Transport properties and doping evolution of the Fermi surface in cuprates

Klebel-Knobloch, B.; Tabiś, W.; Gala, M. A.; Barišić, O. S.; Sunko, D. K.; Barišić, N.

Source / Izvornik: Scientific Reports, 2023, 13

Journal article, Published version Rad u časopisu, Objavljena verzija rada (izdavačev PDF)

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-39813-z

Permanent link / Trajna poveznica: https://urn.nsk.hr/urn:nbn:hr:217:809582

Rights / Prava: Attribution 4.0 International/Imenovanje 4.0 međunarodna

Download date / Datum preuzimanja: 2024-07-24



Repository / Repozitorij:

Repository of the Faculty of Science - University of Zagreb





scientific reports

OPEN

Check for updates

Transport properties and doping evolution of the Fermi surface in cuprates

B. Klebel-Knobloch^{®1}, W. Tabiś^{®1,2}, M. A. Gala^{®1,2}, O. S. Barišić^{®3⊠}, D. K. Sunko^{®4⊠} & N. Barišić^{®1,4⊠}

Measured transport properties of three representative cuprates are reproduced within the paradigm of two electron subsystems, itinerant and localized. The localized subsystem evolves continuously from the Cu 3d⁹ hole at half-filling and corresponds to the (pseudo)gapped parts of the Fermi surface. The itinerant subsystem is observed as a pure Fermi liquid (FL) with material-independent universal mobility across the doping/temperature phase diagram. The localized subsystem affects the itinerant one in our transport calculations solely by truncating the textbook FL integrals to the observed (doping- and temperature-dependent) Fermi arcs. With this extremely simple picture, we obtain the measured evolution of the resistivity and Hall coefficients in all three cases considered, including LSCO which undergoes a Lifshitz transition in the relevant doping range, a complication which turns out to be superficial. Our results imply that prior to evoking polaronic, quantum critical point, quantum dissipation, or even more exotic scenarios for the evolution of transport properties in cuprates, Fermisurface properties must be addressed in realistic detail.

The discovery of superconductivity in 1911 was one of the most surprising in the field of solid state physics¹. It took almost fifty years before the phenomenon was successfully explained by BCS theory². The next milestone was the discovery of high-temperature superconductivity (SC) in cuprates about thirty-five years ago³. The superconducting (SC) state in these compounds is of type II, which is well understood in the BCS/London framework to mean that the coherence length is shorter than the penetration depth. In cuprates, the coherence length is extremely short, resulting in very high second critical fields, of the order of 100 T, and the SC gap is *d*-wave, unlike elemental, phonon-mediated, superconductors where it is always *s*-wave. However, the main reason why these compounds are considered unconventional is the unusual evolution of normal-state properties with doping $p^{4,5}$. Here, one should carefully separate compound-specific from universal properties⁶. In cuprates, SC is universally observed in the range between $p \sim 0.04-0.05$ (underdoped) and 0.30-0.35 (overdoped), with a maximal value of the SC transition temperature (T_c) around $p \sim 0.16$. This common pattern implies that the origin of SC stems from universal normal-state behavior, while the wide variation in observed maximal T_c 's (more than an order of magnitude) is due to more subtle non-universal effects which tune the SC in particular compounds.

Indeed, despite many compound-specific properties within this group of materials, a range of underlying universal behaviors was identified⁶ precisely in those normal-state transport properties which were long considered to be both the key to their SC and widely, but wrongly, taken as proof that the charge carriers were not a Fermi liquid (FL)^{4,5}. A particular milestone in establishing that the itinerant carriers were, in fact, a FL was the observation that the sheet resistance (i.e., resistance per CuO₂ layer) in these compounds is universal⁷. But perhaps the most surprising universality is that the Hall mobility across the doping-temperature phase diagram of the cuprates is essentially compound- and doping-independent, as discovered through combined measurements of the resistivity (ρ) and Hall coefficient ($R_{\rm H}$)⁸. Moreover, it was shown that the Hall mobility ($\mu_H^{-1} = \frac{\rho}{R_{\rm H}} = \frac{m^*}{e\tau}$) exhibits a robust quadratic temperature dependence ($\mu_H^{-1} = C_2 T^2$), with an essentially universal value of $C_2 = 0.0175(20) {\rm TK}^{-2}$, as presented for Hg1201, Tl2201 and LSCO at low doping (p < 0.08) in Fig. 1b (for other cuprate compounds see Ref. 7).

The universal quadratic dependence of μ_H^{-1} suggests that the underlying transport scattering rate is FL-like in all temperature and doping regimes of the relevant phase diagram⁸. And indeed, the FL nature of itinerant charges was unambiguously demonstrated in both regimes (under- and overdoped) by experimental observations, e.g.,

¹Institute of Solid State Physics, TU Wien, 1040 Vienna, Austria. ²Faculty of Physics and Applied Computer Science, AGH University of Krakow, 30-059 Krakow, Poland. ³Institute of Physics, Bijenička cesta 46, HR-10000 Zagreb, Croatia. ⁴Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, University of Zagreb, Bijenička cesta 32, HR-10000 Zagreb, Croatia. [⊠]email: obarisic@ifs.hr; dks@phy.hr; nbarisic@phy.hr



Figure 1. Phase diagram and carrier density in cuprates. (a) Schematic phase diagram that captures the evolution of key universal features of cuprates^{6,24}. The approximate limit of the antiferromagnetic (AF) phase is shown in grey and the superconducting (SC) dome in yellow. The doping/temperature evolution of the density of localized charge, as extracted from the resistivity, is indicated by blue shading. Solid black and dashed grey lines are isodensity lines. In the OD-FL regime, all carriers contribute to electronic transport. Both, the pseudogap Fermi liquid (PG-FL, roughly corresponding to > 97% localized holes) and the strange metal (SM, marked by an intensive gradual delocalization) are also indicated, though there is no conceptual difference between them. (b) Measurements of the Hall mobility revealed that $C_2 = (1/\mu_H) - C_0)/T^2$ is essentially universal in cuprates, with $C_2 = 0.0175(20) \text{ TK}^{-2}$ (indicated by the dashed line)⁸. However, C_2 in LSCO for p > 0.08 deviates strongly from the universal value. c Doping dependence (at T = 0 K) of the carrier density (n_{eff}) as determined from the resistivity (full lines)²⁵, compared with values obtained from the Hall coefficient (solid points)^{16,22}. Both quantities behave identically and reveal the p to 1 + p change in the carrier density.

FL scalings in the underdoped regime^{9–11}, or the Wiedemann-Franz law¹², angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES)¹³, and quantum oscillation measurements in the overdoped regime¹⁴. These fundamental experimental facts imply that the explanation for the behavior of itinerant charges in cuprates must be searched for first within the standard framework of FL charge transport.

The universality of the Hall mobility implies a well-defined, fixed ratio $\frac{m^*}{e\tau}$. Consequently, the resistivity $(\rho = R_H \mu_H^{-1})$ provides direct information about the carrier density in the FL framework⁷. A systematic analysis of the extensive electronic transport data allows one to determine how the effective carrier density n_{eff} evolves across the temperature-doping phase diagram. This analysis, summarized in Fig. 1a,c, reveals that in the low-temperature limit, the effective *itinerant* carrier density n_{eff} changes gradually with decreasing doping from $n_{\text{eff}} = 1 + p$ to $n_{\text{eff}} = p^{8,15}$. Denoting the density of *localized* carriers by n_{loc} , the total carrier density satisfies the relation

$$n_{\rm loc} + n_{\rm eff} = 1 + p,\tag{1}$$

by charge conservation. Hence, the change in $n_{\rm eff}$ means that exactly one hole carrier per CuO₂ unit cell localizes ($n_{\rm loc} : 0 \rightarrow 1$) when crossing from the overdoped to the underdoped region of the phase diagram. Such an evolution of the effective carrier density extracted from resistivity measurements was confirmed several years later by measurements of the doping evolution of the high-field low-temperature Hall number $n_H = \frac{V}{R_H}$ (*V* is the elementary cell volume) determined in Bi2201 and Tl2201, shown in Fig. 1c (for YBCO a correction for the anisotropy factor was required¹⁶). It was also demonstrated, by transport⁸ and optical conductivity¹⁰, that the incipient change in the effective carrier density just below optimal doping is also responsible for the linear-intemperature resistivity observed in this so-called "strange metal" (SM) regime (Fig. 1a). Consequently, some of us attributed the whole unusual evolution of different properties in cuprates to the localized charge, in particular to its gradual delocalization with temperature or doping^{6,8}. Notably, that is by definition the non-Fermi-liquid component of the cuprate problem, because localized charges do not conduct.

Currently, countless alternative interpretations of normal-state properties are based solely on the apparent non-Fermi liquid evolution of the scattering rate, focusing exclusively on the optimally doped or overdoped regimes, and without taking into account that the carrier concentration (i.e., the density of states at the Fermi level) can also change. For example, it is often argued that the linear temperature dependence of resistivity is caused by underlying quantum criticality¹⁷, or, according to most recent interpretations, by the charge scattering rate reaching¹⁸ the Planckian limit^{19,20}, where it is further argued that this scattering is momentum-independent and inelastic²¹. Furthermore, the significant reduction in the Hall number from 1 + p to p was attributed to quasiparticle decoherence, despite the fact that the determined $n_{\rm H}^{16}$ perfectly coincides with $n_{\rm eff}$ determined earlier from the resistivity¹⁵. It was recently suggested that cuprates are best understood in terms of two distinct current-carrying fluids, of which one behaves like a coherent FL^{22,23}. Thus, even within the scattering-rate scenarios alone, the electronic properties of cuprates are intensely discussed, with mutually incompatible proposals⁴.

A recent analysis of the optical conductivity data clearly separates scattering-rate from carrier-density effects, revealing unequivocally that the missing part of the Fermi surface (FS) outside the well-known arcs is indeed gapped in cuprates¹⁰. In the present work, we follow the same gapping scenario to calculate the values of R_H and

the longitudinal conductivity σ_{xx} (= 1/ ρ) as a function of doping directly from the measured FS, and compare them with experimental data. The ungapped segments, Fermi arcs, are the only parts of the FS that contribute to charge transport. After establishing the calculation procedure on compounds with rather simple, nearly circular underlying FS's, we focus specifically on LSCO. It undergoes a Lifshitz transition (change from hole- to electronlike topology) in the doping range of interest²⁶, which presents a challenge to our simple FS approach⁷. Indeed, as the Lifshitz transition is approached in doping, the values of C_2 and $n_{\rm H}$ in LSCO strongly deviate from their universal values, both quantitatively and qualitatively, as shown in Figs. 1b and 3c, respectively. We show that even such strong deviations are captured in considerable detail by the suggested (universal FL) calculation approach. Thus, the exception of LSCO turns out to be superficial. Rather, it serves only to corroborate the universality. Because the appearance of the Lifshitz transition in parallel with the gradual (de-)localization process explains even strong deviations from universal behaviors, we will argue that prior to applying exotic approaches to analyze any particular compound, one should try to carefully establish the exact shape of the FS first, and check if the same, quite standard, procedure can be applied. Finally, because our calculations unambiguously show that the whole complexity of cuprates stems from the gradual localization of exactly one charge per CuO₂ plaquette, its role in the superconducting mechanism will be discussed as well.

Results

We begin our analysis by invoking the standard definition of the Hall coefficient R_H in terms of the directly measurable diagonal (σ_{xx}, σ_{yy}) and off-diagonal (σ_{xy}) components of the conductivity tensor^{27–29}:

$$R_H = -B^{-1} \frac{\sigma_{xy}}{\sigma_{xx} \sigma_{yy}},\tag{2}$$

with B the applied magnetic induction. For the tensor terms, we use standard FL expressions^{27–30}:

$$\sigma_{xx} = \frac{e^2 \tau}{2\hbar^2} \frac{N_V}{\Gamma_{2D}} \oint_{E_F} dk_{\parallel} \left| \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{\vec{k}}}{\partial k_{\perp}} \right|,\tag{3}$$

$$\sigma_{xy} = \frac{e^3 B \tau^2}{\hbar^4} \frac{N_V}{\Gamma_{2D}} \oint_{E_F} dk_{\parallel} \left| \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{\vec{k}}}{\partial k_{\perp}} \right|^{-1} \left[\left(\frac{\partial \varepsilon_{\vec{k}}}{\partial k_x} \right)^2 \frac{\partial^2 \varepsilon_{\vec{k}}}{\partial^2 k_y} - \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{\vec{k}}}{\partial k_x} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{\vec{k}}}{\partial k_y} \frac{\partial^2 \varepsilon_{\vec{k}}}{\partial k_y \partial k_x} \right]. \tag{4}$$

Here, k_{\perp} and k_{\parallel} are components of the charge carrier wave vector \vec{k} perpendicular and parallel to the FS, respectively. Γ_{2D} is the area of the 2D Brillouin zone and N_V is the number of states per unit volume. The integrals are usually taken over the whole FS. However, because parts of the FS are gapped in cuprates, only the Fermi arcs centered at the nodes contribute to these integrals. To describe the arc lengthening with doping, we introduce a parameter $f_g = n_{\text{eff}}/(1 + p)$, where the evolution of n_{eff} is inferred from resistivity measurements²⁴. Quantitatively, f_g denotes the fraction of ungapped states contributing to the transport on the FS, relative to the full underlying FS. The doping evolution of f_g is presented in Figs. 2b and 3b for our three representative materials.



Figure 2. The FS and Hall number of Hg1201 and Tl2201. In (**a**) the FS's as parametrized in Refs. 31,32 (Hg1201) and 13,33 (Tl2201) are shown. The underlying FS's are almost circular as obvious from the dashed (Hg1201) and dotted (Tl2201) lines, where arcs (ungapped states) are indicated with full lines. (**b**) The arclength, f_g , as extracted from the resistivity. (**c**) The here calculated n_H (full line) is compared with the measured values (points) from Refs.8 (Hg1201) and22 (Tl2201), where error bars are reproduced from the respective cited works. The shaded areas in (**b**) and (**c**) indicate the doping ranges for which ARPES data is available. In the case of Hg1201, experimental values of n_H are collected at T = 100 K, just above the value of the maximal T_c (~ 95 K) in this compound, while for Tl2201 high-field zero-Kelvin extrapolations are shown.

Scientific Reports | (2023) 13:13562 |



Figure 3. The FS and Hall number of LSCO. In (**a**) the FS as parametrized in Ref.26 is shown, where the dashed lines correspond to the underlying FS, while the arcs appear as full lines. The underlying FS undergoes a Lifshitz transition between 0.15 . (**b** $) The arc length, <math>f_g$, as determined from the resistivity is shown as dashed line. In case of LSCO, f_g was also adjusted to obtain a better fit of the Hall data. The resulting evolution is shown by the dotted line. **c** The combination of the here calculated $n_{\rm H}$ (dashed and dotted lines) and previously reported experimental data (Refs. 34–36) reveals an excellent agreement. Consistently with the case of Hg1201 but also to avoid problems related the ordering tendencies in LSCO at low temperatures^{7,37}, the experimental values of $n_{\rm H}$ are collected at T = 100 K. Higher doping levels and the temperature dependence are discussed in the Supplementary Information 1.

.....

Hereafter, the integrations in Eqs. (3) and (4) are understood to be carried out only along the Fermi arcs, whose length is expressed by f_g .

It can be seen without calculation that an ideal partially gapped parabolic band (i.e., circular underlying FS) immediately leads to a 1:1 correspondence between n_{eff} and n_{H} . Simply, because the Fermi velocity $v_F = \hbar^{-1} \left| \partial \varepsilon(\vec{k}_F) / \partial k_{\perp} \right|$ and scattering rate do not change along the FS, the value of the integrals must give the length of the arc f_g , which in turn directly corresponds to n_{eff} (for a more detailed discussion see Methods, Section "Circular FS (parabolic band): ungapped").

However, the fact is that the underlying FS is non-universal for different cuprates, exhibiting curvatures with a significant departure from the circular form, with different values of Fermi velocities along the FS. Thus, to calculate σ_{xx} and σ_{xy} from Eqs. (3) and (4), respectively, knowledge of the exact shape of the bands in the $k_B T$ window around the Fermi level (i.e., the only energy range relevant for transport) is required. ARPES experiments measure the dispersion of bands near the Fermi energy directly. In the case of single-layer cuprates, only one band intersects the Fermi level. This band may be parametrized by 2D tight-binding models (for more details see Methods Section "Tight-binding model parameters"). Here, we have used previously published best-fit parametrizations of ARPES data, essentially without any further modification.

Doping evolution of R_H in Hg1201 and Tl2201: the case of nearly circular FS's. The underlying FS's of Hg1201 and Tl2201 are nearly circular. We also recall that μ_H in both compounds practically does not change with doping or temperature as the arcs lengthen, which implies that all (arc) segments have the same or nearly the same contribution in terms of v_F 's and scattering rates. Thus, it is expected that the calculated n_H correctly corresponds to n_{eff} (determined from resistivity), if one chooses $f_g = n_{\text{eff}}/(1+p)$ for the range of the integrals in Eqs. (3) and (4).

Fermi surfaces were previously measured by ARPES and parametrized by effective tight-binding models at $p \sim 0.15$ for Hg1201^{31,32} and at $p \sim 0.24$ for Tl2201^{13,33} (see Table 1 in the Methods section). These doping levels are indicated by shaded vertical bands in Fig. 2, right panel. To extend our calculations to other doping levels of interest without introducing fitting ambiguities, we shifted the chemical potential in the same (rigid) band to satisfy Luttinger's sum rule for the underlying, ungapped FS (see Eq. (10) in the Methods section). Even such a crude, zeroth-order approximation turns out to be sufficient to correctly capture the doping evolution of transport coefficients in Hg1201 and Tl2201. To determine $n_{\rm eff}$ from the resistivity in the crossover region of p to 1 + p, the approach introduced in Ref. 24 was used. Again, only previously published parameters were used (Ref. 24 for Hg1201 and Ref. 25 for Tl2201), with the new parameter f_g fixed by transport (with details in the Methods section). The resulting FS's are shown in Fig. 2. By the dashed (dotted) lines we indicate the underlying FS of Hg1201 (Tl2201), while full lines indicate the length of the ungapped arcs at specific doping levels, which corresponds to the fractional extension of the FS shown in Fig. 2b. The nearly circular shape of the underlying FS's is apparent. From the integration over the arcs, we calculate the Hall number according to Eq. (2) (full line in Fig. 2c), and compare the result with measured values of n_H from Hg1201 (red) and Tl2201 (blue). Unsurprisingly, the calculated doping dependence of $n_{\rm H}$ (and ρ discussed below) in the limit of T = 0 correctly represents $n_{\rm eff}$, as shown in Fig. 1c. As already noted earlier⁸, the measured $n_{\rm H}$ of Hg1201 (see Fig. 2c,) is slightly higher than the calculated values, due to subtle difficulties in determining the exact sample geometry as well as the concentration of holes in the CuO_2 layer accurately in cases of interstitial oxygen doping.

The case of a Lifshitz transition in LSCO: the exception that confirms the rule. To put our approach to a more challenging test, we extend the same analysis to LSCO, whose FS has a rather interesting evolution with profound consequences on transport coefficients. In this compound, a Lifshitz transition in the crossover region of p to 1 + p is well established. Notably, a similar Lifshitz transition has been seen by ARPES in the bismuth cuprates (Bi,Pb)₂(Sr,La)₂CuO_{6+ δ} and Bi₂Sr₂CaCu₂O₈ + δ as well³⁸⁻⁴¹. However, the Lifshitz transition occurs at higher doping levels there (in the single-layer compound, at $p \gtrsim 0.3$), at the limit of synthesis capabilities. Therefore, it is both less interesting and less convenient to investigate the Lifshitz transition in bismuth cuprates. On the other hand, ARPES measurements of LSCO have been extensively documented for a wide doping range, thus the FS is established exceptionally well. Moreover, the band-structure of LSCO was parameterized through tight-binding parameters as reported in Refs. 26,42. This parametrization includes doping-dependent tight-binding parameters (see Methods). Notably, with this published parametrization, the total carrier density of the underlying FS deviates slightly from Luttinger's sum rule. However, this roughness introduces only a small uncertainty in our calculations, which is henceforth neglected, highlighting the underlying stability of our approach. To extrapolate between measured doping levels, the tight-binding parameters were interpolated by smooth polynomials (see Methods Table 1).

In Fig. 3a, we show the FS of LSCO parametrized according to Ref. 26. It accurately reproduces the ARPES measurement, in particular the change from the hole-like circular shape to an electron-like diamond shape with increasing p^{42} . To calculate σ_{xx} and σ_{xy} , we follow exactly the same procedure as above for Hg1201 and Tl2201. The doping evolution of n_{eff} is determined from the resistivity²⁴, which in turn defines the length of the arcs f_g . The evolution of the underlying FS and the concomitant change of the arc length is displayed in Fig. 3a and b, respectively. Taking into account the simplicity of our approach against the complexity of the underlying FS, the calculated n_{H} agrees surprisingly well with measured values, as shown in Fig. 3c and the Supplementary Information, Figs. S1 and S2.

We have thus obtained a simple understanding of why the large deviation of $n_{\rm H}$ from $n_{\rm eff}$ in LSCO, overshooting 1 + *p* divergently, does not invalidate our general FL approach for the arc carriers in cuprates. Namely, the anomaly is a direct manifestation of the Lifshitz transition in the underlying FS, which causes the *denominator* in the FL expression for $n_{\rm H}$, which measures FS curvature, to go through zero as the FS changes from hole- to electron-like^{28,29}. Concurring with that interpretation, negative values of $n_{\rm H}$ have been reported in thin films at $p \ge 0.32^{35,42}$. This re-entrance of negative $n_{\rm H}$ values with doping emerges naturally from our analysis, as further discussed in Supplementary Information 1.

Parenthetically, we mention that, because of the complex shape of the FS and the changes of f_g with doping, it is inherently difficult to define at which exact doping the Lifshitz transition is supposed to occur. If we define this transition as the point where the underlying FS curvature changes sign from hole-like to electron-like, we can pinpoint it at $p \sim 0.18$. However, because parts of the FS are gapped at this doping, this point is barely noticeable, as a minuscule kink in Fig. 3c (hidden by a measured point), and a kink in Fig. 4a. On the other hand, if we consider the doping dependence of $n_{\rm H}$ as primary, and interpret its point of divergence as the Lifshitz transition, this puts it at a significantly higher doping level of $p \sim 0.28$. This difference shows that the precise position of the Lishitz transition in cuprates manifests itself differently in $n_{\rm H}$ and in dispersions fitted to ARPES. Finally, we note that $n_{\rm H}$ starts to deviate from $n_{\rm eff}$ even below the p to 1 + p crossover. This happens because the arcs begin to flatten due to the proximity of the Lifshitz transition, with the flat sections making a small contribution to the curvature. Importantly, it follows from the same reasoning that the divergence in $n_{\rm H}$ cannot affect the p to 1 + pcrossover in $n_{\rm eff}$, because the latter is measured simply as the total number of itinerant carriers, irrespective of the shape of the FS.

Resistivity. Now we turn back to the resistivity to demonstrate the robustness and self-consistency of the above analysis. It is also a necessary step because we have originally relied only on the universality of $\mu_{\rm H}$ to determine $n_{\rm eff}$ and consequently the length of the arcs, neglecting all deviations, including the (large) one shown in Fig. 1 for LSCO. In this determination of $n_{\rm eff}$, all of the underlying v_F 's were tacitly taken to be universal because the mobility is essentially universal. Now, we will calculate the doping dependence of the resistivity from the arced FS's, using Eq. (3), which takes into account the variation of v_F along the arc, but strictly respecting the experimentally established universality of the nodal v_F (see also Supplementary Information 2 for details)⁴⁴. To compare our calculations with experimentally established values, we plot the results in the form of $\tau \rho_{\Box} = A_2/C_2$, with $A_{2\Box}$ as in $\rho_{\Box} \sim A_{2\Box} T^2$ from Ref. 7 combined with C_2 as in $\tau^{-1} \sim C_2 T^2$ from Ref. 8. A_2 and C_2 are both pre-factors to a squared temperature behavior, so the temperature cancels in the product, i.e., $\tau \rho_{\Box} = A_2/C_2$ is a temperature-independent parameter (see the details in the Methods section). In this way, we can compare data measured at finite temperatures with our calculation at T = 0. As obvious from Fig. 4, the agreement is remarkable, which is perhaps expected in case of Hg1201 and Tl2201 but less so, given the simplicity of our approach, in case of LSCO. This agreement also implies that v_F does not vary significantly along the parts of the arcs with a significant contribution to transport.

Finally, to test our approach even further, we invert it and fit our calculation to the measured $n_{\rm H}$, to obtain $n_{\rm eff}$ which defines the arc length $f_{\rm g}$ (See Table 2 in the Methods for details). In this case, the agreement between measured and calculated values of $n_{\rm H}$ is by design (dotted line in Fig. 3c). It might be interesting to note that this approach results in a somewhat broader p to 1 + p crossover than reported elsewhere²², as shown in Figs. 3b and 4b. This is perhaps to be expected since LSCO is a compound that is known to be disordered. However, the two approaches are qualitatively the same and the (rather small) difference sets the limits of the expected uncertainty.



Figure 4. Calculated *versus* measured resistivity and carrier density. (**a**) To facilitate the comparison between calculated (lines) and measured sheet resistance ($\rho_{\Box} \sim A_2 T^2$ – (opaque symbols)⁷ or in the crossover regime $\rho_{\Box} \sim A_1 T^1 + A_2 T^2$ – (shaded symbols)⁴³) a temperature independent quantity $\tau \rho_{\Box} \sim A_2/C_2$ is displayed, as a function of doping, for all three discussed compounds (see the Methods Section "Comparison with experimental data: resistivity" for details). Dashed and dotted lines for LSCO correspond to calculations with the same f_g as presented by dashed and dotted lines in Fig. 3b. The inset shows an extended doping range to p = 0 on a logarithmic scale for clarity. A small kink in the calculated doping dependence for LSCO at $p \sim 0.18$ (dashed line) coincides with the Lifshitz-transition of the underlying FS. (**b**) Full and dashed lines show n_{eff} as inferred from resistivity measurements, which is the only input parameter for the performed calculation. In case of LSCO, an additional dotted line indicates n_{eff} obtained by adjusting f_g (i.e., arc-length) for a better fit of the Hall data. For Hg1201 and Tl2201 n_{eff} (lines) and n_{H} (symbols) coincide. This is not the case for LSCO, where n_{H} diverges at the Lifshitz transition. However, n_{eff} shows a similarly smooth crossover in LSCO as it does in Hg1201 and Tl2201. The calculated σ_{xx} [Eq. (3)] strongly depends on v_F , whose value is usually not controlled in tight-binding fits to ARPES data. Therefore, normalization factors f_{norm} have been applied to $\tau \rho_{\Box}$ of LSCO and Tl2201. The details of this normalization are in Supplementary Information 2.

.....

The overall universality of the sheet resistance can be understood given that the Cu 3d orbital is blocked by Coulomb effects, so coherent FL conduction dominantly occurs via the Cu 4s–O $2p_{x,y}$, and secondarily via the O $2p_x-2p_y$, orbital overlaps⁶. Both are chemically invariant across the cuprates⁴⁵ in agreement with the universality of v_F along the arcs established here. Notably, in LSCO at the antinodes, v_F has a strong doping dependence due to the Lifshitz transition. However, as apparent from the above, these parts of the FS contribute to transport processes only when they become ungapped at elevated doping levels, at which point the van Hove singularity (vHS) has moved away from the FS again. Therefore, the sheet resistance satisfies the universal value in a broader doping range than would be expected from considering v_F along the full underlying FS.

Discussion

In the context of the last 35 years of debates in the field of cuprates, each new demonstration that textbook FL formulas can be used to describe a key property of these materials marks essential progress. Here, we have shown that these formulas are perfectly adequate to calculate transport coefficients, even in the complex case of a concurrent Lifshitz transition, while it has been shown elsewhere that they can also describe other key properties, like optical conductivity^{9,10}, specific heat⁴⁶, magneto-resistivity¹¹, quantum oscillations^{14,47–49}, etc. This robustness implies that, even when large deviations from the reported universal behaviors in cuprates are observed, one should seek first to understand them by taking the actual shape of the FS carefully into account^{8,30}, distinguishing between the localized and the itinerant charges.

Fermi arcs in cuprates have been extensively discussed, mostly from the point of view of intraorbital interactions (large Hubbard U_d) and the concomitant AF correlations, which were associated with the pseudogap. Such approaches have difficulties with the proper estimation of the amount of mobile charge available to conduction, and to the Hall effect in particular. To our knowledge, L. Gor'kov and G. Teitel'baum were the first to estimate the FL carrier concentration from the length of the arcs relative to the total underlying FS⁵⁰, as we have done here. The observation that $n_{\rm H}$ diverges in LSCO because of the Lifshitz transition has been made previously by I. Kupčić and S. Barišić^{28,29}. Here, we have harnessed this phenomenology to answer a precise question: Can the deviation of the quadratic temperature coefficient C_2 of the Hall mobility in LSCO from its universal constant value in all other cuprates be wholly explained within the same simplest-possible FL framework? The answer is yes: Once the carrier concentration is read off from the arc lengths, and the Lifshitz transition is taken into account, there is nothing specific left to model in LSCO.

While the narrow point so made is impressive enough—there is really no exception to the universal properties of the conducting FL in cuprates—its indirect repercussions are even greater. It means that the material-specific properties, among which the value of T_c is the most significant, are entirely regulated by the other component in the charge-conservation equation, Eq. (1), namely the localized hole. It confirms that the pseudogap itself is a signature of that hole localization, not of the interactions among itinerant carriers in the arc. The latter was the default assumption of many previous investigations, including the ones cited above.

This interpretation of the pseudogap is expected both on later theoretical and independent experimental grounds. In the meanwhile, Fermi arcs have been obtained in a one-body DFT+U calculation⁵¹, once the Coulomb doping mechanism⁵² has been correctly taken into account, with its concomitant in-plane orbital disorder. Experimentally, optical spectroscopy shows the localized hole as a clearly gapped mid-infrared feature, once the FL signal calculated from transport is subtracted¹⁰. These investigations and the present one concur that there are really no itinerant states at the Fermi energy beyond the arcs, so there is no need for any special mechanism— quantum dissipation, or pocket reconstruction, to name but a couple of more popular scenarios—to account for their absence in ARPES. All that is needed is to acknowledge that the pseudogap originates physically in the background (ionic) Coulomb forces which localize part of the charge, not in the interactions between the itinerant carriers.

A number of observations with putative quantum-critical-point interpretations have turned out to be something else on closer inspection. For example, it was recently reported in Ref. 46 that the maximum in the electronic specific heat found^{53,54} around $p \sim 0.20 - 0.22$ can be related to a Lifshitz transition by standard expressions for the electronic specific heat based on a tight-binding parametrization of ARPES data. This approach is similar to ours, and with the same conclusion, that it is not necessary to introduce a quantum critical point p^* at p = 0.19 to reconcile calculations with the data.

The apparent discontinuity in the evolution of $n_{\rm H}$ with doping in YBCO was also originally claimed to imply a quantum critical point⁵⁵, despite the fact that $n_{\rm eff}$ estimated from resistivity, reported earlier, showed a gradual p to 1 + p evolution²⁴. However, this discontinuity disappeared when the chain anisotropy was taken into account¹⁶, as already mentioned in the Introduction.

In order to apply the above scheme effectively, some simple pitfalls should be avoided. First, different probes will sometimes see different arc lengths, or a Lifshitz transition at (slightly) different doping levels. Here, the key is that the orbital transition by which the hole delocalizes can be triggered by the probe itself, most easily by temperature, so one observes a considerable change in $n_{\rm eff}$ as the temperature rises above $T^{*8,10}$, resulting in elongation of the arcs in a similar manner as demonstrated here as a function of doping. In the context of the Lifshitz transition, the impression will be that it is approached at lower doping levels with a higher-energy probe smeared with its accompanying finite width. In particular, as shown here, it is seen in ARPES sooner than in transport⁴⁶. Second, and more importantly, one should distinguish dispersive and diffusive conduction. If the same (coherent) carriers (quasi-particles) encounter several scattering mechanisms, say internal (umklapp) scattering and impurities, these will add to the total *resistivity*:

$$\frac{1}{\tau_{\rm tot}} = \frac{1}{\tau_{\rm int}} + \frac{1}{\tau_{\rm imp}}.$$
(5)

On the other hand, if a part of the dispersive carriers becomes diffusive for an unspecified reason so that there are two conductive subsystems at the same time, their contributions will add to the total *conductivity*:

$$\sigma_{\rm tot} = \sigma_{\rm coh} + \sigma_{\rm diff} \tag{6}$$

$$=\frac{e^2}{m^*}(n_{\rm coh}\tau_{\rm coh}+n_{\rm diff}\tau_{\rm diff}).$$
(7)

Assuming that the coherent part is due to internal FL scattering, $\tau_{coh} = \tau_{int} \sim T^{-2}$, and the temperature dependence of σ_{diff} may be anything but T^{-2} , one finds

$$\rho_{tot} = \frac{1}{\sigma_{tot}} \sim \frac{1}{T^{-2} + \sigma_{\text{diff}}} \sim T^{\alpha}.$$
(8)

In other words, the pure FL T^2 behavior is contaminated by the diffusive component, resulting in an effective power law with a real-number exponent $\alpha \neq 2$. The experimental fact that we can detect a clean T^2 behavior of the *resistivity* deep in the PG regime, at low temperatures^{7,49}, close to T_c^{56} , shows that any contribution of putative incoherent carriers is completely negligible. Furthermore, irradiation of the sample produces simple offsets of the origin of the T^2 according to Mathiessen's rule⁵⁷, Eq. (5), essentially ruling out all but FL explanations. Notably, the same conclusion can be drawn from the Hall mobility. Not only is this property (C_2T^2) universal across the phase diagram⁸, but also the constant term related to impurity scattering (C_0) was documented very early, precisely in the so-called strange metal regime at optimal doping⁵⁸.

Interestingly, the incoherent contribution is observed in pnictides¹⁰, where a vHS at the Fermi level⁵⁹ provides a ready reservoir of slow carriers, easily turned diffusive even by the lowest temperatures. Such a contribution should also be expected in cuprates in which the vHS approaches the Fermi level at high doping levels, where it is not gapped like in LSCO. Most probably this is indeed the case in bismuth compounds^{10,60}. These parallel examples are useful cross-checks of our interpretation.

The localized hole, responsible for the pseudogap as noted above, being non-conductive, is by definition the "non-FL" part of the total charge active in the cuprates. Importantly, it is active, not just a charge reservoir. In fact, we argue that it plays a central role in the cuprate enigma, on two grounds. First, its universal vanishing (delocalization) on the overdoped side is concomitant with the universal vanishing of SC, implying that the localized charge is responsible for the SC mechanism^{8,10,24}. Second, NMR experiments⁶¹ show directly that the compound-dependent charge redistribution between Cu and O with doping is related to the compounddependent value of T_c : In Ref. 6 it was thus shown that the superconducting properties are directly proportional to the oxygen occupancy⁶¹. The established difference between the **universal** *functional* separation of the charge between itinerant (n_{eff}) and localized (n_{loc}) implies that localization and itinerancy are not simply determined by atomic occupation, as measured in NMR. This situation is easily understood by realizing that the localized charge n_{loc} has *both* Cu and O contributions⁶.

A scenario emerges in which the doped FL scatters on the localized hole, and this scattering is responsible for high- T_c SC in cuprates. In this way, the localized hole introduces necessary and sufficient material-dependence into an otherwise universal FL of mobile charges. A detailed exposition of this scenario has recently been published elsewhere⁶. Suffice it to say that the clear *separation* between the FL and non-FL sector laid out here is quite different from all polaron scenarios, which rely on charge transport by these *composite* electron-lattice objects, in contradiction with the observed material- and doping-independence of the FL transport parameters. It is also quite different from all scenarios which assume that the carriers in the arcs are not a FL, in contradiction with observations in all three compounds studied here with the particular purpose of elucidating that point. To repeat, the most important effective interaction in cuprates might well be the scattering of the universal FL on the localized hole, which gives rise to high- T_c superconductivity. Any microscopic model of the latter must conform to the macroscopic observations presented here.

In summary, we have calculated electronic transport characteristics (the resistivity and the Hall coefficient) directly from the band structure of several cuprate materials. Combining simple FL expressions with the experimentally established pseudogapped FS's, we reproduced the observed doping evolution of the resistivity and the Hall coefficient for Hg1201, Tl2201 and LSCO. This work provides a direct link between transport coefficients and FS geometry in cuprates, showing in particular that the doping evolution of the Hall coefficient can be explained without invoking a quantum critical point or any other, even more exotic scenario. On the contrary, it is sufficient to assume that the ungapped, itinerant charge carriers are always a FL, in agreement with recent measurements of the transport and optical scattering rate. Because our approach is phenomenological, these results are observations, not hypotheses. They invite microscopic considerations on the origin of the experimental facts of Fermi arcs and universal FL scattering, which we have also briefly presented above. These are centered on the other, localized contribution to the charge-conservation equation (1) and its role in high-*T*_c superconductivity. Taking the two together, we present a consistent narrative as a necessary part of any final explanation of this fascinating phenomenon.

Methods

Tight-binding model parameters. Over the course of the last several decades, ARPES spectra were extensively measured and fitted with tight-binding models to parameterize the bands and the (underlying) FS's, in a number of compounds. To eliminate a source of arbitrariness, we have strictly relied on previously published sets of parameters for each compound, even when they may be overfitted for our purposes. However, we do not

Parameter	Hg1201 ^{31,32}	Tl2201 ^{13,33}	LSCO ⁴²
ε	$\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(p)$	$\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(p)$	$\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(p)$
t ₀	0.46	0.18125	0.25
<i>t</i> ₁	- 0.105	- 0.0755	$t_1 = t_1(p)$
<i>t</i> ₂	0.08	- 0.003975	$-0.5t_1$
t3	- 0.02	- 0.0100625	0
t ₄	0	0.0068	0

Table 1. Tight binding parameters for the FS models in use. In the case of Hg1201 and Tl2201, the function for ε_0 is determined to satisfy Eq. (10), while all other parameters are held fixed, as in Ref.32. In contrast, for LSCO a broad range of doping dependent parameters exist, see Fig. 5. All numerical parameters are given in units of [eV]. Parameters described as doping dependent functions are displayed in Fig. 5.

.....

Parameter	Hg1201	Tl2201	LSCO
Δ_0	4000	3700	3900
δ	600	700	800
<i>Pc</i>	0.2	0.22	0.22
α	2	2	2

Table 2. Parameters of the gap distribution used to determine n_{eff} , according to the approach described in Ref. 24.



Figure 5. Doping and compound dependence of used tight-binding parameters. All parameters are given in units of [eV]. Full points denote values reported in the literature according to Table 1, full lines are polynomial interpolations.

expect the details of the parametrization to affect the outcomes qualitatively, as long as the experimental band structure is well described. We present the tight-binding formula in a very generic form, Eq. (9):

$$\varepsilon_{k} = \varepsilon_{0} - 2 t_{0} \left[\cos (k_{x}a) + \cos (k_{y}a) \right] - 4 t_{1} \cos (k_{x}a) \cos (k_{y}a) - 2 t_{2} \left[\cos (2k_{x}a) + \cos (2k_{y}a) \right] - 4 t_{3} \left[\cos (2k_{x}a) \cos (k_{y}a) + \cos (k_{x}a) \cos (2k_{y}a) \right] + 0.5 t_{4} \cos (2k_{x}a) \cos (2k_{y}a),$$
(9)

where the tight-binding parameters for compounds studied in this work (Hg1201^{31,32}, Tl2201^{13,33} and LSCO⁴²) are given in Table 1 and visualized in Fig. 5. Because the naming convention is standardized, some parameters are zero for Hg1201 and LSCO, simplifying Eq. (9) in those cases.

In the case of LSCO, high-quality ARPES data exists for a range of doping levels, therefore it is possible to extract the evolution of the FS with doping directly. For Hg1201 and Tl2201, the number of doping levels on which ARPES studies have been performed is much more limited (one doping level each). Therefore, the doping dependence for these materials is introduced by a rigid band shift, respecting Luttinger's sum rule in the underlying FS:

$$1 + p = 2\frac{A_{FS}^u}{A_{BZ}},$$
 (10)

where A_{FS}^{u} denotes the surface area enclosed by the underlying FS in k-space, while A_{BZ} denotes the area of the first Brillouin zone.

The published parametrization we used is only optimized to follow the main properties of the band intersecting the Fermi level and particularly the evolution of the Fermi surface. The kink at \sim 50 meV, presumably due to strong correlations in the Cu 3d orbital, is not taken into consideration. We believe that the kink comes from the Fermi liquid probing the still-localized 3d orbital. Furthermore, it is often missed that another channel is

open for transport that does not involve the 3d orbital. This is the Cu 4s orbital via the second-order 2p-4s-2p hopping⁴⁵, as argued in previous publications⁶. Because the 4s orbital is large, larger even than the 2p orbital, the 4s-2p overlap t_{ps} can be very large. Notably, the need for unreasonably large effective values of $t_{pp} \sim 1$ eV, when fitting ARPES in the Emery three-band model without the 4s orbital, as well as the universality of transport properties, is direct indication that the electrons are really taking advantage of the 4s orbital.

Experiments (e.g., ARPES) show very different behavior of nodal and antinodal parts of the Fermi surface, which is the k-space signature of the separation into itinerant and localized electrons. For the system to be stable, the chemical potential must be the same for both sectors. Because the itinerant sector is a Fermi liquid, any non-Fermi-liquid behavior of the chemical potential must be ascribed to the localized part, the latter (obviously) not being a Fermi liquid. However, we point out once more that the underlying Fermi surface always contains 1 + p states, which is in agreement with the Luttinger sum rule.

Details of the calculation procedure. Circular FS (parabolic band): ungapped. Using the general expressions Eqs. (3) and (4), it is instructive to derive σ_{ij} for the particularly simple case of a circular FS and isotropic group velocity, $v_F = \hbar^{-1} |\partial \varepsilon / \partial k_\perp| = \hbar k_F / m^*$, where m^* is the effective mass.

$$\sigma_{xx} = \frac{e^2 \tau n}{m^*},\tag{11}$$

where the concentration of charge carriers *n* may be expressed in terms of the ratio of the area of the occupied part of the Brillouin zone and the total area of the Brillouin zone,

$$n = (2s+1) \ n_0 \frac{k_F^2 \pi}{\Gamma_{2D}}.$$
 (12)

The most simple Drude form for σ_{xx} in Eq. (11), which is obtained from the general expression in Eq. (3), is a consequence of the particular circular-shaped form of the FS and the fact that the velocity is in the same direction and proportional to k_F along the FS, $v_F \propto k_F$.

With the circular FS and the constant velocity $|v_F|$, the nondiagonal part of the conductivity tensor is given by

$$\sigma_{xy} = (\omega_c \tau) \; \frac{e^2 \tau}{m^*} \; (2s+1) \; n_0 \frac{k_F^2 \pi}{\Gamma_{2D}} \tag{13}$$

$$= (\omega_c \tau) \sigma_{xx}, \tag{14}$$

with σ_{xx} given by Eq. (11) and ω_c the cyclotron frequency, $\omega_c = eB/m^*$. For a parabolic band, the effective mass m^* , characterized by the second derivative of the dispersion at the bottom of the band, is the only model parameter that defines the dispersion at the FS for any doping. However, this simplicity is lost for any more complicated band structure.

Circular FS (parabolic band) – gapped. Assuming a circular FS that does not intersect the zone boundaries, following Luttinger's theorem one obtains

$$k_F = \left(\frac{1+x}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\pi}{a}.$$

Introducing $0 \le p(x) \le 1$, as a parameter that defines the ungapped part of the FS, the concentration of itinerant charges in Eq. (12) takes a particularly simple form,

$$n = (2s+1) n_0 \frac{1+x}{2} p(x).$$
(15)

Assuming a parabolic band, exhibiting a circular FS and an isotropic group velocity, we consider a dopingdependent gapping mechanism that resembles the situation in cuprates. We chose f_g in a way that n_{eff} first evolves exactly as p (for p < 0.16) to increase more steeply to 1 + p at p = 0.28. From Fig. 6, it is obvious that this results in a 1:1 correspondence between n_{H} and n_{eff} .

Comparison with experimental data: Resistivity. Our calculations are performed in the low-temperature limit. To compare calculation results with experimental data collected at finite temperatures, we introduce a temperature independent variable following the arguments discussed below. A general expression (Taylor expansion) for the resistivity is:

$$\rho = A_0 + A_1 T + A_2 T^2, \tag{16}$$

where A_0 is associated with sample-dependent impurity scattering, A_1 that appears in the crossover/strange metal regime we attribute to a change in the carrier density due to the delocalization process discussed in the main text, while A_2 is the Fermi-liquid term (associated with $n_{\text{eff}}(T = 0 \text{ K})$ charges). Thus, the coefficient A_2 is of our main interest. Specifically, we use $A_{2,\Box}$, as the resistivity per CuO₂ was demonstrated to be universal across multiple cuprate families⁷.



Figure 6. FS and Hall-coefficient of an ideal parabolic band. In (a) dashed lines correspond to the underlying FS, while the arcs appear as full lines. The fraction of ungapped (i.e. "active") states f_g is displayed in (b), and the calculated density of charge carriers $n_{\text{eff}}(\text{line})$ and n_{H} at selected doping levels (points) in **c**. In this case, the crossover from p to 1 + p is modeled between p = 0.16 and p = 0.28.

We extract the scattering time τ , presumably related to the Umklapp process⁴⁹, from the measured universal Hall-mobility⁸:

$$u_H = \frac{e\tau}{m^*},\tag{17}$$

$$\mu_H^{-1} = C_0 + C_2 T^2. \tag{18}$$

As in the case of the resistivity, the constant term C_0 is a contribution related to the impurities⁵⁸. We approximate the effective mass with a constant $m^* \sim 3.5m_e$ (Eq. (19)), again because the universality of the Hall mobility (Fig. 1) implies it, where the exact value was determined from quantum oscillations in overdoped Tl2201. Notably, we do expect some compound-dependence of the effective mass but such corrections are not essential in the context of the present calculations.

$$\tau = \frac{m^*}{e} \cdot \mu_H$$

$$\simeq \frac{3.5m_e}{e} \cdot \frac{1}{C_2 T^2}.$$
(19)

Combining the two T^2 -like behaviors, we arrive at a temperature independent parameter $\tau \rho_{\Box}$:

$$\tau \rho_{\Box} = \frac{A_{2,\Box} T^2}{C_2 T^2} \frac{m^*}{e}$$

$$= \frac{A_{2,\Box}}{C_2} \frac{m^*}{e}.$$
(20)

Data availability

The datasets generated and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding authors upon request.

Code availability

The code to reproduce the presented results is available from the corresponding authors upon request.

Received: 22 March 2023; Accepted: 31 July 2023 Published online: 21 August 2023

References

- 1. Onnes, H. K. Further experiments with liquid helium. C. On the change of electric resistance of pure metals at very low temperatures, etc. IV. The resistance of pure mercury at helium temperatures. *Communications from the Physical Laboratory of the University* of Leiden, **120b** (1911).
- Bardeen, J., Cooper, L. N. & Schrieffer, J. R. Theory of superconductivity. *Phys. Rev.* 108, 1175–1204. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysR ev.108.1175 (1957).

- Bednorz, J. G. & Müller, K. A. Possible high Tc superconductivity in the Ba-La-Cu-O system. Zeitschrift f
 ür Physik B Condens. Matter 64, 189-193. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01303701 (1986).
- 4. Phillips, P. W., Hussey, N. E. & Abbamonte, P. Stranger than metals. *Science* **377**, eabh4273. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abh42 73 (2022).
- Keimer, B., Kivelson, S. A., Norman, M. R., Uchida, S. & Zaanen, J. From quantum matter to high-temperature superconductivity in copper oxides. *Nature* 518, 179–186. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14165 (2015).
- Barišić, N. & Sunko, D. K. High-T_c Cuprates: A story of two electronic subsystems. J. Supercond. Novel Magn. 35, 1781–1799. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10948-022-06183-y (2022).
- Barišić, N. et al. Universal sheet resistance and revised phase diagram of the cuprate high-temperature superconductors. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 110, 12235–12240. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1301989110 (2013).
- Barišić, N. et al. Evidence for a universal fermi-liquid scattering rate throughout the phase diagram of the copper-oxide superconductors. N. J. Phys. 21, 113007. https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/ab4d0f (2019).
- Mirzaei, S. I. *et al.* Spectroscopic evidence for Fermi liquid-like energy and temperature dependence of the relaxation rate in the pseudogap phase of the cuprates. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 110, 5774–5778. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1218846110 (2013).
- Kumar, C. M. N. et al. Characterization of two electronic subsystems in cuprates through optical conductivity. Phys. Rev. B 107, 144515. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.107.144515 (2023).
- 11. Chan, M. *et al.* In-plane magnetoresistance obeys Kohler's rule in the pseudogap phase of cuprate superconductors. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 7005. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.177005 (2014).
- Proust, C., Boaknin, E., Hill, R. W., Taillefer, L. & Mackenzie, A. P. Heat transport in a strongly overdoped cuprate: Fermi liquid and a pure d-wave BCS superconductor. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 89, 147003. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.147003 (2002).
- Platé, M. et al. Fermi surface and quasiparticle excitations of overdoped Tl₂ Ba₂ CuO _{6+δ}. Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 077001. https://doi. org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.077001 (2005).
- Vignolle, B. et al. Quantum oscillations in an overdoped high-T_c superconductor. Nature 455, 952–955. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nature07323 (2008).
- Pelc, D. *et al.* Emergence of superconductivity in the cuprates via a universal percolation process. *Nat. Commun.* 9, 4327. https:// doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-06707-y (2018).
- Putzke, C. *et al.* Reduced Hall carrier density in the overdoped strange metal regime of cuprate superconductors. *Nat. Phys.* 17, 826–831. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41567-021-01197-0 (2021).
- Cooper, R. A. *et al.* Anomalous criticality in the electrical resistivity of La_{2--x}Sr_xCuO₄. *Science* 323, 603–607. https://doi.org/10. 1126/science.1165015 (2009).
- Legros, A. et al. Universal T -linear resistivity and Planckian dissipation in overdoped cuprates. Nat. Phys. 15, 142. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41567-018-0334-2 (2019).
- 19. Zaanen, J. Why the temperature is high. *Nature* **430**, 512. https://doi.org/10.1038/430512a (2004).
- Sadovskii, M. V. Planckian relaxation delusion in metals. *Physics-Uspekhi* 64, 175. https://doi.org/10.3367/UFNe.2020.08.038821 (2021).
- Grissonnanche, G. et al. Linear-in temperature resistivity from an isotropic Planckian scattering rate. Nature 595, 667–672. https:// doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03697-8 (2021).
- Ayres, J. et al. Incoherent transport across the strange-metal regime of overdoped cuprates. Nature 595, 661–666. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41586-021-03622-z (2021).
- Ayres, J., Katsnelson, M. I. & Hussey, N. E. Superfluid density and two-component conductivity in hole-doped cuprates. *Front. Phys.*https://doi.org/10.3389/fphy.2022.1021462 (2022).
- Pelc, D., Popčević, P., Požek, M., Greven, M. & Barišić, N. Unusual behavior of cuprates explained by heterogeneous charge localization. Sci. Adv. 5, eaau4538. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aau4538 (2019).
- Pelc, D. et al. Resistivity phase diagram of cuprates revisited. Phys. Rev. B 102, 075114. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.102. 075114 (2020).
- Yoshida, T. *et al.* Systematic doping evolution of the underlying Fermi surface of La_{2--x}Sr_xCuO₄. *Phys. Rev. B* 74, 224510. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.74.224510 (2006).
- Ong, N. P. Geometric interpretation of the weak-field Hall conductivity in two-dimensional metals with arbitrary Fermi surface. *Phys. Rev. B* 43, 193–201. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.43.193 (1991).
- Nikšić, G., Kupčić, I., Barišić, O. S., Sunko, D. K. & Barišić, S. Multiband responses in high-T_c cuprate superconductors. J. Supercond. Novel Magn. 27, 969–975. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10948-013-2420-0 (2014).
- Kupčić, I. & Jedovnicki, I. Memory-function conductivity formula and transport coefficients in underdoped cuprates. *Eur. Phys. J. B* 90, 63. https://doi.org/10.1140/epjb/e2017-70737-0 (2017).
- Culo, M. et al. Possible superconductivity from incoherent carriers in overdoped cuprates. SciPost Phys. 11, 012. https://doi.org/ 10.21468/SciPostPhys.11.1.012 (2021).
- Das, T. Q=0 collective modes originating from the low-lying Hg-O band in superconducting HgBa₂CuO_{4+δ}. Phys. Rev. B 86, 054518. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.86.054518 (2012).
- Vishik, I. M. et al. Angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy study of HgBa₂CuO_{4+δ}. Phys. Rev. B 89, 195141. https://doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevB.89.195141 (2014).
- Peets, D. C. *et al.* Tl₂Ba₂CuO_{6+δ} brings spectroscopic probes deep into the overdoped regime of the high-T_c cuprates. *N. J. Phys.* 9, 28–28. https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/9/2/028 (2007).
- Ando, Y., Kurita, Y., Komiya, S., Ono, S. & Segawa, K. Evolution of the Hall coefficient and the peculiar electronic structure of the cuprate superconductors. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 92, 197001. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.197001 (2004).
- Tsukada, I. & Ono, S. Negative Hall coefficients of heavily overdoped La_{2--x}Sr_xCuO₄. *Phys. Rev. B* 74, 134508. https://doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevB.74.134508 (2006).
- Padilla, W. J. et al. Constant effective mass across the phase diagram of high-T_c cuprates. Phys. Rev. B 72, 060511. https://doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevB.72.060511 (2005).
- Li, Y., Tabis, W., Yu, G., Barišić, N. & Greven, M. Hidden Fermi-liquid charge transport in the antiferromagnetic phase of the electron-doped cuprate superconductors. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 117, 197001. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.197001 (2016).
- Kondo, T. *et al.* Hole-concentration dependence of band structure in (Bi, Pb)₂(Sr, La)₂CuO₆₊₈ determined by the angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy. *J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom.* 137–140, 663–668. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.elspec.2004.02.104 (2004).
- Piriou, A., Jenkins, N., Berthod, C., Maggio-Aprile, I. & Fischer, O. First direct observation of the Van Hove singularity in the tunnelling spectra of cuprates. *Nat. Commun.* 2, 221. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms1229 (2011).
- Kaminski, A. et al. Change of Fermi-surface topology in Bi₂Sr₂CaCu₂O_{8+δ} with doping. Phys. Rev. B 73, 174511. https://doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevB.73.174511 (2006).
- Drozdov, I. K. *et al.* Phase diagram of Bi₂Sr₂CaCu₂O_{8+δ} revisited. *Nat. Commun.* 9, 5210. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-07686-w (2018).
- Yoshida, T. *et al.* Low-energy electronic structure of the high-T_c cuprates La 2--x Sr_x CuO 4 by angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy. J. Phys. Condens. Matter 19, 125209. https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/19/12/125209 (2007).

- Hussey, N. E., Gordon-Moys, H., Kokalj, J. & McKenzie, R. H. Generic strange-metal behaviour of overdoped cuprates. J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 449, 012004. https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/449/1/012004 (2013).
- 44. Zhou, X. J. et al. Universal nodal Fermi velocity. Nature 423, 398-398. https://doi.org/10.1038/423398a (2003).
- Pavarini, E., Dasgupta, I., Saha-Dasgupta, T., Jepsen, O. & Andersen, O. K. Band-structure trend in hole-doped cuprates and correlation with T_{cmax}. Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 047003. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.047003 (2001).
- Zhong, Y. et al. Differentiated roles of Lifshitz transition on thermodynamics and superconductivity in La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO₄. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 119, e2204630119. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2204630119 (2022).
- Doiron-Leyraud, N. *et al.* Quantum oscillations and the Fermi surface in an underdoped high-T_c superconductor. *Nature* 447, 565–568. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05872 (2007).
- Barišić, N. *et al.* Universal quantum oscillations in the underdoped cuprate superconductors. *Nat. Phys.* 9, 761–764. https://doi. org/10.1038/nphys2792 (2013).
- 49. Tabiś, W. et al. Arc-to-pocket transition and quantitative understanding of transport properties in cuprate superconductors. ArXiv https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2106.07457
- Gor'kov, L. P. & Teitel'baum, G. B. Two regimes in conductivity and the Hall coefficient of underdoped cuprates in strong magnetic fields. J. Phys. Condens. Matter 26, 042202. https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/26/4/042202 (2014).
- Lazić, P. & Sunko, D. K. Fermi arcs and pseudogap emerging from dimensional crossover at the Fermi surface in La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO₄. EPL 112, 37011. https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/112/37011 (2015).
- Mazumdar, S. A unified theoretical approach to superconductors with strong Coulomb correlations: the organics, LiTi₂O₄, electron- and hole-doped copper oxides and doped BaBiO₃. In *Interacting electrons in reduced dimensions* (eds Baeriswyl, D. & Campbell, D. K.) 315–329 (Plenum Press, 1989).
- Momono, N. *et al.* Low-temperature electronic specific heat of La_{2--x}Sr_xCuO₄ and La_{2--x}Sr_xCu_{1-y}Zn_yO₄. Evidence for a d wave superconductor. *Phys. C Superconduct.* 233, 395-401. https://doi.org/10.1016/0921-4534(94)90768-4 (1994).
- 54. Girod, *C. et al.* Normal state specific heat in the cuprate superconductors La_{2−x}Sr_xCuO₄ and Bi_{2+y}Sr_{2−x}-_yLa_xCuO_{6+δ} near the critical point of the pseudogap phase. *Phys. Rev. B* **103**, 214506. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.103.214506 (2021).
- 55. Badoux, S. *et al.* Change of carrier density at the pseudogap critical point of a cuprate superconductor. *Nature* 531, 210–214. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16983 (2016).
- Popčević, P. et al. Percolative nature of the direct-current paraconductivity in cuprate superconductors. npj Quantum Mater. 3, 42. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41535-018-0115-2 (2018).
- Rullier-Albenque, F., Alloul, H., Balakirev, F. & Proust, C. Disorder, metal-insulator crossover and phase diagram in high-Tc cuprates. *Europhys. Lett.* 81, 37008. https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/81/37008 (2008).
- Chien, T. R., Wang, Z. Z. & Ong, N. P. Effect of Zn impurities on the normal-state Hall angle in single-crystal YBa₂Cu_{3--x}Zn_x O₇₋₈. Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 2088–2091. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.67.2088 (1991).
- Derondeau, G. et al. Fermi surface and effective masses in photoemission response of the (Ba_{1--x}K_x)Fe₂As₂ superconductor. Sci. Rep. 7, 8787. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-09480-y (2017).
- 60. van Heumen, E. *et al.* Strange metal electrodynamics across the phase diagram of Bi 2–x Pb x Sr 2 y La y CuO 6 + δ cuprates. *Phys. Rev. B* **106**, 054515. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.106.054515 (2022).
- 61. Rybicki, D., Jurkutat, M., Reichardt, S., Kapusta, C. & Haase, J. Perspective on the phase diagram of cuprate high-temperature superconductors. *Nat. Commun.* 7, 11413. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms11413 (2016).

Acknowledgements

The work at the TU Wien was supported by the European Research Council (ERC Consolidator Grant No. 725521), while the work at the University of Zagreb was supported by project CeNIKS co-financed by the Croatian Government and the European Union through the European Regional Development Fund-Competitiveness and Cohesion Operational Programme (Grant No. KK.01.1.1.02.0013). The work at AGH University was supported by the National Science Centre, Poland, Grant No. OPUS: UMO-2021/41/B/ST3/03454, the Polish National Agency for Academic Exchange under "Polish Returns 2019" Programme: PPN/PPO/2019/1/00014, and the subsidy of the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of Poland. M.A.G. was partly supported by program "Excellence Initiative – Research University" for AGH University of Krakow. O.S.B. acknowledges the support by the QuantiXLie Center of Excellence, a project co-financed by the Croatian Government and European Union through the European Regional Development Fund - the Competitiveness and Cohesion Operational Programme (Grant KK.01.1.101.0004).

Author contributions

N.B. conceived the research, B.K.K. and O.S.B. performed the analysis and computations. W.T. and M.A.G. verified the results and methods. B.K.K., W.T., D.K.S. and N.B. wrote the manuscript with input from all authors.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41598-023-39813-z.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to O.S.B., D.K.S. or N.B.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2023