Neutron capture cross section of unstable 63Ni : implications for stellar nucleosynthesis

(n_TOF Collaboration) Lederer, C.; ...; Bosnar, Damir; ...; Žugec, Petar

Source / Izvornik: **Physical Review Letters, 2013, 110**

Journal article, Published version Rad u časopisu, Objavljena verzija rada (izdavačev PDF)

<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.022501>

Permanent link / Trajna poveznica: <https://urn.nsk.hr/urn:nbn:hr:217:796028>

Rights / Prava: [In copyright](http://rightsstatements.org/vocab/InC/1.0/) / [Zaštićeno autorskim pravom.](http://rightsstatements.org/vocab/InC/1.0/)

Download date / Datum preuzimanja: **2024-10-19**

Repository / Repozitorij:

[Repository of the Faculty of Science - University o](https://repozitorij.pmf.unizg.hr)f [Zagreb](https://repozitorij.pmf.unizg.hr)

Neutron Capture Cross Section of Unstable ⁶³Ni: Implications for Stellar Nucleosynthesis

C. Lederer,^{1,2} C. Massimi,³ S. Altstadt,² J. Andrzejewski,⁴ L. Audouin,⁵ M. Barbagallo,⁶ V. Bécares,⁷ F. Bečvář,⁸ F. Belloni,⁹ E. Berthoumieux, ^{9,10} J. Billowes,¹¹ V. Boccone,¹⁰ D. Bosnar,¹² M. Brugger,¹⁰ M. Calviani,¹⁰ F. Calviño,¹³ D. Cano-Ott,⁷ C. Carrapiço,¹⁴ F. Cerutti,¹⁰ E. Chiaveri,^{9,10} M. Chin,¹⁰ N. Colonna,⁶ G. Cortés,¹³ M. A. Cortés-Giraldo,¹⁵ M. Diakaki,¹⁶ C. Domingo-Pardo,¹⁷ I. Duran,¹⁸ R. Dressler,¹⁹ N. Dzysiuk,²⁰ C. Eleftheriadis,²¹ A. Ferrari,¹⁰ K. Fraval,⁹ S. Ganesan,²² A. R. García,⁷ G. Giubrone,¹⁷ M. B. Gómez-Hornillos,¹³ I. F. Gonçalves,¹⁴ E. González-Romero,⁷ E. Griesmayer,²³ C. Guerrero, ¹⁰ F. Gunsing, ⁹ P. Gurusamy, ²² D. G. Jenkins, ²⁴ E. Jericha, ²³ Y. Kadi, ¹⁰ F. Käppeler, ²⁵ D. Karadimos, ¹⁶ N. Kivel, ¹⁹ P. Koehler,²⁶ M. Kokkoris,¹⁶ G. Korschinek,²⁷ M. Krtička, ⁸ J. Kroll, ⁸ C. Langer, ² H. Leeb,²³ L. S. Leong,⁵ R. Losito,¹⁰ A. Manousos,²¹ J. Marganiec,⁴ T. Martínez,⁷ P. F. Mastinu,²⁰ M. Mastromarco,⁶ M. Meaze,⁶ E. Mendoza,⁷ A. Mengoni,²⁸ P. M. Milazzo,²⁹ F. Mingrone,³ M. Mirea,³⁰ W. Mondelaers,³¹ C. Paradela,¹⁸ A. Pavlik,¹ J. Perkowski,⁴ M. Pignatari,³² A. Plompen,³¹ J. Praena,¹⁵ J. M. Quesada,¹⁵ T. Rauscher,^{32,33} R. Reifarth,² A. Riego,¹³ F. Roman,^{10,30} C. Rubbia,^{10,34} R. Sarmento,¹⁴ P. Schillebeeckx,³¹ S. Schmidt,² D. Schumann,¹⁹ G. Tagliente,⁶ J. L. Tain,¹⁷ D. Tarrío,¹⁸ L. Tassan-Got,⁵ A. Tsinganis,^{10,16} S. Valenta,⁸ G. Vannini,³ V. Variale,⁶ P. Vaz,¹⁴ A. Ventura,²⁸ R. Versaci,¹⁰ M. J. Vermeulen,²⁴

V. Vlachoudis,¹⁰ R. Vlastou,¹⁶ A. Wallner,^{1,35} T. Ware,¹¹ M. Weigand,² C. Weiß,²³ T. J. Wright,¹¹ and P. Žugec¹²

(n_TOF Collaboration)

¹ University of Vienna, Faculty of Physics, 1090 Vienna, Austria² Iohann Wolfgang Goethe Universität, 60438 Frankfurt, German

 2 Johann-Wolfgang-Goethe Universität, 60438 Frankfurt, Germany
³Dinartimento di Fisica, Università di Bologna, and Sazione INFN di Bologna, 401

Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Bologna, and Sezione INFN di Bologna, 40100 Bologna, Italy
⁴Universytet Eódzki, 90131 Lodz, Poland

⁴Uniwersytet Łódzki, 90131 Lodz, Poland
⁵ Centre National de la Pecharche Scientifique/IN2P3 IPM 0

Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique/IN2P3-IPN, 91406 Orsay, France
⁶Istitute Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, 70125 Bari, Italy

⁶Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, 70125 Bari, Italy
Centro de Investigaciones Energeticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEM)

Centro de Investigaciones Energeticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), 28040 Madrid, Spain ⁸Charles University CZ 180.00 Prague Czech Republic

⁸Charles University, CZ-180 00 Prague, Czech Republic ⁹Commissariat à l'Énergie Atomique (CEA) Saclay-Irfu, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

¹⁰ European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN), CH-1211 Geneva, Switzerland
¹¹ University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PL, United Kingdom

¹²Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, University of Zagreb, 10002 Zagreb, Croatia

¹³ Universital Politicnica de Catalunya, 08028 Barcelona, Spain

¹⁵ Universidad de Sevilla, 41080 Sevilla, Spain

¹⁵ Univer

³¹European Commission JRC, Institute for Reference Materials and Measurements, Retieseweg 111, B-2440 Geel, Belgium
³²Department of Physics-University of Basel, 4056 Basel, Switzerland
³³Institute of Nuclear Researc

 35 Research School of Physics and Engineering, Australian National University, ACT 0200, Australia (Received 8 October 2012; published 8 January 2013)

The ⁶³Ni (n, γ) cross section has been measured for the first time at the neutron time-of-flight facility n TOF at CERN from thermal neutron energies up to 200 keV. In total, capture kernels of 12 (new)

resonances were determined. Maxwellian averaged cross sections were calculated for thermal energies from $kT = 5-100$ keV with uncertainties around 20%. Stellar model calculations for a 25 M_{\odot} star show that the new data have a significant effect on the s-process production of ^{63}Cu , ^{64}Ni , and ^{64}Zn in massive stars, allowing stronger constraints on the Cu yields from explosive nucleosynthesis in the subsequent supernova.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.022501](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.022501) PACS numbers: 25.40.Lw, 25.40.Ny, 26.20.Kn, 27.50.+e

The weak component of the astrophysical s process observed in the solar system abundance distribution includes the s-process species between Fe and Sr ($60 <$ $A \leq 90$ [[1](#page-5-0)]. Most of them are generated in massive stars during convective He core burning and convective C shell burning via the activation of the neutron source reaction ²²Ne $(\alpha, n)^{25}$ Mg [\[2](#page-5-1)–[6\]](#page-5-2). The long-lived radioisotope ⁶³Ni
(t_{ele} = 101.2 + 1.5 yr [71) is located along the neutron $(t_{1/2} = 101.2 \pm 1.5 \text{ yr}$ [\[7](#page-5-3)]) is located along the neutron canture path and in typical weak s-process conditions it capture path, and in typical weak s-process conditions it may become a branching point, when the neutron capture time scale is comparable with its stellar β -decay rate.

In particular, at the end of He core burning the neutron source ²²Ne(α , n)²⁵Mg is activated at temperatures around
0.3 GK (GK = 10⁹ K), corresponding to a Maxwellian 0.3 GK (GK = 10^9 K), corresponding to a Maxwellian neutron energy distribution for a thermal energy of $kT =$ 26 keV. At this stage, neutron densities are too weak for a subsequent neutron capture on 63 Ni (with central peak neutron density on the order of 10^7 cm⁻³, e.g., Refs. [\[5](#page-5-4),[6\]](#page-5-2)) and more than 90% of the 63 Ni produced decays to 63 Cu. However, the s-process material is partly reprocessed during C shell burning, where the ²²Ne $(\alpha, n)^{25}$ Mg neutron source is
reactivated, at much higher temperatures of about 1 GK reactivated at much higher temperatures of about 1 GK, corresponding to a thermal energy of $kT = 90$ keV.

During this second stage, neutron densities are orders of magnitude higher, reaching a maximum of 10^{11-12} cm⁻³ $[8]$. At the ⁶³Ni branching point, the high neutron densities favor neutron capture producing 64Ni, bypassing the production of 63Cu despite the strong temperature dependence of the 63 Ni β -decay rate (at C shell burning temperatures the half-life of 63 Ni decreases to a few years $[9]$ $[9]$). In these conditions, the amount of ${}^{63}Cu$ generated during He core burning is partially depleted in the C shell, but the final 63 Cu abundance will increase thanks to the later radiogenic decay of the 63Ni accumulated in the C shell burning phase [\[8\]](#page-5-5). In Fig. [1](#page-2-0), we show the neutron capture path in the

FIG. 1. The s-process reaction path in the Ni-Cu-Zn region during He core burning (dashed lines) and C shell burning (solid lines).

Ni-Cu-Zn region during He core and at high neutron density during C shell burning. Up to now the stellar cross section of ⁶³Ni(*n*, γ ⁾⁶⁴Ni relied on calculations or extrap-
olations of experimental values at thermal neutron energies olations of experimental values at thermal neutron energies (0.025 eV) [\[10](#page-5-7)[–12\]](#page-5-8). Theoretical predictions for the Maxwellian averaged cross section (MACS) at $kT =$ 30 keV are ranging from 24 to 54 mb [\[13–](#page-5-9)[17](#page-5-10)]. The currently recommended value quoted by the compilation KADoNiS $[17]$ is 31 ± 6 mb. Because such calculations are vulnerable to large systematic uncertainties measureare vulnerable to large systematic uncertainties, measurements have been attempted at Los Alamos National Laboratory [\[18\]](#page-5-11) and at CERN. In this Letter we report on the first experimental results for the 63 Ni cross section at stellar energies obtained at the n_TOF facility at CERN.

The measurement was performed at the neutron time-offlight facility n_TOF located at CERN. Neutrons are produced via spallation reactions of 20 GeV/ c protons from the Proton Synchrotron with a massive Pb target. With the high intensity of the pulsed proton beam, the repetition rate of 0.4 Hz, a short proton pulse width of 6 ns, and a neutron flight path of 185 m, the n_TOF facility is unique for the combination of a high neutron energy resolution and a high instantaneous neutron flux. A more detailed description of the facility can be found in Ref. [\[19](#page-5-12)] and references therein.

The ⁶³Ni sample was produced by irradiating highly enriched 62 Ni in a thermal reactor [[12](#page-5-8),[20](#page-5-13),[21](#page-5-14)]. Since the irradiation took place more than 20 years ago, the original 63 Ni fraction had partially decayed to 63 Cu. To avoid background due to ⁶³Cu(*n*, γ)⁶⁴Cu reactions, this ⁶³Cu impurity
has been chemically separated prior to the (*n*, γ) measurehas been chemically separated prior to the (n, γ) measurement. The originally metallic target was dissolved in concentrated nitric acid and the copper fraction was precipitated as CuS using gaseous H_2S . The remaining solution was treated with NaOH to precipitate $Ni(OH)_2$, which was calcinated at 800° C to form NiO. By means of mass spectrometry, the 63 Ni/ 62 Ni ratio in the sample was determined to 0.123 ± 0.001 , and the contribution from other Ni iso-
tones was found to be $\leq 1\%$. In total 1156 mg NiO powder topes was found to be $\leq 1\%$. In total, 1156 mg NiO powder were encapsulated in a thin-walled cylinder made of PEEK (polyether ether ketone, net mass 180 mg) to produce a sample 20 mm in diameter and 2.2 mm in thickness.

The neutron capture yield was measured as a function of neutron energy by detecting the prompt capture γ rays with a pair of liquid C_6D_6 scintillation detectors. These detectors are optimized to exhibit a very low sensitivity to neutrons, thus minimizing the background produced by neutrons scattered on the sample [\[22](#page-5-15)]. The dependence of the detection efficiency on γ -ray energy and the effect of the γ -ray threshold of 250 keV were corrected using the pulse height weighting technique $[23,24]$ $[23,24]$ $[23,24]$. By application of a pulseheight dependent weight on the deposited γ energy, the detection efficiency becomes a linear function of the excitation energy of the compound nucleus, $\epsilon \approx k \times E_c$. Choosing $k = 1$ MeV⁻¹, the capture yield can be obtained as

$$
Y = N \frac{C_w}{\Phi E_c},\tag{1}
$$

where C_w are the weighted, background-subtracted counts, Φ denotes the relative neutron flux, and N is a normalization factor for the absolute capture yield. The normalization factor was determined via the saturated resonance technique $[25]$ in an additional run with a Au sample of the same size as the Ni sample. The Au sample was chosen such that the gold resonance at 4.9 eV is saturated, which means that all neutrons of that energy are absorbed in the sample, thus providing a measure for the absolute neutron flux at 4.9 eV. The energy dependence of the neutron flux was measured relative to the standard cross sections ${}^{10}B(n, \alpha)$ and ${}^{6}Li(n, \alpha)$
150 keV and ${}^{235}Li(n, f)$ at higher energies. Because f to the standard cross sections ${}^{10}B(n, \alpha)$ and ${}^{6}Li(n, \alpha)$ up to 150 keV and $^{235}U(n, f)$ at higher energies. Because the size of the neutron beam changes slightly with neutron energy the of the neutron beam changes slightly with neutron energy, the normalization factor N, related to the fraction of the neutron beam intercepted by the sample, changes as well. This effect was taken into account by simulations of the neutron beam profile [[19\]](#page-5-12).

The experimental background was determined in dedicated runs with an empty PEEK container, with a 62 Ni sample of the same diameter, and in runs without neutron beam. Additionally, the neutron capture yield has been measured with a set of neutron filters located about 50 m upstream of the sample. These W, Mo, and Al filters are thick enough to exhibit black resonances at certain energies, so that all neutrons in these windows are completely removed from the beam and do not reach the sample. Accordingly, the level in the corresponding dips in these spectra is expected to represent the experimental background. When the run with filters was repeated using only the empty container, the same background level was observed in the filter dips, thus confirming the background measured with the empty sample container. Figure [2](#page-3-0) shows a comparison of the capture yield of the 63Ni sample, the empty PEEK container, and the ⁶²Ni sample. The background from the radioactivity of the 63Ni sample and from ambient radiation, which was obtained from a measurement without neutron beam, is given as well. Between 100 eV and 2 keV four resonances are visible in the spectrum of the 63 Ni sample, which are obviously not correlated with the 62 Ni content. The first of these resonances (marked by an arrow) can be attributed to a resonance in the ⁵⁹Ni (n, γ) reaction [[26](#page-5-19)], expected at that neutron energy and compatible with the measured 0.03% impurity of $5\overline{9}$ Ni in our sample. The other three resonances are clearly attributable to the ${}^{63}\text{Ni}(n, \gamma)$ channel. This holds also for several other resonances up to neutron energies of 55 keV, for which the capture kernels

FIG. 2 (color online). Capture yield of the 63 Ni sample (black) compared to the empty PEEK container and to the spectrum obtained with a pure 62Ni sample. The background from the activity of the sample and from ambient radiation is almost negligible at keV energies. The capture yield of the 62Ni sample was scaled for the ⁶²Ni mass present in the ⁶³Ni sample. The first resonance at 203 eV (marked by an arrow) is assigned to a small impurity of ⁵⁹Ni in the sample.

$$
A_{\gamma} = \frac{1}{2\pi^2 \lambda^2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sigma(E) dE = g_s \frac{\Gamma_n \Gamma_{\gamma}}{\Gamma_n + \Gamma_{\gamma}}, \qquad (2)
$$

characterizing the strength of the resonance, could be deduced by a resonance shape analysis with the R-matrix code SAMMY [[27](#page-5-20)] (Table [I\)](#page-3-1). The capture kernel is determined by the spin statistical factor g_s , the neutron width orbital angular momentum ℓ , derived from the shape of the _n, and the radiative width Γ_{γ} . For two resonances the change of the shape of the resonance, is also given. The neutron energy interval between 2 and 8 keV is dominated by the strong resonance in ⁶²Ni (n, γ) at 4.6 keV; therefore, smaller resonances in 63 Ni (n, γ) might be invisible due to this background. In summary, 12 levels in ⁶⁴Ni were observed for the first time.

As a consequence of the small sample mass, the signalto-background ratio starts to deteriorate already above 10 keV. Accordingly, it is increasingly difficult to identify resonances with confidence at higher energies. Thus, MACSs were calculated using resonance parameters only below 10 keV, whereas averaged cross section data have been determined from 10 keV to 200 keV. These data were

TABLE I. Resonance energies E_r (laboratory energy) and capture kernels A_{γ} for the ⁶³Ni (n, γ) reaction. For resonances marked with an asterisk the orbital angular momemtum $\ell = 0$ could be deduced from the resonance shape.

E_r (eV)	A_{γ} (meV)	E_r (eV)	A_{γ} (meV)
397.96 ± 0.04	5.7 ± 0.4	9776 ± 3	100 ± 10
$587.25 \pm 0.09^*$	340 ± 20	13984 ± 3	131 ± 45
$1366 \pm 1^*$	810 ± 40	17127 ± 4	108 ± 59
8634 ± 2	45 ± 9	19561 ± 6	130 ± 20
8981 ± 3	50 ± 10	32330 ± 10	500 ± 200
9154 ± 4	43 ± 9	54750 ± 30	700 ± 200

TABLE II. Maxwellian averaged cross sections (in mb) of $^{63}Ni(n, \gamma)$ compared to previously recommended values based on theoretical predictions [\[17](#page-5-10)]. The respective contributions from resonances below 10 keV (RC) are listed separately. Uncertainties are 1σ .

kT	KAD _o N _i S	This work	
(keV)		RC	Total
5	112	174 ± 6	$224 \pm 8_{stat} \pm 45_{syst}$
10	66	51 ± 2	$129.5 \pm 7.1 \pm 25.9$
15	50	$24 + 1$	$101.3 \pm 6.9 \pm 20.3$
20	41	14 ± 1	$85.5 \pm 6.4 \pm 17.1$
25	35	9.3 ± 0.4	$74.9 \pm 5.9 \pm 15.0$
30	31 ± 6	6.6 ± 0.3	$66.7 \pm 5.4 \pm 13.3$
40	25	3.8 ± 0.2	$54.5 \pm 4.6 \pm 10.9$
50	20	2.4 ± 0.1	$45.6 \pm 3.9 \pm 9.1$
60	17	1.7 ± 0.1	$38.8 \pm 3.4 \pm 7.8$
80	13	0.97 ± 0.05	$29.1 \pm 2.7 \pm 5.8$
100	10	0.63 ± 0.03	$22.5 \pm 2.1 \pm 4.5$

obtained by subtraction of the yield measured with the 62 Ni sample after it had been properly scaled for the 62 Ni content of the 63 Ni sample. The background due to oxygen is negligibly small because of its very small (n, γ) cross section.

The MACSs for thermal energies from $kT =$ 5–100 keV are listed in Table [II,](#page-4-0) together with the theoretical predictions in the KADoNiS compilation [\[17\]](#page-5-10). Our results are approximately a factor of 2 higher than the calculated cross section. The total systematic uncertainties in our results of 20% are mainly due to subtraction of the background and the effect of sample impurities particularly in the region between 2 and 8 keV, where the spectrum is dominated by the 4.6 keV resonance in $62Ni(n, \gamma)$. Comparably minor contributions to the systematic uncertainty are caused by the neutron flux $(3\% - 5\%)$, the pulse height weighting technique (2%) , the flux normalization (1%), and the 63 Ni/ 62 Ni ratio (1.6%).

The impact of our new results was investigated for the s process in a full stellar model for a $25M_{\odot}$ star with an initial metal content $Z = 0.02$. The complete nucleosynthesis was followed with the postprocessing NuGrid code MPPNP [[28](#page-5-21)]. The stellar rates were obtained by combining the measured ^{63gs}Ni (n, γ) ⁶⁴Ni cross sections and theoretically predicted contributions to the stellar rate due to ⁶³Ni^{*}(*n*, γ)⁶⁴Ni reactions as described in Ref. [[29](#page-5-22)]. While the contribution of ^{63gs}Ni(*n*, γ)⁶⁴Ni reactions to the stellar the contribution of ^{63gs}Ni(*n*, γ)⁶⁴Ni reactions to the stellar
rate is still around 90% at He core burning temperatures it rate is still around 90% at He core burning temperatures, it drops to around 40% at the higher temperature in the C shell burning phase. Because of the larger uncertainties of the ⁶³Ni^{*}(*n*, γ)⁶⁴Ni cross sections, the uncertainty of the stellar rate increases with temperature. Apart from the stellar rate increases with temperature. Apart from the reaction cross sections, the final abundance pattern is also affected by the temperature dependence of the radioactive decay rates under stellar conditions. In the investigated mass region the concerned rates for the β^-

FIG. 3 (color online). (a) Final isotopic s-process distributions using the new measured ⁶³Ni MACS (red circles) and the MACS quoted by KADoNiS (blue squares) [[17](#page-5-10)]. The distribution is normalized to solar system abundances. (b) Ratio of the two distributions in (a), zoomed in the Ni-Cu-Zn mass region. Isotopes of the same element are connected by solid lines.

decay of ⁶³Ni and the β^+/β^- decays of ⁶⁴Cu have been adopted from Ref. [\[9](#page-5-6)]. By variation within reasonable limits [[8\]](#page-5-5), it was found that the decay rates of both isotopes have a comparably small effect on the investigated abundances, because the reaction flow in the 63Ni branching is governed by the neutron density conditions, which lead either to much lower or much higher (n, γ) rates during core He and C shell burning, respectively.

The calculated abundance distribution from Fe to Zr shown in Fig. [3](#page-4-1) represents the s abundances after core He and C shell burning, i.e., prior to the supernova explosion, at a point where the nucleosynthesis yields are well characterized by the model [\[8\]](#page-5-5). The distribution is compared in Fig. [3](#page-4-1) to the one obtained with the neutron capture rates of the KADoNiS evaluation [[17](#page-5-10)]. The ratio of the two distributions in the lower panel of Fig. 3 shows that the new ⁶³Ni cross section affects only a few isotopes between Ni and Zn. An enhancement of about 20% is found for ⁶⁴Ni, while ⁶³Cu is depleted by about 15%. As the ${}^{65}Cu$ yields remain essentially unchanged, the isotopic ratio $^{63}Cu/^{65}Cu$ is correspondingly reduced at the end of C shell burning. ⁶⁴Zn is depleted as well (by about 30%), because 63 Cu and ${}^{64}Z$ n are populated by the nucleosynthesis channel following the β^- branch 62 Ni $(n, \gamma)^{63}$ Ni $(\beta^-)^{63}$ Cu $(n, \gamma)^{64}$ Cu $(\beta^-)^{64}$ Zn.
However the s-process contribution to ⁶⁴Zn remains However, the s-process contribution to ^{64}Zn remains marginal as this isotope results predominantly from later explosive nucleosynthesis during core collapse supernovae [\[30\]](#page-5-23). Also the propagation effect of the new MACS of 63 Ni on heavier s-process species is rather small, of the order of a few percent.

Although the s-process component at the end of convective C shell burning is well defined by these calculations, the abundances in the Ni-Cu-Zn region may be affected by following burning stages (for instance, the possible merging of shells [[31](#page-5-24)]) and by the subsequent supernova explosion before enriching the interstellar medium. Given the complexity of this scenario, the final abundances are yet subject to considerable uncertainty as emphasized by several sensitivity studies [[8](#page-5-5),[31](#page-5-24),[32](#page-5-25)]. Nevertheless, the present results represent a fundamental improvement in constraining the weak s-process component from the convective He core burning and convective C shell burning phases. A better knowledge of the preexplosive weak s-process component will allow to also better define the following explosive contribution to the copper inventory, once robust theoretical predictions are compared with spectroscopic observations. Another relevant observational constraint is given by the copper isotopic ratio in the Solar System, where the s process in massive stars provides the dominant contribution ([[8](#page-5-5)], and references therein).

In summary, we measured the energy-dependent 63 Ni (n, γ) cross section at the n_TOF facility providing the first experimental results for MACSs at stellar neutron energies. The MACSs ranging from $kT = 5{\text -}100 \text{ keV}$ exhibit total uncertainties of 20%–22% and are about a factor of 2 higher than the theoretical prediction of the KADoNiS compilation. Our results improve one of the main nuclear uncertainties affecting theoretical predictions for the abundances of ${}^{63}Cu$, ${}^{64}Ni$, and ${}^{64}Zn$ in s-process rich ejecta of core collapse supernovae. Furthermore, these results are a fundamental step to constrain the contribution from explosive nucleosynthesis to these species.

The authors would like to thank H. Danninger and C. Gierl of the Technical University of Vienna for their help preparing the 62Ni sample. This work was partly supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF), Projects No. P20434 and No. I428. M. P. also acknowledges the support from the Ambizione grant of the Swiss NSF, the NSF Grants No. PHY 02-16783 and No. PHY 09-22648 (Joint Institute for Nuclear Astrophysics, JINA), EU Grant No. MIRG-CT-2006-046520, and EuroGenesis. T. R. acknowledges support from EuroGenesis, the FP7 ENSAR/THEXO project, and a ''Distinguished Guest Scientist Fellowship'' from the Hungarian Academy of Sciences.

- [1] F. Käppeler, H. Beer, and K. Wisshak, [Rep. Prog. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/52/8/002) 52[, 945 \(1989\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/52/8/002).
- [2] J. G. Peters, [Astrophys. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/149753) **154**, 225 (1968).
- [3] R. G. Couch, A. B. Schmiedekamp, and W. D. Arnett, [Astrophys. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/152851) 190, 95 (1974).
- [4] S. A. Lamb, W. M. Howard, J. W. Truran, and I. Iben, [Astrophys. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/155571) 217, 213 (1977).
- [5] C. M. Raiteri, M. Busso, G. Picchio, and R. Gallino, [Astrophys. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/169932) 371, 665 (1991).
- [6] C. M. Raiteri, M. Busso, G. Picchio, R. Gallino, and L. Pulone, [Astrophys. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/169622) 367, 228 (1991).
- [7] R. Colle, B.E. Zimmermann, P. Cassette, and L. Laureano-Perez, [Appl. Radiat. Isot.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apradiso.2007.07.017) 66, 60 (2008).
- [8] M. Pignatari, R. Gallino, M. Heil, M. Wiescher, F. Käppeler, F. Herwig, and S. Bisterzo, [Astrophys. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/710/2/1557) 710, [1557 \(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/710/2/1557).
- [9] K. Langanke and G. Martinez-Pinedo, [Nucl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0375-9474(00)00131-7) A673, [481 \(2000\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0375-9474(00)00131-7).
- [10] I.L. Barnes, S.B. Garfinkel, and W.B. Mann, [Appl.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0020-708X(71)90143-8) Radiat. Isot. 22[, 777 \(1971\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0020-708X(71)90143-8).
- [11] H. Michael, A. Neubert, and H. Nickel, [Appl. Radiat. Isot.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0020-708X(74)90005-2) 25[, 183 \(1974\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0020-708X(74)90005-2).
- [12] A. Harder, S. Michaelsen, A. Jungclaus, K. P. Lieb, A. P. Williams, H. G. Börner, and M. Trautmannsheimer, [Z. Phys. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01291590) 343, 7 (1992).
- [13] S. Woosley, W. Fowler, J. Holmes, and B. Zimmermann, [At. Data Nucl. Data Tables](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0092-640X(78)90018-9) 22, 371 (1978).
- [14] M. Harris, [Astrophys. Space Sci.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00649465) **77**, 357 (1981).
- [15] T. Rauscher and F.-K. Thielemann, [At. Data Nucl. Data](http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/adnd.2000.0834) Tables 75[, 1 \(2000\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/adnd.2000.0834).
- [16] M. Arnould and S. Goriely, Nucl. Phys. A777[, 157 \(2006\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2005.02.116)
- [17] I. Dillmann, R. Plag, F. Käppeler, and T. Rauscher, in Proceedings of EFNUDAT Fast Neutrons—Scientific Workshop on Neutron Measurements, Theory & Applications, Geel, Belgium, 2009 (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2006), <http://www.kadonis.org>.
- [18] M. Weigand et al., Proc. Sci., NIC XII (2012) 184.
- [19] C. Guerrero et al. (n_TOF Collaboration), Eur. Phys. J. Special Topics (to be published).
- [20] H. Muthig, Ph.D. thesis, Technical University of Munich, 1984.
- [21] M. Trautmannsheimer, Ph.D. thesis, Technical University of Munich, 1992.
- [22] R. Plag, M. Heil, F. Käppeler, P. Pavlopulos, R. Reifarth, and K. Wisshak, [Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(02)01749-7) A 496[, 425 \(2003\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(02)01749-7)
- [23] R.L. Macklin and R.H. Gibbons, *[Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.159.1007)* 159, 1007 [\(1967\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.159.1007).
- [24] U. Abbondanno et al. (n_TOF Collaboration), [Nucl.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2003.09.066) [Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2003.09.066) 521, 454 (2004).
- [25] R. Macklin, J. Halperin, and R. Winters, [Nucl. Instrum.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0029-554X(79)90457-9) [Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0029-554X(79)90457-9) 164, 213 (1979).
- [26] S. F. Mughabghab, Atlas of Neutron Resonances (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2006), 5th ed.
- [27] N. M. Larson, Oak Ridge National Laboratory Technical Report No. ORNL/TM-9179/R7, 2003.
- [28] F. Herwig, Proc. Sci., NIC X (2008) 023.
- [29] T. Rauscher, [Astrophys. J. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/755/1/L10) **755**, L10 (2012).
- [30] R.D. Hoffman, S.E. Woosley, G.M. Fuller, and B.S. Meyer, [Astrophys. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/176986) 460, 478 (1996).
- [31] T. Rauscher, A. Heger, R. D. Hoffman, and S. E. Woosely, [Astrophys. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/341728) 576, 323 (2002).
- [32] C. Tur, A. Heger, and S.M. Austin, [Astrophys. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/702/2/1068) 702, [1068 \(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/702/2/1068).