Observation of a multiplicity dependence in the pTdifferential charm baryon-to-meson ratios in protonproton collisions at √s = 13 TeV

(ALICE Collaboration) Acharya, S.; ...; Erhardt, Filip; ...; Gotovac, Sven; ...; Jerčić, Marko; ...; Karatović, David; ...; ...

Source / Izvornik: **Physics Letters B, 2022, 829**

Journal article, Published version Rad u časopisu, Objavljena verzija rada (izdavačev PDF)

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2022.137065>

Permanent link / Trajna poveznica: <https://urn.nsk.hr/urn:nbn:hr:217:435109>

Rights / Prava: [Attribution 4.0 International](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) / [Imenovanje 4.0 međunarodna](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Download date / Datum preuzimanja: **2024-10-14**

Repository / Repozitorij:

[Repository of the Faculty of Science - University o](https://repozitorij.pmf.unizg.hr)f [Zagreb](https://repozitorij.pmf.unizg.hr)

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.ScienceDirect.com/)

Physics Letters B

www.elsevier.com/locate/physletb

Observation of a multiplicity dependence in the p_T -differential charm baryon-to-meson ratios in proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV

.ALICE [Collaboration](#page-10-0) *-*

A R T I C L E I N F O A B S T R A C T

Article history: Received 16 December 2021 Received in revised form 21 February 2022 Accepted 17 March 2022 Available online 4 April 2022 Editor: M. Doser

The production of prompt D^0 , D_s^+ , and Λ_c^+ hadrons, and their ratios, D_s^+/D^0 and Λ_c^+/D^0 , are measured in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV at midrapidity (|y| < 0.5) with the ALICE detector at the LHC. The measurements are performed as a function of the charm-hadron transverse momentum (p_T) in intervals of charged-particle multiplicity, measured with two multiplicity estimators covering different pseudorapidity regions. While the strange to non-strange D_s^+/D^0 ratio indicates no significant multiplicity dependence, the baryon-to-meson p_T -differential Λ_c^+/D^0 ratio shows a multiplicity-dependent enhancement, with a significance of 5.3 σ for $1 < p_T < 12$ GeV/*c*, comparing the highest multiplicity interval with respect to the lowest one. The measurements are compared with a theoretical model that explains the multiplicity dependence by a canonical treatment of quantum charges in the statistical hadronisation approach, and with predictions from event generators that implement colour reconnection mechanisms beyond the leading colour approximation to model the hadronisation process. The Λ_c^+/D^0 ratios as a function of p_T present a similar shape and magnitude as the Λ/K_S^0 ratios in comparable multiplicity intervals, suggesting a potential common mechanism for light- and charmhadron formation, with analogous multiplicity dependence. The *p*_T-integrated ratios, extrapolated down to $p_T = 0$, do not show a significant dependence on multiplicity within the uncertainties.

© 2022 European Organization for Nuclear Research. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Funded by SCOAP3.

1. Introduction

Heavy-flavour hadrons are produced in high-energy particle collisions through the hadronisation of the corresponding heavyflavour quarks, which in turn typically originate from early hard scattering processes. The most common theoretical approach to describe this production is based on the quantum chromodynamics (QCD) factorisation theorem [\[1\]](#page-9-0). In this framework, the production of hadrons containing charm or beauty quarks is calculated as a convolution of three independent terms: the parton distribution functions of the incoming protons, the cross sections of the partonic scatterings producing the heavy quarks, and the fragmentation functions that parametrise the non-perturbative evolution of a heavy quark into a given species of heavy-flavour hadron. Calculations based on the factorisation approach rely on the assumption that fragmentation functions, which are typically measured in electron–positron (e⁺e⁻) or electron–proton (e⁻p) collisions [\[2\]](#page-9-0), are universal across all collision systems and energies. Systematic measurements of the relative production of heavy-flavour hadrons performed in different collision systems provide an excellent experimental benchmark to test this assumption.

Perturbative calculations at next-to-leading order, with next-toleading-log resummation [\[3–6\]](#page-9-0), can successfully describe the production cross section of strange and non-strange charm mesons and their ratios, as a function of transverse momentum (p_T) and rapidity in proton–proton (pp) collisions, over a wide range of centre-of-mass energies [[7](#page-9-0)–[11](#page-9-0)]. In contrast, these calculations, which are based on collinear factorisation and fragmentation functions tuned on e^+e^- and e^-p collision measurements, provide a poor description of heavy-flavour baryon production in hadronic collisions. Measurements of the Λ_c^+ production cross section in pp collisions at centre-of-mass energies of $\sqrt{s} = 7$, 5.02, and 13 TeV $[12-15]$ have shown a larger p_T -differential cross section in the measured p_T range, compared to QCD calculations [[3,4,16\]](#page-9-0) as well as higher values for the Λ_c^+/D^0 ratio with respect to e^+e^- collision data from LEP [\[17\]](#page-9-0). Similarly, a $\Lambda_{\rm c}^{+}/{\rm D}^{0}$ ratio larger than expectations from e⁺e[−] collisions was measured in p–Pb collisions at the LHC, both at midrapidity by ALICE [\[12,13\]](#page-9-0) and at forward rapidity by the LHCb experiment [[18\]](#page-10-0).

In particular, the measurements in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 5.02$ and 13 TeV provided the statistical precision to discriminate among different theoretical approaches. The measurements show, with good accuracy, a decrease of the $\Lambda_{\mathsf{c}}^{+}/\mathsf{D}^0$ ratio from about 0.6 in the interval $1 < p_T < 2$ GeV/*c* to about 0.3 for $8 < p_T < 12$ GeV/*c*. Calculations based on PYTHIA 8 [\[19\]](#page-10-0) with Monash tune [\[20](#page-10-0)] and

⁻ E-mail address: alice-publications@cern.ch.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2022.137065> 0370-2693/© 2022 European Organization for Nuclear Research. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license ([http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Funded by SCOAP3.

HERWIG 7 [[21](#page-10-0)], in which the charm fragmentation is tuned to e⁺e[−] and e[−]p measurements, cannot describe the experimental results since they predict a p_T -independent Λ_c^+/\rm{D}^0 ratio of about 0*.*1. The Monash tune is based on the Lund string fragmentation model [[22,23](#page-10-0)], where quarks and gluons, connected by colour strings, fragment into hadrons, and colour reconnection allows for partons created in the collision to interact via colour strings. A much better agreement is achieved by PYTHIA 8 calculations that include colour reconnection mechanisms beyond the leading-colour approximation [[24\]](#page-10-0) (CR-BLC in the following). These hadronisation mechanisms are implemented in addition to those included in the standard Monash tune. The CR-BLC calculations introduce new colour-reconnection topologies enhancing the contribution of "junctions" that fragment into baryons, thus providing an augmented baryon production. Calculations based on the statistical hadronisation model [\[25](#page-10-0)] or calculations that include mechanisms of charm-hadron formation through coalescence of constituent quarks in the presence of a colour-deconfined state of matter [\[26](#page-10-0)], also provide a satisfactory description of the $\Lambda_{\mathsf{c}}^{+}/\mathsf{D}^0$ ratio in pp collisions. This suggests the presence of modified or additional hadronisation mechanisms in small hadronic collision systems with respect to fragmentation in vacuum. Similar conclusions are drawn from recent measurements of higher-mass charmbaryon states, $\Xi_c^{0,+}$ and $\Sigma_c^{0,++}$, in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 5.02$, 7, and 13 TeV [\[15](#page-9-0)[,27](#page-10-0)–[29](#page-10-0)]. The fragmentation fractions, i.e. the probabilities for a charm quark to hadronise into a specific charm hadron, computed for the first time from hadronic collision measurements at the LHC including the charm baryon states, are found to be different than those measured in e^+e^- and e^-p collisions. This observation confirms that the hadronisation of charm quarks into charm hadrons is not a universal process among different collision systems [[30](#page-10-0)].

The measurements of the $\Lambda_{\rm c}^{+}/{\rm D}^0$ and ${\rm D}_{\rm s}^{+}/{\rm D}^0$ ratios also play an important role in the study of heavy-ion collisions, where a hot and dense quark–gluon plasma, characterised by the presence of free colour charges, is formed [\[31\]](#page-10-0). In heavy-ion collisions, measurements of baryon-to-meson ratios and of strange to non-strange hadron production ratios [\[14](#page-9-0),32-39] are sensitive to the mechanisms of hadronisation from the quark–gluon plasma [[40\]](#page-10-0). A first measurement of the $\Lambda_{\rm c}^{+}/{\rm D}^0$ ratio in Pb–Pb collisions, in the 80% most central collisions, was performed at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV [\[34\]](#page-10-0) by ALICE. The measurement is consistent with the hypothesis of an enhancement of the $\Lambda_{\mathsf{c}}^+/\mathsf{D}^0$ ratio with respect to pp collisions in the intermediate p_T region $6 < p_T < 12$ GeV/*c*, although the limited statistical precision does not yet allow for a firm conclusion to be drawn. The Λ_c^+/D^0 ratio in heavy-ion collisions was also measured by CMS, in Pb–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV for $10 < p_T < 20$ GeV/*c* [[14](#page-9-0)], and by STAR, in Au–Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 200 GeV for 2.5 < $p_T < 8$ GeV/*c* [\[35](#page-10-0)]. While the STAR result is significantly higher than PYTHIA 8 calculations with different tunes $[20,24]$ $[20,24]$, the CMS ratio at higher p_T is consistent with the pp result. A hint of enhancement of the D_S^+/D^0 ratio in central Pb–Pb collisions with respect to pp collisions was also observed at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 5.02 TeV in the intermediate p_T region $4 < p_T < 8$ GeV/*c*, as expected in the presence of a sizeable contribution of coalescence processes and increased strangeness production in the medium [\[37,38](#page-10-0)]. A similar conclusion is drawn by STAR from the measured D_s^+ / D^0 ratio in the 10% most central Au–Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 200 GeV relative to PYTHIA simulation of pp collisions [[39\]](#page-10-0). A measurement performed in high-multiplicity pp collisions could shed light on the possible presence of similar effects also in smaller collision systems with large particle densities.

In this Letter, we present the first measurement of the production yields of prompt D^0 , D^+_s and Λ^+_c (i.e. produced in the hadronization of charm quarks or from the decay of excited open charm and charmonium states) as well as corresponding ratios,

 D_s^+/D^0 and Λ_c^+/D^0 , in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, as a function of the charged-particle pseudorapidity density d*N*ch*/*d*η*. The aim of this study is to characterise the evolution of the aforementioned ratios from very low to moderate charged-particle density and provide new experimental constraints on the nature of these modifications in pp collisions. The study was performed considering events selected according to the charged-particle density at mid- and forward rapidities, in order to investigate the effects of possible biases originating from the determination of the multiplicity in the same pseudorapidity region in which charm hadrons are reconstructed. Comparisons with theoretical calculations and Monte Carlo simulations are also provided. In addition, the Λ_c^+/D^0 results are compared to $\Lambda/\text{K}_\text{S}^0$ measurements in similar multiplicity intervals [[41](#page-10-0)]. The p_{T} -integrated $\Lambda_{\text{c}}^{+}/\text{D}^0$ yield ratios, extrapolated down to $p_T = 0$, are also presented.

2. Experimental apparatus and data samples

The ALICE experiment and its performance are presented in detail in Refs. [\[42,43\]](#page-10-0). The main detectors considered for the measurements discussed in this paper are the Inner Tracking System (ITS) for tracking, vertex reconstruction, event multiplicity estimation, and trigger purposes; the Time Projection Chamber (TPC) for tracking and particle identification; the Time-Of-Flight (TOF) for particle identification; and the V0 detector for event multiplicity estimation as well as for trigger purposes.

The event multiplicity selection was based on two estimators. At midrapidity $(|\eta| < 1)$ the multiplicity was estimated via the number of tracklets (N_{trkl}) defined as track segments built by associating pairs of hits in the two Silicon Pixel Detector (SPD) layers, which are the two innermost layers of the ITS. The acceptance of the SPD in pseudorapidity changes with the longitudinal position of the vertex z_{vtx} and, in addition, the acceptance-times-efficiency changes with time due to variations of the inactive channels. Therefore, a data-driven correction procedure was applied on an event-by-event basis to *N*_{trkl}, depending on the z_{vtx} position and the data taking period, as further described in Ref. [[44](#page-10-0)]. The event multiplicity in the forward rapidity region was estimated from the percentile distribution p_{V0M} of the V0M amplitude, which is the sum of signal amplitudes in the V0A and V0C scintillators. They are the two detecting components of the V0 detector on opposite sides of the interaction point along the beam axis, covering the pseudorapidity regions of $2.8 < \eta < 5.1$ and $-3.7 < \eta < -1.7$, respectively. The p_{V0M} values towards 0 correspond to the highest multiplicity events, while the lowest are assigned a value towards 100%.

The data from pp collisions at \sqrt{s} = 13 TeV used for this analysis were collected in the years 2016, 2017, and 2018. Three trigger setups were employed. The minimum-bias (MB) trigger required signals in both V0A and V0C in coincidence with the proton bunch arrival time. To enrich the data sample in the highest multiplicity regions, high-multiplicity triggers based on a minimum selection of the number of hits in the SPD (HMSPD) or of V0 amplitudes (HMV0) were used, which were fully efficient for $N_{\text{trkl}} > 65$ and *p*V0M *<* 0*.*1%, respectively.

Offline selection criteria were applied in order to remove background events from beam–gas collisions and other machineinduced background as described in Ref. [[45\]](#page-10-0). To reduce the contamination from events with superposition of more than one collision within the colliding bunches (pile-up), events with multiple reconstructed primary vertices were rejected. The impact of potentially remaining pile-up events is on the percent level and does not influence the final results of the present analysis. Only events with a vertex position of $|z_{\text{vtx}}|$ < 10 cm around the nominal interaction point were considered to ensure a uniform acceptance. In addition, events were required to have at least one reconstructed tracklet

Table 1

Summary of the multiplicity event classes at midrapidity (N_{trkl}) and forward rapidity (p_{V0M} [%]), the latter corresponding to the visible V0M cross section. The average charged-particle densities $\langle dN_{ch}/d\eta \rangle_{|\eta|<0.5}$ at midrapidity are shown, together with the value corresponding to the INEL *>* 0 event class. The trigger efficiency *ε*INEL is also reported for each multiplicity interval, as estimated in Ref. [\[45](#page-10-0)].

Mult. estimator	Mult. interval	$\langle dN_{ch}/d\eta \rangle_{ \eta <0.5}$	$_{\rm c}$ INEL
$N_{\rm trkl}$	[1, 9]	$3.10 + 0.02$	$0.862 + 0.015$
	[10, 29]	$10.54 + 0.01$	$0.997 + 0.002$
	[30, 59]	$22.56 + 0.07$	1 (negl. unc.)
	[60, 99]	$37.83 + 0.06$	1 (negl. unc.)
p_{VOM} [%]	[30, 100]	$4.41 + 0.05$	$0.897 + 0.013$
	[0.1, 30]	$13.81 + 0.14$	$0.997 + 0.001$
	[0, 0.1]	$31.53 + 0.38$	1 (negl. unc.)
INEL > 0		$6.93 + 0.09$	$0.920 + 0.003$

within the pseudorapidity region $|\eta| < 1$ (INEL > 0 event class). This class of events minimises diffractive corrections and has a high trigger efficiency. It corresponds to about 75% of the total inelastic cross section [\[45,46\]](#page-10-0). After the aforementioned selections, the integrated luminosity of the data sample is about 32 nb⁻¹ for the MB triggered events. Only the data periods granting an uniform efficiency of the HMV0 and HMSPD triggers, inside the range covered by the multiplicity intervals considered in the analysis, were used, resulting in an integrated luminosity of about 7.7 pb^{-1} with HMV0 and 0.8 pb^{-1} for the HMSPD trigger sample.

The events were assigned to multiplicity intervals based on the corresponding observables N_{trkl} and p_{V0M} , as presented in Table 1. The last N_{trkl} and p_{V0M} intervals contain data collected with the HMSPD and HMV0 triggers, respectively. To account for a possible trigger inefficiency for HMSPD triggered events in the range 60 *< N*trkl *<* 65, a correction was applied with a data-driven reweighting procedure, as described in Ref. [[44](#page-10-0)].

The mean multiplicity density ($\langle dN_{ch}/d\eta \rangle_{|\eta| < 0.5}$) of charged primary particles, whose definition is given in Ref. [\[47](#page-10-0)], was obtained by converting the measured event multiplicities as described in Ref. $[45]$ $[45]$ $[45]$. For the p_{V0M} percentiles the values reported in Ref. $[45]$ $[45]$ were used. The conversion of the specific N_{trkl} intervals used in this analysis was performed by means of a PYTHIA [\[19\]](#page-10-0) Monte Carlo (MC) simulation, with particle transport based on the GEANT3 package [[48\]](#page-10-0), and by selecting the charged primary particles measured at midrapidity in the events corresponding to the given *N*_{trkl} intervals. Throughout the analysis reported in this paper, PYTHIA 8.243 with Monash tune [\[20](#page-10-0)] was used; the version will not be reported later for the sake of simplicity.

A summary of the above information is given in Table 1 together with the trigger correction $\varepsilon^{\text{INEL}}$ to account for those events which fulfil the INEL *>* 0 requirement but were not selected by the trigger, as specified in Ref. [\[45\]](#page-10-0).

3. Data analysis

The D⁰, D₅⁺, and Λ_c^+ hadrons and their charge conjugates were reconstructed via the hadronic decay channels $D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+$ (branching ratio $BR = (3.950 \pm 0.031)\%)$, $D_s^+ \to \phi \pi^+ \to K^+ K^- \pi^+$ $(BR = (2.24 \pm 0.08)\%)$, $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+$ (BR = (6.28 ± 0.32)%), and $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK_S^0 \rightarrow p\pi^+\pi^-$ (BR = (1.10 ± 0.06)%) [\[49\]](#page-10-0). The analysis was performed for the different multiplicity intervals, as defined in Table 1. Transverse-momentum intervals between 1 and 24 GeV/*c* were chosen to guarantee a large statistical significance in all multiplicity event classes. In order to minimise systematic effects, which could have a different impact in the different multiplicity intervals considered in the analysis, the same event and candidate selection criteria were used in all the multiplicity classes. The charm-hadron decay tracks were excluded from the *N*_{trkl} estimation at midrapidity, in order to reduce the effects of autocorrelation that could arise from the measurement of the chargedparticle distribution in the same pseudorapidity region as the charm hadrons. A possible remaining bias could be induced by the charged particles produced in the fragmentation of the charm quarks or by decays of excited charm states that are not subtracted from the N_{trkl} count.

Candidates of $D^0 \to K^-\pi^+$, $D_s^+ \to \phi \pi^+ \to K^+K^-\pi^+$, and $\Lambda_c^+ \to$ $pK^-\pi^+$ were defined by combining pairs or triplets of tracks with the proper charge signs, while the reconstruction of the $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \rm{pK^0_S}$ candidates relied on reconstructing the V-shaped decay of the K^0_S meson into two pions, which was then combined with a protoncandidate track. Track-quality selections were applied to the candidate daughters as explained in Ref. [[13](#page-9-0)]. As a consequence of these track-selection criteria, the detector acceptance for D mesons and Λ_c^+ baryons varies as a function of rapidity, falling steeply to zero for $|y| > 0.5$ at low p_T and for $|y| > 0.8$ at $p_T > 5$ GeV/*c*. For this reason, a fiducial acceptance selection was applied on the rapidity of the candidates, $|y| < y_{\text{fid}}(p_{\text{T}})$, where the factor $y_{\text{fid}}(p_{\text{T}})$ was defined as a second-order polynomial function, increasing from 0.5 to 0.8 in the transverse-momentum range $0 < p_T < 5$ GeV/*c*, and as a constant term, $y_{\text{fid}} = 0.8$, for $p_{\text{T}} > 5$ GeV/*c*. The correction factors for the acceptance were computed accordingly. Further selections on the charm-hadron decay topology and on the particle identification (PID) of their decay products were exploited to reduce the combinatorial background. The same selection criteria described in Refs. [\[11,13\]](#page-9-0) were used for D⁰ and $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+$, while for the D_s^+ and $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK_S^0$ analyses, a machine-learning approach with Boosted Decision Trees (BDTs), using the toolkit from XGBoost [[50\]](#page-10-0), was employed. Binary BDT classifiers were used and the training sample was assembled considering the background from the sidebands of the candidate invariant-mass distribution in data, and the prompt signal candidates from MC simulations based on the PYTHIA Monash event generator. Independent BDTs were trained for each p_T interval in the multiplicity-integrated sample. The most prominent variables that were used in the training for the $\Lambda_{\rm c}^+$ analysis are related to the PID of the proton decay track, the reconstructed invariant mass and $c\tau$ of the K_S^0 candidate, the cosine of the pointing angle between the line of flight of the K^0_S meson (the vector connecting the primary and secondary vertices) and its reconstructed momentum vector, and the distance between the K_S^0 -meson decay vertex and the primary vertex. For the D_s^+ analysis, the variables provided to the BDTs are the same as reported in Ref. [\[51](#page-10-0)]. The selections on the BDT outputs were tuned to provide a large statistical significance for the signal.

The signal extraction was performed via binned maximumlikelihood fits to the invariant-mass distributions of candidates in each p_T and multiplicity interval. For all analyses, a Gaussian function was used to describe the signal peak. To model the background, an exponential function was used for the D^0 mesons and for $D_{\scriptscriptstyle S}^+$ mesons with a transverse momentum higher than 4 GeV/ $c,$ while a second-order polynomial function was used for both Λ_{c}^+ decay channels as well for the lowest two p_{T} intervals of the $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{s}}^+$ meson analysis. Due to the limited number of candidates in some multiplicity classes and the large combinatorial background, it was not possible to extract the raw yield in the full p_T range for all the multiplicity intervals: the range $1 < p_T < 2$ GeV/*c* in the low and high multiplicity classes and $12 < p_T < 24$ GeV/*c* in the low multiplicity class are missing, respectively, for the D_s^+ and Λ_c^+ analyses. Examples of the invariant-mass distributions for D^0 , D_s^+ , $\Lambda_c^+ \to pK^-\pi^+$, and $\Lambda_c^+ \to pK_S^0$ candidates for the different p_T and multiplicity intervals are reported in Ref. [\[52](#page-10-0)].

The corrected per-event yields were computed for each p_T and multiplicity interval as

$$
\frac{1}{N_{\text{mult}}^{\text{ev}}} \frac{d^2 N_{\text{mult}}^{\text{hadron}}}{dy dp_T} \n= \frac{\varepsilon_{\text{mult}}^{\text{INEL}}}{N_{\text{mult}}^{\text{ev}}} \frac{1}{c_{\Delta y}(p_T) \times \Delta p_T} \frac{1}{BR} \n\qquad \times \frac{f_{\text{prompt}}(p_T) \times \frac{1}{2} \times N_{\text{mult}}^{\text{hadron, raw}}(p_T)}{(Acc \times \varepsilon)_{\text{prompt, mult}}(p_T)},
$$
\n(1)

where *N*^{hadron, raw} is the raw yield (sum of particles and antiparticles) extracted in a given p_T and multiplicity interval. It is multiplied by the prompt fraction f_{prompt} in order to correct for the corresponding beauty-hadron decay contribution, and divided by the multiplicity-dependent prompt acceptance-times-efficiency, $(Acc \times \varepsilon)$ _{prompt, mult}. It is further divided by a factor of two to obtain the charge-averaged yield, by the BR of the decay channel, the p_T -interval width (Δp_T), and the correction factor for the rapidity coverage $c_{\Delta y}$, computed as the ratio between the generated heavy-flavour hadron yield in $\Delta y = 2y_{\text{fid}}$ and that in $|y| < 0.5$. The factor $N_{\text{mult}}^{\text{ev}}$ denotes the number of recorded events in each multiplicity class, which is then corrected for the fraction of $INEL > 0$ events that were not selected by the trigger, $\varepsilon_{\text{mult}}^{\text{INEL}}$, whose values are reported in Table [1](#page-3-0).

The geometrical acceptance of the detector times the reconstruction efficiency $(Acc \times \varepsilon)$ includes the tracking, the PID, and the topological selection efficiencies, and it was obtained separately for prompt and feed-down hadrons. It was determined from pp collisions simulated with PYTHIA with Monash tune, with particle transport based on the GEANT3 package [[48\]](#page-10-0). To account for the multiplicity dependence of the efficiency, which is driven by the primary-vertex resolution improving with increasing multiplicity, the generated events were weighted based on the number of tracklets in order to match the distribution observed in data. The generated Λ_{c}^+ p_{T} spectrum used to calculate the efficiencies was weighted to reproduce the shape obtained from the PYTHIA CR-BLC tune, which describes the measured spectra better than the Monash tune as observed in Ref. [\[13\]](#page-9-0).

The estimated *(*Acc × *ε)*prompt*,*mult varies between 0.5% and 60% depending on p_T and species, and increases with multiplicity [[52\]](#page-10-0). The largest difference with respect to the efficiency computed in the INEL > 0 class is observed in $1 < p_T < 2$ GeV/*c*, where it reaches 30% for $\mathrm{D^+_s}$, while it steeply decreases to few percents with increasing p_T .

The *f*_{prompt} fraction was estimated as reported in Refs. [[13,](#page-9-0)[51\]](#page-10-0), using (i) the beauty-quark production cross section from FONLL calculations [\[5](#page-9-0),[6\]](#page-9-0), (ii) the $(Acc \times \varepsilon)$ for feed-down charm hadrons, (iii) beauty-quark fragmentation fractions determined from LHCb data [[53\]](#page-10-0) for $b \to \Lambda_b^0$ and from e^+e^- measurements [[17](#page-9-0)] for $b \rightarrow B$, and (iv) modelling the decay kinematics with PYTHIA simulations. The *f*_{prompt} fraction was assumed to be independent of the event multiplicity and therefore computed for the minimumbias event class. This assumption is justified by the expected weak dependence of the feed-down fraction with multiplicity [[44](#page-10-0)], predicted also by PYTHIA, and the small variations of the efficiency for the feed-down component of charm hadrons observed in the simulation for the different multiplicity intervals. The values of *f*prompt range from 0.81 to 0.97 depending on p_T and particle species.

4. Systematic uncertainty evaluation

Sources of systematic uncertainty on the measured corrected yields were studied following procedures similar to those de-scribed in detail in Refs. [[11,13\]](#page-9-0) for the minimum-bias Λ_c^+ and D-meson analyses. The multiplicity-independent sources, i.e. those related to the tracking efficiency, the PID selection and the simulated charm-hadron p_T spectra, are discussed first, and then those related to the multiplicity dependence of the analyses are addressed.

The systematic uncertainties on the track-reconstruction efficiency depend on the candidate p_T and number of decay tracks of the candidate, and range from 3% to 5% for the D^{0} , and from 4% to 8% for the D_s^+ and Λ_c^+ . The contribution due to the PID was investigated by varying the selection criteria. For the D^0 and the $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+$ analyses, the studies were performed as described in Refs. [\[11](#page-9-0)] and [\[13](#page-9-0)], respectively, resulting in a negligible uncertainty for the D⁰, and a 5% uncertainty for the $\Lambda_c^+ \to pK^-\pi^+$. In the D_s^+ and $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK_S^0$ analyses, where topological and PID selection variables are used simultaneously in the BDT, the uncertainties coming from the two sources are treated in a combined procedure as described further below.

The possible differences between the real and simulated charmhadron p_T spectra result in a further source of systematic uncertainty. It was evaluated by reweighting the p_T shape from PYTHIA Monash for the D^0 and D_s^+ analyses and from PYTHIA CR-BLC for the Λ_c^+ analyses to match the one from D-meson FONLL cal-culations [[5,6](#page-9-0)]. This contribution ranges from 1% to 6% for $p_T <$ 4 GeV/*c*, while it is negligible at higher p_T .

The selection efficiencies of the various hadron candidates rely on the description of the detector resolution and alignment in the simulation. Systematic effects arising from imperfections in the simulation are studied by repeating the D⁰ and $\Lambda_c^+ \to pK^-\pi^+$ analyses using different selection criteria on the displaced decay topology. In the D_s^+ and $\Lambda_c^+ \to pK_S^0$ analyses, the selections on the BDT outputs were varied instead, covering both the PID and the decay-topology selection efficiency. For both approaches, the variations are performed separately for the different multiplicity and p_T intervals. The assigned systematic uncertainties are larger at low p_T where the selection criteria are strict, reaching 5% for the D⁰ meson and 10% for the D_s^+ and Λ_c^+ analyses. The uncertainty due to the multiplicity dependence of the selection efficiency was evaluated as well, by changing the weight functions used to reproduce the measured charged-particle multiplicity in the simulation [[54\]](#page-10-0). A maximum deviation of about 4% is observed at low p_T and low multiplicity.

The systematic uncertainty on the raw-yield extraction was evaluated in each combination of the studied p_T and multiplicity intervals by repeating the fit to the invariant-mass distributions varying the fit range and the background fit function as done in Ref. [\[11\]](#page-9-0). In order to test the sensitivity to the functional form of the fit function of the signal, the same strategy was performed using a bin-counting method, in which the signal yield was obtained from integrating the background-subtracted invariant-mass distribution. This systematic uncertainty ranges between 2% and 14% depending on the hadron species, the p_T , and the multiplicity interval.

As described above, a data-driven event reweighting procedure was applied for the HMSPD triggered data sample to account for the trigger inefficiency. Three strategies were explored to ensure normalised weights as outlined in Ref. [[44](#page-10-0)]. The different normalisation procedures were propagated to the raw yield calculation resulting in a relative systematic difference of 1% to 4% compared to the central values depending on the particle species, independent of their p_T .

Possible differences between the primary-vertex position distributions along the beam axis, z_{vtx} , in simulations and in data were investigated, since a slight dependence of the efficiencies with z_{vtx} is observed. Hence, a further data-driven reweighting procedure was performed, taking this effect into account. A p_T -dependent systematic uncertainty was estimated, resulting in a contribution of about 0.5% for $p_T < 4$ GeV/*c*, and negligible elsewhere. This systematic source is considered particle dependent because the weights are defined by selecting events with a charm-hadron can-

Fig. 1. Transverse-momentum spectra of D⁰, D₅⁺, and Λ_c^+ hadrons measured in pp collisions at \sqrt{s} = 13 TeV for different multiplicity classes selected with the N_{trkl} estimator at midrapidity. The corresponding ratios to INEL *>* 0 are shown in the bottom panels.

didate in a given invariant-mass range, for each hadron independently.

Systematic effects due to the dependence of the efficiency on the *N*_{trkl} interval limits were also studied. These effects were a consequence of removing the reconstructed candidate's decay tracks from the multiplicity in data but not in MC, which was done as the efficiencies have little dependence on multiplicity. The systematic uncertainty was evaluated by comparing the efficiency computed in a N_{trkl} interval shifted by one or two units (for twoor three-body decays, respectively) with the one in the default intervals. It was observed to range from 2% to 8%, especially affecting the lowest p_T and multiplicity interval.

Two systematic uncertainties were assigned to the prompt fraction calculations, coming from the FONLL calculations of the bquark production [[5,6\]](#page-9-0) and the assumed multiplicity independence of the *f*prompt factor. The FONLL parameters (b-quark mass, factorisation, and renormalisation scales) were varied as prescribed in Ref. [[6](#page-9-0)]. The assigned uncertainty values for the D mesons range from 3% to 12%. In the Λ_c^+ analyses, the additional contribution from the $f_{\rm b\to\Lambda_b^0}$ fragmentation fraction is considered, as discussed in detail in Ref. $[13]$ $[13]$. It leads to more asymmetrical values of the uncertainty, ranging from $\pm \frac{4}{4}$ % at low p_T to $\pm \frac{6}{8}$ % at high p_T . As mentioned above, Eq. [\(1](#page-4-0)) describes the corrected prompt yields under the assumption that f_{prompt} does not vary with multiplicity. To estimate the uncertainty related to this assumption, PYTHIA simulations where employed, with Monash and CR-BLC tunes. The feed-down contribution from beauty-hadron decays, $f_{\text{feed-down}} =$ 1 – *f*_{prompt}, was varied in each multiplicity interval based on the observed $f_{\text{feed-down}}^{\text{mult}} / \langle f_{\text{feed-down}} \rangle$ trends in simulations. The feeddown contributions were found to be compatible for the D and Λ_c^+ hadrons and show a global increasing trend from 0.7 to 1.5 from the lowest to the highest multiplicity event class. The resulting systematic uncertainties depend on the charm-hadron species, the p_T interval, and the multiplicity classes considered in the analyses. For the part related to the f_{prompt} multiplicity-dependence assumption, typical values for the uncertainty for intermediate p_T are $^{+8}_{-0\%}$ at low multiplicity and $^{+0}_{-15\%}$ at high multiplicity.
The statistical uncertainty on the selection efficiency is assigned

as systematic uncertainty. It strongly depends on the p_T and multiplicity intervals, especially affecting the $p_T < 4$ GeV/*c* and highest multiplicity intervals, where it reaches 1% for the D^0 , 4% for the D_s^+ and $\Lambda_c^+ \to pK^-\pi^+$, and 5% for the $\Lambda_c^+ \to pK_S^0$ analysis. Finally, an overall normalisation systematic uncertainty induced by the branching ratios [\[49](#page-10-0)] was considered.

The sources of systematic uncertainty described above are assumed to be uncorrelated among each other and the total systematic uncertainty in each p_T and multiplicity interval is calculated as the quadratic sum of the estimated values. Depending on the p_T and multiplicity intervals, the resulting values range from 7% to 13% for the D^0 , from 10% to 17% for the D_s^+ , from 7% to 24% for the $\Lambda_c^+ \to pK^-\pi^+$, and from 8% to 17% for the $\Lambda_c^+ \to pK^0_S$ analyses.

5. Results

The p_{T} -differential corrected yield of the Λ_{c}^{+} baryon was obtained in the different event-multiplicity classes, averaging the results from the two decay channels $\Lambda_c^+ \to pK^-\pi^+$ and $\Lambda_c^+ \to pK^0_S$ to obtain a more precise measurement, for which the inverse of the quadratic sum of the relative statistical and uncorrelated systematic uncertainties were used as weights. In the propagation of the uncertainties, the correlation between the statistical and systematic uncertainties was taken into account, with the strategy explained in Ref. [\[13\]](#page-9-0). In addition, the multiplicity-dependent systematic sources were considered as correlated between the two decay channels. In the rest of this section, $\Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}^{+}$ will refer to the weighted average of the $\Lambda_c^+ \to pK^-\pi^+$ and $\Lambda_c^+ \to pK^0_S$ decay channels.

The p_T -differential spectra of D^0 , D_s^+ , and Λ_c^+ hadrons, measured in $|y| < 0.5$, are shown in Fig. 1 for the INEL > 0 class and the four multiplicity classes selected using the *Ntrkl* estimator at midrapidity. The statistical and total systematic uncertainties are shown by vertical error bars and boxes, respectively, as for all the figures in this section. The p_T spectra of the individual decay channels $\Lambda_c^+ \to pK^-\pi^+$ and $\Lambda_c^+ \to pK_S^0$, as well as the D⁰, D_s⁺, and Λ_c^+ yields in the multiplicity classes selected using the p_{V0M} estimator at forward rapidity, are reported in Ref. [\[52\]](#page-10-0). The bottom panels of Fig. 1 present the ratios to the INEL *>* 0 class, for which the multiplicity-dependent systematic sources were considered as uncorrelated among different multiplicity classes and the contributions of the tracking and PID efficiency, the shape of MC p_T spectra and z_{vtx} distribution, the beauty feed-down, and the branching ratio as correlated. The statistical uncertainties and the systematic uncertainties related to the selection efficiency and to the rawyield extraction were considered partially correlated with respect to the measurement performed in the INEL *>* 0 class.

Fig. 2. The D_s^+ / D^0 (top) and Λ_c^+ / D^0 (bottom) ratios measured in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV for different multiplicity classes at mid- (left) and forward (right) rapidity.

The measured p_T -differential yields increase from the lowest to the highest multiplicity class for the three hadron species. Their ratios to INEL > 0 increase (decrease) with increasing p_T for the highest (lowest) multiplicity class, suggesting a plateau towards $p_T > 10$ GeV/*c*, as recently observed for the light-flavour hadrons in Refs. [\[41,55,56\]](#page-10-0), where it was explained as a hardening of the measured *p*_T spectra with increasing $\langle dN_{ch}/dη \rangle$. Different MPI models were able to describe such effects [\[57\]](#page-10-0), and it was shown to be more pronounced for protons than for kaons and pions, while similar for strange baryons and mesons.

In order to investigate potential differences in the $\langle dN_{ch}/dη \rangle$ dependence of the D⁰-meson production with respect to the D_s^+ meson and Λ_c^+ baryon, the D_s^+/D^0 and Λ_c^+/D^0 yield ratios are compared in different multiplicity event classes in Fig. 2, considering both forward and midrapidity multiplicity estimators. The sources of uncertainty assumed to be uncorrelated between different charm-hadron species included the raw-yield extraction, the selection efficiency, the shape of the MC p_T spectra, the z_{vtx} distribution, and the branching ratio. The systematic uncertainty deriving from the variation of the multiplicity-interval limits was propagated as partially correlated, while the other systematic uncertainties were assumed to be fully correlated.

Within the current experimental uncertainties, the D₅⁺/D⁰ ratios are independent of p_T in the measured p_T range. They are compatible with measurements performed in pp collisions at \sqrt{s} = 5.02 and 7 TeV [[11\]](#page-9-0), and also with the average of the p_T integrated results from experiments at e⁺e[−] and e[−]p colliders, 0.17 ± 0.03 [[17,](#page-9-0)[58\]](#page-10-0). A dependence of these ratios with multiplicity, as seen for the ratio of (multi-)strange hadrons to π^{\pm} [[41,59\]](#page-10-0), is not observed within the uncertainties.

The p_{T} -differential $\Lambda_{\mathsf{c}}^{+}/\mathsf{D}^0$ ratios show an evident dependence on multiplicity, and a hierarchy is observed going from the lowest to the highest multiplicity intervals, for both the N_{trkl} and p_{VOM} estimators, for all but the first p_T bin. The increasing trend with $\langle dN_{ch}/d\eta \rangle$ for the Λ_c^+/D^0 ratio is consistent among the measurements done with the two multiplicity estimators, indicating that the enhancement between low and high multiplicity intervals is not a consequence of a possible bias arising from the coinciding rapidity regions between the multiplicity estimator and the

measurement of interest at midrapidity. It is worth noticing that the measured Λ_c^+/D^0 ratio in the lowest multiplicity class is still higher, in the measured p_T range, than the average of corresponding ratios measured in e^+e^- collisions at LEP, which was found to be 0*.*113 ± 0*.*013*(*stat*)* ± 0*.*006*(*syst*)* [[13](#page-9-0),[17](#page-9-0)].

In order to estimate a significance level for the difference observed in the two extreme multiplicity classes at midrapidity, the highest multiplicity (HM) over the lowest multiplicity (LM) Λ_c^+/D^0 ratio was computed. The probability of the measured double-ratio $DR = (\Lambda_c^+/D^0)_{HM}/(\Lambda_c^+/D^0)_{LM} > 1$, corresponds to a significance of 5.3 σ in the 1 < p_T < 12 GeV/*c* interval, considering as null hypothesis $DR = 1$. This estimate was performed taking into account statistical and systematic uncertainties, for which the raw-yield extraction, the selection efficiency, the shape of the MC p_T spectra, and the z_{vtx} distribution sources were considered as uncorrelated, the multiplicity-interval limits as partially correlated, while the other sources cancelled out in the double ratio. With the aim of investigating the least favourable case, the measured values in all p_T intervals were shifted down by one standard deviation, by considering the sources of systematic uncertainties correlated with p_T that do not cancel out in the double ratio, i.e. those arising from the selection efficiency and the generated p_T spectra.

The measured charm-hadron ratios for the lowest and highest multiplicity class for the *N*_{trkl} multiplicity estimator are compared to model predictions from MC generators and a statistical hadronisation model in Fig. [3](#page-7-0). The simulations with the PYTHIA event generator were performed with the Monash and the CR-BLC tunes. For the latter, three modes are suggested by the authors, applying different constraints on the allowed reconnections among colour sources, in particular concerning the causality connection among strings involved in a reconnection, and time dilation caused by relative boosts of the strings [\[24\]](#page-10-0). The simulations are shown in intervals of primary particle multiplicities selected at midrapidity, evaluated by studying the correlation between N_{trkl} intervals and *N*_{ch} values. The estimated intervals are $1 \leq N_{ch} \leq 12$ and $N_{ch} > 75$ for the lowest and highest multiplicity event classes, respectively. The measured D_s^+/D^0 ratios at low and high multiplicity are compatible with PYTHIA with Monash and CR-BLC tunes. The Monash tune, however, does not reproduce the Λ_c^+/D^0 ratio, and further-

Fig. 3. The D_s^+/D^0 (top) and Λ_c^+/D^0 (bottom) ratios measured in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV for the lowest (left) and highest (right) multiplicity classes at midrapidity. The measurements are compared to PYTHIA predictions with the Monash [[20](#page-10-0)] and the CR-BLC tunes [\[24\]](#page-10-0), and the CE-SH model [[60](#page-10-0)], estimated in similar multiplicity classes. The uncertainty bands for the PYTHIA predictions are the statistical uncertainties on the simulations, while for the CE-SH model they refer to the variation of the branching ratios of the additional charm-baryon states from RQM [\[61\]](#page-10-0).

more it does not show a multiplicity dependence. By contrast, the CR-BLC tunes describe the Λ_c^+/D^0 decreasing trend with p_{T} , and are closer to the overall magnitude, as also observed for minimumbias pp collisions at \sqrt{s} = 5.02 and 13 TeV [\[13,15](#page-9-0)]. The CR-BLC tunes show a clear dependence with multiplicity, qualitatively reproducing the trend observed in data.

The measurements in Fig. 3 are also compared with the predictions of a canonical-ensemble statistical hadronisation (CE-SH) model [[60](#page-10-0)], where the authors generalise the grand-canonical statistical hadronisation model (SHM) [[25](#page-10-0)] of charm-hadron production to the case of canonical SHM, and explore the multiplicity dependence of charm-hadron particle ratios. The version of the SHM model based on the measured charm-baryon spectrum reported by the PDG [\[49](#page-10-0)] was observed to strongly underestimate the $\Lambda_{\rm c}^{+}/\rm\overline{D}^{0}$ measurements in minimum-bias pp collisions [[13\]](#page-9-0). For this reason, for the $\Lambda_{\mathsf{c}}^{+}/\mathsf{D}^0$ case, the underlying charm-baryon spectrum in the calculations is augmented to include additional excited baryon states predicted by the Relativistic Quark Model (RQM) [[61](#page-10-0)]. For the D₅⁺/D⁰ predictions, only the PDG case is shown, since the RQM does not modify the D-meson yields with respect to the PDG set. The model calculations describe the $\Lambda_{\mathsf{c}}^{+}/\mathsf{D}^0$ ratios, reproducing the multiplicity dependence. The D $_{\rm s}^+$ /D 0 prediction is compatible with the measurement for the low multiplicity class, while it overestimates the data in the highest multiplicity interval. The CE-SH model explains the multiplicity dependence as deriving from the reduced volume size of the formalism towards smaller multiplicity, where a decrease of the $\Lambda_{\mathsf{c}}^{+}/\mathsf{D}^0$ ratio is a consequence of the strict baryon-number conservation. Such behaviour is also predicted for charm-strange mesons relative to charm mesons, based instead on strangeness-number conservation.

Fig. [4](#page-8-0) shows the comparison of the Λ_c^+/D^0 and the Λ/K_S^0 [\[41\]](#page-10-0) baryon-to-meson ratios as a function of p_T in pp collisions at \sqrt{s} = 13 TeV, in similar low and high N_{trkl} and p_{V0M} multiplicity classes. In the vacuum-fragmentation scenario, the light-flavour hadron production has a significant contribution from gluon fragmentation, whereas heavy-flavour hadrons are primarily produced through the fragmentation of a charm quark, which is in turn produced in the initial hard scattering. In addition, at low p_T , lightflavour hadrons originate mainly from small-momentum soft scattering processes. Despite these differences, the light- and heavyflavour baryon-to-meson ratios, $\Lambda^+_{\rm c}$ /D⁰ and Λ /K $^0_{\rm S}$, show a remarkably similar trend as ^a function of d*N*ch*/*d*η*. The measurements also suggest a similar shift of the baryon-to-meson ratio peaks towards higher momenta, with increasing multiplicity. These similarities, observed as well in minimum-bias pp and p–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 5.02 TeV both in terms of shape and magnitude [[62\]](#page-10-0), hint at a potential common mechanism for light- and charm-baryon formation in hadronic collisions at LHC energies.

The p_T -integrated yields of Λ_c^+ and D^0 were computed by integrating the p_T -differential spectra in their measured range and extrapolating them down to $p_T = 0$ in each multiplicity interval. In the integration, the systematic uncertainties were propagated considering the uncertainty due to the raw-yield extraction and the statistical uncertainty on the efficiency as fully uncorrelated and all the other sources as fully correlated among p_T intervals. The PYTHIA predictions with CR-BLC Mode 2 were used for the extrapolation in each multiplicity interval, for both Λ_{c}^{+} and D^0 , following a similar procedure as the one described in Ref. [[13\]](#page-9-0). The extrapolation factor was computed as the ratio of the PYTHIA spectrum integrated in the full p_T range to the integral in the visible p_T range. The $\Lambda_{\rm c}^+$ and ${\rm D}^0$ yields in the full $p_{\rm T}$ range were obtained by integrating the yield in the visible p_T interval and scaling by the extrapolation factor. The fraction of extrapolated yield from the lowest to the highest multiplicity interval is about 39% (31%), 28% (22%), 20% (16%), and 15% (13%) for $\Lambda_{\rm c}^{+}$ (D⁰). The procedure was repeated considering also the CR-BLC Mode 0 and Mode 3 as well as two different functions fitted to the spectra (a Tsallis-Lévy [\[63\]](#page-10-0) and a power-law function). The fits were performed considering

Fig. 4. The baryon-to-meson ratios in the light-flavour, based on measurements from Ref. [\[41\]](#page-10-0) and charm sector measured in pp collisions at \sqrt{s} = 13 TeV for similar lowand high-multiplicity classes at mid- (left) and forward (right) rapidity.

Fig. 5. Ratios of p_T -integrated yields of Λ_c^+ and D^0 hadrons as a function of $\langle dN_{ch}/d\eta \rangle$ in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV. Measurements performed in pp and p–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 5.02 TeV from Ref. [[13](#page-9-0)] are also shown. Statistical and systematic uncertainties are shown by error bars and empty boxes, respectively. Shaded boxes represent the extrapolation uncertainties. The corresponding PYTHIA predictions [\[20,24\]](#page-10-0) are also shown.

the statistical and p_T -uncorrelated sources of systematic uncertainties, and also shifting up and down the data by one sigma of the p_T -correlated systematic uncertainties. The envelope of the extrapolation factors obtained with all the trials was assigned as the extrapolation uncertainty on Λ_{c}^+ and D^0 , and it was propagated to the $\Lambda_{\mathsf{c}}^+/\mathsf{D}^0$ ratio, resulting in a value that ranges from 2% to 21% depending on multiplicity. The same procedure was used to estimate the p_{T} -integrated D_{s}^+ yields and D_{s}^+/D^0 yield ratios in the different multiplicity intervals, reported in Ref. [\[52\]](#page-10-0). The Λ_c^+ and D^0 p_T -integrated yields are also reported in Ref. [\[52\]](#page-10-0), together with the p_{T} -integrated Λ_c^+/D^0 yield ratios in the visible p_{T} range, and the tables with the numerical values of the p_T -integrated ratios. The p_{T} -integrated $\Lambda_{\text{c}}^{+}/\text{D}^0$ yield ratio as a function of \langle d $N_{\text{ch}}/d\eta$ \rangle is shown in Fig. 5, where the systematic uncertainties from the extrapolation (shaded boxes, assumed to be uncorrelated among multiplicity intervals) are drawn separately from the other sources of systematic uncertainties (empty boxes). The sources related to the raw-yield extraction, the multiplicity-interval limits, the highmultiplicity triggers, the multiplicity-independent prompt fraction assumption, and the statistical uncertainties on the efficiencies are also considered uncorrelated with multiplicity. The other systematic uncertainties are assumed to be correlated. The measurements performed in pp and p–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 5.02$ TeV [[13](#page-9-0)] are also shown. The result does not favour an increase of the yield ratios with multiplicity and the trend is compatible with a constant function. The same trend was also observed for the Λ/K^0_S ratio in

Ref. [\[41\]](#page-10-0). This suggests that the increasing trend observed for the $1 < p_T < 24$ GeV/*c* range comes from a re-distribution of p_T that acts differently for baryons and mesons, while this is not observed in the meson-to-meson ratios, as shown in Fig. [3](#page-7-0) for D_s^+/D^0 and in Ref. [[56](#page-10-0)] for K/ π . The results are compared to the p_T -integrated PYTHIA predictions. The measurements exclude the Monash prediction in the whole multiplicity range, and tend to be significantly below the CR-BLC Mode 2 for the three highest multiplicity intervals.

6. Conclusions

The first measurement of D_s^+/D^0 and Λ_c^+/D^0 ratios as a function of charged-particle multiplicity in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV was presented. The p_T -differential D_s^+/D^0 yield ratio does not show a dependence on multiplicity, within uncertainties. In contrast, the charm baryon-to-meson ratio, Λ_c^+/D^0 , measured as a function of p_T , shows a significant increase (5.3 σ) when comparing the measurements performed in the lowest and highest multiplicity intervals in $1 < p_{\rm T} < 12$ GeV/*c*. In addition, the $\Lambda_{\rm c}^+/{\rm D}^0$ ratio measured in the lowest multiplicity interval ($\langle dN_{ch}/d\eta \rangle = 3.1$) is higher, at low and intermediate p_T , than the values measured at e⁺e[−] colliders at lower centre-of-mass energies. These observations imply a modification of the hadronisation mechanisms that is collision-system and multiplicity dependent, further confirming the limited validity of the assumption of universality of the fragmentation functions. The measurements are compared with two calculations. Those based on PYTHIA with CR-BLC describe the D_s^+/D^0 measurements and capture the trend of the Λ_c^+/D^0 ratio, qualitatively describing the increasing magnitude of the baryon-tomeson ratios with multiplicity. Calculations based on a statistical hadronisation model, with the multiplicity dependence originating from the canonical treatment of quantum-charge conservation, describe the $\Lambda_{\rm c}^{+}/{\rm D}^{0}$ measurements in the lowest and highest multiplicity intervals. The prediction is also in agreement with the D_s^+/D^0 ratio for the low multiplicity interval, while it overestimates the data in the high-multiplicity class. The baryon-to-meson ratios in the charm sector, Λ_c^+/D^0 , are also compared to those in the light-flavour sector, Λ/K^0_S , in similar multiplicity classes, showing a remarkably similar trend as a function of p_T and similar enhancement with $\langle dN_{ch}/dη \rangle$. These similarities hint at a possible common mechanism for light- and charm-baryon formation in pp collisions at LHC energies. The p_{T} -integrated $\Lambda_{\text{c}}^{+}/\text{D}^{0}$ ratios, extrapolated to $p_T = 0$ based on spectral shapes from PYTHIA with CR-BLC, show no significant dependence on multiplicity, suggesting that the increase in the baryon-to-meson yield ratio observed in the measured p_T range is due to a different redistribution of p_T between baryons and mesons, rather than to an enhancement in the overall baryon yield. More precise measurements with the data sample collected in Run 3 of the LHC, that is planned to start late spring 2022, will allow us to further investigate the shape of the p_T -integrated baryon-to-meson ratios versus multiplicity, extending the multiplicity reach to lower and higher multiplicity intervals.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgements

The ALICE Collaboration would like to thank all its engineers and technicians for their invaluable contributions to the construction of the experiment and the CERN accelerator teams for the outstanding performance of the LHC complex. The ALICE Collaboration gratefully acknowledges the resources and support provided by all Grid centres and the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid (WLCG) collaboration. The ALICE Collaboration acknowledges the following funding agencies for their support in building and running the ALICE detector: A.I. Alikhanyan National Science Laboratory (Yerevan Physics Institute) Foundation (ANSL), State Committee of Science and World Federation of Scientists (WFS), Armenia; Austrian Academy of Sciences, Austrian Science Fund (FWF): [M 2467-N36] and Nationalstiftung für Forschung, Technologie und Entwicklung, Austria; Ministry of Communications and High Technologies, National Nuclear Research Center, Azerbaijan; Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), Financiadora de Estudos e Projetos (Finep), Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP) and Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS), Brazil; Ministry of Education of China (MOEC), Ministry of Science & Technology of China (MSTC) and National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC), China; Ministry of Science and Education and Croatian Science Foundation, Croatia; Centro de Aplicaciones Tecnológicas y Desarrollo Nuclear (CEADEN), Cubaenergía, Cuba; Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic, Czech Republic; The Danish Council for Independent Research | Natural Sciences, the Villum Fonden and Danish National Research Foundation (DNRF), Denmark; Helsinki Institute of Physics (HIP), Finland; Commissariat à l'Énergie Atomique (CEA) and Institut National de Physique Nucléaire et de Physique des Particules (IN2P3) and Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), France; Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung (BMBF) and GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung GmbH, Germany; General Secretariat for Research and Technology, Ministry of Education, Research and Religions, Greece; National Research, Development and Innovation Office, Hungary; Department of Atomic Energy, Government of India (DAE), Department of Science and Technology, Government of India (DST), University Grants Commission, Government of India (UGC) and Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), India; Indonesian Institute of Science, Indonesia; Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN), Italy; Japanese Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT), Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) KAKENHI and Japanese Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT) of Applied Science (IIST), Japan; Consejo Nacional de Ciencia (CONA-CYT) y Tecnología, through Fondo de Cooperación Internacional en Ciencia y Tecnología (FONCICYT) and Dirección General de Asuntos del Personal Academico (DGAPA), Mexico; Nederlandse Organisatie voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek (NWO), Netherlands; The Research Council of Norway, Norway; Commission on Science and Technology for Sustainable Development in the South (COMSATS), Pakistan; Pontificia Universidad Católica del Perú, Peru; Ministry of Education and Science, National Science Centre and WUT ID-UB, Poland; Korea Institute of Science and Technology Information and National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF), Republic of Korea; Ministry of Education and Scientific Research, Institute of Atomic Physics, Ministry of Research and Innovation and Institute of Atomic Physics and University Politehnica of Bucharest, Romania; Joint Institute for Nuclear Research (JINR), Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation, National Research Centre Kurchatov Institute, Russian Science Foundation and Russian Foundation for Basic Research, Russia; Ministry of Education, Science, Research and Sport of the Slovak Republic, Slovakia; National Research Foundation of South Africa, South Africa; Swedish Research Council (VR) and Knut & Alice Wallenberg Foundation (KAW), Sweden; European Organization for Nuclear Research, Switzerland; Suranaree University of Technology (SUT), National Science and Technology Development Agency (NSDTA) and Office of the Higher Education Commission under NRU project of Thailand, Thailand; Turkish Energy, Nuclear and Mineral Research Agency (TENMAK), Turkey; National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Ukraine; Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC), United Kingdom; National Science Foundation of the United States of America (NSF) and United States Department of Energy, Office of Nuclear Physics (DOE NP), United States of America.

References

- [1] J.C. Collins, D.E. Soper, G.F. Sterman, [Factorization](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib143981B4A1E7614E58BE33872576C0D0s1) of hard processes in QCD, Adv. Ser. Dir. High Energy Phys. 5 (1989) 1–91, [arXiv:hep-ph/0409313.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib143981B4A1E7614E58BE33872576C0D0s1)
- [2] E. Braaten, K.-M. Cheung, S. Fleming, T.C. Yuan, Perturbative QCD [fragmentation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib7B2DF659C9730BC60C6450A33C414B41s1) functions as a model for heavy quark [fragmentation,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib7B2DF659C9730BC60C6450A33C414B41s1) Phys. Rev. D 51 (1995) 4819–4829, [arXiv:hep-ph/9409316.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib7B2DF659C9730BC60C6450A33C414B41s1)
- [3] B.A. Kniehl, G. Kramer, I. Schienbein, H. Spiesberger, Collinear [subtractions](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib75CCE3BC6AD56873927B428D91E3ED76s1) in [hadroproduction](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib75CCE3BC6AD56873927B428D91E3ED76s1) of heavy quarks, Eur. Phys. J. C 41 (2005) 199–212, arXiv: [hep-ph/0502194 \[hep-ph\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib75CCE3BC6AD56873927B428D91E3ED76s1)
- [4] B.A. Kniehl, G. Kramer, I. Schienbein, H. Spiesberger, Inclusive [charmed-meson](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib4F6294EBF3FBF19454FDA46957FC6FF4s1) production at the CERN LHC, Eur. Phys. J. C 72 (2012) 2082, [arXiv:1202.0439](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib4F6294EBF3FBF19454FDA46957FC6FF4s1) [\[hep-ph\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib4F6294EBF3FBF19454FDA46957FC6FF4s1)
- [5] M. Cacciari, M. Greco, P. Nason, The p_T spectrum in [heavy-flavour](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib04C88DCD3769F4E18DE421CFB368A4BBs1) hadroproduction, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (1998) 007, [arXiv:hep-ph/9803400 \[hep-ph\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib04C88DCD3769F4E18DE421CFB368A4BBs1)
- [6] M. Cacciari, et al., Theoretical [predictions](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibB23AFAD89920B203204DDBFB65D93035s1) for charm and bottom production at the LHC, J. High Energy Phys. 10 (2012) 137, [arXiv:1205.6344 \[hep-ph\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibB23AFAD89920B203204DDBFB65D93035s1)
- [7] A. Andronic, et al., [Heavy-flavour](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibB3673105415D9E34BD731A0DFD2DD50Fs1) and quarkonium production in the LHC era: from [proton–proton](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibB3673105415D9E34BD731A0DFD2DD50Fs1) to heavy-ion collisions, Eur. Phys. J. C 76 (3) (2016) 107, [arXiv:1506.03981 \[nucl-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibB3673105415D9E34BD731A0DFD2DD50Fs1)
- [8] LHCb Collaboration, R. Aaij, et al., [Measurements](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibA9F03D422F1CC0242654669C78032323s1) of prompt charm production [cross-sections](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibA9F03D422F1CC0242654669C78032323s1) in pp collisions at \sqrt{s} = 13 TeV, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2016) 159, [arXiv:1510.01707 \[hep-ex\],](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibA9F03D422F1CC0242654669C78032323s1) Erratum: J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2016) 013, [Erratum:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibA9F03D422F1CC0242654669C78032323s1) J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2017) 074.
- [9] CMS [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibD667B1E3BCB7594DB447E0CF1C0600C2s1) V. Khachatryan, et al., Measurement of the total and differential inclusive B⁺ hadron cross sections in pp [collisions](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibD667B1E3BCB7594DB447E0CF1C0600C2s1) at \sqrt{s} = 13 TeV, Phys. Lett. B 771 (2017) 435–456, [arXiv:1609.00873 \[hep-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibD667B1E3BCB7594DB447E0CF1C0600C2s1)
- [10] LHCb [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib51E5BF387222161E470004010FD16738s1) R. Aaij, et al., Measurement of the B^{\pm} production crosssection in pp [collisions](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib51E5BF387222161E470004010FD16738s1) at \sqrt{s} = 7 and 13 TeV, J. High Energy Phys. 12 (2017) 026, [arXiv:1710.04921 \[hep-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib51E5BF387222161E470004010FD16738s1)
- [11] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib6C52ABB85E365C6F17F5E0585942DCD3s1) S. Acharya, et al., Measurement of D^0 , D^+ , D^{*+} and D_s^+ [production](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib6C52ABB85E365C6F17F5E0585942DCD3s1) in pp collisions at \sqrt{s} = 5.02 TeV with ALICE, Eur. Phys. J. C 79 (5) (2019) 388, [arXiv:1901.07979 \[nucl-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib6C52ABB85E365C6F17F5E0585942DCD3s1)
- [12] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibA008B5A65F9AC40154B1F0680EBE82A3s1) S. Acharya, et al., Λ_c^+ production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV and in p–Pb [collisions](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibA008B5A65F9AC40154B1F0680EBE82A3s1) at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 5.02 TeV, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2018) 108, [arXiv:1712.09581 \[nucl-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibA008B5A65F9AC40154B1F0680EBE82A3s1)
- [13] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib9EBCE96ACF185C0323E5FFEDFD1F4892s1) S. Acharya, et al., Λ_c^+ production in pp and in p–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 5.02 TeV, Phys. Rev. C 104 (2021) 054905, [arXiv:2011.06079](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib9EBCE96ACF185C0323E5FFEDFD1F4892s1) [\[nucl-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib9EBCE96ACF185C0323E5FFEDFD1F4892s1)
- [14] CMS [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib7012EF659FF709D0492B9D5DB16671A7s1) A.M. Sirunyan, et al., Production of Λ_c^+ baryons in proton– proton and [lead–lead](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib7012EF659FF709D0492B9D5DB16671A7s1) collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 5.02 TeV, Phys. Lett. B 803 (2020) 135328, [arXiv:1906.03322 \[hep-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib7012EF659FF709D0492B9D5DB16671A7s1)
- [15] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibD7822C6F5259DC96BED2BD067C120AECs1) S. Acharya, et al., Measurement of prompt D^0 , Λ_c^+ , and $\Sigma_c^{0,++}$ (2455) production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, [arXiv:2106.08278](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibD7822C6F5259DC96BED2BD067C120AECs1) [\[hep-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibD7822C6F5259DC96BED2BD067C120AECs1)
- [16] B.A. Kniehl, G. Kramer, I. Schienbein, H. [Spiesberger,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib51063899BD6A2073D4A706E1CEC91BDDs1) Λ_c^{\pm} production in pp collisions with a new [fragmentation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib51063899BD6A2073D4A706E1CEC91BDDs1) function, Phys. Rev. D 101 (11) (2020) 114021, [arXiv:2004.04213 \[hep-ph\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib51063899BD6A2073D4A706E1CEC91BDDs1)
- [17] L. Gladilin, [Fragmentation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibC99CB1D7EB5221DB471B8CEDE497267Fs1) fractions of *c* and *b* quarks into charmed hadrons at LEP, Eur. Phys. J. C 75 (1) (2015) 19, [arXiv:1404.3888 \[hep-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibC99CB1D7EB5221DB471B8CEDE497267Fs1)
- [18] LHCb [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibADDD4A6C565B2B4A3CC64F253144CA72s1) R. Aaij, et al., Prompt Λ_c^+ production in p-Pb collisions at √^SNN = 5.02 TeV, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2019) 102, [arXiv:1809.01404 \[hep](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibADDD4A6C565B2B4A3CC64F253144CA72s1)[ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibADDD4A6C565B2B4A3CC64F253144CA72s1)
- [19] T. Sjöstrand, et al., An [introduction](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib52E0141B59A5D3E5BF7C55D1B143BBEEs1) to PYTHIA 8.2, Comput. Phys. Commun. 191 (2015) 159–177, [arXiv:1410.3012 \[hep-ph\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib52E0141B59A5D3E5BF7C55D1B143BBEEs1)
- [20] P. Skands, S. [Carrazza,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib303AFAB922CD5DFF46850B87BD569A7Fs1) J. Rojo, Tuning PYTHIA 8.1: the Monash 2013 tune, Eur. Phys. J. C 74 (8) (2014) 3024, [arXiv:1404.5630 \[hep-ph\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib303AFAB922CD5DFF46850B87BD569A7Fs1)
- [21] J. Bellm, et al., Herwig [7.0/Herwig++](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibA7E1901DE5619FCB7F66AF96C961ECEEs1) 3.0 release note, Eur. Phys. J. C 76 (4) (2016) 196, [arXiv:1512.01178 \[hep-ph\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibA7E1901DE5619FCB7F66AF96C961ECEEs1)
- [22] B. [Andersson,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib03B2EC99F07D1715FAF87E00D0A767E1s1) The Lund Model, vol. 7, Cambridge University Press, 2005.
- [23] B. Andersson, G. Gustafson, G. Ingelman, T. Sjostrand, Parton [fragmentation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib9867A72BBC2522B0FE2F6F25C8AD1AE0s1) and string [dynamics,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib9867A72BBC2522B0FE2F6F25C8AD1AE0s1) Phys. Rep. 97 (1983) 31–145.
- [24] J.R. [Christiansen,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibA5ECD645BCFB566852076F6113F7C2B3s1) P.Z. Skands, String formation beyond leading colour, J. High Energy Phys. 08 (2015) 003, [arXiv:1505.01681 \[hep-ph\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibA5ECD645BCFB566852076F6113F7C2B3s1)
- [25] M. He, R. Rapp, Charm-baryon production in [proton–proton](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibBD7179DFC5EB95D656927BBD68C3CF58s1) collisions, Phys. Lett. B 795 (2019) 117–121, [arXiv:1902.08889 \[nucl-th\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibBD7179DFC5EB95D656927BBD68C3CF58s1)
- [26] V. [Minissale,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib00B76AAC2D7A6B9C6A480CE227F89DAAs1) S. Plumari, V. Greco, Charm hadrons in pp collisions at LHC energy within a coalescence plus fragmentation approach, [arXiv:2012.12001 \[hep-ph\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib00B76AAC2D7A6B9C6A480CE227F89DAAs1)
- [27] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib34A228562DF9AFE4621A895FCA87D71Ds1) S. Acharya, et al., First measurement of Ξ_c^0 production in pp collisions at [√]*^s* ⁼ 7 TeV, Phys. Lett. ^B ⁷⁸¹ (2018) 8–19, [arXiv:1712.04242](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib34A228562DF9AFE4621A895FCA87D71Ds1) [\[hep-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib34A228562DF9AFE4621A895FCA87D71Ds1)
- [28] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib813F51E417CA28F1AE55169D52F717A9s1) S. Acharya, et al., Measurement of the production cross section of prompt Ξ_c^0 baryons at [midrapidity](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib813F51E417CA28F1AE55169D52F717A9s1) in pp collisions at \sqrt{s} = 5.02 TeV, J. High Energy Phys. 10 (2021) 159, [arXiv:2105.05616 \[nucl-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib813F51E417CA28F1AE55169D52F717A9s1)
- [29] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib2DA3B565AB9E410DE7A1F42E2E58AAF4s1) S. Acharya, et al., Measurement of the cross sections of Ξ_c^0 and Ξ_c^+ baryons and [branching-fraction](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib2DA3B565AB9E410DE7A1F42E2E58AAF4s1) ratio BR($\Xi_c^0 \to \Xi^- e^+ \nu_e$)/BR($\Xi_c^0 \to$ $\overline{\Xi}^-\pi^+$) in pp collisions at 13 TeV, [arXiv:2105.05187 \[nucl-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib2DA3B565AB9E410DE7A1F42E2E58AAF4s1)
- [30] ALICE Collaboration, S. Acharya, et al., Charm-quark [fragmentation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib13A9EF7BC2154898F852B9039E7675BEs1) fractions and production cross section at [midrapidity](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib13A9EF7BC2154898F852B9039E7675BEs1) in pp collisions at the LHC, arXiv: [2105.06335 \[nucl-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib13A9EF7BC2154898F852B9039E7675BEs1)
- [31] W. Busza, K. [Rajagopal,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibB6DC4FB033D6B329E41C0BE3B32DD5F7s1) W. van der Schee, Heavy ion collisions: the big picture, and the big [questions,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibB6DC4FB033D6B329E41C0BE3B32DD5F7s1) Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 68 (2018) 339–376, arXiv: [1802.04801 \[hep-ph\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibB6DC4FB033D6B329E41C0BE3B32DD5F7s1)
- [32] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib8327686B4A65FD7845E14ACD86E964EFs1) B. Abelev, et al., K_S^0 and Λ production in Pb–Pb collisions at √_{*SNN*} = 2.76 TeV, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111 (2013) 222301, [arXiv:1307.5530 \[nucl](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib8327686B4A65FD7845E14ACD86E964EFs1)[ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib8327686B4A65FD7845E14ACD86E964EFs1)
- [33] STAR Collaboration, J. Adams, et al., [Measurements](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib4D2EEA91D6DF436C695CB0CF9B60E54As1) of identified particles at [intermediate](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib4D2EEA91D6DF436C695CB0CF9B60E54As1) transverse momentum in the STAR experiment from Au + Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 200 GeV, [arXiv:nucl-ex/0601042.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib4D2EEA91D6DF436C695CB0CF9B60E54As1)
- [34] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibEB0FDAA6CDBF23CC1A1B4E43F80AEDFBs1) S. Acharya, et al., Λ_c^+ production in Pb–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 5.02 TeV, Phys. Lett. B 793 (2019) 212–223, [arXiv:1809.10922 \[nucl-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibEB0FDAA6CDBF23CC1A1B4E43F80AEDFBs1) ϵ _{ex} \vert
- [35] STAR [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib4004491A21C78CFE80B504DFD79FA747s1) J. Adam, et al., Observation of enhancement of charmed [baryon-to-meson](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib4004491A21C78CFE80B504DFD79FA747s1) ratio in Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 200 GeV, Phys. Rev. Lett. 124 (17) (2020) 172301, [arXiv:1910.14628 \[nucl-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib4004491A21C78CFE80B504DFD79FA747s1)
- [36] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib4C13C8D73A34D8B1BB6CF3C2D42E0E61s1) S. Acharya, et al., Prompt D^0 , D^+ , and D^{*+} production in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 5.02 TeV, [arXiv:2110.09420 \[nucl-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib4C13C8D73A34D8B1BB6CF3C2D42E0E61s1)
- [37] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibC39B39E2120EAE119BDAC3176A8D38C4s1) S. Acharya, et al., Measurement of D^0 , D^+ , D^{*+} and D_s^+ [production](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibC39B39E2120EAE119BDAC3176A8D38C4s1) in Pb–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 5.02 TeV, J. High Energy Phys. 10 (2018) 174, [arXiv:1804.09083 \[nucl-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibC39B39E2120EAE119BDAC3176A8D38C4s1)
- [38] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib07C36EA21C56228FA37F0CBCB1D0732As1) S. Acharya, et al., Measurement of prompt D_s^+ -meson production and azimuthal [anisotropy](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib07C36EA21C56228FA37F0CBCB1D0732As1) in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV, [arXiv:2110.10006 \[nucl-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib07C36EA21C56228FA37F0CBCB1D0732As1)
- [39] STAR [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibC5127EF6DBA8C808D42DE858FBF3F3BFs1) J. Adam, et al., Observation of D_s^{\pm}/D^0 enhancement in Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = [200 GeV,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibC5127EF6DBA8C808D42DE858FBF3F3BFs1) Phys. Rev. Lett. 127 (2021) 092301, [arXiv:2101.11793 \[hep-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibC5127EF6DBA8C808D42DE858FBF3F3BFs1)
- [40] R.J. Fries, V. Greco, P. Sorensen, [Coalescence](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib18D352AEAA58E7147B1BA6C781A7BA55s1) models for hadron formation from quark gluon plasma, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 58 (2008) 177–205, [arXiv:0807.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib18D352AEAA58E7147B1BA6C781A7BA55s1) [4939 \[nucl-th\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib18D352AEAA58E7147B1BA6C781A7BA55s1)
- [41] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib5F9F055033DA2B9F4A85EF828893850Bs1) S. Acharya, et al., Multiplicity dependence of (multi)strange hadron production in [proton–proton](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib5F9F055033DA2B9F4A85EF828893850Bs1) collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, Eur. Phys. J. C 80 (2) (2020) 167, [arXiv:1908.01861 \[nucl-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib5F9F055033DA2B9F4A85EF828893850Bs1)
- [42] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib74324F3A25C36872BACFC3C10296A2D0s1) K. Aamodt, et al., The ALICE experiment at the CERN LHC, J. [Instrum.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib74324F3A25C36872BACFC3C10296A2D0s1) 3 (2008) S08002.
- [43] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib1C40B21E544639126654DEEB3C9BBDA7s1) B. Abelev, et al., Performance of the ALICE experiment at the CERN LHC, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 29 (2014) 1430044, [arXiv:1402.4476 \[nucl](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib1C40B21E544639126654DEEB3C9BBDA7s1)[ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib1C40B21E544639126654DEEB3C9BBDA7s1)
- [44] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib2F8AC2B2DA8BC07B6D0979FBFC464264s1) J. Adam, et al., Measurement of charm and beauty production at central rapidity versus [charged-particle](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib2F8AC2B2DA8BC07B6D0979FBFC464264s1) multiplicity in proton–proton collisions at [√]*^s* ⁼ 7 TeV, J. High Energy Phys. ⁰⁹ (2015) 148, [arXiv:1505.00664](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib2F8AC2B2DA8BC07B6D0979FBFC464264s1) [\[nucl-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib2F8AC2B2DA8BC07B6D0979FBFC464264s1)
- [45] ALICE Collaboration, S. Acharya, et al., [Pseudorapidity](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibE0AAE2FE9C2CD6EE0F8137784AB5504Bs1) distributions of charged particles as a function of mid- and forward rapidity [multiplicities](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibE0AAE2FE9C2CD6EE0F8137784AB5504Bs1) in pp collisions at \sqrt{s} = 5.02, 7 and 13 TeV, Eur. Phys. J. C 81 (7) [\(2021\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibE0AAE2FE9C2CD6EE0F8137784AB5504Bs1) 630, arXiv: [2009.09434 \[nucl-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibE0AAE2FE9C2CD6EE0F8137784AB5504Bs1)
- [46] ALICE Collaboration, J. Adam, et al., [Charged-particle](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib7B474A3010B15B1F52EEA46D56785741s1) multiplicities in proton– proton [collisions](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib7B474A3010B15B1F52EEA46D56785741s1) at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ to 8 TeV, Eur. Phys. J. C 77 (1) (2017) 33, arXiv: [1509.07541 \[nucl-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib7B474A3010B15B1F52EEA46D56785741s1)
- [47] ALICE Collaboration, S. Acharya, et al., The ALICE definition of primary particles, ALICE-PUBLIC-2017-005, [https://cds.cern.ch/record/2270008.](https://cds.cern.ch/record/2270008)
- [48] R. Brun, et al., GEANT: detector description and simulation tool, CERN-W-5013, [http://cds.cern.ch/record/1082634.](http://cds.cern.ch/record/1082634)
- [49] Particle Data Group [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibFAAAF74F35146E327E214817806CA021s1) P. Zyla, et al., Review of particle physics, PTEP [2020 \(8\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibFAAAF74F35146E327E214817806CA021s1) (2020) 083C01.
- [50] T. Chen, C. Guestrin, XGBoost: a scalable tree boosting system, in: [Proceedings](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib3D853AF3FF88F2ABB9DE4231A1B9208Bs1) of the 22nd ACM SIGKDD [International](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib3D853AF3FF88F2ABB9DE4231A1B9208Bs1) Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, 2016, pp. 785–794, [arXiv:1603.02754 \[cs.LG\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib3D853AF3FF88F2ABB9DE4231A1B9208Bs1)
- [51] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibCBBA60CC5D7A595783D1428CE65377C0s1) S. Acharya, et al., Measurement of beauty and charm production in pp collisions at \sqrt{s} = 5.02 TeV via [non-prompt](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibCBBA60CC5D7A595783D1428CE65377C0s1) and prompt D mesons, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2021) 220, [arXiv:2102.13601 \[nucl-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibCBBA60CC5D7A595783D1428CE65377C0s1)
- [52] ALICE Collaboration, S. Acharya, et al., Supplemental material: observation of a multiplicity dependence in the p_T -differential charm baryon-to-meson ratios in proton–proton collisions at \sqrt{s} = 13 TeV, [http://cds.cern.ch/record/2791263/](http://cds.cern.ch/record/2791263/files/) [files/.](http://cds.cern.ch/record/2791263/files/)
- [53] LHCb [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibB29A4A57C47CA55AA4BC1B2C0EC8083As1) R. Aaij, et al., Measurement of *b* hadron fractions in 13 TeV pp collisions, Phys. Rev. D 100 (3) (2019) 031102, [arXiv:1902.06794 \[hep-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibB29A4A57C47CA55AA4BC1B2C0EC8083As1)
- [54] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibB1D97D2CDC2EDACA0272E644206F9F59s1) J. Adam, et al., Measurement of D-meson production versus [multiplicity](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibB1D97D2CDC2EDACA0272E644206F9F59s1) in p–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 5.02 TeV, J. High Energy Phys. 08 (2016) 078, [arXiv:1602.07240 \[nucl-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibB1D97D2CDC2EDACA0272E644206F9F59s1)
- [55] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib1E349C9E49454CC4C80331BD0D611D14s1) S. Acharya, et al., Multiplicity dependence of π , K, and p [production](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib1E349C9E49454CC4C80331BD0D611D14s1) in pp collisions at \sqrt{s} = 13 TeV, Eur. Phys. J. C 80 (8) (2020) 693, [arXiv:2003.02394 \[nucl-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib1E349C9E49454CC4C80331BD0D611D14s1)
- [56] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibB0FC48C41318F762D596EE43E064B0D0s1) S. Acharya, et al., Multiplicity dependence of light-flavor hadron [production](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibB0FC48C41318F762D596EE43E064B0D0s1) in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, Phys. Rev. C 99 (2) (2019) 024906, [arXiv:1807.11321 \[nucl-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibB0FC48C41318F762D596EE43E064B0D0s1)
- [57] ALICE Collaboration, S. Acharya, et al., [Charged-particle](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibED36869A3495E78D6D4445BA8FDA3E64s1) production as a function of [multiplicity](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibED36869A3495E78D6D4445BA8FDA3E64s1) and transverse spherocity in pp collisions at \sqrt{s} = 5.02 and 13 TeV, Eur. Phys. J. C 79 (10) (2019) 857, [arXiv:1905.07208 \[nucl-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibED36869A3495E78D6D4445BA8FDA3E64s1)
- [58] M. Lisovyi, A. Verbytskyi, O. Zenaiev, Combined analysis of [charm-quark](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibF76B531DC7CE8362C6E79D62B3999077s1) [fragmentation-fraction](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibF76B531DC7CE8362C6E79D62B3999077s1) measurements, Eur. Phys. J. C 76 (7) (2016) 397, arXiv: [1509.01061 \[hep-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibF76B531DC7CE8362C6E79D62B3999077s1)
- [59] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib6E90E9C0E32240F0C3CA01539ED52CF6s1) J. Adam, et al., Enhanced production of multi-strange hadrons in [high-multiplicity](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib6E90E9C0E32240F0C3CA01539ED52CF6s1) proton–proton collisions, Nat. Phys. 13 (2017) 535–539, [arXiv:1606.07424 \[nucl-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib6E90E9C0E32240F0C3CA01539ED52CF6s1)
- [60] Y. Chen, M. He, Charged-particle multiplicity dependence of [charm-baryon-to](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib49129D6FC8FB6A2CBDA52EA76597364Cs1)meson ratio in high-energy [proton–proton](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib49129D6FC8FB6A2CBDA52EA76597364Cs1) collisions, Phys. Lett. B 815 (2021) 136144, [arXiv:2011.14328 \[hep-ph\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib49129D6FC8FB6A2CBDA52EA76597364Cs1)
- [61] D. Ebert, R. Faustov, V. Galkin, [Spectroscopy](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib264F5FD545D2C354A5AA6C376E7B8B63s1) and Regge trajectories of heavy baryons in the relativistic [quark-diquark](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib264F5FD545D2C354A5AA6C376E7B8B63s1) picture, Phys. Rev. D 84 (2011) 014025, [arXiv:1105.0583 \[hep-ph\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib264F5FD545D2C354A5AA6C376E7B8B63s1)
- **[62]** ALICE Collaboration, S. Acharya, et al., Λ_c^+ production and [Baryon-to-Meson](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib960E7328D0D3BD2E12442A1C600E09DBs1) Ratios in pp and [p–Pb collisions](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib960E7328D0D3BD2E12442A1C600E09DBs1) at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV at the LHC, Phys. Rev. Lett. 127 (2021) 202301, [arXiv:2011.06078 \[nucl-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bib960E7328D0D3BD2E12442A1C600E09DBs1)
- [63] D. Prato, C. Tsallis, [Nonextensive](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibDC77F26F6305A0D298EAA58AE184B9FDs1) foundation of Levy distributions, Phys. Rev. E 60 [\(1999\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(22)00199-X/bibDC77F26F6305A0D298EAA58AE184B9FDs1) 2398.

ALICE Collaboration

S. Acharya ^{[142](#page-15-0)}, D. Adamová ^{[96](#page-14-0)}, A. Adler ^{[74](#page-14-0)}, J. Adolfsson ^{[81](#page-14-0)}, G. Aglieri Rinella ^{[34](#page-14-0)}, M. Agnello ^{[30](#page-14-0)}, N. Agrawal 54 54 54 , Z. Ahammed 142 142 142 , S. Ahmad 16 16 16 , S.U. Ahn 76 76 76 , I. Ahuja 38 38 38 , Z. Akbar 51 51 51 , A. Akindinov 93 93 93 , M. Al-Turany 108 108 108 , S.N. Alam 16 16 16 , D. Aleksandrov 89 89 89 , B. Alessandro 59 59 59 , H.M. Alfanda 7 7 , R. Alfaro Molina 71 71 71 , B. Ali 16 16 16 , Y. Ali 14 14 14 , A. Alici 25 25 25 , N. Alizadehvandchali 125 125 125 , A. Alkin 34 34 34 , J. Alme 21 21 21 , G. Alocco 55 55 55 , T. Alt 68 68 68 , I. Altsybeev 113 113 113 , M.N. Anaam 7 7 , C. Andrei 48 48 48 , D. Andreou 91 91 91 , A. Andronic 145 145 145 , V. Anguelov 105 105 105 , F. Antinori 57 57 57 , P. Antonioli ^{[54](#page-14-0)}, C. Anuj ^{[16](#page-13-0)}, N. Apadula ^{[80](#page-14-0)}, L. Aphecetche ^{[115](#page-15-0)}, H. Appelshäuser ^{[68](#page-14-0)}, S. Arcelli ^{[25](#page-14-0)}, R. Arnaldi ^{[59](#page-14-0)}, I.C. Arsene 20 20 20 , M. Arslandok 147 147 147 , A. Augustinus 34 34 34 , R. Averbeck 108 108 108 , S. Aziz 78 78 78 , M.D. Azmi 16 16 16 , A. Badalà 56 56 56 , Y.W. Baek [41](#page-14-0), X. Bai [129](#page-15-0)*,*[108](#page-15-0), R. Bailhache [68](#page-14-0), Y. Bailung [50](#page-14-0), R. Bala [102](#page-15-0), A. Balbino [30](#page-14-0), A. Baldisseri [139](#page-15-0),

B. Balis^{[2](#page-13-0)}, D. Banerjee^{[4](#page-13-0)}, Z. Banoo^{[102](#page-15-0)}, R. Barbera^{[26](#page-14-0)}, L. Barioglio^{[106](#page-15-0)}, M. Barlou^{[85](#page-14-0)}, G.G. Barnaföldi^{[146](#page-15-0)}, L.S. Barnby 95 , V. Barret 136 136 136 , C. Bartels 128 128 128 , K. Barth 34 34 34 , E. Bartsch 68 68 68 , F. Baruffaldi 27 27 27 , N. Bastid 136 , S. Basu 81 81 81 , G. Batigne 115 115 115 , B. Batyunya 75 75 75 , D. Bauri 49 49 49 , J.L. Bazo Alba 112 112 112 , I.G. Bearden 90 90 90 , C. Beattie 147 147 147 , P. Becht 108 108 108 , I. Belikov ^{[138](#page-15-0)}, A.D.C. Bell Hechavarria ^{[145](#page-15-0)}, F. Bellini ^{[25](#page-14-0)}, R. Bellwied ^{[125](#page-15-0)}, S. Belokurova ^{[113](#page-15-0)}, V. Belyaev ^{[94](#page-14-0)}, G. Bencedi [146](#page-15-0)*,*[69](#page-14-0), S. Beole [24](#page-14-0), A. Bercuci [48](#page-14-0), Y. Berdnikov [99](#page-14-0), A. Berdnikova [105](#page-15-0), L. Bergmann [105](#page-15-0), M.G. Besoiu 67 67 67 , L. Betev 34 34 34 , P.P. Bhaduri 142 142 142 , A. Bhasin 102 102 102 , I.R. Bhat 102 , M.A. Bhat 4 4 4 , B. Bhattacharjee 42 42 42 , P. Bhattacharya ^{[22](#page-14-0)}, L. Bianchi ^{[24](#page-14-0)}, N. Bianchi ^{[52](#page-14-0)}, J. Bielčík ^{[37](#page-14-0)}, J. Bielčíková ^{[96](#page-14-0)}, J. Biernat ^{[118](#page-15-0)}, A. Bilandzic ^{[106](#page-15-0)}, G. Biro [146](#page-15-0), S. Biswas [4](#page-13-0), J.T. Blair [119](#page-15-0), D. Blau [89](#page-14-0)*,*[82](#page-14-0), M.B. Blidaru [108](#page-15-0), C. Blume [68](#page-14-0), G. Boca [28](#page-14-0)*,*[58](#page-14-0), F. Bock [97](#page-14-0), A. Bogdanov 94 94 94 , S. Boi 22 22 22 , J. Bok 61 61 61 , L. Boldizsár 146 146 146 , A. Bolozdynya 94 , M. Bombara 38 38 38 , P.M. Bond 34 34 34 , G. Bonomi [141](#page-15-0)*,*[58](#page-14-0), H. Borel [139](#page-15-0), A. Borissov [82](#page-14-0), H. Bossi [147](#page-15-0), E. Botta [24](#page-14-0), L. Bratrud [68](#page-14-0), P. Braun-Munzinger [108](#page-15-0), M. Bregant [121](#page-15-0), M. Broz [37](#page-14-0), G.E. Bruno [107](#page-15-0)*,*[33](#page-14-0), M.D. Buckland [23](#page-14-0)*,*[128](#page-15-0), D. Budnikov [109](#page-15-0), H. Buesching [68](#page-14-0), S. Bufalino [30](#page-14-0), O. Bugnon [115](#page-15-0), P. Buhler [114](#page-15-0), Z. Buthelezi [72](#page-14-0)*,*[132](#page-15-0), J.B. Butt [14](#page-13-0), A. Bylinkin [127](#page-15-0), S.A. Bysiak [118](#page-15-0), M. Cai [27](#page-14-0)*,*[7](#page-13-0), H. Caines [147](#page-15-0), A. Caliva [108](#page-15-0), E. Calvo Villar [112](#page-15-0), J.M.M. Camacho [120](#page-15-0), R.S. Camacho [45](#page-14-0), P. Camerini [23](#page-14-0), F.D.M. Canedo [121](#page-15-0), F. Carnesecchi [34](#page-14-0)*,*[25](#page-14-0), R. Caron [137](#page-15-0)*,*[139](#page-15-0), J. Castillo Castellanos [139](#page-15-0), E.A.R. Casula ^{[22](#page-14-0)}, F. Catalano ^{[30](#page-14-0)}, C. Ceballos Sanchez ^{[75](#page-14-0)}, I. Chakaberia ^{[80](#page-14-0)}, P. Chakraborty ^{[49](#page-14-0)}, S. Chandra ^{[142](#page-15-0)}, S. Chapeland 34 34 34 , M. Chartier 128 128 128 , S. Chattopadhyay 142 142 142 , S. Chattopadhyay 110 110 110 , T.G. Chavez 45 45 45 , T. Cheng 7 7 , C. Cheshkov 137 137 137 , B. Cheynis 137 , V. Chibante Barroso 34 34 34 , D.D. Chinellato 122 122 122 , S. Cho 61 61 61 , P. Chochula 34 , P. Christakoglou^{[91](#page-14-0)}, C.H. Christensen ^{[90](#page-14-0)}, P. Christiansen ^{[81](#page-14-0)}, T. Chujo ^{[134](#page-15-0)}, C. Cicalo ^{[55](#page-14-0)}, L. Cifarelli ^{[25](#page-14-0)}, F. Cindolo [54](#page-14-0), M.R. Ciupek [108](#page-15-0), G. Clai [54](#page-14-0)*,*[II](#page-15-0), J. Cleymans [124](#page-15-0)*,*[I](#page-15-0) , F. Colamaria [53](#page-14-0), J.S. Colburn [111](#page-15-0), D. Colella [53](#page-14-0)*,*[107](#page-15-0)*,*[33](#page-14-0), A. Collu [80](#page-14-0), M. Colocci [34](#page-14-0), M. Concas [59](#page-14-0)*,*[III](#page-15-0), G. Conesa Balbastre [79](#page-14-0), Z. Conesa del Valle [78](#page-14-0), G. Contin^{[23](#page-14-0)}, J.G. Contreras^{[37](#page-14-0)}, M.L. Coquet^{[139](#page-15-0)}, T.M. Cormier^{[97](#page-14-0)}, P. Cortese^{[31](#page-14-0)}, M.R. Cosentino^{[123](#page-15-0)}, F. Costa [34](#page-14-0), S. Costanza [28](#page-14-0)*,*[58](#page-14-0), P. Crochet [136](#page-15-0), R. Cruz-Torres [80](#page-14-0), E. Cuautle [69](#page-14-0), P. Cui [7](#page-13-0), L. Cunqueiro [97](#page-14-0), A. Dainese 57 57 57 , M.C. Danisch 105 105 105 , A. Danu 67 67 67 , P. Das 87 87 87 , P. Das 4 4 4 , S. Das 4 , S. Dash 49 49 49 , A. De Caro 29 29 29 , G. de Cataldo 53 53 53 , L. De Cilladi 24 24 24 , J. de Cuveland 39 39 39 , A. De Falco 22 22 22 , D. De Gruttola 29 29 29 , N. De Marco 59 59 59 , C. De Martin ^{[23](#page-14-0)}, S. De Pasquale ^{[29](#page-14-0)}, S. Deb ^{[50](#page-14-0)}, H.F. Degenhardt ^{[121](#page-15-0)}, K.R. Deja ^{[143](#page-15-0)}, R. Del Grande ^{[106](#page-15-0)}, L. Dello Stritto 29 29 29 , W. Deng 7 7 , P. Dhankher 19 19 19 , D. Di Bari 33 33 33 , A. Di Mauro 34 34 34 , R.A. Diaz 8 8 , T. Dietel $^{124},$ $^{124},$ $^{124},$ Y. Ding ^{[137](#page-15-0),[7](#page-13-0)}, R. Divià ^{[34](#page-14-0)}, D.U. Dixit ^{[19](#page-13-0)}, Ø. Djuvsland ^{[21](#page-14-0)}, U. Dmitrieva ^{[63](#page-14-0)}, J. Do ^{[61](#page-14-0)}, A. Dobrin ^{[67](#page-14-0)}, B. Dönigus ^{[68](#page-14-0)}, A.K. Dubey [142](#page-15-0), A. Dubla [108](#page-15-0)*,*[91](#page-14-0), S. Dudi [101](#page-15-0), P. Dupieux [136](#page-15-0), N. Dzalaiova [13](#page-13-0), T.M. Eder [145](#page-15-0), R.J. Ehlers [97](#page-14-0), V.N. Eikeland 21 21 21 , F. Eisenhut 68 68 68 , D. Elia 53 53 53 , B. Erazmus 115 115 115 , F. Ercolessi 25 25 25 , F. Erhardt 100 100 100 , A. Erokhin 113 113 113 , M.R. Ersdal 21 21 21 , B. Espagnon 78 78 78 , G. Eulisse 34 34 34 , D. Evans 111 111 111 , S. Evdokimov 92 92 92 , L. Fabbietti 106 106 106 , M. Faggin 27 27 27 , J. Faivre ^{[79](#page-14-0)}, F. Fan ^{[7](#page-13-0)}, W. Fan ^{[80](#page-14-0)}, A. Fantoni [52](#page-14-0), M. Fasel ^{[97](#page-14-0)}, P. Fecchio ^{[30](#page-14-0)}, A. Feliciello ^{[59](#page-14-0)}, G. Feofilov ^{[113](#page-15-0)}, A. Fernández Téllez 45 45 45 , A. Ferrero 139 139 139 , A. Ferretti 24 24 24 , V.J.G. Feuillard 105 105 105 , J. Figiel 118 118 118 , V. Filova 37 37 37 , D. Finogeev 63 63 63 , F.M. Fionda 55 55 55 , G. Fiorenza 34 34 34 , F. Flor 125 125 125 , A.N. Flores 119 119 119 , S. Foertsch 72 72 72 , S. Fokin 89 89 89 , E. Fragiacomo 60 60 60 , E. Frajna 146 146 146 , A. Francisco 136 136 136 , U. Fuchs 34 34 34 , N. Funicello 29 29 29 , C. Furget 79 79 79 , A. Furs 63 63 63 , J.J. Gaardhøje ^{[90](#page-14-0)}, M. Gagliardi ^{[24](#page-14-0)}, A.M. Gago ^{[112](#page-15-0)}, A. Gal ^{[138](#page-15-0)}, C.D. Galvan ^{[120](#page-15-0)}, P. Ganoti ^{[85](#page-14-0)}, C. Garabatos ^{[108](#page-15-0)}, J.R.A. Garcia [45](#page-14-0), E. Garcia-Solis [10](#page-13-0), K. Garg [115](#page-15-0), C. Gargiulo [34](#page-14-0), A. Garibli [88](#page-14-0), K. Garner [145](#page-15-0), P. Gasik [108](#page-15-0), E.F. Gauger 119 119 119 , A. Gautam 127 127 127 , M.B. Gay Ducati 70 70 70 , M. Germain 115 115 115 , P. Ghosh 142 142 142 , S.K. Ghosh 4 4 4 , M. Giacalone [25](#page-14-0), P. Gianotti [52](#page-14-0), P. Giubellino [108](#page-15-0)*,*[59](#page-14-0), P. Giubilato [27](#page-14-0), A.M.C. Glaenzer [139](#page-15-0), P. Glässel [105](#page-15-0), E. Glimos ^{[131](#page-15-0)}, D.J.Q. Goh ^{[83](#page-14-0)}, V. Gonzalez ^{[144](#page-15-0)}, L.H. González-Trueba ^{[71](#page-14-0)}, S. Gorbunov ^{[39](#page-14-0)}, M. Gorgon ^{[2](#page-13-0)}, L. Görlich ^{[118](#page-15-0)}, S. Gotovac^{[35](#page-14-0)}, V. Grabski ^{[71](#page-14-0)}, L.K. Graczykowski 143 143 143 , L. Greiner 80 80 80 , A. Grelli 62 62 62 , C. Grigoras 34 34 34 , V. Grigoriev [94](#page-14-0), S. Grigoryan [75](#page-14-0)*,*[1](#page-13-0), F. Grosa [34](#page-14-0)*,*[59](#page-14-0), J.F. Grosse-Oetringhaus [34](#page-14-0), R. Grosso [108](#page-15-0), D. Grund [37](#page-14-0), G.G. Guardiano 122 122 122 , R. Guernane 79 79 79 , M. Guilbaud 115 115 115 , K. Gulbrandsen 90 90 90 , T. Gunji 133 133 133 , W. Guo 7 7 , A. Gupta ^{[102](#page-15-0)}, R. Gupta ¹⁰², S.P. Guzman ^{[45](#page-14-0)}, L. Gyulai ^{[146](#page-15-0)}, M.K. Habib ^{[108](#page-15-0)}, C. Hadjidakis ^{[78](#page-14-0)}, H. Hamagaki ^{[83](#page-14-0)}, M. Hamid 7 7 , R. Hannigan 119 119 119 , M.R. Haque 143 143 143 , A. Harlenderova 108 108 108 , J.W. Harris 147 147 147 , A. Harton 10 10 10 , J.A. Hasenbichler ^{[34](#page-14-0)}, H. Hassan ^{[97](#page-14-0)}, D. Hatzifotiadou ^{[54](#page-14-0)}, P. Hauer ^{[43](#page-14-0)}, L.B. Havener ^{[147](#page-15-0)}, S.T. Heckel ^{[106](#page-15-0)}, E. Hellbär 108 108 108 , H. Helstrup 36 36 36 , T. Herman 37 37 37 , E.G. Hernandez 45 45 45 , G. Herrera Corral 9 9 , F. Herrmann 145 145 145 , K.F. Hetland 36 36 36 , H. Hillemanns 34 34 34 , C. Hills 128 128 128 , B. Hippolyte 138 138 138 , B. Hofman 62 62 62 , B. Hohlweger 91 91 91 , J. Honermann 145 145 145 , G.H. Hong 148 148 148 , D. Horak 37 37 37 , S. Hornung 108 108 108 , A. Horzyk 2 2 , R. Hosokawa 15 15 15 , Y. Hou 7 7 , P. Hristov 34 34 34 , C. Hughes 131 131 131 , P. Huhn 68 68 68 , L.M. Huhta 126 126 126 , C.V. Hulse 78 78 78 , T.J. Humanic 98 98 98 , H. Hushnud 110 110 110 , L.A. Husova [145](#page-15-0), A. Hutson [125](#page-15-0), J.P. Iddon [34](#page-14-0)*,*[128](#page-15-0), R. Ilkaev [109](#page-15-0), H. Ilyas [14](#page-13-0), M. Inaba [134](#page-15-0), G.M. Innocenti [34](#page-14-0), M. Ippolitov ^{[89](#page-14-0)}, A. Isakov ^{[96](#page-14-0)}, T. Isidori ^{[127](#page-15-0)}, M.S. Islam ^{[110](#page-15-0)}, M. Ivanov ^{[108](#page-15-0)}, V. Ivanov ^{[99](#page-14-0)}, V. Izucheev ^{[92](#page-14-0)}, M. Jablonski^{[2](#page-13-0)}, B. Jacak ^{[80](#page-14-0)}, N. Jacazio ^{[34](#page-14-0)}, P.M. Jacobs ⁸⁰, S. Jadlovska ^{[117](#page-15-0)}, J. Jadlovsky ¹¹⁷, S. Jaelani ^{[62](#page-14-0)}, C. Jahnke [122](#page-15-0)*,*[121](#page-15-0), M.J. Jakubowska [143](#page-15-0), A. Jalotra [102](#page-15-0), M.A. Janik [143](#page-15-0), T. Janson [74](#page-14-0), M. Jercic [100](#page-14-0), O. Jevons [111](#page-15-0),

A.A.P. Jimenez [69](#page-14-0), F. Jonas [97](#page-14-0)*,*[145](#page-15-0), P.G. Jones [111](#page-15-0), J.M. Jowett [34](#page-14-0)*,*[108](#page-15-0), J. Jung [68](#page-14-0), M. Jung [68](#page-14-0), A. Junique [34](#page-14-0), A. Jusko ^{[111](#page-15-0)}, M.J. Kabus ^{[143](#page-15-0)}, J. Kaewjai ^{[116](#page-15-0)}, P. Kalinak ^{[64](#page-14-0)}, A.S. Kalteyer ^{[108](#page-15-0)}, A. Kalweit ^{[34](#page-14-0)}, V. Kaplin ^{[94](#page-14-0)}, A. Karasu Uysal^{[77](#page-14-0)}, D. Karatovic ^{[100](#page-14-0)}, O. Karavichev 63 63 63 , T. Karavicheva 63 , P. Karczmarczyk 143 143 143 , E. Karpechev 63 63 63 , V. Kashyap 87 87 87 , A. Kazantsev 89 89 89 , U. Kebschull 74 74 74 , R. Keidel 47 47 47 , D.L.D. Keijdener 62 62 62 , M. Keil 34 34 34 , B. Ketzer [43](#page-14-0), Z. Khabanova [91](#page-14-0), A.M. Khan [7](#page-13-0), S. Khan [16](#page-13-0), A. Khanzadeev [99](#page-14-0), Y. Kharlov [92](#page-14-0)*,*[82](#page-14-0), A. Khatun [16](#page-13-0), A. Khuntia [118](#page-15-0), B. Kileng [36](#page-14-0), B. Kim [17](#page-13-0)*,*[61](#page-14-0), C. Kim [17](#page-13-0), D.J. Kim [126](#page-15-0), E.J. Kim [73](#page-14-0), J. Kim [148](#page-15-0), J.S. Kim [41](#page-14-0), J. Kim ^{[105](#page-15-0)}, J. Kim ^{[73](#page-14-0)}, M. Kim ¹⁰⁵, S. Kim ^{[18](#page-13-0)}, T. Kim ^{[148](#page-15-0)}, S. Kirsch ^{[68](#page-14-0)}, I. Kisel ^{[39](#page-14-0)}, S. Kiselev ^{[93](#page-14-0)}, A. Kisiel ^{[143](#page-15-0)}, J.P. Kitowski ^{[2](#page-13-0)}, J.L. Klay ^{[6](#page-13-0)}, J. Klein ^{[34](#page-14-0)}, S. Klein ^{[80](#page-14-0)}, C. Klein-Bösing ^{[145](#page-15-0)}, M. Kleiner ^{[68](#page-14-0)}, T. Klemenz ^{[106](#page-15-0)}, A. Kluge ^{[34](#page-14-0)}, A.G. Knospe ^{[125](#page-15-0)}, C. Kobdaj ^{[116](#page-15-0)}, T. Kollegger ^{[108](#page-15-0)}, A. Kondratyev ^{[75](#page-14-0)}, N. Kondratyeva ^{[94](#page-14-0)}, E. Kondratyuk 92 92 92 , J. Konig 68 68 68 , S.A. Konigstorfer 106 106 106 , P.J. Konopka 34 34 34 , G. Kornakov 143 143 143 , S.D. Koryciak 2 2 , A. Kotliarov ^{[96](#page-14-0)}, O. Kovalenko ^{[86](#page-14-0)}, V. Kovalenko ^{[113](#page-15-0)}, M. Kowalski ^{[118](#page-15-0)}, I. Králik ^{[64](#page-14-0)}, A. Kravčáková ^{[38](#page-14-0)}, L. Kreis [108](#page-15-0), M. Krivda [111](#page-15-0)*,*[64](#page-14-0), F. Krizek [96](#page-14-0), K. Krizkova Gajdosova [37](#page-14-0), M. Kroesen [105](#page-15-0), M. Krüger [68](#page-14-0), D.M. Krupova 37 , E. Kryshen 99 , M. Krzewicki 39 , V. Kučera 34 , C. Kuhn 138 , P.G. Kuijer 91 , T. Kumaoka 134 , D. Kumar ^{[142](#page-15-0)}, L. Kumar ^{[101](#page-15-0)}, N. Kumar ¹⁰¹, S. Kundu ^{[34](#page-14-0)}, P. Kurashvili ^{[86](#page-14-0)}, A. Kurepin ^{[63](#page-14-0)}, A.B. Kurepin ⁶³, A. Kuryakin ^{[109](#page-15-0)}, S. Kushpil ^{[96](#page-14-0)}, J. Kvapil ^{[111](#page-15-0)}, M.J. Kweon ^{[61](#page-14-0)}, J.Y. Kwon ⁶¹, Y. Kwon ^{[148](#page-15-0)}, S.L. La Pointe ^{[39](#page-14-0)}, P. La Rocca [26](#page-14-0), Y.S. Lai [80](#page-14-0), A. Lakrathok [116](#page-15-0), M. Lamanna [34](#page-14-0), R. Langoy [130](#page-15-0), P. Larionov [34](#page-14-0)*,*[52](#page-14-0), E. Laudi [34](#page-14-0), L. Lautner [34](#page-14-0)*,*[106](#page-15-0), R. Lavicka [114](#page-15-0)*,*[37](#page-14-0), T. Lazareva [113](#page-15-0), R. Lea [141](#page-15-0)*,*[23](#page-14-0)*,*[58](#page-14-0), J. Lehrbach [39](#page-14-0), R.C. Lemmon [95](#page-14-0), I. León Monzón ^{[120](#page-15-0)}, E.D. Lesser ^{[19](#page-13-0)}, M. Lettrich ^{[34](#page-14-0),[106](#page-15-0)}, P. Lévai ^{[146](#page-15-0)}, X. Li ^{[11](#page-13-0)}, X.L. Li ^{[7](#page-13-0)}, J. Lien ^{[130](#page-15-0)}, R. Lietava ^{[111](#page-15-0)}, B. Lim 17 17 17 , S.H. Lim 17 17 17 , V. Lindenstruth 39 39 39 , A. Lindner 48 48 48 , C. Lippmann 108 108 108 , A. Liu 19 19 19 , D.H. Liu 7 , J. Liu 128 128 128 , I.M. Lofnes 21 21 21 , V. Loginov 94 94 94 , C. Loizides 97 97 97 , P. Loncar 35 35 35 , J.A. Lopez 105 105 105 , X. Lopez 136 136 136 , E. López Torres 8 8 , J.R. Luhder ^{[145](#page-15-0)}, M. Lunardon ^{[27](#page-14-0)}, G. Luparello ^{[60](#page-14-0)}, Y.G. Ma ^{[40](#page-14-0)}, A. Maevskaya ^{[63](#page-14-0)}, M. Mager ^{[34](#page-14-0)}, T. Mahmoud ^{[43](#page-14-0)}, A. Maire [138](#page-15-0), M. Malaev [99](#page-14-0), N.M. Malik [102](#page-15-0), Q.W. Malik [20](#page-13-0), S.K. Malik [102](#page-15-0), L. Malinina [75](#page-14-0)*,*[IV](#page-15-0), D. Mal'Kevich [93](#page-14-0), D. Mallick [87](#page-14-0), N. Mallick [50](#page-14-0), G. Mandaglio [32](#page-14-0)*,*[56](#page-14-0), V. Manko [89](#page-14-0), F. Manso [136](#page-15-0), V. Manzari [53](#page-14-0), Y. Mao [7](#page-13-0), G.V. Margagliotti 23 23 23 , A. Margotti 54 54 54 , A. Marín 108 108 108 , C. Markert 119 119 119 , M. Marquard 68 68 68 , N.A. Martin 105 105 105 , P. Martinengo [34](#page-14-0), J.L. Martinez [125](#page-15-0), M.I. Martínez [45](#page-14-0), G. Martínez García [115](#page-15-0), S. Masciocchi [108](#page-15-0), M. Masera [24](#page-14-0), A. Masoni [55](#page-14-0), L. Massacrier [78](#page-14-0), A. Mastroserio [140](#page-15-0)*,*[53](#page-14-0), A.M. Mathis [106](#page-15-0), O. Matonoha [81](#page-14-0), P.F.T. Matuoka ^{[121](#page-15-0)}, A. Matyja ^{[118](#page-15-0)}, C. Mayer ¹¹⁸, A.L. Mazuecos ^{[34](#page-14-0)}, F. Mazzaschi ^{[24](#page-14-0)}, M. Mazzilli ³⁴, J.E. Mdhluli [132](#page-15-0), A.F. Mechler [68](#page-14-0), Y. Melikyan [63](#page-14-0), A. Menchaca-Rocha [71](#page-14-0), E. Meninno [114](#page-15-0)*,*[29](#page-14-0), A.S. Menon [125](#page-15-0), M. Meres [13](#page-13-0), S. Mhlanga [124](#page-15-0)*,*[72](#page-14-0), Y. Miake [134](#page-15-0), L. Micheletti [59](#page-14-0), L.C. Migliorin [137](#page-15-0), D.L. Mihaylov [106](#page-15-0), K. Mikhaylov ^{[75](#page-14-0),[93](#page-14-0)}, A.N. Mishra ^{[146](#page-15-0)}, D. Miśkowiec ^{[108](#page-15-0)}, A. Modak ^{[4](#page-13-0)}, A.P. Mohanty ^{[62](#page-14-0)}, B. Mohanty ^{[87](#page-14-0)}, M. Mohisin Khan [16](#page-13-0)*,*[V](#page-15-0), M.A. Molander [44](#page-14-0), Z. Moravcova [90](#page-14-0), C. Mordasini [106](#page-15-0), D.A. Moreira De Godoy [145](#page-15-0), I. Morozov 63 63 63 , A. Morsch 34 34 34 , T. Mrnjavac 34 , V. Muccifora 52 52 52 , E. Mudnic 35 35 35 , D. Mühlheim 145 145 145 , S. Muhuri 142 142 142 , J.D. Mulligan ^{[80](#page-14-0)}, A. Mulliri ^{[22](#page-14-0)}, M.G. Munhoz ^{[121](#page-15-0)}, R.H. Munzer ^{[68](#page-14-0)}, H. Murakami ^{[133](#page-15-0)}, S. Murray ^{[124](#page-15-0)}, L. Musa ^{[34](#page-14-0)}, J. Musinsky ^{[64](#page-14-0)}, J.W. Myrcha ^{[143](#page-15-0)}, B. Naik ^{[132](#page-15-0)}, R. Nair ^{[86](#page-14-0)}, B.K. Nandi ^{[49](#page-14-0)}, R. Nania ^{[54](#page-14-0)}, E. Nappi ^{[53](#page-14-0)}, A.F. Nassirpour 81 81 81 , A. Nath 105 105 105 , C. Nattrass 131 131 131 , A. Neagu 20 20 20 , A. Negru 135 135 135 , L. Nellen 69 69 69 , S.V. Nesbo 36 36 36 , G. Neskovic ^{[39](#page-14-0)}, D. Nesterov ^{[113](#page-15-0)}, B.S. Nielsen ^{[90](#page-14-0)}, S. Nikolaev ^{[89](#page-14-0)}, S. Nikulin ⁸⁹, V. Nikulin ^{[99](#page-14-0)}, F. Noferini ^{[54](#page-14-0)}, S. Noh 12 12 12 , P. Nomokonov 75 75 75 , J. Norman 128 128 128 , N. Novitzky 134 134 134 , P. Nowakowski 143 143 143 , A. Nyanin 89 89 89 , J. Nystrand 21 21 21 , M. Ogino 83 83 83 , A. Ohlson 81 81 81 , V.A. Okorokov 94 94 94 , J. Oleniacz 143 143 143 , A.C. Oliveira Da Silva 131 131 131 , M.H. Oliver 147 147 147 , A. Onnerstad ^{[126](#page-15-0)}, C. Oppedisano ^{[59](#page-14-0)}, A. Ortiz Velasquez ^{[69](#page-14-0)}, T. Osako ^{[46](#page-14-0)}, A. Oskarsson ^{[81](#page-14-0)}, J. Otwinowski ^{[118](#page-15-0)}, M. Oya ^{[46](#page-14-0)}, K. Oyama ^{[83](#page-14-0)}, Y. Pachmayer ^{[105](#page-15-0)}, S. Padhan ^{[49](#page-14-0)}, D. Pagano ^{[141](#page-15-0),[58](#page-14-0)}, G. Paić ^{[69](#page-14-0)}, A. Palasciano ^{[53](#page-14-0)}, J. Pan [144](#page-15-0), S. Panebianco [139](#page-15-0), J. Park [61](#page-14-0), J.E. Parkkila [126](#page-15-0), S.P. Pathak [125](#page-15-0), R.N. Patra [102](#page-15-0)*,*[34](#page-14-0), B. Paul [22](#page-14-0), H. Pei [7](#page-13-0), T. Peitzmann [62](#page-14-0), X. Peng [7](#page-13-0), L.G. Pereira [70](#page-14-0), H. Pereira Da Costa [139](#page-15-0), D. Peresunko [89](#page-14-0)*,*[82](#page-14-0), G.M. Perez [8](#page-13-0), s. Perrin ^{[139](#page-15-0)}, Y. Pestov^{[5](#page-13-0)}, V. Petráček ^{[37](#page-14-0)}, M. Petrovici ^{[48](#page-14-0)}, R.P. Pezzi ^{[115](#page-15-0),[70](#page-14-0)}, S. Piano ^{[60](#page-14-0)}, M. Pikna ^{[13](#page-13-0)}, P. Pillot [115](#page-15-0), O. Pinazza [54](#page-14-0)*,*[34](#page-14-0), L. Pinsky [125](#page-15-0), C. Pinto [26](#page-14-0), S. Pisano [52](#page-14-0), M. Płoskon´ [80](#page-14-0), M. Planinic [100](#page-14-0), F. Pliquett 68 68 68 , M.G. Poghosyan 97 97 97 , B. Polichtchouk 92 92 92 , S. Politano 30 30 30 , N. Poljak 100 100 100 , A. Pop 48 48 48 , S. Porteboeuf-Houssais 136 136 136 , J. Porter 80 80 80 , V. Pozdniakov 75 75 75 , S.K. Prasad 4 4 , R. Preghenella 54 54 54 , F. Prino 59 59 59 , S. Porteboeuf-Houssais 136 , J. Porter 80 , V. Pozdniakov 75 , S.K. Prasad 4 , R C.A. Pruneau ^{[144](#page-15-0)}, I. Pshenichnov ^{[63](#page-14-0)}, M. Puccio ^{[34](#page-14-0)}, S. Qiu ^{[91](#page-14-0)}, L. Quaglia ^{[24](#page-14-0)}, R.E. Quishpe ^{[125](#page-15-0)}, S. Ragoni ^{[111](#page-15-0)}, A. Rakotozafindrabe ^{[139](#page-15-0)}, L. Ramello ^{[31](#page-14-0)}, F. Rami ^{[138](#page-15-0)}, S.A.R. Ramirez ^{[45](#page-14-0)}, A.G.T. Ramos ^{[33](#page-14-0)}, T.A. Rancien ^{[79](#page-14-0)}, R. Raniwala [103](#page-15-0), S. Raniwala [103](#page-15-0), S.S. Räsänen [44](#page-14-0), R. Rath [50](#page-14-0), I. Ravasenga [91](#page-14-0), K.F. Read [97](#page-14-0)*,*[131](#page-15-0), A.R. Redelbach [39](#page-14-0), K. Redlich [86](#page-14-0)*,*[VI](#page-15-0), A. Rehman [21](#page-14-0), P. Reichelt [68](#page-14-0), F. Reidt [34](#page-14-0), H.A. Reme-ness [36](#page-14-0), Z. Rescakova 38 38 38 , K. Reygers 105 105 105 , A. Riabov 99 99 99 , V. Riabov 99 , T. Richert 81 81 81 , M. Richter 20 20 20 , W. Riegler 34 34 34 , F. Riggi 26 26 26 , C. Ristea 67 67 67 , M. Rodríguez Cahuantzi 45 45 45 , K. Røed 20 20 20 , R. Rogalev 92 92 92 , E. Rogochaya 75 75 75 , T.S. Rogoschinski 68 68 68 , D. Rohr 34 34 34 , D. Röhrich 21 21 21 , P.F. Rojas 45 45 45 , S. Rojas Torres 37 37 37 , P.S. Rokita 143 143 143 ,

F. Ronchetti [52](#page-14-0), A. Rosano [32](#page-14-0)*,*[56](#page-14-0), E.D. Rosas [69](#page-14-0), A. Rossi [57](#page-14-0), A. Roy [50](#page-14-0), P. Roy [110](#page-15-0), S. Roy [49](#page-14-0), N. Rubini [25](#page-14-0), O.V. Rueda 81 81 81 , D. Ruggiano 143 143 143 , R. Rui 23 23 23 , B. Rumyantsev 75 75 75 , P.G. Russek 2 , R. Russo 91 91 91 , A. Rustamov 88 88 88 , E. Ryabinkin 89 , Y. Ryabov 99 , A. Rybicki 118 , H. Rytkonen 126 , W. Rzesa 143 , O.A.M. Saarimaki 44 , R. Sadek 115 115 115 , S. Sadovsky 92 92 92 , J. Saetre 21 21 21 , K. Šafařík 37 37 37 , S.K. Saha 142 142 142 , S. Saha 87 87 87 , B. Sahoo 49 49 49 , P. Sahoo 49 , R. Sahoo ^{[50](#page-14-0)}, S. Sahoo ^{[65](#page-14-0)}, D. Sahu ⁵⁰, P.K. Sahu ⁶⁵, J. Saini ^{[142](#page-15-0)}, S. Sakai ^{[134](#page-15-0)}, M.P. Salvan ^{[108](#page-15-0)}, S. Sambyal ^{[102](#page-15-0)}, V. Samsonov ^{[99](#page-14-0),[94](#page-14-0),[I](#page-15-0)}, T.B. Saramela ^{[121](#page-15-0)}, D. Sarkar ^{[144](#page-15-0)}, N. Sarkar ^{[142](#page-15-0)}, P. Sarma ^{[42](#page-14-0)}, V.M. Sarti ^{[106](#page-15-0)}, M.H.P. Sas ^{[147](#page-15-0)}, J. Schambach ^{[97](#page-14-0)}, H.S. Scheid ^{[68](#page-14-0)}, C. Schiaua^{[48](#page-14-0)}, R. Schicker ^{[105](#page-15-0)}, A. Schmah ¹⁰⁵, C. Schmidt ^{[108](#page-15-0)}, H.R. Schmidt [104](#page-15-0), M.O. Schmidt [34](#page-14-0)*,*[105](#page-15-0), M. Schmidt [104](#page-15-0), N.V. Schmidt [97](#page-14-0)*,*[68](#page-14-0), A.R. Schmier [131](#page-15-0), R. Schotter [138](#page-15-0), J. Schukraft ^{[34](#page-14-0)}, K. Schwarz ^{[108](#page-15-0)}, K. Schweda ¹⁰⁸, G. Scioli ^{[25](#page-14-0)}, E. Scomparin ^{[59](#page-14-0)}, J.E. Seger ¹⁵, Y. Sekiguchi ^{[133](#page-15-0)}, D. Sekihata [133](#page-15-0), I. Selyuzhenkov [108](#page-15-0)*,*[94](#page-14-0), S. Senyukov [138](#page-15-0), J.J. Seo [61](#page-14-0), D. Serebryakov [63](#page-14-0), L. Šerkšnyte˙ [106](#page-15-0), A. Sevcenco 67 67 67 , T.J. Shaba 72 72 72 , A. Shabanov 63 63 63 , A. Shabetai 115 115 115 , R. Shahoyan 34 34 34 , W. Shaikh 110 110 110 , A. Shangaraev ^{[92](#page-14-0)}, A. Sharma ^{[101](#page-15-0)}, H. Sharma ^{[118](#page-15-0)}, M. Sharma ^{[102](#page-15-0)}, N. Sharma ¹⁰¹, S. Sharma ¹⁰², U. Sharma 102 , A. Shatat 78 , O. Sheibani 125 , K. Shigaki 46 , M. Shimomura 84 , S. Shirinkin 93 , Q. Shou 40 , Y. Sibiriak 89 , S. Siddhanta 55 , T. Siemiarczuk 86 , T.F. Silva 121 , D. Silvermyr 81 , T. Simantathammakul 116 , G. Simonetti^{[34](#page-14-0)}, B. Singh 106 106 106 , R. Singh 87 87 87 , R. Singh 102 102 102 , R. Singh 50 50 50 , V.K. Singh 142 142 142 , V. Singhal 142 , T. Sinha 110 110 110 , B. Sitar 13 , M. Sitta 31 31 31 , T.B. Skaali 20 , G. Skorodumovs 105 105 105 , M. Slupecki 44 44 44 , N. Smirnov 147 147 147 , R.J.M. Snellings 62 62 62 , C. Soncco 112 112 112 , J. Song 125 125 125 , A. Songmoolnak 116 116 116 , F. Soramel 27 27 27 , S. Sorensen 131 131 131 , I. Sputowska 118 118 118 , J. Stachel 105 105 105 , I. Stan 67 67 67 , P.J. Steffanic 131 131 131 , S.F. Stiefelmaier 105 , D. Stocco 115 115 115 , I. Storehaug ²⁰, M.M. Storetvedt ^{[36](#page-14-0)}, P. Stratmann ^{[145](#page-15-0)}, S. Strazzi ^{[25](#page-14-0)}, C.P. Stylianidis ^{[91](#page-14-0)}, A.A.P. Suaide ^{[121](#page-15-0)}, C. Suire ^{[78](#page-14-0)}, M. Sukhanov ^{[63](#page-14-0)}, M. Suljic ^{[34](#page-14-0)}, R. Sultanov ^{[93](#page-14-0)}, V. Sumberia ^{[102](#page-15-0)}, S. Sumowidagdo ^{[51](#page-14-0)}, S. Swain ^{[65](#page-14-0)}, A. Szabo ¹³, I. Szarka ¹³, U. Tabassam ¹⁴, S.F. Taghavi ^{[106](#page-15-0)}, G. Taillepied ^{[136](#page-15-0)}, J. Takahashi ^{[122](#page-15-0)}, G.J. Tambave ^{[21](#page-14-0)}, S. Tang [136](#page-15-0)*,*7, Z. Tang [129](#page-15-0), J.D. Tapia Takaki [127](#page-15-0)*,*[VII](#page-15-0), M.G. Tarzila [48](#page-14-0), A. Tauro [34](#page-14-0), G. Tejeda Muñoz [45](#page-14-0), A. Telesca ^{[34](#page-14-0)}, L. Terlizzi ^{[24](#page-14-0)}, C. Terrevoli ^{[125](#page-15-0)}, G. Tersimonov ³, S. Thakur ^{[142](#page-15-0)}, D. Thomas ^{[119](#page-15-0)}, R. Tieulent ^{[137](#page-15-0)}, A. Tikhonov 63 63 63 , A.R. Timmins 125 125 125 , M. Tkacik 117 117 117 , A. Toia 68 68 68 , N. Topilskaya 63 , M. Toppi 52 52 52 , F. Torales-Acosta 19, T. Tork [78](#page-14-0), A. Trifiró [32](#page-14-0)*,*[56](#page-14-0), S. Tripathy [54](#page-14-0)*,*[69](#page-14-0), T. Tripathy [49](#page-14-0), S. Trogolo [34](#page-14-0)*,*[27](#page-14-0), V. Trubnikov ³, W.H. Trzaska ^{[126](#page-15-0)}, T.P. Trzcinski ^{[143](#page-15-0)}, A. Tumkin ^{[109](#page-15-0)}, R. Turrisi ^{[57](#page-14-0)}, T.S. Tveter ²⁰, K. Ullaland ^{[21](#page-14-0)}, A. Uras ^{[137](#page-15-0)}, M. Urioni ^{[58](#page-14-0),[141](#page-15-0)}, G.L. Usai ^{[22](#page-14-0)}, M. Vala ^{[38](#page-14-0)}, N. Valle ^{[28](#page-14-0)}, S. Vallero ^{[59](#page-14-0)}, L.V.R. van Doremalen ^{[62](#page-14-0)}, M. van Leeuwen ^{[91](#page-14-0)}, P. Vande Vyvre ^{[34](#page-14-0)}, D. Varga ^{[146](#page-15-0)}, Z. Varga ¹⁴⁶, M. Varga-Kofarago ¹⁴⁶, M. Vasileiou ^{[85](#page-14-0)}, A. Vasiliev [89](#page-14-0), O. Vázquez Doce [52](#page-14-0)*,*[106](#page-15-0), V. Vechernin [113](#page-15-0), A. Velure [21](#page-14-0), E. Vercellin [24](#page-14-0), S. Vergara Limón [45](#page-14-0), L. Vermunt 62 62 62 , R. Vértesi 146 146 146 , M. Verweij 62 , L. Vickovic 35 35 35 , Z. Vilakazi 132 132 132 , O. Villalobos Baillie 111 111 111 , G. Vino [53](#page-14-0), A. Vinogradov [89](#page-14-0), T. Virgili [29](#page-14-0), V. Vislavicius [90](#page-14-0), A. Vodopyanov [75](#page-14-0), B. Volkel [34](#page-14-0)*,*[105](#page-15-0), M.A. Völkl 105 105 105 , K. Voloshin 93 93 93 , S.A. Voloshin 144 144 144 , G. Volpe 33 33 33 , B. von Haller 34 34 34 , I. Vorobyev 106 106 106 , N. Vozniuk 63 63 63 , J. Vrláková 38 38 38 , B. Wagner 21 21 21 , C. Wang 40 40 40 , D. Wang 40 , M. Weber 114 114 114 , R.J.G.V. Weelden 91 91 91 , A. Wegrzynek 34 34 34 , S.C. Wenzel 34 , J.P. Wessels 145 145 145 , J. Wiechula 68 68 68 , J. Wikne 20 , G. Wilk 86 86 86 , J. Wilkinson 108 108 108 , G.A. Willems 145 145 145 , B. Windelband 105 105 105 , M. Winn 139 139 139 , W.E. Witt 131 131 131 , J.R. Wright 119 119 119 , W. Wu 40 40 40 , Y. Wu 129 129 129 , R. Xu 7 , A.K. Yadav 142 142 142 , S. Yalcin 77 77 77 , Y. Yamaguchi 46 46 46 , K. Yamakawa 46 , S. Yang 21 21 21 , S. Yano 46 , Z. Yin 7 , I.-K. Yoo ¹⁷, J.H. Yoon ^{[61](#page-14-0)}, S. Yuan ^{[21](#page-14-0)}, A. Yuncu ^{[105](#page-15-0)}, V. Zaccolo ^{[23](#page-14-0)}, C. Zampolli ^{[34](#page-14-0)}, H.J.C. Zanoli ^{[62](#page-14-0)}, N. Zardoshti ^{[34](#page-14-0)}, A. Zarochentsev ^{[113](#page-15-0)}, P. Závada ^{[66](#page-14-0)}, N. Zaviyalov ^{[109](#page-15-0)}, M. Zhalov ^{[99](#page-14-0)}, B. Zhang ⁷, S. Zhang ^{[40](#page-14-0)}, X. Zhang 7, Y. Zhang [129](#page-15-0), V. Zherebchevskii [113](#page-15-0), Y. Zhi 11, N. Zhigareva [93](#page-14-0), D. Zhou 7, Y. Zhou [90](#page-14-0), J. Zhu [108](#page-15-0)*,*7, Y. Zhu ⁷, G. Zinovjev ^{3, [I](#page-15-0)}, N. Zurlo ^{[141](#page-15-0),[58](#page-14-0)}

¹ *A.I. Alikhanyan National Science Laboratory (Yerevan Physics Institute) Foundation, Yerevan, Armenia*

- ² *AGH University of Science and Technology, Cracow, Poland*
- ³ *Bogolyubov Institute for Theoretical Physics, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Kiev, Ukraine*
- 4 Bose Institute, Department of Physics and Centre for Astroparticle Physics and Space Science (CAPSS), Kolkata, India
- ⁵ *Budker Institute for Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk, Russia*
- ⁶ *California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo, CA, United States*
- ⁷ *Central China Normal University, Wuhan, China*
- ⁸ *Centro de Aplicaciones Tecnológicas y Desarrollo Nuclear (CEADEN), Havana, Cuba*
- ⁹ *Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados (CINVESTAV), Mexico City and Mérida, Mexico*
- ¹⁰ *Chicago State University, Chicago, IL, United States*
- ¹¹ *China Institute of Atomic Energy, Beijing, China*
- ¹² *Chungbuk National University, Cheongju, Republic of Korea*
- ¹³ *Comenius University Bratislava, Faculty of Mathematics, Physics and Informatics, Bratislava, Slovakia*
- ¹⁴ *COMSATS University Islamabad, Islamabad, Pakistan*
- ¹⁵ *Creighton University, Omaha, NE, United States*
- ¹⁶ *Department of Physics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, India*
- ¹⁷ *Department of Physics, Pusan National University, Pusan, Republic of Korea*
- ¹⁸ *Department of Physics, Sejong University, Seoul, Republic of Korea*
- ¹⁹ *Department of Physics, University of California, Berkeley, CA, United States*
- *Department of Physics, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway*
- *Department of Physics and Technology, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway*
- *Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Cagliari, Italy*
- *Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Trieste, Italy*
- *Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Turin, Italy*
- *Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Bologna, Italy*
- *Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Catania, Italy*
- *Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Padova, Italy*
- *Dipartimento di Fisica e Nucleare e Teorica, Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy*
- *Dipartimento di Fisica 'E.R. Caianiello' dell'Università and Gruppo Collegato INFN, Salerno, Italy*
- *Dipartimento DISAT del Politecnico and Sezione INFN, Turin, Italy*
- ³¹ Dipartimento di Scienze e Innovazione Tecnologica dell'Università del Piemonte Orientale and INFN Sezione di Torino, Alessandria, Italy
- *Dipartimento di Scienze MIFT, Università di Messina, Messina, Italy*
- *Dipartimento Interateneo di Fisica 'M. Merlin' and Sezione INFN, Bari, Italy*
- *European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN), Geneva, Switzerland*
- *Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, University of Split, Split, Croatia*
- *Faculty of Engineering and Science, Western Norway University of Applied Sciences, Bergen, Norway*
- *Faculty of Nuclear Sciences and Physical Engineering, Czech Technical University in Prague, Prague, Czech Republic*
- *Faculty of Science, P.J. Šafárik University, Košice, Slovakia*
- *Frankfurt Institute for Advanced Studies, Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Germany*
- *Fudan University, Shanghai, China*
- *Gangneung-Wonju National University, Gangneung, Republic of Korea*
- *Gauhati University, Department of Physics, Guwahati, India*
- *Helmholtz-Institut für Strahlen- und Kernphysik, Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn, Bonn, Germany*
- *Helsinki Institute of Physics (HIP), Helsinki, Finland*
- *High Energy Physics Group, Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico*
- *Hiroshima University, Hiroshima, Japan*
- *Hochschule Worms, Zentrum für Technologietransfer und Telekommunikation (ZTT), Worms, Germany*
- *Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest, Romania*
- *Indian Institute of Technology Bombay (IIT), Mumbai, India*
- *Indian Institute of Technology Indore, Indore, India*
- *Indonesian Institute of Sciences, Jakarta, Indonesia*
- *INFN, Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy*
- *INFN, Sezione di Bari, Bari, Italy*
- *INFN, Sezione di Bologna, Bologna, Italy*
- *INFN, Sezione di Cagliari, Cagliari, Italy*
- *INFN, Sezione di Catania, Catania, Italy*
- *INFN, Sezione di Padova, Padova, Italy*
- *INFN, Sezione di Pavia, Pavia, Italy*
- *INFN, Sezione di Torino, Turin, Italy*
- *INFN, Sezione di Trieste, Trieste, Italy*
- *Inha University, Incheon, Republic of Korea*
- *Institute for Gravitational and Subatomic Physics (GRASP), Utrecht University/Nikhef, Utrecht, Netherlands*
- *Institute for Nuclear Research, Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia*
- *Institute of Experimental Physics, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Košice, Slovakia*
- *Institute of Physics, Homi Bhabha National Institute, Bhubaneswar, India*
- *Institute of Physics of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Prague, Czech Republic*
- *Institute of Space Science (ISS), Bucharest, Romania*
- *Institut für Kernphysik, Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Germany*
- *Instituto de Ciencias Nucleares, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico City, Mexico*
- *Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS), Porto Alegre, Brazil*
- *Instituto de Física, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico City, Mexico*
- *iThemba LABS, National Research Foundation, Somerset West, South Africa*
- *Jeonbuk National University, Jeonju, Republic of Korea*
- *Johann-Wolfgang-Goethe Universität Frankfurt Institut für Informatik, Fachbereich Informatik und Mathematik, Frankfurt, Germany*
- *Joint Institute for Nuclear Research (JINR), Dubna, Russia*
- *Korea Institute of Science and Technology Information, Daejeon, Republic of Korea*
- *KTO Karatay University, Konya, Turkey*
- *Laboratoire de Physique des 2 Infinis, Irène Joliot-Curie, Orsay, France*
- *Laboratoire de Physique Subatomique et de Cosmologie, Université Grenoble-Alpes, CNRS-IN2P3, Grenoble, France*
- *Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, United States*
- *Lund University Department of Physics, Division of Particle Physics, Lund, Sweden*
- *Moscow Institute for Physics and Technology, Moscow, Russia*
- *Nagasaki Institute of Applied Science, Nagasaki, Japan*
- *Nara Women's University (NWU), Nara, Japan*
- *National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, School of Science, Department of Physics , Athens, Greece*
- *National Centre for Nuclear Research, Warsaw, Poland*
- *National Institute of Science Education and Research, Homi Bhabha National Institute, Jatni, India*
- *National Nuclear Research Center, Baku, Azerbaijan*
- *National Research Centre Kurchatov Institute, Moscow, Russia*
- *Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark*
- *Nikhef, National institute for subatomic physics, Amsterdam, Netherlands*
- *NRC Kurchatov Institute IHEP, Protvino, Russia*
- *NRC «Kurchatov»Institute ITEP, Moscow, Russia*
- *NRNU Moscow Engineering Physics Institute, Moscow, Russia*
- *Nuclear Physics Group, STFC Daresbury Laboratory, Daresbury, United Kingdom*
- *Nuclear Physics Institute of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Rež ˇ u Prahy, Czech Republic*
- *Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, United States*
- *Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, United States*
- *Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina, Russia*
- *Physics department, Faculty of science, University of Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia*
- *Physics Department, Panjab University, Chandigarh, India*
- *Physics Department, University of Jammu, Jammu, India*
- *Physics Department, University of Rajasthan, Jaipur, India*
- *Physikalisches Institut, Eberhard-Karls-Universität Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany*
- *Physikalisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany*
- *Physik Department, Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany*
- *Politecnico di Bari and Sezione INFN, Bari, Italy*
- ¹⁰⁸ Research Division and ExtreMe Matter Institute EMMI. GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung GmbH. Darmstadt. Germany
- *Russian Federal Nuclear Center (VNIIEF), Sarov, Russia*
- *Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Homi Bhabha National Institute, Kolkata, India*
- *School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom*
- *Sección Física, Departamento de Ciencias, Pontificia Universidad Católica del Perú, Lima, Peru*
- *St. Petersburg State University, St. Petersburg, Russia*
- *Stefan Meyer Institut für Subatomare Physik (SMI), Vienna, Austria*
- *SUBATECH, IMT Atlantique, Université de Nantes, CNRS-IN2P3, Nantes, France*
- *Suranaree University of Technology, Nakhon Ratchasima, Thailand*
- *Technical University of Košice, Košice, Slovakia*
- *The Henryk Niewodniczanski Institute of Nuclear Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Cracow, Poland*
- *The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX, United States*
- *Universidad Autónoma de Sinaloa, Culiacán, Mexico*
- *Universidade de São Paulo (USP), São Paulo, Brazil*
- *Universidade Estadual de Campinas (UNICAMP), Campinas, Brazil*
- *Universidade Federal do ABC, Santo Andre, Brazil*
- *University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa*
- *University of Houston, Houston, TX, United States*
- *University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, Finland*
- *University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS, United States*
- *University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom*
- *University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, China*
- *University of South-Eastern Norway, Tonsberg, Norway*
- *University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN, United States*
- *University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa*
- *University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan*
- *University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Japan*
- *University Politehnica of Bucharest, Bucharest, Romania*
- *Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS/IN2P3, LPC, Clermont-Ferrand, France*
- *Université de Lyon, CNRS/IN2P3, Institut de Physique des 2 Infinis de Lyon, Lyon, France*
- *Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, IPHC UMR 7178, F-67000 Strasbourg, France, Strasbourg, France*
- 139 Université Paris-Saclay Centre d'Etudes de Saclay (CEA), IRFU, Départment de Physique Nucléaire (DPhN), Saclay, France
- *Università degli Studi di Foggia, Foggia, Italy*
- *Università di Brescia, Brescia, Italy*
- *Variable Energy Cyclotron Centre, Homi Bhabha National Institute, Kolkata, India*
- *Warsaw University of Technology, Warsaw, Poland*
- *Wayne State University, Detroit, MI, United States*
- *Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster, Institut für Kernphysik, Münster, Germany*
- *Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary*
- *Yale University, New Haven, CT, United States*
- *Yonsei University, Seoul, Republic of Korea*
- ^I Deceased.
- II Also at: Italian National Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Sustainable Economic Development (ENEA), Bologna, Italy.
- III Also at: Dipartimento DET del Politecnico di Torino, Turin, Italy.
- IV Also at: M.V. Lomonosov Moscow State University, D.V. Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear, Physics, Moscow, Russia.
-
-
-

- V Also at: Department of Applied Physics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, India.
- VI Also at: Institute of Theoretical Physics, University of Wroclaw, Poland.
- VII Also at: University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas, United States.