140 140 140 , T. Fusayasu 98 98 98 , J.J. Gaardhøje 82 82 82 , M. Gagliardi 24 24 24 , A.M. Gago 101 101 101 , A. Gal 126 126 126 , C.D. Galvan 108 108 108 , D.R. Gangadharan 113 113 113 , P. Ganoti 77 77 77 , C. Garabatos ^{[97](#page-13-0)}, J.R.A. Garcia ^{[44](#page-12-0)}, E. Garcia-Solis ^{[9](#page-12-0)}, K. Garg ^{[103](#page-13-0)}, C. Gargiulo ^{[32](#page-12-0)}, A. Garibli ^{[80](#page-13-0)}, K. Garner ^{[135](#page-14-0)}, A. Gautam 115 115 115 , M.B. Gay Ducati 65 65 65 , M. Germain 103 103 103 , C. Ghosh 132 132 132 , S.K. Ghosh 4 4 , M. Giacalone 25 25 25 , P. Gianotti [48](#page-12-0), P. Giubellino [97](#page-13-0)*,*[55](#page-13-0), P. Giubilato [27](#page-12-0), A.M.C. Glaenzer [127](#page-13-0), P. Glässel [94](#page-13-0), E. Glimos [119](#page-13-0), D.J.Q. Goh ^{[75](#page-13-0)}, V. Gonzalez ^{[134](#page-14-0)}, L.H. González-Trueba ^{[66](#page-13-0)}, M. Gorgon ^{[2](#page-12-0)}, S. Gotovac ^{[33](#page-12-0)}, V. Grabski ⁶⁶, L.K. Graczykowski 133 133 133 , E. Grecka 85 85 85 , L. Greiner 73 73 73 , A. Grelli 58 58 58 , C. Grigoras 32 32 32 , V. Grigoriev 140 140 140 , S. Grigoryan ^{[141](#page-14-0), [1](#page-12-0)}, F. Grosa ^{[32](#page-12-0)}, J.F. Grosse-Oetringhaus ³², R. Grosso ^{[97](#page-13-0)}, D. Grund ^{[35](#page-12-0)}, G.G. Guardiano ^{[110](#page-13-0)}, R. Guernane ^{[72](#page-13-0)}, M. Guilbaud ^{[103](#page-13-0)}, K. Gulbrandsen ^{[82](#page-13-0)}, T. Gunji ^{[121](#page-13-0)}, W. Guo ^{[6](#page-12-0)}, A. Gupta ^{[90](#page-13-0)}, R. Gupta ⁹⁰, S.P. Guzman ^{[44](#page-12-0)}, L. Gyulai ^{[136](#page-14-0)}, M.K. Habib ^{[97](#page-13-0)}, C. Hadjidakis ^{[128](#page-13-0)}, H. Hamagaki ^{[75](#page-13-0)}, M. Hamid ^{[6](#page-12-0)}, Y. Han ^{[138](#page-14-0)}, R. Hannigan ^{[107](#page-13-0)}, M.R. Haque ^{[133](#page-14-0)}, A. Harlenderova ^{[97](#page-13-0)}, J.W. Harris ^{[137](#page-14-0)}, A. Harton ^{[9](#page-12-0)}, H. Hassan ^{[86](#page-13-0)}, D. Hatzifotiadou ^{[50](#page-12-0)}, P. Hauer ^{[42](#page-12-0)}, L.B. Havener ^{[137](#page-14-0)}, S.T. Heckel ^{[95](#page-13-0)}, E. Hellbär ^{[97](#page-13-0)}, H. Helstrup ^{[34](#page-12-0)}, T. Herman ^{[35](#page-12-0)}, G. Herrera Corral^{[8](#page-12-0)}, F. Herrmann ^{[135](#page-14-0)}, S. Herrmann ^{[125](#page-13-0)}, K.F. Hetland ^{[34](#page-12-0)}, B. Heybeck ^{[63](#page-13-0)}, H. Hillemanns ^{[32](#page-12-0)}, C. Hills 116 116 116 , B. Hippolyte 126 126 126 , B. Hofman 58 58 58 , B. Hohlweger 83 83 83 , J. Honermann 135 135 135 , G.H. Hong 138 138 138 , D. Horak 35 35 35 , A. Horzyk 2 2 , R. Hosokawa 14 14 14 , Y. Hou 6 6 , P. Hristov 32 32 32 , C. Hughes 119 119 119 , P. Huhn 63 63 63 , L.M. Huhta $^{114},$ $^{114},$ $^{114},$ C.V. Hulse ^{[128](#page-13-0)}, T.J. Humanic ^{[87](#page-13-0)}, H. Hushnud ^{[99](#page-13-0)}, A. Hutson ^{[113](#page-13-0)}, D. Hutter ^{[38](#page-12-0)}, J.P. Iddon ^{[116](#page-13-0)}, R. Ilkaev ^{[140](#page-14-0)}, H. Ilyas ^{[13](#page-12-0)}, M. Inaba ^{[122](#page-13-0)}, G.M. Innocenti ^{[32](#page-12-0)}, M. Ippolitov ^{[140](#page-14-0)}, A. Isakov ^{[85](#page-13-0)}, T. Isidori ^{[115](#page-13-0)}, M.S. Islam ^{[99](#page-13-0)}, M. Ivanov 97 97 97 , M. Ivanov 12 12 12 , V. Ivanov 140 140 140 , V. Izucheev 140 , M. Jablonski 2 2 , B. Jacak 73 73 73 , N. Jacazio 32 32 32 , P.M. Jacobs ^{[73](#page-13-0)}, S. Jadlovska ^{[105](#page-13-0)}, J. Jadlovsky ¹⁰⁵, S. Jaelani ^{[81](#page-13-0)}, L. Jaffe ^{[38](#page-12-0)}, C. Jahnke ^{[110](#page-13-0)}, M.A. Janik ^{[133](#page-14-0)}, T. Janson [69](#page-13-0), M. Jercic [88](#page-13-0), O. Jevons [100](#page-13-0), A.A.P. Jimenez [64](#page-13-0), F. Jonas [86](#page-13-0), P.G. Jones [100](#page-13-0), J.M. Jowett [32](#page-12-0)*,*[97](#page-13-0), J. Jung [63](#page-13-0), M. Jung [63](#page-13-0), A. Junique [32](#page-12-0), A. Jusko [100](#page-13-0), M.J. Kabus [32](#page-12-0)*,*[133](#page-14-0), J. Kaewjai [104](#page-13-0), P. Kalinak [59](#page-13-0), A.S. Kalteyer 97 , A. Kalweit 32 , V. Kaplin 140 , A. Karasu Uysal 71 , D. Karatovic 88 , O. Karavichev 140 , T. Karavicheva ^{[140](#page-14-0)}, P. Karczmarczyk ^{[133](#page-14-0)}, E. Karpechev ¹⁴⁰, V. Kashyap ^{[79](#page-13-0)}, A. Kazantsev ¹⁴⁰, U. Kebschull ^{[69](#page-13-0)}, R. Keidel 139 139 139 , D.L.D. Keijdener 58 58 58 , M. Keil 32 32 32 , B. Ketzer 42 42 42 , A.M. Khan 6 6 , S. Khan 15 15 15 , A. Khanzadeev 140 140 140 , Y. Kharlov 140 140 140 , A. Khatun 15 15 15 , A. Khuntia 106 106 106 , B. Kileng 34 34 34 , B. Kim 16 16 16 , C. Kim 16 , D.J. Kim 114 114 114 , E.J. Kim 68 68 68 , J. Kim ^{[138](#page-14-0)}, J.S. Kim ^{[40](#page-12-0)}, J. Kim ^{[94](#page-13-0)}, J. Kim ^{[68](#page-13-0)}, M. Kim ⁹⁴, S. Kim ^{[17](#page-12-0)}, T. Kim ¹³⁸, K. Kimura ^{[92](#page-13-0)}, S. Kirsch ^{[63](#page-13-0)}, I. Kisel ^{[38](#page-12-0)}, S. Kiselev ^{[140](#page-14-0)}, A. Kisiel ^{[133](#page-14-0)}, J.P. Kitowski ^{[2](#page-12-0)}, J.L. Klay ^{[5](#page-12-0)}, J. Klein ^{[32](#page-12-0)}, S. Klein ^{[73](#page-13-0)}, C. Klein-Bösing ^{[135](#page-14-0)}, M. Kleiner ^{[63](#page-13-0)}, T. Klemenz ^{[95](#page-13-0)}, A. Kluge ^{[32](#page-12-0)}, A.G. Knospe ^{[113](#page-13-0)}, C. Kobdaj ^{[104](#page-13-0)}, T. Kollegger ^{[97](#page-13-0)}, A. Kondratyev ^{[141](#page-14-0)}, E. Kondratyuk 140 140 140 , J. Konig 63 63 63 , S.A. Konigstorfer 95 95 95 , P.J. Konopka 32 32 32 , G. Kornakov 133 133 133 , S.D. Koryciak 2 2 , A. Kotliarov ^{[85](#page-13-0)}, O. Kovalenko ^{[78](#page-13-0)}, V. Kovalenko ^{[140](#page-14-0)}, M. Kowalski ^{[106](#page-13-0)}, I. Králik ^{[59](#page-13-0)}, A. Kravčáková ^{[37](#page-12-0)}, L. Kreis ^{[97](#page-13-0)}, M. Krivda [100](#page-13-0)*,*[59](#page-13-0), F. Krizek [85](#page-13-0), K. Krizkova Gajdosova [35](#page-12-0), M. Kroesen [94](#page-13-0), M. Krüger [63](#page-13-0), D.M. Krupova [35](#page-12-0), E. Kryshen ^{[140](#page-14-0)}, M. Krzewicki ^{[38](#page-12-0)}, V. Kučera ^{[32](#page-12-0)}, C. Kuhn ^{[126](#page-13-0)}, P.G. Kuijer ^{[83](#page-13-0)}, T. Kumaoka ^{[122](#page-13-0)}, D. Kumar ^{[132](#page-13-0)}, L. Kumar ^{[89](#page-13-0)}, N. Kumar ⁸⁹, S. Kumar ^{[31](#page-12-0)}, S. Kundu ^{[32](#page-12-0)}, P. Kurashvili ^{[78](#page-13-0)}, A. Kurepin ^{[140](#page-14-0)}, A.B. Kurepin ¹⁴⁰, S. Kushpil ^{[85](#page-13-0)}, J. Kvapil ^{[100](#page-13-0)}, M.J. Kweon ^{[57](#page-13-0)}, J.Y. Kwon ⁵⁷, Y. Kwon ^{[138](#page-14-0)}, S.L. La Pointe ^{[38](#page-12-0)}, P. La Rocca ^{[26](#page-12-0)}, Y.S. Lai [73](#page-13-0), A. Lakrathok [104](#page-13-0), M. Lamanna [32](#page-12-0), R. Langoy [118](#page-13-0), P. Larionov [48](#page-12-0), E. Laudi [32](#page-12-0), L. Lautner [32](#page-12-0)*,*[95](#page-13-0), R. Lavicka [102](#page-13-0), T. Lazareva [140](#page-14-0), R. Lea [131](#page-13-0)*,*[54](#page-13-0), G. Legras [135](#page-14-0), J. Lehrbach [38](#page-12-0), R.C. Lemmon [84](#page-13-0), I. León Monzón 108 108 108 , M.M. Lesch 95 95 95 , E.D. Lesser 18 18 18 , M. Lettrich 95 , P. Lévai 136 136 136 , X. Li 10 10 10 , X.L. Li 6 6 6 , J. Lien 118 118 118 , R. Lietava 100 100 100 , B. Lim 16 16 16 , S.H. Lim 16 16 16 , V. Lindenstruth 38 38 38 , A. Lindner 45 45 45 , C. Lippmann 97 97 97 , A. Liu 18 18 18 , D.H. Liu 6 , J. Liu 116 116 116 , I.M. Lofnes 20 20 20 , C. Loizides 86 86 86 , P. Loncar 33 33 33 , J.A. Lopez 94 94 94 , X. Lopez 124 124 124 , E. López Torres 7 7 , P. Lu ^{[97](#page-13-0),[117](#page-13-0)}, J.R. Luhder ^{[135](#page-14-0)}, M. Lunardon ^{[27](#page-12-0)}, G. Luparello ^{[56](#page-13-0)}, Y.G. Ma ^{[39](#page-12-0)}, A. Maevskaya ^{[140](#page-14-0)}, M. Mager ^{[32](#page-12-0)}, T. Mahmoud 42 42 42 , A. Maire 126 126 126 , M. Malaev 140 140 140 , G. Malfattore 25 25 25 , N.M. Malik 90 90 90 , Q.W. Malik 19 19 19 , S.K. Malik 90 , L. Malinina [141](#page-14-0)*,*[VII](#page-14-0), D. Mal'Kevich [140](#page-14-0), D. Mallick [79](#page-13-0), N. Mallick [47](#page-12-0), G. Mandaglio [30](#page-12-0)*,*[52](#page-12-0), V. Manko [140](#page-14-0),

F. Manso 124 124 124 , V. Manzari 49 49 49 , Y. Mao ^{[6](#page-12-0)}, G.V. Margagliotti 23 23 23 , A. Margotti 50 50 50 , A. Marín 97 97 97 , C. Markert 107 107 107 , M. Marquard 63 63 63 , P. Martinengo 32 32 32 , J.L. Martinez 113 113 113 , M.I. Martínez 44 44 44 , G. Martínez García $^{103},$ $^{103},$ $^{103},$ S. Masciocchi [97](#page-13-0), M. Masera [24](#page-12-0), A. Masoni [51](#page-12-0), L. Massacrier [128](#page-13-0), A. Mastroserio [129](#page-13-0)*,*[49](#page-12-0), A.M. Mathis [95](#page-13-0), O. Matonoha ^{[74](#page-13-0)}, P.F.T. Matuoka ^{[109](#page-13-0)}, A. Matyja ^{[106](#page-13-0)}, C. Mayer ¹⁰⁶, A.L. Mazuecos ^{[32](#page-12-0)}, F. Mazzaschi ^{[24](#page-12-0)}, M. Mazzilli [32](#page-12-0), J.E. Mdhluli [120](#page-13-0), A.F. Mechler [63](#page-13-0), Y. Melikyan [140](#page-14-0), A. Menchaca-Rocha [66](#page-13-0), E. Meninno [102](#page-13-0)*,*[28](#page-12-0), A.S. Menon [113](#page-13-0), M. Meres [12](#page-12-0), S. Mhlanga [112](#page-13-0)*,*[67](#page-13-0), Y. Miake [122](#page-13-0), L. Micheletti [55](#page-13-0), L.C. Migliorin [125](#page-13-0), D.L. Mihaylov ^{[95](#page-13-0)}, K. Mikhaylov ^{[141](#page-14-0),[140](#page-14-0)}, A.N. Mishra ^{[136](#page-14-0)}, D. Miśkowiec ^{[97](#page-13-0)}, A. Modak ^{[4](#page-12-0)}, A.P. Mohanty ^{[58](#page-13-0)}, B. Mohanty [79](#page-13-0), M. Mohisin Khan [15](#page-12-0)*,*[V](#page-14-0), M.A. Molander [43](#page-12-0), Z. Moravcova [82](#page-13-0), C. Mordasini [95](#page-13-0), D.A. Moreira De Godoy 135 135 135 , I. Morozov 140 140 140 , A. Morsch 32 32 32 , T. Mrnjavac 32 , V. Muccifora 48 48 48 , S. Muhuri 132 132 132 , J.D. Mulligan 73 73 73 , A. Mulliri ^{[22](#page-12-0)}, M.G. Munhoz ^{[109](#page-13-0)}, R.H. Munzer 63 63 63 , H. Murakami 121 121 121 , S. Murray 112 112 112 , L. Musa 32 32 32 , J. Musinsky 59 59 59 , J.W. Myrcha ^{[133](#page-14-0)}, B. Naik ^{[120](#page-13-0)}, R. Nair ^{[78](#page-13-0)}, A.I. Nambrath ^{[18](#page-12-0)}, B.K. Nandi ^{[46](#page-12-0)}, R. Nania ^{[50](#page-12-0)}, E. Nappi ^{[49](#page-12-0)}, A.F. Nassirpour 74 74 74 , A. Nath 94 94 94 , C. Nattrass 119 119 119 , A. Neagu 19 19 19 , A. Negru 123 123 123 , L. Nellen 64 64 64 , S.V. Nesbo 34 34 34 , G. Neskovic 38 38 38 , D. Nesterov 140 140 140 , B.S. Nielsen 82 82 82 , E.G. Nielsen 82 , S. Nikolaev 140 , S. Nikulin 140 , V. Nikulin 140 , F. Noferini ^{[50](#page-12-0)}, S. Noh ^{[11](#page-12-0)}, P. Nomokonov ^{[141](#page-14-0)}, J. Norman ^{[116](#page-13-0)}, N. Novitzky ^{[122](#page-13-0)}, P. Nowakowski ^{[133](#page-14-0)}, A. Nyanin ^{[140](#page-14-0)}, J. Nystrand ^{[20](#page-12-0)}, M. Ogino ^{[75](#page-13-0)}, A. Ohlson ^{[74](#page-13-0)}, V.A. Okorokov ¹⁴⁰, J. Oleniacz ^{[133](#page-14-0)}, A.C. Oliveira Da Silva 119 119 119 , M.H. Oliver 137 137 137 , A. Onnerstad 114 114 114 , C. Oppedisano 55 55 55 , A. Ortiz Velasquez 64 64 64 , A. Oskarsson 74 74 74 , J. Otwinowski [106](#page-13-0), M. Oya [92](#page-13-0), K. Oyama [75](#page-13-0), Y. Pachmayer [94](#page-13-0), S. Padhan [46](#page-12-0), D. Pagano [131](#page-13-0)*,*[54](#page-13-0), G. Paic´ [64](#page-13-0), A. Palasciano [49](#page-12-0), S. Panebianco [127](#page-13-0), H. Park [122](#page-13-0), J. Park [57](#page-13-0), J.E. Parkkila [32](#page-12-0)*,*[114](#page-13-0), S.P. Pathak [113](#page-13-0), R.N. Patra [90](#page-13-0), B. Paul 22 22 22 , H. Pei 6 6 , T. Peitzmann 58 58 58 , X. Peng 6 , M. Pennisi 24 24 24 , L.G. Pereira 65 65 65 , H. Pereira Da Costa $^{127},$ $^{127},$ $^{127},$ D. Peresunko ^{[140](#page-14-0)}, G.M. Perez ^{[7](#page-12-0)}, S. Perrin ^{[127](#page-13-0)}, Y. Pestov ¹⁴⁰, V. Petráček ^{[35](#page-12-0)}, V. Petrov ¹⁴⁰, M. Petrovici ^{[45](#page-12-0)}, R.P. Pezzi [103](#page-13-0)*,*[65](#page-13-0), S. Piano [56](#page-13-0), M. Pikna [12](#page-12-0), P. Pillot [103](#page-13-0), O. Pinazza [50](#page-12-0)*,*[32](#page-12-0), L. Pinsky [113](#page-13-0), C. Pinto [95](#page-13-0), S. Pisano [48](#page-12-0), M. Płoskoń 73 73 73 , M. Planinic 88 88 88 , F. Pliquett 63 63 63 , M.G. Poghosyan 86 86 86 , S. Politano 29 29 29 , N. Poljak 88 , A. Pop 45 45 45 , S. Porteboeuf-Houssais ^{[124](#page-13-0)}, J. Porter ^{[73](#page-13-0)}, V. Pozdniakov ^{[141](#page-14-0)}, S.K. Prasad ^{[4](#page-12-0)}, S. Prasad ^{[47](#page-12-0)}, R. Preghenella ^{[50](#page-12-0)}, F. Prino 55 55 55 , C.A. Pruneau 134 134 134 , I. Pshenichnov 140 140 140 , M. Puccio 32 32 32 , S. Pucillo 24 24 24 , Z. Pugelova 105 105 105 , S. Qiu 83 83 83 , L. Quaglia [24](#page-12-0), R.E. Quishpe [113](#page-13-0), S. Ragoni [14](#page-12-0)*,*[100](#page-13-0), A. Rakotozafindrabe [127](#page-13-0), L. Ramello [130](#page-13-0)*,*[55](#page-13-0), F. Rami [126](#page-13-0), S.A.R. Ramirez [44](#page-12-0), T.A. Rancien [72](#page-13-0), R. Raniwala [91](#page-13-0), S. Raniwala [91](#page-13-0), S.S. Räsänen [43](#page-12-0), R. Rath [50](#page-12-0)*,*[47](#page-12-0), I. Ravasenga [83](#page-13-0), K.F. Read [86](#page-13-0)*,*[119](#page-13-0), A.R. Redelbach [38](#page-12-0), K. Redlich [78](#page-13-0)*,*[VI](#page-14-0), A. Rehman [20](#page-12-0), P. Reichelt [63](#page-13-0), F. Reidt [32](#page-12-0), H.A. Reme-Ness ^{[34](#page-12-0)}, Z. Rescakova ^{[37](#page-12-0)}, K. Reygers ^{[94](#page-13-0)}, A. Riabov ^{[140](#page-14-0)}, V. Riabov ¹⁴⁰, R. Ricci ^{[28](#page-12-0)}, T. Richert ^{[74](#page-13-0)}, M. Richter ^{[19](#page-12-0)}, A.A. Riedel ^{[95](#page-13-0)}, W. Riegler ^{[32](#page-12-0)}, F. Riggi ^{[26](#page-12-0)}, C. Ristea ^{[62](#page-13-0)}, M. Rodríguez Cahuantzi ^{[44](#page-12-0)}, K. Røed ¹⁹, R. Rogalev ^{[140](#page-14-0)}, E. Rogochaya ^{[141](#page-14-0)}, T.S. Rogoschinski ^{[63](#page-13-0)}, D. Rohr ^{[32](#page-12-0)}, D. Röhrich ^{[20](#page-12-0)}, P.F. Rojas ^{[44](#page-12-0)}, S. Rojas Torres [35](#page-12-0), P.S. Rokita [133](#page-14-0), G. Romanenko [141](#page-14-0), F. Ronchetti [48](#page-12-0), A. Rosano [30](#page-12-0)*,*[52](#page-12-0), E.D. Rosas [64](#page-13-0), A. Rossi [53](#page-13-0), A. Roy 47 47 47 , P. Roy 99 99 99 , S. Roy 46 46 46 , N. Rubini 25 25 25 , D. Ruggiano 133 133 133 , R. Rui 23 23 23 , B. Rumyantsev 141 141 141 , P.G. Russek 2 2 , R. Russo ^{[83](#page-13-0)}, A. Rustamov ^{[80](#page-13-0)}, E. Ryabinkin ^{[140](#page-14-0)}, Y. Ryabov ¹⁴⁰, A. Rybicki ^{[106](#page-13-0)}, H. Rytkonen ^{[114](#page-13-0)}, W. Rzesa ^{[133](#page-14-0)}, O.A.M. Saarimaki 43 43 43 , R. Sadek 103 103 103 , S. Sadhu 31 31 31 , S. Sadovsky 140 140 140 , J. Saetre 20 20 20 , K. Šafařík 35 35 35 , S. Saha 79 79 79 , B. Sahoo ^{[46](#page-12-0)}, R. Sahoo ^{[47](#page-12-0)}, S. Sahoo ^{[60](#page-13-0)}, D. Sahu ⁴⁷, P.K. Sahu ⁶⁰, J. Saini ^{[132](#page-13-0)}, K. Sajdakova ^{[37](#page-12-0)}, S. Sakai ^{[122](#page-13-0)}, M.P. Salvan ^{[97](#page-13-0)}, S. Sambyal ^{[90](#page-13-0)}, T.B. Saramela ^{[109](#page-13-0)}, D. Sarkar ^{[134](#page-14-0)}, N. Sarkar ^{[132](#page-13-0)}, P. Sarma ^{[41](#page-12-0)}, V. Sarritzu ^{[22](#page-12-0)}, V.M. Sarti ^{[95](#page-13-0)}, M.H.P. Sas ^{[137](#page-14-0)}, J. Schambach ^{[86](#page-13-0)}, H.S. Scheid ^{[63](#page-13-0)}, C. Schiaua ^{[45](#page-12-0)}, R. Schicker ^{[94](#page-13-0)}, A. Schmah ⁹⁴, C. Schmidt ^{[97](#page-13-0)}, H.R. Schmidt ^{[93](#page-13-0)}, M.O. Schmidt ^{[32](#page-12-0)}, M. Schmidt ⁹³, N.V. Schmidt ^{[86](#page-13-0)}, A.R. Schmier ^{[119](#page-13-0)}, R. Schotter ^{[126](#page-13-0)}, J. Schukraft ^{[32](#page-12-0)}, K. Schwarz ^{[97](#page-13-0)}, K. Schweda ⁹⁷, G. Scioli ^{[25](#page-12-0)}, E. Scomparin ^{[55](#page-13-0)}, J.E. Seger ^{[14](#page-12-0)}, Y. Sekiguchi [121](#page-13-0), D. Sekihata [121](#page-13-0), I. Selyuzhenkov [97](#page-13-0)*,*[140](#page-14-0), S. Senyukov [126](#page-13-0), J.J. Seo [57](#page-13-0), D. Serebryakov [140](#page-14-0), L. Šerkšnytė ^{[95](#page-13-0)}, A. Sevcenco ^{[62](#page-13-0)}, T.J. Shaba ^{[67](#page-13-0)}, A. Shabetai ^{[103](#page-13-0)}, R. Shahoyan ^{[32](#page-12-0)}, A. Shangaraev ^{[140](#page-14-0)}, A. Sharma ^{[89](#page-13-0)}, D. Sharma ^{[46](#page-12-0)}, H. Sharma ^{[106](#page-13-0)}, M. Sharma ^{[90](#page-13-0)}, N. Sharma ⁸⁹, S. Sharma ^{[75](#page-13-0)}, S. Sharma ⁹⁰, U. Sharma 90 , A. Shatat 128 , O. Sheibani 113 , K. Shigaki 92 , M. Shimomura 76 , S. Shirinkin 140 , Q. Shou 39 , Y. Sibiriak ^{[140](#page-14-0)}, S. Siddhanta ^{[51](#page-12-0)}, T. Siemiarczuk ^{[78](#page-13-0)}, T.F. Silva ^{[109](#page-13-0)}, D. Silvermyr ^{[74](#page-13-0)}, T. Simantathammakul ^{[104](#page-13-0)}, R. Simeonov 36 , B. Singh 90 , B. Singh 95 , R. Singh 79 , R. Singh 90 , R. Singh 47 , S. Singh 15 , V.K. Singh 132 , V. Singhal [132](#page-13-0), T. Sinha [99](#page-13-0), B. Sitar [12](#page-12-0), M. Sitta [130](#page-13-0)*,*[55](#page-13-0), T.B. Skaali [19](#page-12-0), G. Skorodumovs [94](#page-13-0), M. Slupecki [43](#page-12-0), N. Smirnov 137 137 137 , R.J.M. Snellings 58 58 58 , E.H. Solheim 19 19 19 , C. Soncco 101 101 101 , J. Song 113 113 113 , A. Songmoolnak 104 104 104 , F. Soramel 27 27 27 , S.P. Sorensen 119 119 119 , R. Spijkers 83 83 83 , I. Sputowska 106 106 106 , J. Staa 74 74 74 , J. Stachel 94 94 94 , I. Stan 62 62 62 , P.J. Steffanic ^{[119](#page-13-0)}, S.F. Stiefelmaier ^{[94](#page-13-0)}, D. Stocco ^{[103](#page-13-0)}, I. Storehaug ^{[19](#page-12-0)}, M.M. Storetvedt ^{[34](#page-12-0)}, P. Stratmann ^{[135](#page-14-0)}, S. Strazzi ^{[25](#page-12-0)}, C.P. Stylianidis ^{[83](#page-13-0)}, A.A.P. Suaide ^{[109](#page-13-0)}, C. Suire ^{[128](#page-13-0)}, M. Sukhanov ^{[140](#page-14-0)}, M. Suljic ^{[32](#page-12-0)}, V. Sumberia ^{[90](#page-13-0)}, S. Sumowidagdo ^{[81](#page-13-0)}, S. Swain ^{[60](#page-13-0)}, I. Szarka ^{[12](#page-12-0)}, U. Tabassam ^{[13](#page-12-0)}, S.F. Taghavi ^{[95](#page-13-0)}, G. Taillepied ^{[97](#page-13-0)}, J. Takahashi [110](#page-13-0), G.J. Tambave [20](#page-12-0), S. Tang [124](#page-13-0)*,*[6](#page-12-0), Z. Tang [117](#page-13-0), J.D. Tapia Takaki [115](#page-13-0), N. Tapus [123](#page-13-0), L.A. Tarasovicova 135 135 135 , M.G. Tarzila 45 45 45 , G.F. Tassielli 31 31 31 , A. Tauro 32 32 32 , A. Telesca 32 , L. Terlizzi 24 24 24 ,

C. Terrevoli 113 113 113 , G. Tersimonov 3 , D. Thomas 107 107 107 , A. Tikhonov 140 140 140 , A.R. Timmins 113 , M. Tkacik 105 105 105 , T. Tkacik ^{[105](#page-13-0)}, A. Toia ^{[63](#page-13-0)}, R. Tokumoto ^{[92](#page-13-0)}, N. Topilskaya ^{[140](#page-14-0)}, M. Toppi ⁴⁸, F. Torales-Acosta ¹⁸, T. Tork ^{[128](#page-13-0)}, A.G. Torres Ramos 31, A. Trifiró ³⁰*,*52, A.S. Triolo ³⁰*,*52, S. Tripathy 50, T. Tripathy 46, S. Trogolo 32, V. Trubnikov ³, W.H. Trzaska ^{[114](#page-13-0)}, T.P. Trzcinski ^{[133](#page-14-0)}, R. Turrisi ^{[53](#page-13-0)}, T.S. Tveter ¹⁹, K. Ullaland ²⁰, B. Ulukutlu ^{[95](#page-13-0)}, A. Uras ^{[125](#page-13-0)}, M. Urioni ^{[54](#page-13-0),[131](#page-13-0)}, G.L. Usai ²², M. Vala ³⁷, N. Valle ²¹, S. Vallero ^{[55](#page-13-0)}, L.V.R. van Doremalen ^{[58](#page-13-0)}, M. van Leeuwen 83 83 83 , C.A. van Veen 94 94 94 , R.J.G. van Weelden 83 , P. Vande Vyvre 32 , D. Varga 136 136 136 , Z. Varga $^{136},$ M. Varga-Kofarago ^{[136](#page-14-0)}, M. Vasileiou ^{[77](#page-13-0)}, A. Vasiliev ^{[140](#page-14-0)}, O. Vázquez Doce ⁴⁸, O. Vazquez Rueda ^{[74](#page-13-0)}, V. Vechernin ^{[140](#page-14-0)}, E. Vercellin ²⁴, S. Vergara Limón ⁴⁴, L. Vermunt ^{[97](#page-13-0)}, R. Vértesi ^{[136](#page-14-0)}, M. Verweij ^{[58](#page-13-0)}, L. Vickovic ³³, Z. Vilakazi ^{[120](#page-13-0)}, O. Villalobos Baillie ^{[100](#page-13-0)}, G. Vino ⁴⁹, A. Vinogradov ^{[140](#page-14-0)}, T. Virgili ²⁸, V. Vislavicius ^{[82](#page-13-0)}, A. Vodopyanov ^{[141](#page-14-0)}, B. Volkel ³², M.A. Völkl ^{[94](#page-13-0)}, K. Voloshin ^{[140](#page-14-0)}, S.A. Voloshin ^{[134](#page-14-0)}, G. Volpe $^{\rm 31}$, B. von Haller $^{\rm 32}$, I. Vorobyev $^{\rm 95}$ $^{\rm 95}$ $^{\rm 95}$, N. Vozniuk $^{\rm 140}$ $^{\rm 140}$ $^{\rm 140}$, J. Vrláková $^{\rm 37}$, B. Wagner $^{\rm 20}$, C. Wang $^{\rm 39}$, D. Wang 39 , M. Weber 102 102 102 , A. Wegrzynek 32 , F.T. Weiglhofer 38 , S.C. Wenzel 32 , J.P. Wessels $^{135},$ $^{135},$ $^{135},$ S.L. Weyhmiller ^{[137](#page-14-0)}, J. Wiechula ^{[63](#page-13-0)}, J. Wikne ¹⁹, G. Wilk ^{[78](#page-13-0)}, J. Wilkinson ^{[97](#page-13-0)}, G.A. Willems ^{[135](#page-14-0)}, B. Windelband 94 94 94 , M. Winn 127 127 127 , J.R. Wright 107 107 107 , W. Wu 39 , Y. Wu 117 117 117 , R. Xu 6 , A. Yadav 42 , A.K. Yadav 132 132 132 , S. Yalcin 71 71 71 , Y. Yamaguchi 92 92 92 , K. Yamakawa 92 , S. Yang 20 , S. Yano 92 , Z. Yin 6 , I.-K. Yoo 16 , J.H. Yoon $^{57},$ $^{57},$ $^{57},$ S. Yuan 20, A. Yuncu [94](#page-13-0), V. Zaccolo 23, C. Zampolli 32, H.J.C. Zanoli [58](#page-13-0), F. Zanone [94](#page-13-0), N. Zardoshti ³²*,*[100](#page-13-0), A. Zarochentsev 140 140 140 , P. Závada 61 61 61 , N. Zaviyalov 140 , M. Zhalov 140 , B. Zhang 6 , S. Zhang 39 , X. Zhang 6 , Y. Zhang ^{[117](#page-13-0)}, Z. Zhang ⁶, M. Zhao ¹⁰, V. Zherebchevskii ^{[140](#page-14-0)}, Y. Zhi ¹⁰, N. Zhigareva ¹⁴⁰, D. Zhou ⁶, Y. Zhou ^{[82](#page-13-0)}, J. Zhu [97](#page-13-0)*,*6, Y. Zhu 6, G. Zinovjev ³*,*[I](#page-14-0) , N. Zurlo [131](#page-13-0)*,*[54](#page-13-0)

A.I. Alikhanyan National Science Laboratory (Yerevan Physics Institute) Foundation, Yerevan, Armenia

- *AGH University of Krakow, Cracow, Poland*
- *Bogolyubov Institute for Theoretical Physics, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Kiev, Ukraine*
- ⁴ Bose Institute, Department of Physics and Centre for Astroparticle Physics and Space Science (CAPSS), Kolkata, India
- *California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo, CA, United States*
- *Central China Normal University, Wuhan, China*
- *Centro de Aplicaciones Tecnológicas y Desarrollo Nuclear (CEADEN), Havana, Cuba*
- *Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados (CINVESTAV), Mexico City and Mérida, Mexico*
- *Chicago State University, Chicago, IL, United States*
- *China Institute of Atomic Energy, Beijing, China*
- *Chungbuk National University, Cheongju, Republic of Korea*
- *Comenius University Bratislava, Faculty of Mathematics, Physics and Informatics, Bratislava, Slovak Republic*
- *COMSATS University Islamabad, Islamabad, Pakistan*
- *Creighton University, Omaha, NE, United States*
- *Department of Physics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, India*
- *Department of Physics, Pusan National University, Pusan, Republic of Korea*
- *Department of Physics, Sejong University, Seoul, Republic of Korea*
- *Department of Physics, University of California, Berkeley, CA, United States*
- *Department of Physics, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway*
- *Department of Physics and Technology, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway*
- *Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy*
- *Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Cagliari, Italy*
- *Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Trieste, Italy*
- *Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Turin, Italy*
- *Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Bologna, Italy*
- *Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Catania, Italy*
- *Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Padova, Italy*
- *Dipartimento di Fisica 'E.R. Caianiello' dell'Università and Gruppo Collegato INFN, Salerno, Italy*
- *Dipartimento DISAT del Politecnico and Sezione INFN, Turin, Italy*
- *Dipartimento di Scienze MIFT, Università di Messina, Messina, Italy*
- *Dipartimento Interateneo di Fisica 'M. Merlin' and Sezione INFN, Bari, Italy*
- *European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN), Geneva, Switzerland*
- *Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, University of Split, Split, Croatia*
- *Faculty of Engineering and Science, Western Norway University of Applied Sciences, Bergen, Norway*
- *Faculty of Nuclear Sciences and Physical Engineering, Czech Technical University in Prague, Prague, Czech Republic*
- *Faculty of Physics, Sofia University, Sofia, Bulgaria*
- *Faculty of Science, P.J. Šafárik University, Košice, Slovak Republic*
- *Frankfurt Institute for Advanced Studies, Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Germany*
- *Fudan University, Shanghai, China*
- *Gangneung-Wonju National University, Gangneung, Republic of Korea*
- *Gauhati University, Department of Physics, Guwahati, India*
- *Helmholtz-Institut für Strahlen- und Kernphysik, Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn, Bonn, Germany*
- *Helsinki Institute of Physics (HIP), Helsinki, Finland*
- *High Energy Physics Group, Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico*
- *Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest, Romania*
- *Indian Institute of Technology Bombay (IIT), Mumbai, India*
- *Indian Institute of Technology Indore, Indore, India*
- *INFN, Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy*
- *INFN, Sezione di Bari, Bari, Italy*
- *INFN, Sezione di Bologna, Bologna, Italy*
- *INFN, Sezione di Cagliari, Cagliari, Italy*
- *INFN, Sezione di Catania, Catania, Italy*
- *INFN, Sezione di Padova, Padova, Italy*
- *INFN, Sezione di Pavia, Pavia, Italy*
- *INFN, Sezione di Torino, Turin, Italy*
- *INFN, Sezione di Trieste, Trieste, Italy*
- *Inha University, Incheon, Republic of Korea*
- *Institute for Gravitational and Subatomic Physics (GRASP), Utrecht University/Nikhef, Utrecht, Netherlands*
- *Institute of Experimental Physics, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Košice, Slovak Republic*
- *Institute of Physics, Homi Bhabha National Institute, Bhubaneswar, India*
- *Institute of Physics of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Prague, Czech Republic*
- *Institute of Space Science (ISS), Bucharest, Romania*
- *Institut für Kernphysik, Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Germany*
- *Instituto de Ciencias Nucleares, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico City, Mexico*
- *Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS), Porto Alegre, Brazil*
- *Instituto de Física, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico City, Mexico*
- *iThemba LABS, National Research Foundation, Somerset West, South Africa*
- *Jeonbuk National University, Jeonju, Republic of Korea*
- *Johann-Wolfgang-Goethe Universität Frankfurt Institut für Informatik, Fachbereich Informatik und Mathematik, Frankfurt, Germany*
- *Korea Institute of Science and Technology Information, Daejeon, Republic of Korea*
- *KTO Karatay University, Konya, Turkey*
- *Laboratoire de Physique Subatomique et de Cosmologie, Université Grenoble-Alpes, CNRS-IN2P3, Grenoble, France*
- *Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, United States*
- *Lund University Department of Physics, Division of Particle Physics, Lund, Sweden*
- *Nagasaki Institute of Applied Science, Nagasaki, Japan*
- *Nara Women's University (NWU), Nara, Japan*
- *National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, School of Science, Department of Physics, Athens, Greece*
- *National Centre for Nuclear Research, Warsaw, Poland*
- *National Institute of Science Education and Research, Homi Bhabha National Institute, Jatni, India*
- *National Nuclear Research Center, Baku, Azerbaijan*
- *National Research and Innovation Agency BRIN, Jakarta, Indonesia*
- *Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark*
- *Nikhef, National institute for subatomic physics, Amsterdam, Netherlands*
- *Nuclear Physics Group, STFC Daresbury Laboratory, Daresbury, United Kingdom*
- *Nuclear Physics Institute of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Husinec-Rež, ˇ Czech Republic*
- *Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, United States*
- *Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, United States*
- *Physics department, Faculty of science, University of Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia*
- *Physics Department, Panjab University, Chandigarh, India*
- *Physics Department, University of Jammu, Jammu, India*
- *Physics Department, University of Rajasthan, Jaipur, India*
- 92 Physics Program and International Institute for Sustainability with Knotted Chiral Meta Matter (SKCM2), Hiroshima University, Hiroshima, Japan
- *Physikalisches Institut, Eberhard-Karls-Universität Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany*
- *Physikalisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany*
- *Physik Department, Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany*
- *Politecnico di Bari and Sezione INFN, Bari, Italy*
- *Research Division and ExtreMe Matter Institute EMMI, GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany*
- *Saga University, Saga, Japan*
- *Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Homi Bhabha National Institute, Kolkata, India*
- *School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom*
- *Sección Física, Departamento de Ciencias, Pontificia Universidad Católica del Perú, Lima, Peru*
- *Stefan Meyer Institut für Subatomare Physik (SMI), Vienna, Austria*
- *SUBATECH, IMT Atlantique, Nantes Université, CNRS-IN2P3, Nantes, France*
- *Suranaree University of Technology, Nakhon Ratchasima, Thailand*
- *Technical University of Košice, Košice, Slovak Republic*
- *The Henryk Niewodniczanski Institute of Nuclear Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Cracow, Poland*
- *The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX, United States*
- *Universidad Autónoma de Sinaloa, Culiacán, Mexico*
- *Universidade de São Paulo (USP), São Paulo, Brazil*
- *Universidade Estadual de Campinas (UNICAMP), Campinas, Brazil*
- *Universidade Federal do ABC, Santo Andre, Brazil*
- *University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa*
- *University of Houston, Houston, TX, United States*
- *University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, Finland*
- *University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS, United States*
- *University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom*
- *University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, China*
- *University of South-Eastern Norway, Kongsberg, Norway*
-
- *University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN, United States University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa*
-
- *University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan*
- *University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Japan*
- *University Politehnica of Bucharest, Bucharest, Romania*
- *Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS/IN2P3, LPC, Clermont-Ferrand, France*
- *Université de Lyon, CNRS/IN2P3, Institut de Physique des 2 Infinis de Lyon, Lyon, France*
- *Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, IPHC UMR 7178, F-67000 Strasbourg, France*
- ¹²⁷ Université Paris-Saclay, Centre d'Etudes de Saclay (CEA), IRFU, Départment de Physique Nucléaire (DPhN), Saclay, France
- *Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS/IN2P3, IJCLab, Orsay, France*
- *Università degli Studi di Foggia, Foggia, Italy*
- *Università del Piemonte Orientale, Vercelli, Italy*
- *Università di Brescia, Brescia, Italy*

- ¹³² *Variable Energy Cyclotron Centre, Homi Bhabha National Institute, Kolkata, India*
- ¹³³ *Warsaw University of Technology, Warsaw, Poland*
- ¹³⁴ *Wayne State University, Detroit, MI, United States*
- ¹³⁵ *Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster, Institut für Kernphysik, Münster, Germany*
- ¹³⁶ *Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary*
- ¹³⁷ *Yale University, New Haven, CT, United States*
- ¹³⁸ *Yonsei University, Seoul, Republic of Korea*
- ¹³⁹ *Zentrum für Technologie und Transfer (ZTT), Worms, Germany*
- ¹⁴⁰ *Affiliated with an institute covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN*
- ¹⁴¹ *Affiliated with an international laboratory covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN*
- ^I Deceased.
- II Also at: Max-Planck-Institut für Physik, Munich, Germany.
- III Also at: Italian National Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Sustainable Economic Development (ENEA), Bologna, Italy.
- IV Also at: Dipartimento DET del Politecnico di Torino, Turin, Italy.
- ^V Also at: Department of Applied Physics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, India.
- VI Also at: Institute of Theoretical Physics, University of Wroclaw, Poland.
- VII Also at: An institution covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN.