KS0KS0 and KS0K± femtoscopy in pp collisions at √s = 5.02 and 13 TeV

(ALICE Collaboration) Acharya, S.; ...; Erhardt, Filip; ...; Gotovac, Sven; ...; Jerčić, Marko; ...; Karatović, David; ...; ...

Source / Izvornik: **Physics Letters B, 2022, 833**

Journal article, Published version Rad u časopisu, Objavljena verzija rada (izdavačev PDF)

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2022.137335>

Permanent link / Trajna poveznica: <https://urn.nsk.hr/urn:nbn:hr:217:431247>

Rights / Prava: [Attribution 4.0 International](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) / [Imenovanje 4.0 međunarodna](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Download date / Datum preuzimanja: **2025-01-27**

Repository / Repozitorij:

[Repository of the Faculty of Science - University o](https://repozitorij.pmf.unizg.hr)f [Zagreb](https://repozitorij.pmf.unizg.hr)

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.ScienceDirect.com/)

Physics Letters B

www.elsevier.com/locate/physletb

$\rm K^0_S K^0_S$ and $\rm K^0_S K^\pm$ femtoscopy in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} =$ 5.02 and 13 TeV .ALICE [Collaboration](#page-10-0) *-*

A R T I C L E I N F O A B S T R A C T

Article history: Received 1 December 2021 Received in revised form 11 May 2022 Accepted 18 July 2022 Available online 21 July 2022 Editor: M. Pierini

Femtoscopic correlations with the particle pair combinations $K_S^0 K_S^0$ and $K_S^0 K^{\pm}$ are studied in pp collisions at [√]*^s* ⁼ ⁵*.*02 and 13 TeV by the ALICE experiment. At both energies, boson source parameters are extracted for both pair combinations, by fitting models based on Gaussian size distributions of the sources, to the measured two-particle correlation functions. The interaction model used for the $K_S^0 K_S^0$ analysis includes quantum statistics and strong final-state interactions through the $f_0(980)$ and $a_0(980)$ resonances. The model used for the $K_S^0 K^{\pm}$ analysis includes only the final-state interaction through the *a*⁰ resonance. Source parameters extracted in the present work are compared with published values from pp collisions at [√]*^s* ⁼ 7 TeV and the different pair combinations are found to be consistent. From the observation that the strength of the $K_S^0 K_S^0$ correlations is significantly greater than the strength of the $K_S^0K^{\pm}$ correlations, the new results are compatible with the a_0 resonance being a tetraquark state of the form $(q_1, \overline{q_2}, s, \overline{s})$, where q_1 and q_2 are *u* or *d* quarks.

© 2022 European Organization for Nuclear Research, ALICE. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Funded by SCOAP³.

1. Introduction

Identical boson femtoscopy, especially identical charged $\pi^{\pm}\pi^{\pm}$ femtoscopy, has been used extensively over the years to study experimentally the space-time geometry of the collision region in high-energy proton and heavy-ion collisions [[1\]](#page-9-0). More recently, identical-kaon femtoscopy studies have been reported for a variety of colliding systems, energies and kaon pairs: $K_S^0 K_S^0$ pairs in Au–Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 0.2 TeV by the STAR collaboration [\[2\]](#page-9-0), $K_S^0 K_S^0$ and $K^{\pm} K^{\pm}$ pairs in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV and Pb–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 2.76 TeV by the ALICE collaboration [\[3–5\]](#page-9-0). Identical-kaon femtoscopy gives information about the collision region that is complementary to that obtained in identical-pion femtoscopy in that it probes the hotter region where strange quarks are produced and extends the momentum range over which the femtoscopy analysis can be applied. Also, the kaon analyses are expected to offer a cleaner signal compared to pions, as they are less affected by resonance decays.

Non-identical kaon femtoscopy with $\mathtt{K^0_S K^\pm}$ pairs was first measured by ALICE in pp collisions at \sqrt{s} = 7 TeV and Pb–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 2.76 TeV [[6,7\]](#page-9-0). Although the space-time geometry of the kaon source can be extracted with these pairs, the main emphasis of non-identical kaon femtoscopy is to obtain information about the strong final-state interaction (FSI) between the kaons. For the identical kaon cases the interactions are, for $K^{\pm}K^{\pm}$: quantum statistics, Coulomb interaction, and for $\mathrm{K^0_SK^0_S}$: quantum statis-

tics, FSI through $f_0(980)$ and $a_0(980)$ threshold resonances [\[2\]](#page-9-0). For the $K_S^0 K^{\pm}$, the only FSI is through the a_0 resonance. Note that "threshold resonances", like the a_0 and f_0 , are resonances where the sum of the masses of the decay particles is very close in value to the mass of the resonance. A non-resonant FSI in the $K_S^0K_S^0$ pair is expected to be small compared with the resonant f_0 and a_0 FSI and can be neglected to first order [\[2,8](#page-9-0)]. The only pair-wise interaction expected for a $K_S^0 K^{\pm}$ pair at freeze out from the collision system is a FSI through the a_0 resonance. This is because there is no quantum statistics enhancement for non-identical kaons, no Coulomb effect since one of the kaons is neutral, and no strong FSI through the f_0 resonance since the kaon pair is in an isospin-1 state, as is the a_0 , whereas the f_0 is isospin-0 and thus isospin would not be conserved.

Another feature of the $K_S^0 K^{\pm}$ FSI through the a_0 resonance is that since the a_0 has zero strangeness, and the K_S^0 is composed of a linear combination of the K⁰ and $\overline{K^0}$, only the $\overline{K^0}K^+$ pair from $K_S^0 K^+$ and the $K^0 K^-$ pair from $K_S^0 K^-$ can form a_0 resonances in order to conserve zero strangeness. This feature allows the K^0 and K⁰ sources to be studied separately. However, it was concluded in the previous ALICE $K_S^0 K^{\pm}$ publications that there is no significant difference in the source parameters between $\mathrm{K^0_S K^+}$ and $\mathrm{K^0_S K^-}$ [[6,7\]](#page-9-0).

Lastly, the $K_S^0 K^{\pm}$ FSI allows the properties of the a_0 resonance itself to be studied. This is interesting in its own right since many works exist in the literature discussing the possibility that the a_0 could be a 4-quark state, i.e. a tetraquark. It was first suggested in 1977 that experimentally-observed low-lying mesons, such as the a_0 , are part of a SU(3) tetraquark nonet using a quark model [\[9\]](#page-9-0).

⁻ E-mail address: alice-publications@cern.ch.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2022.137335>

^{0370-2693/© 2022} European Organization for Nuclear Research, ALICE. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license ([http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Funded by SCOAP3.

A later follow-up calculation was published reinforcing this work using lattice QCD calculations [\[10](#page-9-0)]. Since then, there have been a number of QCD studies of these mesons that fall in the categories of QCD-inspired models, for example Refs. [\[11–14](#page-9-0)], and lattice QCD calculations, for example Refs. [\[15–17](#page-9-0)]. An interesting result that was found from the previous measurements in comparing the strengths, i.e. λ -parameters, of the $K_S^0 K_S^0$ and $K_S^0 K^{\pm}$ correlations with each other was that the strength of the $K_S^0K_S^0$ correlations is significantly larger than the strength of the $\text{K}^0_\text{S}\text{K}^\pm$ correlations measured in \sqrt{s} = 7 TeV pp collisions. It was suggested that this could be an indication that the a_0 is a tetraquark state [[6,7\]](#page-9-0).

In light of the interesting results from the \sqrt{s} = 7 TeV pp measurements, the main motivations to extend the measurements to \sqrt{s} = 5.02 and 13 TeV pp collisions are the following:

- In these new measurements, we investigate the collisionenergy dependence of the λ difference between $K_S^0 K_S^0$ and $K^0_S K^{\pm}$. A lack of a dependence on the center-of-mass energy would be consistent with the tetraquark interpretation of the *a*0*(*980*)*.
- The previous paper compared results for *λ* that were obtained and published seven years apart, i.e. $K_S^0K_S^0$ in PLB from 2012 [\[3\]](#page-9-0) and $K_S^0 K^{\pm}$ in PLB from 2019 [[6](#page-9-0)], and that were analyzed in different ways. The $\mathrm{K^0_SK^0_S}$ analysis from the 2012 paper was done in several multiplicity ranges which had to be averaged in order to compare with the minimum-bias 2019 $\mathrm{K^0_S K^\pm}$ result. However, in the present paper the new $\mathrm{K^0_S K^0_S}$ and $\mathrm{K^0_S K^\pm}$ analyses were done at the same time and using the same kinematic ranges. By carrying out simultaneous measurements of $K_S^0 K_S^0$ and $K_S^0 K^{\pm}$ this results in a better comparison with each other.
- In this new analysis a detailed calculation of the effect of longlived resonances on the *λ* parameter is presented to better establish that this contamination is not responsible for the *λ* difference.

2. Description of experiment and data selection

Data taken by the ALICE experiment [\[18](#page-9-0)] in the LHC Run 2 period (2015–2018) were employed in the present analysis. This analysis used both \sqrt{s} = 5.02 TeV and 13 TeV reconstructed minimum bias triggered pp collisions, giving about 0.5×10^9 and 1.5×10^9 events, respectively. Monte Carlo (MC) simulations were used for determining selection values, momentum resolution and purity studies, and for the baseline underlying the signal for the case of the $K_S^0 K_S^0$ analyses. In the MC calculations, particles from pp collision events simulated by the general-purpose generator PYTHIA8 [[19\]](#page-9-0) with the Monash 2013 tune [[20\]](#page-9-0) were transported through a GEANT3 [\[21\]](#page-9-0) model of the ALICE detector. The total numbers of MC events used in the $\sqrt{s} = 5.02$ and 13 TeV analyses were about 0.7×10^9 and 1.2×10^9 , respectively.

The V0 detectors, which consist of two arrays of scintillators located along the beamline and covering the full azimuth [\[22,23\]](#page-9-0) were used for triggering and event selection. Charged particles were reconstructed and identified with the central barrel detectors located within a solenoid magnet with a field strength of magnitude $B = 0.5$ T. Charged particle tracking was performed using the Time Projection Chamber (TPC) [\[24](#page-9-0)] and the Inner Tracking System (ITS) [\[18](#page-9-0)]. The momentum determination for K^{\pm} was made using only the TPC. The ITS allowed for high spatial resolution in determining the primary collision vertex, which was used to constrain the TPC tracks. An average momentum resolution of less than 10 MeV/*c* was typically obtained for the charged tracks of interest in this analysis [\[25](#page-9-0)]. The primary vertex was obtained from the ITS, the position being constrained along the beam direction to be

within ± 10 cm of the center of the ALICE detector. In addition to the standard track quality selections [\[25](#page-9-0)], the selections based on the quality of track fitting and the number of detected tracking points in the TPC were used to ensure that only well-reconstructed tracks were taken into account in the analysis [[24](#page-9-0)–[26](#page-9-0)].

Particle Identification (PID) for reconstructed tracks was carried out using both the TPC and the Time-Of-Flight (TOF) detectors in the pseudorapidity range |*η*| *<* ⁰*.*8 [\[26,27\]](#page-9-0). For the PID signal from both detectors, a value (N_{σ}) was assigned to each track denoting the number of standard deviations between the measured track information and expected values, assuming a mass hypothesis, divided by the detector resolution [[5,25–27](#page-9-0)]. For TPC PID, a parametrized Bethe-Bloch formula was used to calculate the specific energy loss $\langle dE/dx \rangle$ in the detector expected for a particle with a given charge, mass and momentum. For PID with TOF, the particle mass was used to calculate the expected time-of-flight as a function of track length and momentum.

Other event selection criteria were also applied. The event must have one accepted possible $\mathtt{K^0_SK^0_S}$ or $\mathtt{K^0_SK^\pm}$ pair. Pile-up events were rejected using the standard ALICE pile-up rejection method [[26\]](#page-9-0). Pile-up effects were also investigated by performing the analysis using only low-luminosity data-taking periods. No significant difference was found in the extracted *R* and *λ* parameters compared with the higher count-rate runs used.

2.1. Kaon selection

The methods used to select and identify individual K_S^0 and K^{\pm} particles are the same as those used for the ALICE $K_S^0 K_S^0$ [[3](#page-9-0)] and $K^{\pm} K^{\pm}$ [\[4\]](#page-9-0) analyses in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, and are described in the following sections.

*2.1.1. K*⁰ ^S *reconstruction*

Using an invariant mass technique, the neutral K_S^0 vertices and parameters are reconstructed and calculated from pairs of detected π^+ π^- tracks. Single-particle selection criteria for the K $^0_{\mathsf{S}}$ and the pions, for example particle momentum (*p*), transverse momentum (p_T) , and pseudorapidity (η) , are shown in Table 1.

Most of the topological selection criteria (*π*⁺ *π*[−] distance-ofclosest-approach (DCA), π -vertex DCA, K_S^0 DCA, and decay length) were chosen to optimize purity and statistical significance. If two reconstructed K_S^0 particles share a daughter track, both are removed from the analysis. The selection criteria in this analysis are

Fig. 1. Example $K_S^0 K_S^0$ correlation functions along with the resulting distributions from PYTHIA8 simulations in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 5.02$ (left) and 13 TeV (right).

comparable to or stricter than those in other K_S^0 analyses; strict selection criteria are favored to increase the sample purity.

A candidate K_S^0 vertex with a reconstructed invariant mass within $0.485 < m(\pi^+\pi^-) < 0.510 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ is identified as a K_S. In this range, the single- K_S^0 purity is measured to be $98 \pm 1\%$ for the *k*_T interval of 0.5 $\lt k_T \lt 0.7$ GeV/*c*, where $k_T = |\overrightarrow{p_{T1}} + \overrightarrow{p_{T2}}|/2$, and where $\overrightarrow{p_{T1}}$ and $\overrightarrow{p_{T2}}$ are the transverse momenta of the particles in the pair. The purity here is defined as Signal/(Signal + Background) and is calculated by fitting a fourth-order polynomial to the background in the combined invariant mass intervals 0.4–0.45 GeV/*c*² and $0.55-0.6 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ and using the bin contents of the invariant mass histogram as the "Signal + Background". No selection on p_T is employed in this analysis for K_S^0 . Having a pair purity less than unity will be reflected in the lowering of the *λ* parameter, which can later be corrected for purity, however the K_S^0 purity is very close to unity for this analysis.

2.1.2. K± *identification*

As mentioned above, charged kaons are selected using the TPC and TOF detectors with the same methods as employed in Refs. [\[4,](#page-9-0) [5](#page-9-0)]. The quality of the track is determined by the χ^2/N^{clus} value for the Kalman fit to the reconstructed position of the TPC clusters (*N*clus is the number of clusters attached to the track). The track is rejected if the value is larger than 4.0. The selection criteria used for the charged kaon selection in the TPC and TOF are shown in Table [1](#page-2-0). In the table, N_{σ}^{TPC} and N_{σ}^{TOF} are the numbers of standard deviations the TPC energy-loss and TOF signal are away from their predicted values divided by detector resolution, respectively.

The average charged kaon purity is found using PYTHIA8 MC simulations to be 91 \pm 1% in the k_T range used in this analysis, i.e. $0.5 < k_T < 0.7$ GeV/*c*. This is in agreement with the charged kaon purity found by the ALICE collaboration in Ref. [\[5](#page-9-0)].

2.2. Two-track selection

Experimental two-track effects, such as the merging of two real tracks into one reconstructed track and the splitting of one real track into two reconstructed tracks, is a challenge for femtoscopic studies. These effects are observed for tracks with small average separation in the TPC. For each pair of like-sign tracks, which could be pions from two K_S^0 decays, or the pion from a K_S^0 decay and the same-charged K^{\pm} track, the distance between the tracks was calculated at up to nine positions throughout the TPC (every 20 cm along the radial direction from 85 cm to 245 cm) and then averaged. When comparing the distribution of the average separation of track pairs from single events with the distribution from pairs constructed of tracks from different events (mixed events), a splitting enhancement is seen in the same-events for average separations approaching zero. For the distribution of mixed-event tracks, the primary vertex position for each track was subtracted from each track point to mock them up as coming from the same

event. To minimize this splitting effect, this analysis demanded that the tracks must have an average TPC separation of at least 13 cm.

3. Two-particle correlation function

This analysis studies the momentum correlations of $K_S^0 K_S^0$ and $K^0_S K^{\pm}$ pairs using the two-particle correlation function, defined as $C(k^*) = A(k^*)/B(k^*)$, where $A(k^*)$ is the measured distribution of real pairs from the same event and *B(k*∗*)* is the reference distribution of pairs from mixed events. The quantity *k*∗ is the momentum of one of the particles in the pair rest frame, and for the general case of two particles with unequal mass, m_1 and m_2 , is given by

$$
k^* = \sqrt{\frac{w^2 - m_1^2 m_2^2}{2w + m_1^2 + m_2^2}}
$$
 (1)

where,

$$
w \equiv (q_{inv}^2 + m_1^2 + m_2^2)/2.
$$
 (2)

The square of the invariant momentum difference $q_{\text{inv}}^2 = |\vec{p}_1 - \vec{p}_2|$ $|\vec{p_2}|^2 - |E_1 - E_2|^2$ is most conveniently evaluated with the momenta and energies of the two particles measured in the lab frame. Note that $m_1 = m_2$ gives $k^* = q_{\text{inv}}/2$. The denominator $B(k^*)$ is formed by mixing particles from each event with particles from ten other events in the same *z*-vertex bin (2 cm width) and of similar event multiplicity. A *k*∗ bin size of 20 MeV/*c* was used in all cases.

As mentioned earlier, correlation functions are calculated for minimum bias events and a k_T range from 0.5–0.7 GeV/ c . This closely reproduces the conditions for the kaon femtoscopy measurements with $K_S^0 K_S^0$ and $K_S^0 K^{\pm}$ pairs published by ALICE for pp collisions at \sqrt{s} = 7 TeV with which the present results will be compared [\[3,6](#page-9-0)]. The k_T range used encompasses the peak in the k_T distributions at each collision energy. Also, the pseudorapidity density of charged particles at midrapidity, *dNch/dη*, is found to be small in pp collisions and has ^a weak dependence on √*s*, measured to be 5.91 ± 0.45 , $6.01^{+0.20}_{-0.12}$, and 7.60 ± 0.50 for $\sqrt{s} = 5.02$, 7 and 13 TeV, respectively, where the uncertainties are the statistical and systematic uncertainties added in quadrature [[28](#page-9-0)–[30\]](#page-9-0).

Fig. 1 shows an example of raw experimental $K_S^0 K_S^0$ correlation functions along with the resulting distributions from PYTHIA8 simulations normalized in the k^* region 0.6–0.8 GeV/*c* for $\sqrt{s} = 5.02$ and 13 TeV. Note that the PYTHIA8 calculations do not contain FSI or femtoscopic correlations. Fig. [2](#page-4-0) shows an example of raw experimental $K_S^0 K^{\pm}$ correlation functions plotted with baseline fits for various functions (see below) for $\sqrt{s} = 5.02$ and 13 TeV. The raw correlation functions from the data are enhanced for *k*∗ *<* 0*.*1 GeV/*c* due to quantum statistics and the FSI of the f_0 and a_0 and slightly suppressed in the region $0.1 < k^* < 0.4$ GeV/*c* due to the FSI for $K_S^0 K_S^0$. For $K_S^0 K^{\pm}$ the FSI of the a_0 produces similar but

Fig. 2. Example $K_S^0 K^{\pm}$ correlation functions plotted with fits of Eqs. (3), (4) and (5) in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 5.02$ (left) and 13 TeV (right).

Fig. 3. Example K ${}_S^0$ K ${}^{\pm}$ correlation functions along with the resulting distributions from PYTHIA8 simulations in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 5.02$ (left) and 13 TeV (right).

smaller enhancements and suppressions in the same general *k*∗ regions. For *k*∗ *>* 0*.*4 GeV/*c* a non-flat baseline is observed in both cases. PYTHIA8 fairly describes the non-flat baseline of the experimental correlation functions for $K^0_S K^0_S$, and is thus used to take out the effect of the non-flat baseline by dividing the raw experimental correlation functions by the PYTHIA8 correlation functions in those cases. This is a similar method as was used for the $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV pp $K_S^0 K_S^0$ measurements [\[3](#page-9-0)].

Unlike the case for $K_S^0 K_S^0$, which has a relatively large signal compared with the baseline, for the $K_S^0K^{\pm}$ correlation functions PYTHIA8 is not sensitive enough to model the baseline sufficiently well with respect to the significantly smaller enhancement and suppression produced by the FSI of the a_0 alone. Examples of this are shown in Fig. 3, which compares the raw $\sqrt{s} = 5.02$ and 13 TeV pp correlation functions from data with those from PYTHIA8. For these correlation functions, quadratic, exponential and Gaussian functions are used to model the baseline, as was done in Ref. [\[6\]](#page-9-0) for the measurement in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, of the forms

$$
C_{\text{quadratic}}(k^*) = a(1 - bk^* + ck^{*2})
$$
\n(3)

 $C_{\text{exponential}}(k^*) = a(1 + b \exp(-ck^*))$ (4)

$$
C_{\text{Gaussian}}(k^*) = a(1 + b \exp(-ck^{*2})) \tag{5}
$$

where *a*, *b* and *c* are parameters that are fitted to the experimental $C(k^*)$ simultaneously with the FSI model (see Section 4). As shown in Fig. 2, the quadratic, Gaussian and exponential functions all describe the data well in the *k*[∗] range of ∼0.3–0.6 GeV/*c*.

4. Fitting the correlation functions to extract the source parameters

*4.1. K*⁰ S*K*⁰ S

The $\rm\,K_S^0\,K_S^0$ correlation functions were fitted with the Lednický parameterization [\[2\]](#page-9-0) which incorporates quantum statistics with

strong FSI. FSI arise in the $\text{K}^{0}_{\text{S}}\text{K}^{0}_{\text{S}}$ channels due to the near-threshold resonances, $a_0(980)$ and $f_0(980)$. This parameterization is based on the model by R. Lednický and V.L. Lyuboshitz [[31](#page-9-0),[32](#page-9-0)].

The general form of the fit function is:

$$
C_{\text{Lednicky}}(k^*) = 1 + \lambda e^{-4k^{*2}R^2} + \lambda \alpha \left[\left| \frac{f(k^*)}{R} \right|^2 + \frac{4\mathcal{R}f(k^*)}{\sqrt{\pi}R} F_1(2k^*R) - \frac{2\mathcal{I}f(k^*)}{R} F_2(2k^*R) + \Delta C \right]
$$
(6)

where

$$
F_1(z) = \int_0^z dx \frac{e^{x^2 - z^2}}{z}; \quad F_2(z) = \frac{1 - e^{-z^2}}{z}.
$$
 (7)

The scattering amplitude is

$$
f(k^*) = \frac{f_0(k^*) + f_1(k^*)}{2} \tag{8}
$$

where

$$
f_{I}(k^{*}) = \frac{\gamma_{I}}{m_{I}^{2} - s - i(\gamma_{I}k^{*} + \gamma_{I}'k_{I}')},
$$
\n(9)

 $f(k^*)$ is the s-wave $K^0\overline{K^0}$ scattering amplitude whose contributions are the isoscalar f_0 and isovector a_0 resonances; α is set to 0.5 assuming symmetry in K^0 and $\overline{K^0}$ production; *R* is the radius parameter; and λ is the correlation strength. In Eq. (9), $I = 0$ or 1 for the f_0 or a_0 , m_l is the mass of the resonance, and γ_l and γ_l are the couplings of the resonances to their decay channels. Also, $s = 4(m_K² + k[*]²)$ and k'_I denotes the momentum in the second decay channel. The $K^0\overline{K^0}$ s-wave scattering amplitude depends on the f_0 and a_0 resonance mass and decay couplings, which have been

Fig. 4. Example fits of Eq. [\(6\)](#page-4-0) to the ratio of the data to PYTHIA8 correlation functions for $K_S^0 K_S^0$ in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 5.02$ TeV (left) and 13 TeV (right). Statistical uncertainties are shown as error bars and systematic uncertainties are shown as boxes.

Table 2 The f_0 and a_0 masses and coupling parameters used in the present analysis, all in GeV.

m_{f_0}		$\gamma_{f_0 K \bar{K}} \gamma_{f_0 \pi \pi}$	m_{a}	$\gamma_{a_0K\bar K}$	$\gamma_{a_0\pi\eta}$
0.967	0.34	0.089	1.003	0.8365	0.4580

measured [\[33\]](#page-9-0). The parameter set used in the present analysis is shown in Table 2. The quantity ΔC is a correction for small source sizes found in pp collisions [[2](#page-9-0)], and is given by:

$$
\Delta C = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} R^3} \left[|f_0(k^*)|^2 (\frac{3}{\gamma_0} + \frac{1}{\gamma_1}) + |f_1(k^*)|^2 (\frac{1}{\gamma_0} + \frac{3}{\gamma_1}) \right].
$$
\n(10)

Fig. 4 shows example fits of Eq. ([6\)](#page-4-0) to the ratio of the data to PYTHIA8 correlation functions for $\mathrm{K^0_SK^0_S}$ measured in pp collisions at [√]*^s* ⁼ ⁵*.*02 TeV and 13 TeV. Statistical uncertainties are shown as error bars, and systematic uncertainties are shown as boxes. The statistical uncertainties from PYTHIA8 were propagated to those on the data points. The fits of Eq. (6) (6) to the correlation function ratios provide a good description of the data, typically giving χ^2 /ndf values close to unity. The χ^2 /ndf values of the fits to the $K_S^0 K_S^0$ correlation functions are 1.3 and 2.5, respectively, for the left and the right figures. The large χ^2 /ndf value for the fit shown in the right figure mostly reflects a combination of the small statistical uncertainties in the data and the deviation of the fit in the region $k^* > 0.5$ GeV/c.

4.2. $K^0_S K^{\pm}$

The $\mathrm{K^0_S K^\pm}$ correlation functions were fitted with the expression:

$$
C(k^*) = C_{\text{Lednicky2}}(k^*) C_{\text{baseline}}(k^*)
$$
\n(11)

where *C*Lednicky2*(k*∗*)* is a modified version of Eq. [\(6](#page-4-0)), and $C_{\text{baseline}}(k^*)$ is Eq. [\(3\)](#page-4-0), Eq. [\(4](#page-4-0)) or Eq. ([5](#page-4-0)).

The modified form of the Lednický FSI fit function used is:

$$
C_{\text{Lednicky2}}(k^*) = 1 + \left(\frac{\lambda \alpha}{2}\right) \left[\left| \frac{f(k^*)}{R} \right|^2 + \frac{4\mathcal{R}f(k^*)}{\sqrt{\pi}R} F_1(2k^*R) - \frac{2\mathcal{I}f(k^*)}{R} F_2(2k^*R) + \Delta C' \right].
$$
\n(12)

The scattering amplitude is:

$$
f(k^*) = \frac{\gamma_{a_0 \to K\overline{K}}}{m_{a_0}^2 - s - i(\gamma_{a_0 \to K\overline{K}}k^* + \gamma_{a_0 \to \pi\eta}k_{\pi\eta})}.
$$
(13)

Note that the form of the FSI term in Eq. (12) differs from the form of the FSI term for $K_S^0 K_S^0$ correlations, Eq. ([6](#page-4-0)), by a factor of

1/2 due to the non-identical particles in $K_S^0 K^{\pm}$ correlations and thus the absence of the requirement to symmetrize the wavefunction. The K⁰K⁻ or $\overline{K^0}K^+$ s-wave scattering amplitude depends only on the a_0 resonance mass and decay couplings. The ones used in this analysis are shown in Table 2. The correction due to small source sizes, $\Delta C'$, now becomes:

$$
\Delta C' = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi} R^3} \frac{|f(k^*)|^2}{\gamma_{a_0 \to K\overline{K}}}.
$$
\n(14)

The fitting strategy is to make a 5-parameter fit of Eq. (11) to the K ${}^{0}_{S}$ K^{\pm} experimental correlation functions to extract *R*, *λ*, *a*, *b* and *c* for each baseline functional form.

Fig. [5](#page-6-0) shows examples of correlation functions divided by the Gaussian baseline function, Eq. [\(5\)](#page-4-0), with fits of Eq. (11) for $K_S^0K^{\pm}$, i.e. summed over $K_S^0 K^+$ and $K_S^0 K^-$. The a_0 FSI parameterization coupled with the Gaussian baseline assumption is seen to give a good representation of the signal region of the data, i.e. reproducing the enhancement in the *k*∗ region 0.0–0.1 GeV/*c* and the small dip in the region 0.1–0.3 GeV/*c*. The average χ^2 /ndf for these fits to the correlation functions are 1.04 for the left figure and 1.13 for the right figure. Fits to the data with similarly good χ^2 /ndf values are also found using the exponential and quadratic baselines.

4.3. Systematic uncertainties

Table [3](#page-6-0) shows the total systematic uncertainties of the extracted *R* and λ parameters from the K $^{0}_{S}$ K $^{0}_{S}$ and K $^{0}_{S}$ K $^{\pm}$ analyses. The total systematic uncertainty is generally higher than the statistical one. The total systematic uncertainty is taken as the square-root of the quadratic sum of the systematic uncertainty from the fit and the selection criteria.

The fit systematic uncertainty is the combined systematic uncertainty due to the various baseline assumptions and varying the k^* fit range. For $K_S^0 K_S^0$, it is calculated from the standard deviation of the extracted source parameters from six *k*∗ fit ranges: 0.0–0.3, 0.0–0.4, 0.0–0.5, 0.0–0.6, 0.0–0.7 and 0.0–0.8 GeV/*c*. For $\mathrm{K^0_S K^{\pm} }$ it is calculated from the standard deviation of using the three baseline functions in four *k*∗ fit ranges: 0.0–0.3, 0.0–0.4, 0.0–0.5 and 0.0–0.6 GeV/*c*. The fit values shown in Table [3](#page-6-0) are the average values over these *k*∗ ranges.

The selection systematic uncertainty is the systematic uncertainty related to the various selection criteria applied in the data analysis. To determine this, single particle selection criteria were varied by $\sim \pm 10\%$, and the value chosen for the minimum separation distance of like charge-sign tracks was varied by \sim 20%. The uncertainties in the purity corrections to the *λ* parameters, mentioned earlier, are also included in the selection systematic uncertainty. Taking the upper-limit values of the variations to be conservative, this led to additional uncertainties of 4% for *R* and

Fig. [5](#page-4-0). Example fits using Eq. [\(11\)](#page-5-0) to the ratio of the data in pp collisions at \sqrt{s} = 5.02 TeV (left) and 13 TeV (right) to Eq. (5). Statistical uncertainties are shown as error bars and systematic uncertainties are shown as boxes.

Table 3

Fit results for average *R* and *λ* along with statistical and systematic uncertainties. The *λ* parameters are corrected for particle-pair purity.

8% for *λ*. As seen in Table 3, the fit systematic uncertainty tends to be comparable to or larger than the selection systematic uncertainty, reflecting the scale of uncertainties in determining the non-femtoscopic baseline in pp collisions. The "total quadratic uncertainty" is the square-root of the quadratic sum of the "statistical uncertainty" column and the "total systematic uncertainty" column.

4.4. Momentum resolution

Finite track momentum resolution can smear the relative momentum correlation functions used in this analysis. This effect was taken into account using PYTHIA8+GEANT MC simulations. Two PYTHIA8 correlation functions are built using the generator-level momentum (k^*_{ideal}) and the measured detector-level momentum (*k*∗ meas). Because PYTHIA8 does not incorporate final-state interactions, weights are calculated using a 9*th* -order polynomial fit in *k*∗ to an experimental correlation function and used when filling the same-event distributions. These weights are calculated using *k*_{†deal}. Then, the ratio of the "ideal" correlation function to the "measured" one for each *k*[∗] bin is multiplied by the data correlation functions before the fit procedure. It is found that, due to the large *k*∗ bin size of 20 MeV/*c* which is used in the analysis of pp collisions, the correction has a small effect on the lowest *k*∗ bin with the largest statistical error bars, and a negligible effect on the remaining bins. Thus, the momentum resolution correction was found to have a *<* 2% effect on the extracted fit parameters.

5. Results and discussion

The extracted source parameters for $K_S^0 K_S^0$ and $K_S^0 K^{\pm}$, where $K_S^0 K^+$ and $K_S^0 K^-$ have been summed over, are shown in Table 3 and in Fig. [6.](#page-7-0) The *λ* parameters are corrected for particle-pair purity. Fig. [6](#page-7-0) shows comparisons of the present results for *R* and *λ* in pp collisions at \sqrt{s} = 5.02 and 13 TeV with published two-kaon femtoscopic results measured in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV [[6](#page-9-0)].

For the *R* parameters, two observations can clearly be made: 1) there is no significant dependence on \sqrt{s} , i.e. all extracted values are \sim 1 fm, and 2) the values extracted from $K_S^0K_S^0$ and $K_S^0K^{\pm}$ for ^a given √*^s* agree within uncertainties, as would be expected. It is expected that *R* from K $^0_{\rm S}$ K $^0_{\rm S}$ and K $^0_{\rm S}$ K $^{\pm}$ would agree with each other if a) the K^0_S and K^{\pm} are produced in the same source geometry, and b) Eqs. (6) (6) (6) and (7) properly describe the pair interactions. Point a) is expected to be true due to isospin invariance of the strong interaction that produces the kaons in the pp collision, and point b) is supported by the overall good fits that Eqs. (6) (6) and (7) (7) (7) are seen to give to the experimental correlation functions. The *R* parameter is essentially independent of √*s*. While *^R* in general depends on pseudorapidity density also in pp collisions [\[28–30](#page-9-0)], the increase expected from the slow logarithmic rise of pseudorapidity density with √*^s* is well within our experimental uncertainties.

The extracted λ parameters in Fig. [6](#page-7-0) suggest that: 1) the values do not depend significantly on \sqrt{s} , 2) the values for $K_S^0K_S^0$ are in the usual range seen in femtoscopy experiments of *λ* ∼ 0.7–0.8, whereas 3) the values for $K_S^0 K^{\pm}$ are significantly smaller being *λ* ∼ 0.3–0.4, consistent with the 7 TeV results. Fig. [7](#page-7-0) shows the

Fig. [6](#page-9-0). *R* (left) and *λ* (right) parameters extracted in the present analysis from Table [3](#page-6-0) compared with published K 0_S K 0_S and K 0_S K $^\pm$ results from ALICE 7 TeV pp collisions [6] averaged over event multiplicity and evaluated at $\langle k_T \rangle = 0.6$ GeV/*c*. Statistical uncertainties are shown as error bars and the systematic uncertainties are shown as boxes.

Table 4

List of mesons from the Review of Particle Physics [\[34](#page-9-0)] with masses *<* 1500 MeV/*c*² that have decay channels into kaons with significant branching ratios.

Name	Mass (MeV/ c^2)	Γ (MeV/ c^2)	Kaon decays	$\hbar c/\Gamma$ (fm)
$K^*(892)$	891.67	51.4	$K\pi$ (100%)	3.839
$\phi(1020)$	1019.46	4.249	K^+K^- (49.2%), $K_I^0K_S^0$ (34%)	46.4
$K_1(1270)$	1253	\sim 100	$K\rho$ (42%)	1.973
$K_0^*(1430)$	1425	270	$K\pi~(\sim 100\%)$	0.731
$K_2^*(1430)$	1427.3	100	$K\pi$ (50%), KX (12%)	1.973

Fig. 7. Differences in *λ* parameters extracted in the present analysis from Table [3](#page-6-0) compared with published identical and non-identical kaon results from ALICE 7 TeV pp collisions averaged over event multiplicity and evaluated at $\langle k_T \rangle = 0.6$ GeV/*c*. Total uncertainties are shown. The weighted average of the differences is shown as a solid red line and the weighted uncertainty, $\pm\sigma$, shown as red dashed lines.

difference between purity-corrected *λ* parameters extracted with $K_S^0 K_S^0$ and $K_S^0 K^{\pm}$ versus \sqrt{s} . The propagated total uncertainty is indicated on these points. Also shown is the weighted average of these points, weighted by their total uncertainties. It is assumed that the total uncertainties of the $K_S^0 K_S^0$ and $K_S^0 K^{\pm}$ measurements are uncorrelated. This is considered a reasonable assumption given the differences in the kaon pairs and the equations used to extract the source parameters. The weighted average of the differences is calculated to be 0.419 ± 0.091 , which is 4.6σ from zero.

There are three main technical factors that, while having a small effect on the *R* parameter, can significantly affect the value of the *λ* parameter: 1) the experimental kaon reconstruction purity, 2) the degree to which a Gaussian distribution describes the kaon source, and 3) the presence of kaons originating from the decay of long-lived resonances diluting the direct-kaon sample [\[6\]](#page-9-0). The effect of factor 1) is already corrected for by having divided the extracted *λ* values by the products of the single-kaon purities given in Section [2.1.](#page-2-0) As seen in Figs. [4](#page-5-0) and [5,](#page-6-0) the Lednický equation, which uses a Gaussian source, fits the experimental correlation functions well, an observation that is supported by the good *χ*²*/*ndf values given above, minimizing the effect of 2). The effects from factor 3) are discussed in the following section.

5.1. Effect of presence of long-lived resonances

Table 4 gives a list of mesons from the Review of Particle Physics $[34]$ $[34]$ $[34]$ with masses $<$ 1500 MeV/ $c²$ that have decay channels into kaons with significant branching ratios. The two lowest-lying mesons, the K^{*}(892) and the ϕ (1020), are the most abundantly produced and have the narrowest widths, and so are expected to have the greatest effect on the values of the extracted kaon source parameters, which reflect both the kaons produced from the decays of resonances as well as the kaons produced directly from the pp collision. Since the mean decay lengths of the K∗ and *φ* are about 4 fm and 50 fm, respectively (see Table 4), these decays result in effective sources of kaons which are much larger than the expected size of the direct kaon source in pp collisions of about 1 fm. Thus, the effect of these should be mostly to reduce the extracted *λ* parameter. This is due to the correlation function for the smaller-sized direct source being wider in *k*∗ and so dominating the extracted *R* [\[35\]](#page-9-0). Thus, the kaons from these resonances only make an overall suppression of the correlation function.

The dilution effect on the *λ* parameter due to the K∗*(*892*)* and *φ*(1020) decays can be estimated from K[∗]0/K and *φ*/K ratio mea-surements from ALICE [36-[39](#page-10-0)]. Table [5](#page-8-0) shows the measurements of these quantities relevant to the present estimate. As shown in the table, the measured ratios for both K^{*0}/K and ϕ/K are independent of the collision energy and independent of the decay-kaon charge state within the measurement uncertainties. For the present calculation, the ratios from Refs. [\[38,39\]](#page-10-0) are used since they are taken with an average p_T close to the average k_T of 0.6 GeV/*c* used in this analysis. Whereas the *φ* only has one charge state for each of its decay channels, as seen in Table 4, and is its own antiparticle, the K∗ has four charge states, and three unique sets of decay charge-state channels, as shown in Table [6,](#page-8-0) which is taken into account in the present calculation. Using the numbers in Ta-bles 4, [5](#page-8-0), and [6,](#page-8-0) the direct-kaon purity for K⁺, K⁻ and K⁰_S, defined as $P(K^{+})$, $P(K^{-})$ and $P(K^{0}_{S})$, respectively, where $P(K^{+}) = P(K^{-})$ $\equiv P(K^{\pm})$, are calculated to be $P(K^{\pm}) = 0.726$, and $P(K_{\text{S}}^{0}) = 0.757$.

In the calculations, it has been assumed that the ratios K^{*0}/K^{\pm} $= K^{*\pm}/K^{\pm}$ and $K^{*0}/K_S^0 = K^{*\pm}/K_S^0$. The "diluted" λ parameters can then be estimated for $K_S^0 K_S^0$ as $P(K_S^0)P(K_S^0) = 0.57 \pm 0.02$ and for

Table 5

ALICE measurements of K∗(892)/K and *φ*(1020)/K ratios. The uncertainties given are the statistical and systematic uncertainties combined in quadrature.

Ref.	Collision	K*(892)/K	$\phi(1020)$ /K	Average p_T (GeV/c)	Trigger
[36]	2.76 TeV pp	K^{*0}/K^- 0.31 \pm 0.04	ϕ /K ⁻ 0.11 \pm 0.01	\sim 1	inelastic
[37]	7 TeV pp	K^{*0}/K^- 0.35 \pm 0.04	ϕ /K ⁻ 0.11 \pm 0.02	\sim 1	inelastic
[38]	13 TeV pp	K^{*0}/K_c^0 0.34 \pm 0.01	ϕ /K ⁰ 0.11 ± 0.01	0.6	low multiplicity
[39]	5.02 TeV pp	K^{*0}/K^{\pm} 0.29 \pm 0.02	ϕ /K ^{\pm} 0.08 \pm 0.02	~ 0.6	inelastic

Table 6

Decay modes of the charge states of the K^{*}(892). Note that the K⁰ is made up of 50% $\mathrm{K^0_S}$ and 50% $\mathrm{K^0_L}.$

$K^*(892)$ charge state	Decay channels	Comment
K^{*+}	$K^+\pi^0$, $K^0\pi^+$	each channel 50%
K^{*-}	$K^{-}\pi^{0}$, $K^{0}\pi^{-}$	each channel 50%
K^{*0} . \bar{K}^{*0}	$K^+\pi^-$, $K^-\pi^+$, $K^0\pi^0$	each channel 33.3%

 $K_S^0 K^{\pm}$ as $P(K_S^0)P(K^{\pm}) = 0.55 \pm 0.02$. The effect of these long-lived resonances is seen to be of the same magnitude, within the un- ϵ certainties, for $K_S^0 K_S^0$ and $K_S^0 K^{\pm}$. The estimate is $\sim 1-2\sigma$ lower than the purity-corrected λ values measured with $K^0_S K^0_S$, however it is \sim 3–4 σ larger than the values measured in K $_{S}^{0}K^{\pm}$. Thus, the dilution effects on λ by the K^{*}(892) and ϕ (1020) cannot explain the small values for λ measured in pp collisions in $\mathrm{K^0_S K^\pm}$ femtoscopy.

5.2. Physics explanations for differences of λ parameters

Since the technical factors discussed above affecting the extracted λ values should affect the values from $K_S^0K_S^0$ and $K_S^0K^{\pm}$ in the same way, their difference can be ascribed to a physics effect. It is important to first compare the *λ* parameters extracted in the present work to those measured in other published KK femtoscopic studies. In Pb–Pb collisions, *λ* is measured to be ∼0.7 for $\rm\,K_S^0K_S^0$, $\rm\,K^{\pm}K^{\pm}$ and $\rm\,K_S^0K^{\pm}$ [[7](#page-9-0)], similar to what is measured for $\rm\,K_S^0K_S^0$ presented here, and close to the estimate made for the resonance dilution effect. For K±K± femtoscopy in pp and pPb collisions, *λ* is measured to be in the range 0.4–0.5, which is smaller than for $\mathrm{K^0_SK^0_S}$ presented here [\[40](#page-10-0)]. Note that one expects $\mathrm{K^\pm K^\pm}$ to be somewhat smaller than $K_S^0 K_S^0$ on the basis of the resonance dilution effect, since for $K^{\pm}K^{\pm}$ the λ is estimated to be $P(K^{\pm})P(K^{\pm})$ = 0.53 as compared with $P(K_S^0)P(K_S^0) = 0.57$ estimated for $K_S^0K_S^0$. As to why the λ parameters in $K^{\pm}K^{\pm}$ in pp and p–Pb collisions are smaller than in Pb–Pb collisions, Ref. [[40\]](#page-10-0) suggests that this could be due to the kaon source being more Gaussian in Pb–Pb collisions.

As discussed in Ref. $[6]$ $[6]$, a physics effect that could cause the difference in λ values is related to the possibility that the a_0 resonance, that is solely responsible for the FSI in the $K^0_S K^{\pm}$ pair, is a tetraquark state of the form $(q_1, \overline{q_2}, s, \overline{s})$ instead of a diquark state of the form $(q_1, \overline{q_2})$, where q_1 and q_2 are *u* or *d* quarks. The strength of the FSI through a tetraquark a_0 could be decreased by the small source size of the kaon source, i.e. *R* ∼ 1 fm as measured in this analysis, since $s - \overline{s}$ annihilation would be enhanced due to the close creation proximity. For a FSI through a diquark a_0 , with the form $(q_1, \overline{q_2})$, the small source geometry should not reduce its strength. For the $K_S^0 K_S^0$ case, λ would not be affected much by a tetraquark a_0 since the enhancement in the correlation function near *k*[∗] ∼ 0 is dominated by the effect of quantum statistics. Note that for the large kaon source measured in Pb–Pb collisions to have *R* ∼ 6 fm, the situation would be reversed. The large average separation between the kaons would favor the formation of a tetraquark a_0 and suppress the formation of a diquark a_0 , and a larger *λ* ∼ 0*.*6 is indeed measured in that case, as already mentioned above. Thus, we can conclude that, as was the case with the published \sqrt{s} = 7 TeV result, the present results in pp colli-

sions at \sqrt{s} = 5.02 and 13 TeV are compatible with the a_0 being a tetraquark state.

6. Summary

In summary, femtoscopic correlations with the particle pair combinations $K_S^0 K_S^0$ and $K_S^0 K^{\pm}$ are studied in pp collisions at \sqrt{s} = 5*.*02 and 13 TeV for the first time by the ALICE experiment at the LHC. By fitting models that assume a Gaussian size distribution of the kaon source to the experimental two-particle correlation functions, kaon source parameters are extracted. The model used for the $K_S^0 K_S^0$ case includes quantum statistics and strong final-state interactions through the f_0 and a_0 resonances. The model used for the $K_S^0 K^{\pm}$ case involves only the final-state interaction through the a_0 resonance. In both cases, the models gave a good fit to the experimental correlation functions. Source parameters extracted in the present work are compared with published values from ALICE measured in pp collisions at \sqrt{s} = 7 TeV and found to be consistent, i.e. there is no significant dependence of either *R* or *λ* on the collision energy. The new results are compatible with the a_0 resonance being a tetraquark state due to the λ parameter for $K_S^0 K^{\pm}$ being significantly smaller than for $K_S^0K_S^0$.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgements

The ALICE Collaboration would like to thank all its engineers and technicians for their invaluable contributions to the construction of the experiment and the CERN accelerator teams for the outstanding performance of the LHC complex. The ALICE Collaboration gratefully acknowledges the resources and support provided by all Grid centres and the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid (WLCG) collaboration. The ALICE Collaboration acknowledges the following funding agencies for their support in building and running the ALICE detector: A. I. Alikhanyan National Science Laboratory (Yerevan Physics Institute) Foundation (ANSL), State Committee of Science and World Federation of Scientists (WFS), Armenia; Austrian Academy of Sciences, Austrian Science Fund (FWF): [M 2467-N36] and Nationalstiftung für Forschung, Technologie und Entwicklung, Austria; Ministry of Communications and High Technologies, National Nuclear Research Center, Azerbaijan; Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), Financiadora de Estudos e Projetos (Finep), Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP) and Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS), Brazil; Ministry of Education of China (MOEC), Ministry of Science & Technology of China (MSTC) and National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC), China; Ministry of Science and Education and Croatian Science Foundation, Croatia; Centro de Aplicaciones Tecnológicas y Desarrollo Nuclear (CEADEN), Cubaenergía, Cuba; Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic, Czech Republic; The Danish Council for Independent Research | Natural Sciences, the Villum Fonden and Danish National Research Foundation (DNRF), Denmark; Helsinki Institute of Physics (HIP), Finland; Commissariat à l'Energie Atomique (CEA) and Institut National de Physique Nucléaire et de Physique des Particules (IN2P3) and Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), France; Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung (BMBF) and GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung GmbH, Germany; General Secretariat for Research and Technology, Ministry of Education, Research and Religions, Greece; National Research, Development and Innovation Office, Hungary; Department of Atomic Energy Government of India (DAE), Department of Science and Technology, Government of India (DST), University Grants Commission, Government of India (UGC) and Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), India; Indonesian Institute of Sciences, Indonesia; Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN), Italy; Japanese Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT), Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) KAKENHI and Japanese Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT) of Applied Science (IIST), Japan; Consejo Nacional de Ciencia (CONA-CYT) y Tecnología, through Fondo de Cooperación Internacional en Ciencia y Tecnología (FONCICYT) and Dirección General de Asuntos del Personal Academico (DGAPA), Mexico; Nederlandse Organisatie voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek (NWO), Netherlands; The Research Council of Norway, Norway; Commission on Science and Technology for Sustainable Development in the South (COMSATS), Pakistan; Pontificia Universidad Católica del Perú, Peru; Ministry of Education and Science, National Science Centre and WUT ID-UB, Poland; Korea Institute of Science and Technology Information and National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF), Republic of Korea; Ministry of Education and Scientific Research, Institute of Atomic Physics, Romania and Ministry of Research and Innovation and Institute of Atomic Physics and University Politehnica of Bucharest, Romania; Joint Institute for Nuclear Research (JINR), Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation, National Research Centre Kurchatov Institute, Russian Science Foundation and Russian Foundation for Basic Research, Russia; Ministry of Education, Science, Research and Sport of the Slovak Republic, Slovakia; National Research Foundation of South Africa, South Africa; Swedish Research Council (VR) and Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation (KAW), Sweden; European Organization for Nuclear Research, Switzerland; Suranaree University of Technology (SUT), National Science and Technology Development Agency (NSDTA) and Office of the Higher Education Commission under NRU project of Thailand, Thailand; Turkish Energy, Nuclear and Mineral Research Agency (TENMAK), Turkey; National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Ukraine; Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC), United Kingdom; National Science Foundation of the United States of America (NSF) and United States Department of Energy, Office of Nuclear Physics (DOE NP), United States of America.

References

- [1] M.A. Lisa, S. Pratt, R. Soltz, U. [Wiedemann,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bibB9E4A56DD2ED74B26066DB78D5156A07s1) Femtoscopy in relativistic heavy ion collisions, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 55 (2005) 357–402, [arXiv:nucl-ex/](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bibB9E4A56DD2ED74B26066DB78D5156A07s1) [0505014.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bibB9E4A56DD2ED74B26066DB78D5156A07s1)
- [2] STAR Collaboration, B.I. Abelev, et al., Neutral kaon [interferometry](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib4BBB2F5883AEA8C4578EE27827C1FA39s1) in Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 200 GeV, Phys. Rev. C 74 (2006) 054902, [arXiv:nucl-ex/](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib4BBB2F5883AEA8C4578EE27827C1FA39s1) [0608012.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib4BBB2F5883AEA8C4578EE27827C1FA39s1)
- [3] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib9093305172EDD0BE3DF649CFB6FF08A8s1) B. Abelev, et al., $K_s^0 K_s^0$ \sqrt{s} = 7 TeV from the LHC ALICE [experiment,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib9093305172EDD0BE3DF649CFB6FF08A8s1) Phys. Lett. B 717 (2012) 151–161, [arXiv:1206.2056 \[hep-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib9093305172EDD0BE3DF649CFB6FF08A8s1)
- [4] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib121AF6DA47B7CC1871093590115F99B6s1) B. Abelev, et al., Charged kaon femtoscopic correlations in *pp* collisions at \sqrt{s} = 7 TeV, Phys. Rev. D 87 (5) (2013) 052016, [arXiv:1212.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib121AF6DA47B7CC1871093590115F99B6s1) [5958 \[hep-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib121AF6DA47B7CC1871093590115F99B6s1)
- [5] ALICE Collaboration, J. Adam, et al., [One-dimensional](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib9179076BBE900A8A4D6F262F215B35D4s1) pion, kaon, and proton [femtoscopy](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib9179076BBE900A8A4D6F262F215B35D4s1) in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 2.76 TeV, Phys. Rev. C 92 (5) (2015) 054908, [arXiv:1506.07884 \[nucl-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib9179076BBE900A8A4D6F262F215B35D4s1)
- [6] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bibA8DD2CCB2CCA6DD3DE00A05D79FCF252s1) S. Acharya, et al., Measuring $K_S^0 K^{\pm}$ interactions using pp collisions at \sqrt{s} = 7 TeV, Phys. Lett. B 790 (2019) 22–34, [arXiv:1809.07899](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bibA8DD2CCB2CCA6DD3DE00A05D79FCF252s1) [\[nucl-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bibA8DD2CCB2CCA6DD3DE00A05D79FCF252s1)
- [7] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bibDCD70AABD95491BF3DF5A8BC371012EEs1) S. Acharya, et al., Measuring $K_S^0 K^{\pm}$ interactions using Pb-Pb collisions at √*s*_{NN} = 2.76 TeV, Phys. Lett. B 774 (2017) 64–77, [arXiv:1705.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bibDCD70AABD95491BF3DF5A8BC371012EEs1) [04929 \[nucl-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bibDCD70AABD95491BF3DF5A8BC371012EEs1)
- [8] NPLQCD [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib5967F81B1731E0A4E3D3F15078985774s1) S.R. Beane, et al., The $K+K+$ scattering length from lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. D 77 (2008) 094507, [arXiv:0709.1169 \[hep-lat\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib5967F81B1731E0A4E3D3F15078985774s1)
- [9] R.L. Jaffe, Multi-quark hadrons. 1. The [phenomenology](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib08B522B09E2ED3788030C58E0D887C56s1) of qqqq mesons, Phys. Rev. D 15 [\(1977\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib08B522B09E2ED3788030C58E0D887C56s1) 267.
- [10] M.G. Alford, R.L. Jaffe, Insight into the scalar mesons from a lattice [calculation,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bibFBA98F4526D0B6DD774BC8C7379771B8s1) Nucl. Phys. B 578 (2000) 367–382, [arXiv:hep-lat/0001023.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bibFBA98F4526D0B6DD774BC8C7379771B8s1)
- [11] E. Santopinto, G. Galata, [Spectroscopy](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib80A7C98B9AE63EE9A190A01CD466966Es1) of tetraquark states, Phys. Rev. C 75 (2007) 045206, [arXiv:hep-ph/0605333.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib80A7C98B9AE63EE9A190A01CD466966Es1)
- [12] S. [Narison,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib1C30EFA473A2346C212B9A8530100798s1) Light scalar mesons in OCD, Nucl. Phys. B, Proc. Suppl. 186 (2009) 306–311, [arXiv:0811.0563 \[hep-ph\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib1C30EFA473A2346C212B9A8530100798s1)
- [13] N. Achasov, A. Kiselev, Light scalar mesons and two-kaon [correlation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bibBED1915455892A1C90EB4D302570E48Fs1) functions, Phys. Rev. D 97 (3) (2018) 036015, [arXiv:1711.08777 \[hep-ph\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bibBED1915455892A1C90EB4D302570E48Fs1)
- [14] K. Azizi, B. [Barsbay,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib9908CF67EC31AD768F83214CB1BE51BFs1) H. Sundu, Light scalar *K*∗ ⁰ *(*700*)* meson in vacuum and a hot medium, Phys. Rev. D 100 (9) (2019) 094041, [arXiv:1909.00716 \[hep-ph\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib9908CF67EC31AD768F83214CB1BE51BFs1)
- [15] Hadron Spectrum [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib0F2A6A1AA6190EFA83552117BDC16C1As1) J.J. Dudek, R.G. Edwards, D.J. Wilson, An *a*⁰ [resonance](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib0F2A6A1AA6190EFA83552117BDC16C1As1) in strongly coupled $\pi \eta$, $K \overline{K}$ scattering from lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. D 93 (9) (2016) 094506, [arXiv:1602.05122 \[hep-ph\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib0F2A6A1AA6190EFA83552117BDC16C1As1)
- [16] R.A. Briceno, J.J. Dudek, R.G. Edwards, D.J. Wilson, Isoscalar *ππ* [scattering](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib0214A60997BF84C7D3FAAFC8FB394CE0s1) and the *σ* meson [resonance](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib0214A60997BF84C7D3FAAFC8FB394CE0s1) from QCD, Phys. Rev. Lett. 118 (2) (2017) 022002, [arXiv:1607.05900 \[hep-ph\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib0214A60997BF84C7D3FAAFC8FB394CE0s1)
- [17] F.-K. Guo, L. Liu, U.-G. Meissner, P. Wang, [Tetraquarks,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bibE1D0887EBFE189E30678CA48149913CDs1) hadronic molecules, [meson-meson](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bibE1D0887EBFE189E30678CA48149913CDs1) scattering and disconnected contributions in lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. D 88 (2013) 074506, [arXiv:1308.2545 \[hep-lat\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bibE1D0887EBFE189E30678CA48149913CDs1)
- [18] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib74324F3A25C36872BACFC3C10296A2D0s1) K. Aamodt, et al., The ALICE experiment at the CERN LHC, J. [Instrum.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib74324F3A25C36872BACFC3C10296A2D0s1) 3 (2008) S08002.
- [19] T. [Sjostrand,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib8E0BC180543D5F603283642FE92FCE87s1) S. Mrenna, P. Skands, PYTHIA 6.4 physics and manual, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2006) 026, [arXiv:hep-ph/0603175.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib8E0BC180543D5F603283642FE92FCE87s1)
- [20] P. Skands, S. [Carrazza,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib303AFAB922CD5DFF46850B87BD569A7Fs1) J. Rojo, Tuning PYTHIA 8.1: the Monash 2013 tune, Eur. Phys. J. C 74 (8) (2014) 3024, [arXiv:1404.5630 \[hep-ph\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib303AFAB922CD5DFF46850B87BD569A7Fs1)
- [21] R. Brun, F. Bruyant, F. Carminati, S. Giani, M. Maire, A. [McPherson,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib125D21B0EC692A9518E57162A72935DDs1) G. Patrick, L. Urban, GEANT Detector [Description](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib125D21B0EC692A9518E57162A72935DDs1) and Simulation Tool, CERN Document [Server,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib125D21B0EC692A9518E57162A72935DDs1) 10, 1994.
- [22] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bibE03C419B41FFB2B22D749E4A5A796D1Fs1) B. Abelev, et al., Centrality dependence of *π*, K, p production in Pb-Pb [collisions](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bibE03C419B41FFB2B22D749E4A5A796D1Fs1) at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 2.76 TeV, Phys. Rev. C 88 (2013) 044910, [arXiv:1303.0737 \[hep-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bibE03C419B41FFB2B22D749E4A5A796D1Fs1)
- [23] ALICE Collaboration, B. Abelev, et al., Centrality [determination](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib5559217F90EBA3258422BEDEB450741Cs1) of Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 2.76 TeV with ALICE, Phys. Rev. C 88 (4) (2013) [044909,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib5559217F90EBA3258422BEDEB450741Cs1) [arXiv:1301.4361 \[nucl-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib5559217F90EBA3258422BEDEB450741Cs1)
- [24] J. Alme, et al., The ALICE TPC, a large [3-dimensional](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib863E6A7A1BDBC24A8D03953F3851B9E6s1) tracking device with fast readout for ultra-high [multiplicity](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib863E6A7A1BDBC24A8D03953F3851B9E6s1) events, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 622 (2010) 316–367, [arXiv:1001.1950 \[physics.ins-det\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib863E6A7A1BDBC24A8D03953F3851B9E6s1)
- [25] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib245E8B4986859DCFED1E9D68A73C4534s1) B. Alessandro, et al., ALICE: physics performance report, volume II, J. Phys. G 32 (2006) [1295–2040.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib245E8B4986859DCFED1E9D68A73C4534s1)
- [26] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib1C40B21E544639126654DEEB3C9BBDA7s1) B.B. Abelev, et al., Performance of the ALICE experiment at the CERN LHC, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 29 (2014) 1430044, [arXiv:1402.4476 \[nucl](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib1C40B21E544639126654DEEB3C9BBDA7s1)[ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib1C40B21E544639126654DEEB3C9BBDA7s1)
- [27] A. Akindinov, et al., Performance of the ALICE [Time-Of-Flight](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib70727467936DD8D22F6F5DFF46020121s1) detector at the LHC, Eur. Phys. J. Plus 128 [\(2013\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib70727467936DD8D22F6F5DFF46020121s1) 44.
- [28] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib93805BFC44EECC7791F36F82EA7F8D6Es1) S. Acharya, et al., Event-shape and multiplicity dependence of [freeze-out](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib93805BFC44EECC7791F36F82EA7F8D6Es1) radii in pp collisions at \sqrt{s} = 7 TeV, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2019) 108, [arXiv:1901.05518 \[nucl-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib93805BFC44EECC7791F36F82EA7F8D6Es1)
- [29] ALICE Collaboration, S. Acharya, et al., [Charged-particle](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bibA14692696D01E34CE7DEB7367AC5B632s1) production as a function of [multiplicity](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bibA14692696D01E34CE7DEB7367AC5B632s1) and transverse spherocity in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 5.02$ and 13 TeV, Eur. Phys. J. C 79 (10) (2019) 857, [arXiv:1905.07208 \[nucl-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bibA14692696D01E34CE7DEB7367AC5B632s1)
- [30] ALICE Collaboration, K. Aamodt, et al., [Charged-particle](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bibCD05C403EC5B151A6F0F0A8E85DE3D5Cs1) multiplicity measurement in [proton-proton](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bibCD05C403EC5B151A6F0F0A8E85DE3D5Cs1) collisions at \sqrt{s} = 7 TeV with ALICE at LHC, Eur. Phys. J. C 68 (2010) 345–354, [arXiv:1004.3514 \[hep-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bibCD05C403EC5B151A6F0F0A8E85DE3D5Cs1)
- [31] R. Lednický, V. Lyuboshits, Final state interaction effect on pairing [correlations](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib9B7C6A79758FDCB9CD5E71A9F70FCD9As1) between particles with small relative [momenta,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib9B7C6A79758FDCB9CD5E71A9F70FCD9As1) Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 35 (1982) [770.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib9B7C6A79758FDCB9CD5E71A9F70FCD9As1)
- [32] R. Lednický, Correlation [femtoscopy,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib8770F9BD92063A238D059513B9AD7733s1) Nucl. Phys. A 774 (2006) 189-198, arXiv: [nucl-th/0510020.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib8770F9BD92063A238D059513B9AD7733s1)
- [33] N.N. [Achasov,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bibCB1BC6F105797A50FE4EAF8EEFDC4D3As1) A.V. Kiselev, The new analysis of the KLOE data on the phi → eta pi0 gamma decay, Phys. Rev. D 68 (2003) 014006, [arXiv:hep-ph/0212153.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bibCB1BC6F105797A50FE4EAF8EEFDC4D3As1)
- [34] Particle Data Group [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib217628259B3C26CB553327D14AD000EBs1) P.A. Zyla, et al., Review of Particle Physics, PTEP [2020 \(8\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib217628259B3C26CB553327D14AD000EBs1) (2020) 083C01.
- [35] T.J. Humanic, [Hanbury-Brown-Twiss interferometry](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib5FB233FA256AA355CB8E4521D130C5ACs1) with identical bosons in relativistic heavy ion collisions: [comparisons](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib5FB233FA256AA355CB8E4521D130C5ACs1) with hadronic scattering models, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E 15 (2006) 197–236, [arXiv:nucl-th/0510049.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib5FB233FA256AA355CB8E4521D130C5ACs1)
- [36] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bibAEFADA5E8BDE937A2E67A4B24189A4D0s1) J. Adam, et al., $K^*(892)^0$ and $\phi(1020)$ meson production at high transverse [momentum](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bibAEFADA5E8BDE937A2E67A4B24189A4D0s1) in pp and Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 2.76 TeV, Phys. Rev. C 95 (6) (2017) 064606, [arXiv:1702.00555 \[nucl-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bibAEFADA5E8BDE937A2E67A4B24189A4D0s1)
- [37] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib7AFDAD304211DEEDE7F46655BB750AC3s1) B. Abelev, et al., Production of $K^*(892)^0$ and $\phi(1020)$ in *pp* collisions at √*s* = 7 TeV, Eur. Phys. J. C 72 (2012) 2183, [arXiv:1208.5717](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib7AFDAD304211DEEDE7F46655BB750AC3s1) [\[hep-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib7AFDAD304211DEEDE7F46655BB750AC3s1)
- [38] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib3AFE35952857EA53CFBE396CE63C5CD4s1) S. Acharya, et al., Multiplicity dependence of K*(892)⁰ and ϕ (1020) [production](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib3AFE35952857EA53CFBE396CE63C5CD4s1) in pp collisions at \sqrt{s} = 13 TeV, Phys. Lett. B 807 (2020) 135501, [arXiv:1910.14397 \[nucl-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib3AFE35952857EA53CFBE396CE63C5CD4s1)

ALICE Collaboration

- [39] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib33D05E1F789CBE6AAE9B40312B0559E5s1) S. Acharya, et al., Evidence of rescattering effect in PbPb collisions at the LHC through [production](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib33D05E1F789CBE6AAE9B40312B0559E5s1) of $K^*(892)^0$ and $\phi(1020)$ mesons, Phys. Lett. B 802 (2020) 135225, [arXiv:1910.14419 \[nucl-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib33D05E1F789CBE6AAE9B40312B0559E5s1)
- [40] ALICE Collaboration, S. Acharya, et al., [One-dimensional](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib318928C6295D7BFBF22EDA8E615FF57Es1) charged kaon femtoscopy in p-Pb [collisions](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib318928C6295D7BFBF22EDA8E615FF57Es1) at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 5.02 TeV, Phys. Rev. C 100 (2) (2019) 024002, [arXiv:1903.12310 \[nucl-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00469-5/bib318928C6295D7BFBF22EDA8E615FF57Es1)

S. Acharya ^{[143](#page-14-0)}, D. Adamová ^{[97](#page-14-0)}, A. Adler ^{[75](#page-14-0)}, J. Adolfsson ^{[82](#page-14-0)}, G. Aglieri Rinella ^{[34](#page-13-0)}, M. Agnello ^{[30](#page-13-0)}, N. Agrawal 54 54 54 , Z. Ahammed 143 143 143 , S. Ahmad 16 16 16 , S.U. Ahn 77 77 77 , I. Ahuja 38 38 38 , Z. Akbar 51 51 51 , A. Akindinov 94 94 94 , M. Al-Turany 109 109 109 , S.N. Alam 16 16 16 , D. Aleksandrov 90 90 90 , B. Alessandro 60 60 60 , H.M. Alfanda 7 7 , R. Alfaro Molina 72 72 72 , B. Ali ^{[16](#page-13-0)}, Y. Ali ^{[14](#page-13-0)}, A. Alici ^{[25](#page-13-0)}, N. Alizadehvandchali ^{[126](#page-14-0)}, A. Alkin ^{[34](#page-13-0)}, J. Alme ^{[21](#page-13-0)}, G. Alocco ^{[55](#page-13-0)}, T. Alt ^{[69](#page-13-0)}, I. Altsybeev 114 114 114 , M.N. Anaam 7 7 , C. Andrei 48 48 48 , D. Andreou 92 92 92 , A. Andronic 146 146 146 , M. Angeletti 34 34 34 , V. Anguelov 106 106 106 , F. Antinori 57 57 57 , P. Antonioli 54 54 54 , C. Anuj 16 16 16 , N. Apadula 81 81 81 , L. Aphecetche 116 116 116 , H. Appelshäuser 69 69 69 , S. Arcelli 25 25 25 , R. Arnaldi 60 60 60 , I.C. Arsene 20 20 20 , M. Arslandok 148 148 148 , A. Augustinus 34 34 34 , R. Averbeck [109](#page-14-0), S. Aziz [79](#page-14-0), M.D. Azmi [16](#page-13-0), A. Badalà [56](#page-13-0), Y.W. Baek [41](#page-13-0), X. Bai [130](#page-14-0)*,*[109](#page-14-0), R. Bailhache [69](#page-13-0), Y. Bailung ^{[50](#page-13-0)}, R. Bala ^{[103](#page-14-0)}, A. Balbino ^{[30](#page-13-0)}, A. Baldisseri ^{[140](#page-14-0)}, B. Balis ^{[2](#page-13-0)}, D. Banerjee ^{[4](#page-13-0)}, Z. Banoo ¹⁰³, R. Barbera ^{[26](#page-13-0)}, L. Barioglio ^{[107](#page-14-0)}, M. Barlou ^{[86](#page-14-0)}, G.G. Barnaföldi ^{[147](#page-14-0)}, L.S. Barnby ^{[96](#page-14-0)}, V. Barret ^{[137](#page-14-0)}, C. Bartels ^{[129](#page-14-0)}, K. Barth ^{[34](#page-13-0)}, E. Bartsch ^{[69](#page-13-0)}, F. Baruffaldi ^{[27](#page-13-0)}, N. Bastid ^{[137](#page-14-0)}, S. Basu ^{[82](#page-14-0)}, G. Batigne ^{[116](#page-14-0)}, B. Batyunya ^{[76](#page-14-0)}, D. Bauri 49 49 49 , J.L. Bazo Alba 113 113 113 , I.G. Bearden 91 91 91 , C. Beattie 148 148 148 , P. Becht 109 109 109 , I. Belikov 139 139 139 , A.D.C. Bell Hechavarria [146](#page-14-0), F. Bellini [25](#page-13-0), R. Bellwied [126](#page-14-0), S. Belokurova [114](#page-14-0), V. Belyaev [95](#page-14-0), G. Bencedi [147](#page-14-0)*,*[70](#page-13-0), S. Beole 24 24 24 , A. Bercuci 48 48 48 , Y. Berdnikov 100 100 100 , A. Berdnikova 106 106 106 , L. Bergmann 106 , M.G. Besoiu 68 68 68 , L. Betev 34 34 34 , P.P. Bhaduri ^{[143](#page-14-0)}, A. Bhasin ^{[103](#page-14-0)}, I.R. Bhat ¹⁰³, M.A. Bhat ^{[4](#page-13-0)}, B. Bhattacharjee ^{[42](#page-13-0)}, P. Bhattacharya ^{[22](#page-13-0)}, L. Bianchi 24 24 24 , N. Bianchi 52 52 52 , J. Bielčík 37 37 37 , J. Bielčíková 97 97 97 , J. Biernat 119 119 119 , A. Bilandzic 107 107 107 , G. Biro 147 147 147 , S. Biswas [4](#page-13-0), J.T. Blair [120](#page-14-0), D. Blau [90](#page-14-0)*,*[83](#page-14-0), M.B. Blidaru [109](#page-14-0), C. Blume [69](#page-13-0), G. Boca [28](#page-13-0)*,*[58](#page-13-0), F. Bock [98](#page-14-0), A. Bogdanov ^{[95](#page-14-0)}, S. Boi ^{[22](#page-13-0)}, J. Bok ^{[62](#page-13-0)}, L. Boldizsár ^{[147](#page-14-0)}, A. Bolozdynya ⁹⁵, M. Bombara ^{[38](#page-13-0)}, P.M. Bond ^{[34](#page-13-0)}, G. Bonomi [142](#page-14-0)*,*[58](#page-13-0), H. Borel [140](#page-14-0), A. Borissov [83](#page-14-0), H. Bossi [148](#page-14-0), E. Botta [24](#page-13-0), L. Bratrud [69](#page-13-0), P. Braun-Munzinger [109](#page-14-0), M. Bregant [122](#page-14-0), M. Broz [37](#page-13-0), G.E. Bruno [108](#page-14-0)*,*[33](#page-13-0), M.D. Buckland [23](#page-13-0)*,*[129](#page-14-0), D. Budnikov [110](#page-14-0), H. Buesching [69](#page-13-0), S. Bufalino [30](#page-13-0), O. Bugnon [116](#page-14-0), P. Buhler [115](#page-14-0), Z. Buthelezi [73](#page-13-0)*,*[133](#page-14-0), J.B. Butt [14](#page-13-0), A. Bylinkin [128](#page-14-0), S.A. Bysiak [119](#page-14-0), M. Cai ^{[27](#page-13-0),[7](#page-13-0)}, H. Caines ^{[148](#page-14-0)}, A. Caliva ^{[109](#page-14-0)}, E. Calvo Villar ^{[113](#page-14-0)}, J.M.M. Camacho ^{[121](#page-14-0)}, R.S. Camacho ^{[45](#page-13-0)}, P. Camerini [23](#page-13-0), F.D.M. Canedo [122](#page-14-0), F. Carnesecchi [34](#page-13-0)*,*[25](#page-13-0), R. Caron [140](#page-14-0), J. Castillo Castellanos [140](#page-14-0), E.A.R. Casula ^{[22](#page-13-0)}, F. Catalano ^{[30](#page-13-0)}, C. Ceballos Sanchez ^{[76](#page-14-0)}, P. Chakraborty ^{[49](#page-13-0)}, S. Chandra ^{[143](#page-14-0)}, S. Chapeland ^{[34](#page-13-0)}, M. Chartier 129 129 129 , S. Chattopadhyay 143 143 143 , S. Chattopadhyay 111 111 111 , T.G. Chavez 45 45 45 , T. Cheng 7 7 , C. Cheshkov 138 138 138 , B. Cheynis ^{[138](#page-14-0)}, V. Chibante Barroso ^{[34](#page-13-0)}, D.D. Chinellato ^{[123](#page-14-0)}, S. Cho ^{[62](#page-13-0)}, P. Chochula ³⁴, P. Christakoglou ^{[92](#page-14-0)}, C.H. Christensen 91 , P. Christiansen 82 82 82 , T. Chujo 135 135 135 , C. Cicalo 55 55 55 , L. Cifarelli 25 25 25 , F. Cindolo 54 54 54 , M.R. Ciupek [109](#page-14-0), G. Clai [54](#page-13-0)*,*[II](#page-14-0), J. Cleymans [125](#page-14-0)*,*[I](#page-14-0) , F. Colamaria [53](#page-13-0), J.S. Colburn [112](#page-14-0), D. Colella [53](#page-13-0)*,*[108](#page-14-0)*,*[33](#page-13-0), A. Collu [81](#page-14-0), M. Colocci [34](#page-13-0), M. Concas [60](#page-13-0)*,*[III](#page-15-0), G. Conesa Balbastre [80](#page-14-0), Z. Conesa del Valle [79](#page-14-0), G. Contin [23](#page-13-0), J.G. Contreras ^{[37](#page-13-0)}, M.L. Coquet ^{[140](#page-14-0)}, T.M. Cormier ^{[98](#page-14-0)}, P. Cortese ^{[31](#page-13-0)}, M.R. Cosentino ^{[124](#page-14-0)}, F. Costa ^{[34](#page-13-0)}, S. Costanza [28](#page-13-0)*,*[58](#page-13-0), P. Crochet [137](#page-14-0), R. Cruz-Torres [81](#page-14-0), E. Cuautle [70](#page-13-0), P. Cui [7](#page-13-0), L. Cunqueiro [98](#page-14-0), A. Dainese [57](#page-13-0), M.C. Danisch 106 106 106 , A. Danu 68 68 68 , P. Das 88 88 88 , P. Das 4 4 , S. Das 4 , S. Dash 49 49 49 , A. De Caro 29 29 29 , G. de Cataldo 53 53 53 , L. De Cilladi ^{[24](#page-13-0)}, J. de Cuveland ^{[39](#page-13-0)}, A. De Falco ^{[22](#page-13-0)}, D. De Gruttola ^{[29](#page-13-0)}, N. De Marco ^{[60](#page-13-0)}, C. De Martin ^{[23](#page-13-0)}, S. De Pasquale 29 29 29 , S. Deb 50 50 50 , H.F. Degenhardt 122 122 122 , K.R. Deja 144 144 144 , R. Del Grande 107 107 107 , L. Dello Stritto 29 , W. Deng [7](#page-13-0), P. Dhankher [19](#page-13-0), D. Di Bari [33](#page-13-0), A. Di Mauro [34](#page-13-0), R.A. Diaz [8](#page-13-0), T. Dietel [125](#page-14-0), Y. Ding [138](#page-14-0)*,*[7](#page-13-0), R. Divià [34](#page-13-0), D.U. Dixit ^{[19](#page-13-0)}, Ø. Djuvsland ^{[21](#page-13-0)}, U. Dmitrieva ^{[64](#page-13-0)}, J. Do ^{[62](#page-13-0)}, A. Dobrin ^{[68](#page-13-0)}, B. Dönigus ^{[69](#page-13-0)}, A.K. Dubey ^{[143](#page-14-0)}, A. Dubla ^{[109](#page-14-0),[92](#page-14-0)}, S. Dudi ^{[102](#page-14-0)}, P. Dupieux ^{[137](#page-14-0)}, N. Dzalaiova ^{[13](#page-13-0)}, T.M. Eder ^{[146](#page-14-0)}, R.J. Ehlers ^{[98](#page-14-0)}, V.N. Eikeland ^{[21](#page-13-0)}, F. Eisenhut 69 69 69 , D. Elia 53 53 53 , B. Erazmus 116 116 116 , F. Ercolessi 25 25 25 , F. Erhardt 101 101 101 , A. Erokhin 114 114 114 , M.R. Ersdal 21 21 21 , B. Espagnon 79 79 79 , G. Eulisse 34 34 34 , D. Evans 112 112 112 , S. Evdokimov 93 93 93 , L. Fabbietti 107 107 107 , M. Faggin 27 27 27 , J. Faivre 80 80 80 , F. Fan ^{[7](#page-13-0)}, A. Fantoni ^{[52](#page-13-0)}, M. Fasel ^{[98](#page-14-0)}, P. Fecchio ^{[30](#page-13-0)}, A. Feliciello ^{[60](#page-13-0)}, G. Feofilov ^{[114](#page-14-0)}, A. Fernández Téllez ^{[45](#page-13-0)}, A. Ferrero 140 140 140 , A. Ferretti 24 24 24 , V.J.G. Feuillard 106 106 106 , J. Figiel 119 119 119 , V. Filova 37 37 37 , D. Finogeev 64 64 64 , F.M. Fionda 55 55 55 , G. Fiorenza [34](#page-13-0)*,*[108](#page-14-0), F. Flor [126](#page-14-0), A.N. Flores [120](#page-14-0), S. Foertsch [73](#page-13-0), S. Fokin [90](#page-14-0), E. Fragiacomo [61](#page-13-0), E. Frajna [147](#page-14-0), A. Francisco ^{[137](#page-14-0)}, U. Fuchs ^{[34](#page-13-0)}, N. Funicello ^{[29](#page-13-0)}, C. Furget ^{[80](#page-14-0)}, A. Furs ^{[64](#page-13-0)}, J.J. Gaardhøje ^{[91](#page-14-0)}, M. Gagliardi ^{[24](#page-13-0)}, A.M. Gago ^{[113](#page-14-0)}, A. Gal ^{[139](#page-14-0)}, C.D. Galvan ^{[121](#page-14-0)}, P. Ganoti ^{[86](#page-14-0)}, C. Garabatos ^{[109](#page-14-0)}, J.R.A. Garcia ^{[45](#page-13-0)}, E. Garcia-Solis ^{[10](#page-13-0)}, K. Garg 116 116 116 , C. Gargiulo 34 34 34 , A. Garibli 89 89 89 , K. Garner 146 146 146 , P. Gasik 109 109 109 , E.F. Gauger 120 120 120 , A. Gautam $^{128},$ $^{128},$ $^{128},$ M.B. Gay Ducati ^{[71](#page-13-0)}, M. Germain 116 , P. Ghosh 143 , S.K. Ghosh 4 , M. Giacalone 25 , P. Gianotti 52 ,

P. Giubellino ^{[109](#page-14-0),[60](#page-13-0)}, P. Giubilato ^{[27](#page-13-0)}, A.M.C. Glaenzer ^{[140](#page-14-0)}, P. Glässel ^{[106](#page-14-0)}, E. Glimos ^{[132](#page-14-0)}, D.J.Q. Goh ^{[84](#page-14-0)}, V. Gonzalez ^{[145](#page-14-0)}, L.H. González-Trueba ^{[72](#page-13-0)}, S. Gorbunov ^{[39](#page-13-0)}, M. Gorgon^{[2](#page-13-0)}, L. Görlich ^{[119](#page-14-0)}, S. Gotovac ^{[35](#page-13-0)}, V. Grabski ^{[72](#page-13-0)}, L.K. Graczykowski ^{[144](#page-14-0)}, L. Greiner ^{[81](#page-14-0)}, A. Grelli ^{[63](#page-13-0)}, C. Grigoras ^{[34](#page-13-0)}, V. Grigoriev ^{[95](#page-14-0)}, S. Grigoryan [76](#page-14-0)*,*[1](#page-13-0), F. Grosa [34](#page-13-0)*,*[60](#page-13-0), J.F. Grosse-Oetringhaus [34](#page-13-0), R. Grosso [109](#page-14-0), D. Grund [37](#page-13-0), G.G. Guardiano [123](#page-14-0), R. Guernane 80 80 80 , M. Guilbaud 116 116 116 , K. Gulbrandsen 91 91 91 , T. Gunji 134 134 134 , W. Guo 7 7 , A. Gupta 103 103 103 , R. Gupta 103 , S.P. Guzman 45 45 45 , L. Gyulai 147 147 147 , M.K. Habib 109 109 109 , C. Hadjidakis 79 79 79 , H. Hamagaki 84 84 84 , M. Hamid 7 7 , R. Hannigan ^{[120](#page-14-0)}, M.R. Haque ^{[144](#page-14-0)}, A. Harlenderova ^{[109](#page-14-0)}, J.W. Harris ^{[148](#page-14-0)}, A. Harton ^{[10](#page-13-0)}, J.A. Hasenbichler ^{[34](#page-13-0)}, H. Hassan 98 98 98 , D. Hatzifotiadou 54 54 54 , P. Hauer 43 43 43 , L.B. Havener 148 148 148 , S.T. Heckel 107 107 107 , E. Hellbär 109 109 109 , H. Helstrup 36 36 36 , T. Herman 37 37 37 , E.G. Hernandez 45 45 45 , G. Herrera Corral 9 9 , F. Herrmann 146 146 146 , K.F. Hetland 36 , H. Hillemanns ^{[34](#page-13-0)}, C. Hills ^{[129](#page-14-0)}, B. Hippolyte ^{[139](#page-14-0)}, B. Hofman ^{[63](#page-13-0)}, B. Hohlweger ^{[92](#page-14-0)}, J. Honermann ^{[146](#page-14-0)}, G.H. Hong 149 149 149 , D. Horak 37 37 37 , S. Hornung 109 109 109 , A. Horzyk 2 2 , R. Hosokawa 15 15 15 , Y. Hou 7 7 , P. Hristov 34 34 34 , C. Hughes 132 132 132 , P. Huhn 69 69 69 , L.M. Huhta 127 127 127 , C.V. Hulse 79 79 79 , T.J. Humanic 99 99 99 , H. Hushnud 111 111 111 , L.A. Husova 146 146 146 , A. Hutson [126](#page-14-0), J.P. Iddon [34](#page-13-0)*,*[129](#page-14-0), R. Ilkaev [110](#page-14-0), H. Ilyas [14](#page-13-0), M. Inaba [135](#page-14-0), G.M. Innocenti [34](#page-13-0), M. Ippolitov [90](#page-14-0), A. Isakov 97 , T. Isidori 128 , M.S. Islam 111 , M. Ivanov 109 , V. Ivanov 100 , V. Izucheev 93 , M. Jablonski ^{[2](#page-13-0)}, B. Jacak [81](#page-14-0), N. Jacazio [34](#page-13-0), P.M. Jacobs [81](#page-14-0), S. Jadlovska [118](#page-14-0), J. Jadlovsky [118](#page-14-0), S. Jaelani [63](#page-13-0), C. Jahnke [123](#page-14-0)*,*[122](#page-14-0), M.J. Jakubowska 144 144 144 , A. Jalotra 103 103 103 , M.A. Janik 144 , T. Janson 75 75 75 , M. Jercic 101 101 101 , O. Jevons 112 112 112 , A.A.P. Jimenez [70](#page-13-0), F. Jonas [98](#page-14-0)*,*[146](#page-14-0), P.G. Jones [112](#page-14-0), J.M. Jowett [34](#page-13-0)*,*[109](#page-14-0), J. Jung [69](#page-13-0), M. Jung [69](#page-13-0), A. Junique [34](#page-13-0), A. Jusko ^{[112](#page-14-0)}, M.J. Kabus ^{[144](#page-14-0)}, J. Kaewjai ^{[117](#page-14-0)}, P. Kalinak ^{[65](#page-13-0)}, A.S. Kalteyer ^{[109](#page-14-0)}, A. Kalweit ^{[34](#page-13-0)}, V. Kaplin ^{[95](#page-14-0)}, A. Karasu Uysal^{[78](#page-14-0)}, D. Karatovic^{[101](#page-14-0)}, O. Karavichev^{[64](#page-13-0)}, T. Karavicheva⁶⁴, P. Karczmarczyk^{[144](#page-14-0)}, E. Karpechev^{[64](#page-13-0)}, V. Kashyap^{[88](#page-14-0)}, A. Kazantsev^{[90](#page-14-0)}, U. Kebschull^{[75](#page-14-0)}, R. Keidel^{[47](#page-13-0)}, D.L.D. Keijdener^{[63](#page-13-0)}, M. Keil^{[34](#page-13-0)}, B. Ketzer [43](#page-13-0), Z. Khabanova [92](#page-14-0), A.M. Khan [7](#page-13-0), S. Khan [16](#page-13-0), A. Khanzadeev [100](#page-14-0), Y. Kharlov [93](#page-14-0)*,*[83](#page-14-0), A. Khatun [16](#page-13-0), A. Khuntia [119](#page-14-0), B. Kileng [36](#page-13-0), B. Kim [17](#page-13-0)*,*[62](#page-13-0), C. Kim [17](#page-13-0), D.J. Kim [127](#page-14-0), E.J. Kim [74](#page-13-0), J. Kim [149](#page-14-0), J.S. Kim [41](#page-13-0), J. Kim 106 106 106 , J. Kim 74 74 74 , M. Kim 106 , S. Kim 18 18 18 , T. Kim 149 149 149 , S. Kirsch 69 69 69 , I. Kisel 39 39 39 , S. Kiselev 94 94 94 , A. Kisiel 144 144 144 , J.P. Kitowski 2 2 , J.L. Klay 6 6 , J. Klein 34 34 34 , S. Klein 81 81 81 , C. Klein-Bösing 146 146 146 , M. Kleiner 69 69 69 , T. Klemenz $^{107},$ $^{107},$ $^{107},$ A. Kluge ^{[34](#page-13-0)}, A.G. Knospe ^{[126](#page-14-0)}, C. Kobdaj ^{[117](#page-14-0)}, T. Kollegger ^{[109](#page-14-0)}, A. Kondratyev ^{[76](#page-14-0)}, N. Kondratyeva ^{[95](#page-14-0)}, E. Kondratyuk 93 93 93 , J. Konig 69 69 69 , S.A. Konigstorfer 107 107 107 , P.J. Konopka 34 34 34 , G. Kornakov 144 144 144 , S.D. Koryciak 2 2 , A. Kotliarov ^{[97](#page-14-0)}, O. Kovalenko ^{[87](#page-14-0)}, V. Kovalenko ^{[114](#page-14-0)}, M. Kowalski ^{[119](#page-14-0)}, I. Králik ^{[65](#page-13-0)}, A. Kravčáková ^{[38](#page-13-0)}, L. Kreis [109](#page-14-0), M. Krivda [112](#page-14-0)*,*[65](#page-13-0), F. Krizek [97](#page-14-0), K. Krizkova Gajdosova [37](#page-13-0), M. Kroesen [106](#page-14-0), M. Krüger [69](#page-13-0), D.M. Krupova ^{[37](#page-13-0)}, E. Kryshen ^{[100](#page-14-0)}, M. Krzewicki ^{[39](#page-13-0)}, V. Kučera ^{[34](#page-13-0)}, C. Kuhn ^{[139](#page-14-0)}, P.G. Kuijer ^{[92](#page-14-0)}, T. Kumaoka ^{[135](#page-14-0)}, D. Kumar ^{[143](#page-14-0)}, L. Kumar ^{[102](#page-14-0)}, N. Kumar ¹⁰², S. Kundu ^{[34](#page-13-0)}, P. Kurashvili ^{[87](#page-14-0)}, A. Kurepin ^{[64](#page-13-0)}, A.B. Kurepin ⁶⁴, A. Kuryakin ^{[110](#page-14-0)}, S. Kushpil ^{[97](#page-14-0)}, J. Kvapil ^{[112](#page-14-0)}, M.J. Kweon ^{[62](#page-13-0)}, J.Y. Kwon ⁶², Y. Kwon ^{[149](#page-14-0)}, S.L. La Pointe ^{[39](#page-13-0)}, P. La Rocca [26](#page-13-0), Y.S. Lai [81](#page-14-0), A. Lakrathok [117](#page-14-0), M. Lamanna [34](#page-13-0), R. Langoy [131](#page-14-0), K. Lapidus [34](#page-13-0), P. Larionov [34](#page-13-0)*,*[52](#page-13-0), E. Laudi [34](#page-13-0), L. Lautner [34](#page-13-0)*,*[107](#page-14-0), R. Lavicka [115](#page-14-0)*,*[37](#page-13-0), T. Lazareva [114](#page-14-0), R. Lea [142](#page-14-0)*,*[23](#page-13-0)*,*[58](#page-13-0), J. Lehrbach [39](#page-13-0), R.C. Lemmon [96](#page-14-0), I. León Monzón [121](#page-14-0), E.D. Lesser [19](#page-13-0), M. Lettrich [34](#page-13-0)*,*[107](#page-14-0), P. Lévai [147](#page-14-0), X. Li [11](#page-13-0), X.L. Li [7](#page-13-0), J. Lien 131 131 131 , R. Lietava 112 112 112 , B. Lim 17 17 17 , S.H. Lim 17 , V. Lindenstruth 39 39 39 , A. Lindner 48 48 48 , C. Lippmann 109 109 109 , A. Liu 19 19 19 . D.H. Liu ^{[7](#page-13-0)}, J. Liu ^{[129](#page-14-0)}, I.M. Lofnes ^{[21](#page-13-0)}, V. Loginov ^{[95](#page-14-0)}, C. Loizides ^{[98](#page-14-0)}, P. Loncar ^{[35](#page-13-0)}, J.A. Lopez ^{[106](#page-14-0)}, X. Lopez ^{[137](#page-14-0)}, E. López Torres 8 8 , J.R. Luhder 146 146 146 , M. Lunardon 27 27 27 , G. Luparello 61 61 61 , Y.G. Ma 40 40 40 , A. Maevskaya $^{64},$ $^{64},$ $^{64},$ M. Mager 34 34 34 , T. Mahmoud 43 43 43 , A. Maire 139 139 139 , M. Malaev 100 100 100 , N.M. Malik 103 103 103 , Q.W. Malik 20 20 20 , S.K. Malik 103 , L. Malinina [76](#page-14-0)*,*[IV](#page-15-0), D. Mal'Kevich [94](#page-14-0), D. Mallick [88](#page-14-0), N. Mallick [50](#page-13-0), G. Mandaglio [32](#page-13-0)*,*[56](#page-13-0), V. Manko [90](#page-14-0), F. Manso 137 137 137 , V. Manzari 53 53 53 , Y. Mao 7 7 , G.V. Margagliotti 23 23 23 , A. Margotti 54 54 54 , A. Marín 109 109 109 , C. Markert 120 120 120 , M. Marquard 69 69 69 , N.A. Martin 106 106 106 , P. Martinengo 34 34 34 , J.L. Martinez 126 126 126 , M.I. Martínez 45 45 45 , G. Martínez García ^{[116](#page-14-0)}, S. Masciocchi ^{[109](#page-14-0)}, M. Masera ^{[24](#page-13-0)}, A. Masoni ^{[55](#page-13-0)}, L. Massacrier ^{[79](#page-14-0)} A. Mastroserio ^{[141](#page-14-0),[53](#page-13-0)}, A.M. Mathis ^{[107](#page-14-0)}, O. Matonoha ^{[82](#page-14-0)}, P.F.T. Matuoka ^{[122](#page-14-0)}, A. Matyja ^{[119](#page-14-0)}, C. Mayer ¹¹⁹, A.L. Mazuecos ^{[34](#page-13-0)}, F. Mazzaschi ^{[24](#page-13-0)}, M. Mazzilli ³⁴, M.A. Mazzoni ^{[59](#page-13-0), [I](#page-14-0)}, J.E. Mdhluli ^{[133](#page-14-0)}, A.F. Mechler ^{[69](#page-13-0)}, Y. Melikyan [64](#page-13-0), A. Menchaca-Rocha [72](#page-13-0), E. Meninno [115](#page-14-0)*,*[29](#page-13-0), A.S. Menon [126](#page-14-0), M. Meres [13](#page-13-0), S. Mhlanga [125](#page-14-0)*,*[73](#page-13-0), Y. Miake [135](#page-14-0), L. Micheletti [60](#page-13-0), L.C. Migliorin [138](#page-14-0), D.L. Mihaylov [107](#page-14-0), K. Mikhaylov [76](#page-14-0)*,*[94](#page-14-0), A.N. Mishra [147](#page-14-0), D. Miśkowiec ^{[109](#page-14-0)}, A. Modak ^{[4](#page-13-0)}, A.P. Mohanty ^{[63](#page-13-0)}, B. Mohanty ^{[88](#page-14-0)}, M. Mohisin Khan ^{[16](#page-13-0), [V](#page-15-0)}, M.A. Molander ^{[44](#page-13-0)}, Z. Moravcova 91 91 91 , C. Mordasini 107 107 107 , D.A. Moreira De Godoy 146 146 146 , I. Morozov 64 64 64 , A. Morsch 34 34 34 , T. Mrnjavac $^{34},$ V. Muccifora 52 52 52 , E. Mudnic 35 35 35 , D. Mühlheim 146 146 146 , S. Muhuri 143 143 143 , J.D. Mulligan 81 81 81 , A. Mulliri 22 22 22 , M.G. Munhoz 122 122 122 , R.H. Munzer 69 69 69 , H. Murakami 134 134 134 , S. Murray 125 125 125 , L. Musa 34 34 34 , J. Musinsky 65 65 65 , J.W. Myrcha 144 144 144 , B. Naik 133 133 133 , R. Nair 87 87 87 , B.K. Nandi 49 49 49 , R. Nania 54 54 54 , E. Nappi 53 53 53 , A.F. Nassirpour 82 82 82 , A. Nath 106 106 106 , C. Nattrass 132 132 132 , A. Neagu 20 20 20 , A. Negru 136 136 136 , L. Nellen 70 70 70 , S.V. Nesbo 36 36 36 , G. Neskovic 39 39 39 , D. Nesterov 114 114 114 , B.S. Nielsen 91 91 91 , S. Nikolaev 90 90 90 , S. Nikulin 90 , V. Nikulin 100 100 100 , F. Noferini 54 54 54 , S. Noh 12 12 12 ,

P. Nomokonov ^{[76](#page-14-0)}, J. Norman ^{[129](#page-14-0)}, N. Novitzky ^{[135](#page-14-0)}, P. Nowakowski ^{[144](#page-14-0)}, A. Nyanin ^{[90](#page-14-0)}, J. Nystrand ^{[21](#page-13-0)}, M. Ogino ^{[84](#page-14-0)}, A. Ohlson ^{[82](#page-14-0)}, V.A. Okorokov ^{[95](#page-14-0)}, J. Oleniacz ^{[144](#page-14-0)}, A.C. Oliveira Da Silva ^{[132](#page-14-0)}, M.H. Oliver ^{[148](#page-14-0)}, A. Onnerstad 127 127 127 , C. Oppedisano 60 60 60 , A. Ortiz Velasquez 70 70 70 , T. Osako 46 46 46 , A. Oskarsson 82 82 82 , J. Otwinowski 119 119 119 , M. Oya ^{[46](#page-13-0)}, K. Oyama ^{[84](#page-14-0)}, Y. Pachmayer ^{[106](#page-14-0)}, S. Padhan ^{[49](#page-13-0)}, D. Pagano ^{[142](#page-14-0),[58](#page-13-0)}, G. Paić ^{[70](#page-13-0)}, A. Palasciano [53](#page-13-0), J. Pan [145](#page-14-0), S. Panebianco [140](#page-14-0), J. Park [62](#page-13-0), J.E. Parkkila [127](#page-14-0), S.P. Pathak [126](#page-14-0), R.N. Patra [103](#page-14-0)*,*[34](#page-13-0), B. Paul [22](#page-13-0), H. Pei [7](#page-13-0), T. Peitzmann [63](#page-13-0), X. Peng [7](#page-13-0), L.G. Pereira [71](#page-13-0), H. Pereira Da Costa [140](#page-14-0), D. Peresunko [90](#page-14-0)*,*[83](#page-14-0), G.M. Perez [8](#page-13-0), S. Perrin ^{[140](#page-14-0)}, Y. Pestov ^{[5](#page-13-0)}, V. Petráček ^{[37](#page-13-0)}, M. Petrovici ^{[48](#page-13-0)}, R.P. Pezzi ^{[116](#page-14-0),[71](#page-13-0)}, S. Piano ^{[61](#page-13-0)}, M. Pikna ^{[13](#page-13-0)}, P. Pillot [116](#page-14-0), O. Pinazza [54](#page-13-0)*,*[34](#page-13-0), L. Pinsky [126](#page-14-0), C. Pinto [26](#page-13-0), S. Pisano [52](#page-13-0), M. Płoskon´ [81](#page-14-0), M. Planinic [101](#page-14-0), F. Pliquett 69 69 69 , M.G. Poghosyan 98 98 98 , B. Polichtchouk 93 93 93 , S. Politano 30 30 30 , N. Poljak 101 101 101 , A. Pop 48 48 48 , S. Porteboeuf-Houssais 137 , J. Porter 81 , V. Pozdniakov 76 , S.K. Prasad [4](#page-13-0), R. Preghenella 54 , F. Prino 60 , C.A. Pruneau 145 145 145 . I. Pshenichnov 64 64 64 . M. Puccio 34 34 34 . S. Qiu 92 92 92 . L. Quaglia 24 24 24 . R.E. Quishpe 126 126 126 . S. Ragoni 112 112 112 . A. Rakotozafindrabe ^{[140](#page-14-0)}, L. Ramello ^{[31](#page-13-0)}, F. Rami ^{[139](#page-14-0)}, S.A.R. Ramirez ^{[45](#page-13-0)}, A.G.T. Ramos ^{[33](#page-13-0)}, T.A. Rancien ^{[80](#page-14-0)}, R. Raniwala [104](#page-14-0), S. Raniwala [104](#page-14-0), S.S. Räsänen [44](#page-13-0), R. Rath [50](#page-13-0), I. Ravasenga [92](#page-14-0), K.F. Read [98](#page-14-0)*,*[132](#page-14-0), A.R. Redelbach ^{[39](#page-13-0)}, K. Redlich ^{[87](#page-14-0), [VI](#page-15-0)}, A. Rehman ^{[21](#page-13-0)}, P. Reichelt ^{[69](#page-13-0)}, F. Reidt ^{[34](#page-13-0)}, H.A. Reme-ness ^{[36](#page-13-0)}, Z. Rescakova 38 38 38 , K. Reygers 106 106 106 , A. Riabov 100 100 100 , V. Riabov 100 , T. Richert 82 82 82 , M. Richter 20 20 20 , W. Riegler 34 34 34 , F. Riggi ^{[26](#page-13-0)}, C. Ristea ^{[68](#page-13-0)}, M. Rodríguez Cahuantzi ^{[45](#page-13-0)}, K. Røed ^{[20](#page-13-0)}, R. Rogalev ^{[93](#page-14-0)}, E. Rogochaya ^{[76](#page-14-0)}, T.S. Rogoschinski 69 69 69 , D. Rohr 34 34 34 , D. Röhrich 21 21 21 , P.F. Rojas 45 45 45 , S. Rojas Torres 37 37 37 , P.S. Rokita 144 144 144 , F. Ronchetti [52](#page-13-0), A. Rosano [32](#page-13-0)*,*[56](#page-13-0), E.D. Rosas [70](#page-13-0), A. Rossi [57](#page-13-0), A. Roy [50](#page-13-0), P. Roy [111](#page-14-0), S. Roy [49](#page-13-0), N. Rubini [25](#page-13-0), O.V. Rueda 82 82 82 , D. Ruggiano 144 144 144 , R. Rui 23 23 23 , B. Rumyantsev 76 76 76 , P.G. Russek 2 2 , R. Russo 92 92 92 , A. Rustamov 89 89 89 , E. Ryabinkin ^{[90](#page-14-0)}, Y. Ryabov ^{[100](#page-14-0)}, A. Rybicki ^{[119](#page-14-0)}, H. Rytkonen ^{[127](#page-14-0)}, W. Rzesa ^{[144](#page-14-0)}, O.A.M. Saarimaki ^{[44](#page-13-0)}, R. Sadek ^{[116](#page-14-0)}, S. Sadovsky ^{[93](#page-14-0)}, J. Saetre ^{[21](#page-13-0)}, K. Šafařík^{[37](#page-13-0)}, S.K. Saha ^{[143](#page-14-0)}, S. Saha ^{[88](#page-14-0)}, B. Sahoo ^{[49](#page-13-0)}, P. Sahoo ⁴⁹, R. Sahoo ^{[50](#page-13-0)}, S. Sahoo ^{[66](#page-13-0)}, D. Sahu ⁵⁰, P.K. Sahu ⁶⁶, J. Saini ^{[143](#page-14-0)}, S. Sakai ^{[135](#page-14-0)}, M.P. Salvan ^{[109](#page-14-0)}, S. Sambyal ^{[103](#page-14-0)}, V. Samsonov [100](#page-14-0)*,*[95](#page-14-0)*,*[I](#page-14-0) , T.B. Saramela [122](#page-14-0), D. Sarkar [145](#page-14-0), N. Sarkar [143](#page-14-0), P. Sarma [42](#page-13-0), V.M. Sarti [107](#page-14-0), M.H.P. Sas ^{[148](#page-14-0)}, J. Schambach ^{[98](#page-14-0)}, H.S. Scheid ^{[69](#page-13-0)}, C. Schiaua^{[48](#page-13-0)}, R. Schicker ^{[106](#page-14-0)}, A. Schmah ¹⁰⁶, C. Schmidt ^{[109](#page-14-0)}, H.R. Schmidt [105](#page-14-0), M.O. Schmidt [34](#page-13-0)*,*[106](#page-14-0), M. Schmidt [105](#page-14-0), N.V. Schmidt [98](#page-14-0)*,*[69](#page-13-0), A.R. Schmier [132](#page-14-0), R. Schotter [139](#page-14-0), J. Schukraft ^{[34](#page-13-0)}, K. Schwarz ^{[109](#page-14-0)}, K. Schweda ¹⁰⁹, G. Scioli ^{[25](#page-13-0)}, E. Scomparin ^{[60](#page-13-0)}, J.E. Seger ^{[15](#page-13-0)}, Y. Sekiguchi ^{[134](#page-14-0)}, D. Sekihata [134](#page-14-0), I. Selyuzhenkov [109](#page-14-0)*,*[95](#page-14-0), S. Senyukov [139](#page-14-0), J.J. Seo [62](#page-13-0), D. Serebryakov [64](#page-13-0), L. Šerkšnyte˙ [107](#page-14-0), A. Sevcenco 68 68 68 , T.J. Shaba 73 73 73 , A. Shabanov 64 64 64 , A. Shabetai 116 116 116 , R. Shahoyan 34 34 34 , W. Shaikh 111 111 111 , A. Shangaraev 93 93 93 , A. Sharma 102 102 102 , H. Sharma 119 119 119 , M. Sharma 103 103 103 , N. Sharma 102 , S. Sharma 103 , U. Sharma ^{[103](#page-14-0)}, A. Shatat ^{[79](#page-14-0)}, O. Sheibani ^{[126](#page-14-0)}, K. Shigaki ^{[46](#page-13-0)}, M. Shimomura ^{[85](#page-14-0)}, S. Shirinkin ^{[94](#page-14-0)}, Q. Shou ^{[40](#page-13-0)}, Y. Sibiriak ^{[90](#page-14-0)}, S. Siddhanta ^{[55](#page-13-0)}, T. Siemiarczuk ^{[87](#page-14-0)}, T.F. Silva ^{[122](#page-14-0)}, D. Silvermyr ^{[82](#page-14-0)}, T. Simantathammakul ^{[117](#page-14-0)}, G. Simonetti 34 34 34 , B. Singh 107 107 107 , R. Singh 88 88 88 , R. Singh 103 103 103 , R. Singh 50 50 50 , V.K. Singh 143 143 143 , V. Singhal 143 , T. Sinha 111 111 111 , B. Sitar 13 13 13 , M. Sitta 31 31 31 , T.B. Skaali 20 20 20 , G. Skorodumovs 106 106 106 , M. Slupecki 44 44 44 , N. Smirnov 148 148 148 , R.J.M. Snellings 63 63 63 , C. Soncco 113 113 113 , J. Song 126 126 126 , A. Songmoolnak 117 117 117 , F. Soramel 27 27 27 , S. Sorensen 132 132 132 , I. Sputowska [119](#page-14-0), J. Stachel [106](#page-14-0), I. Stan [68](#page-13-0), P.J. Steffanic [132](#page-14-0), S.F. Stiefelmaier [106](#page-14-0), D. Stocco [116](#page-14-0), I. Storehaug ^{[20](#page-13-0)}, M.M. Storetvedt ^{[36](#page-13-0)}, P. Stratmann ^{[146](#page-14-0)}, C.P. Stylianidis ^{[92](#page-14-0)}, A.A.P. Suaide ^{[122](#page-14-0)}, C. Suire ^{[79](#page-14-0)}, M. Sukhanov 64 64 64 , M. Suljic 34 34 34 , R. Sultanov 94 94 94 , V. Sumberia 103 103 103 , S. Sumowidagdo 51 51 51 , S. Swain 66 66 66 , A. Szabo 13 13 13 , I. Szarka ^{[13](#page-13-0)}, U. Tabassam ^{[14](#page-13-0)}, S.F. Taghavi ^{[107](#page-14-0)}, G. Taillepied ^{[137](#page-14-0)}, J. Takahashi ^{[123](#page-14-0)}, G.J. Tambave ^{[21](#page-13-0)}, S. Tang [137](#page-14-0)*,*[7](#page-13-0), Z. Tang [130](#page-14-0), J.D. Tapia Takaki [128](#page-14-0)*,*[VII](#page-15-0), M. Tarhini [116](#page-14-0), M.G. Tarzila [48](#page-13-0), A. Tauro [34](#page-13-0), G. Tejeda Muñoz 45 45 45 , A. Telesca 34 34 34 , L. Terlizzi 24 24 24 , C. Terrevoli 126 126 126 , G. Tersimonov 3 3 , S. Thakur $^{143},$ $^{143},$ $^{143},$ D. Thomas ^{[120](#page-14-0)}, R. Tieulent ^{[138](#page-14-0)}, A. Tikhonov ^{[64](#page-13-0)}, A.R. Timmins ^{[126](#page-14-0)}, M. Tkacik ^{[118](#page-14-0)}, A. Toia ^{[69](#page-13-0)}, N. Topilskaya ⁶⁴, M. Toppi [52](#page-13-0), F. Torales-Acosta [19](#page-13-0), T. Tork [79](#page-14-0), A. Trifiró [32](#page-13-0)*,*[56](#page-13-0), S. Tripathy [54](#page-13-0)*,*[70](#page-13-0), T. Tripathy [49](#page-13-0), S. Trogolo [34](#page-13-0)*,*[27](#page-13-0), V. Trubnikov^{[3](#page-13-0)}, W.H. Trzaska 127 127 127 , T.P. Trzcinski 144 144 144 , A. Tumkin 110 110 110 , R. Turrisi 57 57 57 , T.S. Tveter 20 20 20 , K. Ullaland 21 21 21 , A. Uras ^{[138](#page-14-0)}, M. Urioni ^{[58](#page-13-0),[142](#page-14-0)}, G.L. Usai ^{[22](#page-13-0)}, M. Vala ^{[38](#page-13-0)}, N. Valle ^{[28](#page-13-0)}, S. Vallero ^{[60](#page-13-0)}, L.V.R. van Doremalen ^{[63](#page-13-0)}, M. van Leeuwen ^{[92](#page-14-0)}, P. Vande Vyvre ^{[34](#page-13-0)}, D. Varga ^{[147](#page-14-0)}, Z. Varga ¹⁴⁷, M. Varga-Kofarago ¹⁴⁷, M. Vasileiou ^{[86](#page-14-0)}, A. Vasiliev^{[90](#page-14-0)}, O. Vázquez Doce^{[52](#page-13-0),[107](#page-14-0)}, V. Vechernin ^{[114](#page-14-0)}, A. Velure ^{[21](#page-13-0)}, E. Vercellin ^{[24](#page-13-0)}, S. Vergara Limón ^{[45](#page-13-0)}, L. Vermunt 63 63 63 , R. Vértesi 147 147 147 , M. Verweij 63 , L. Vickovic 35 35 35 , Z. Vilakazi 133 133 133 , O. Villalobos Baillie 112 112 112 , G. Vino [53](#page-13-0), A. Vinogradov [90](#page-14-0), T. Virgili [29](#page-13-0), V. Vislavicius [91](#page-14-0), A. Vodopyanov [76](#page-14-0), B. Volkel [34](#page-13-0)*,*[106](#page-14-0), M.A. Völkl 106 106 106 , K. Voloshin 94 94 94 , S.A. Voloshin 145 145 145 , G. Volpe 33 33 33 , B. von Haller 34 34 34 , I. Vorobyev 107 107 107 , N. Vozniuk 64 64 64 , J. Vrláková 38 38 38 , B. Wagner 21 21 21 , C. Wang 40 40 40 , D. Wang 40 , M. Weber 115 115 115 , R.J.G.V. Weelden 92 92 92 , A. Wegrzynek 34 34 34 , S.C. Wenzel 34 , J.P. Wessels 146 146 146 , J. Wiechula 69 69 69 , J. Wikne 20 20 20 , G. Wilk 87 87 87 , J. Wilkinson 109 109 109 , G.A. Willems 146 146 146 , B. Windelband 106 106 106 , M. Winn 140 140 140 , W.E. Witt 132 132 132 , J.R. Wright 120 120 120 , W. Wu 40 40 40 , Y. Wu 130 130 130 , R. Xu 7 7 , A.K. Yadav 143 143 143 , S. Yalcin 78 78 78 , Y. Yamaguchi 46 46 46 , K. Yamakawa 46 , S. Yang 21 21 21 , S. Yano 46 , Z. Yin 7 ,

I.-K. Yoo ¹⁷, J.H. Yoon ⁶², S. Yuan ²¹, A. Yuncu ^{[106](#page-14-0)}, V. Zaccolo ²³, C. Zampolli ³⁴, H.J.C. Zanoli ⁶³, N. Zardoshti ³⁴, A. Zarochentsev ^{[114](#page-14-0)}, P. Závada ⁶⁷, N. Zaviyalov ^{[110](#page-14-0)}, M. Zhalov ^{[100](#page-14-0)}, B. Zhang ⁷, S. Zhang ⁴⁰, X. Zhang 7, Y. Zhang [130](#page-14-0), V. Zherebchevskii [114](#page-14-0), Y. Zhi 11, N. Zhigareva [94](#page-14-0), D. Zhou 7, Y. Zhou [91](#page-14-0), J. Zhu [109](#page-14-0)*,*7, Y. Zhu ⁷, G. Zinovjev ^{3, I}, N. Zurlo ^{[142](#page-14-0),58}

- *A.I. Alikhanyan National Science Laboratory (Yerevan Physics Institute) Foundation, Yerevan, Armenia*
- *AGH University of Science and Technology, Cracow, Poland*
- *Bogolyubov Institute for Theoretical Physics, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Kiev, Ukraine*
- 4 Bose Institute, Department of Physics and Centre for Astroparticle Physics and Space Science (CAPSS), Kolkata, India
- *Budker Institute for Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk, Russia*
- *California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo, CA, United States*
- *Central China Normal University, Wuhan, China*
- *Centro de Aplicaciones Tecnológicas y Desarrollo Nuclear (CEADEN), Havana, Cuba*
- *Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados (CINVESTAV), Mexico City and Mérida, Mexico*
- *Chicago State University, Chicago, IL, United States*
- *China Institute of Atomic Energy, Beijing, China*
- *Chungbuk National University, Cheongju, Republic of Korea*
- *Comenius University Bratislava, Faculty of Mathematics, Physics and Informatics, Bratislava, Slovakia*
- *COMSATS University Islamabad, Islamabad, Pakistan*
- *Creighton University, Omaha, NE, United States*
- *Department of Physics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, India*
- *Department of Physics, Pusan National University, Pusan, Republic of Korea*
- *Department of Physics, Sejong University, Seoul, Republic of Korea*
- *Department of Physics, University of California, Berkeley, CA, United States*
- *Department of Physics, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway*
- *Department of Physics and Technology, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway*
- *Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Cagliari, Italy*
- *Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Trieste, Italy*
- *Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Turin, Italy*
- *Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Bologna, Italy*
- *Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Catania, Italy*
- *Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Padova, Italy*
- *Dipartimento di Fisica e Nucleare e Teorica, Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy*
- *Dipartimento di Fisica 'E.R. Caianiello' dell'Università and Gruppo Collegato INFN, Salerno, Italy*
- *Dipartimento DISAT del Politecnico and Sezione INFN, Turin, Italy*
- ³¹ Dipartimento di Scienze e Innovazione Tecnologica dell'Università del Piemonte Orientale and INFN Sezione di Torino, Alessandria, Italy
- *Dipartimento di Scienze MIFT, Università di Messina, Messina, Italy*
- *Dipartimento Interateneo di Fisica 'M. Merlin' and Sezione INFN, Bari, Italy*
- *European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN), Geneva, Switzerland*
- *Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, University of Split, Split, Croatia*
- *Faculty of Engineering and Science, Western Norway University of Applied Sciences, Bergen, Norway*
- *Faculty of Nuclear Sciences and Physical Engineering, Czech Technical University in Prague, Prague, Czech Republic*
- *Faculty of Science, P.J. Šafárik University, Košice, Slovakia*
- *Frankfurt Institute for Advanced Studies, Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Germany*
- *Fudan University, Shanghai, China*
- *Gangneung-Wonju National University, Gangneung, Republic of Korea*
- *Gauhati University, Department of Physics, Guwahati, India*
- *Helmholtz-Institut für Strahlen- und Kernphysik, Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn, Bonn, Germany*
- *Helsinki Institute of Physics (HIP), Helsinki, Finland*
- *High Energy Physics Group, Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico*
- *Hiroshima University, Hiroshima, Japan*
- *Hochschule Worms, Zentrum für Technologietransfer und Telekommunikation (ZTT), Worms, Germany*
- *Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest, Romania*
- *Indian Institute of Technology Bombay (IIT), Mumbai, India*
- *Indian Institute of Technology Indore, Indore, India*
- *Indonesian Institute of Sciences, Jakarta, Indonesia*
- *INFN, Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy*
- *INFN, Sezione di Bari, Bari, Italy*
- *INFN, Sezione di Bologna, Bologna, Italy*
- *INFN, Sezione di Cagliari, Cagliari, Italy*
- *INFN, Sezione di Catania, Catania, Italy*
- *INFN, Sezione di Padova, Padova, Italy*
- *INFN, Sezione di Pavia, Pavia, Italy*
- *INFN, Sezione di Roma, Rome, Italy*
- *INFN, Sezione di Torino, Turin, Italy*
- *INFN, Sezione di Trieste, Trieste, Italy*
- *Inha University, Incheon, Republic of Korea*
- *Institute for Gravitational and Subatomic Physics (GRASP), Utrecht University/Nikhef, Utrecht, Netherlands*
- *Institute for Nuclear Research, Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia*
- *Institute of Experimental Physics, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Košice, Slovakia*
- *Institute of Physics, Homi Bhabha National Institute, Bhubaneswar, India*
- *Institute of Physics of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Prague, Czech Republic*
- *Institute of Space Science (ISS), Bucharest, Romania*
- *Institut für Kernphysik, Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Germany*
- *Instituto de Ciencias Nucleares, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico City, Mexico*
- *Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS), Porto Alegre, Brazil*
- *Instituto de Física, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico City, Mexico*
- *iThemba LABS, National Research Foundation, Somerset West, South Africa*

Jeonbuk National University, Jeonju, Republic of Korea

- *Johann-Wolfgang-Goethe Universität Frankfurt Institut für Informatik, Fachbereich Informatik und Mathematik, Frankfurt, Germany*
- *Joint Institute for Nuclear Research (JINR), Dubna, Russia*
- *Korea Institute of Science and Technology Information, Daejeon, Republic of Korea*
- *KTO Karatay University, Konya, Turkey*
- *Laboratoire de Physique des 2 Infinis, Irène Joliot-Curie, Orsay, France*
- *Laboratoire de Physique Subatomique et de Cosmologie, Université Grenoble-Alpes, CNRS-IN2P3, Grenoble, France*
- *Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, United States*
- *Lund University Department of Physics, Division of Particle Physics, Lund, Sweden*
- *Moscow Institute for Physics and Technology, Moscow, Russia*
- *Nagasaki Institute of Applied Science, Nagasaki, Japan*
- *Nara Women's University (NWU), Nara, Japan*
- *National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, School of Science, Department of Physics, Athens, Greece*
- *National Centre for Nuclear Research, Warsaw, Poland*
- *National Institute of Science Education and Research, Homi Bhabha National Institute, Jatni, India*
- *National Nuclear Research Center, Baku, Azerbaijan*
- *National Research Centre Kurchatov Institute, Moscow, Russia*
- *Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark*
- *Nikhef, National Institute for Subatomic Physics, Amsterdam, Netherlands*
- *NRC Kurchatov Institute IHEP, Protvino, Russia*
- *NRC «Kurchatov» Institute – ITEP, Moscow, Russia*
- *NRNU Moscow Engineering Physics Institute, Moscow, Russia*
- *Nuclear Physics Group, STFC Daresbury Laboratory, Daresbury, United Kingdom*
- *Nuclear Physics Institute of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Rež ˇ u Prahy, Czech Republic*
- *Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, United States*
- *Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, United States*
- *Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina, Russia*
- *Physics Department, Faculty of Science, University of Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia*
- *Physics Department, Panjab University, Chandigarh, India*
- *Physics Department, University of Jammu, Jammu, India*
- *Physics Department, University of Rajasthan, Jaipur, India*
- *Physikalisches Institut, Eberhard-Karls-Universität Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany*
- *Physikalisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany*
- *Physik Department, Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany*
- *Politecnico di Bari and Sezione INFN, Bari, Italy*
- ¹⁰⁹ Research Division and ExtreMe Matter Institute EMMI, GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany
- *Russian Federal Nuclear Center (VNIIEF), Sarov, Russia*
- *Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Homi Bhabha National Institute, Kolkata, India*
- *School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom*
- *Sección Física, Departamento de Ciencias, Pontificia Universidad Católica del Perú, Lima, Peru*
- *St. Petersburg State University, St. Petersburg, Russia*
- *Stefan Meyer Institut für Subatomare Physik (SMI), Vienna, Austria*
- *SUBATECH, IMT Atlantique, Université de Nantes, CNRS-IN2P3, Nantes, France*
- *Suranaree University of Technology, Nakhon Ratchasima, Thailand*
- *Technical University of Košice, Košice, Slovakia*
- *The Henryk Niewodniczanski Institute of Nuclear Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Cracow, Poland*
- *The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX, United States*
- *Universidad Autónoma de Sinaloa, Culiacán, Mexico*
- *Universidade de São Paulo (USP), São Paulo, Brazil*
- *Universidade Estadual de Campinas (UNICAMP), Campinas, Brazil*
- *Universidade Federal do ABC, Santo Andre, Brazil*
- *University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa*
- *University of Houston, Houston, TX, United States*
- *University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, Finland*
- *University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS, United States*
- *University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom*
- *University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, China*
- *University of South-Eastern Norway, Tonsberg, Norway*
- *University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN, United States*
- *University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa*
- *University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan*
- *University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Japan*
- *University Politehnica of Bucharest, Bucharest, Romania*
- *Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS/IN2P3, LPC, Clermont-Ferrand, France*
- *Université de Lyon, CNRS/IN2P3, Institut de Physique des 2 Infinis de Lyon, Lyon, France*
- *Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, IPHC UMR 7178, F-67000 Strasbourg, France*
- 140 Université Paris-Saclay Centre d'Etudes de Saclay (CEA), IRFU, Départment de Physique Nucléaire (DPhN), Saclay, France
- *Università degli Studi di Foggia, Foggia, Italy*
- *Università di Brescia, Brescia, Italy*
- *Variable Energy Cyclotron Centre, Homi Bhabha National Institute, Kolkata, India*
- *Warsaw University of Technology, Warsaw, Poland*
- *Wayne State University, Detroit, MI, United States*
- *Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster, Institut für Kernphysik, Münster, Germany*
- *Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary*
- *Yale University, New Haven, CT, United States*
- *Yonsei University, Seoul, Republic of Korea*
- ^I Deceased.

II Also at: Italian National Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Sustainable Economic Development (ENEA), Bologna, Italy.

- III Also at: Dipartimento DET del Politecnico di Torino, Turin, Italy.
- ^{IV} Also at: M.V. Lomonosov Moscow State University, D.V. Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear, Physics, Moscow, Russia.
- $^{\vee}$ Also at: Department of Applied Physics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, India.
- VI Also at: Institute of Theoretical Physics, University of Wroclaw, Poland.
- VII Also at: University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas, United States.