Hypertriton Production in p-Pb Collisions at √sNN = 5.02 TeV

(ALICE Collaboration) Acharya, S.; ...; Erhardt, Filip; ...; Gotovac, Sven; ...; Jerčić, Marko; ...; Karatović, David; ...; ...

Source / Izvornik: **Physical Review Letters, 2022, 128**

Journal article, Published version Rad u časopisu, Objavljena verzija rada (izdavačev PDF)

<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.128.252003>

Permanent link / Trajna poveznica: <https://urn.nsk.hr/urn:nbn:hr:217:883014>

Rights / Prava: [In copyright](http://rightsstatements.org/vocab/InC/1.0/) / [Zaštićeno autorskim pravom.](http://rightsstatements.org/vocab/InC/1.0/)

Download date / Datum preuzimanja: **2025-01-15**

Repository / Repozitorij:

[Repository of the Faculty of Science - University o](https://repozitorij.pmf.unizg.hr)f [Zagreb](https://repozitorij.pmf.unizg.hr)

Hypertriton Production in p-Pb Collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}$

S. Acharya et al.*

(A Large Ion Collider Experiment Collaboration)

(Received 4 August 2021; revised 28 January 2022; accepted 3 June 2022; published 23 June 2022)

The study of nuclei and antinuclei production has proven to be a powerful tool to investigate the formation mechanism of loosely bound states in high-energy hadronic collisions. The first measurement of formation inechains in or loosely bound states in ingli-energy hadronic consisions. The first measurement of the production of ${}^3_\Lambda$ H in p-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}$ is presented in this Letter. Its productio measured in the rapidity interval $-1 < y < 0$ for the 40% highest-multiplicity p-Pb collisions is $dN/dy = [6.3 \pm 1.8(stat) \pm 1.2(syst)] \times 10^{-7}$. The measurement is compared with the expectations of statistical hadronization and coalescence models, which describe the nucleosynthesis in hadronic collisions. These two models predict very different yields of the hypertriton in charged particle multiplicity environments relevant to small collision systems such as p -Pb, and therefore the measurement of dN/dy is crucial to distinguish between them. The precision of this measurement leads to the exclusion with a significance larger than 6.9σ of some configurations of the statistical hadronization model, thus constraining the theory behind the production of loosely bound states at hadron colliders.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.128.252003](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.128.252003)

In the last few decades, the production of deuterons, ${}^{3}H$, ³He, ⁴He, and their charge conjugates was measured in many different colliding systems and energies. The results of the measurements in hadronic and heavy-ion collisions at the LHC [[1](#page-6-0)–[7\]](#page-6-1), in e^+e^- collisions at LEP [\[8\]](#page-6-2), at lowerenergy colliders [[9](#page-6-3)–[16](#page-6-4)], and in fixed target experiments [\[17](#page-6-5)–[20\]](#page-6-6) significantly constrained the parameter space for production models like coalescence [\[21](#page-6-7)–[23\]](#page-6-8) and statistical hadronization [\[24](#page-6-9)[,25\]](#page-6-10), yet they were unable to decisively discriminate between these two models. The interest in the phenomenon of nucleosynthesis in the final state of hadronic collisions has risen again in recent years owing to its relevance in dark matter searches in space [\[26,](#page-6-11)[27\]](#page-6-12). A precise modeling of the production of nuclei and antinuclei is required for the interpretation of the expected fluxes of antinuclei originating from dark matter annihilation, and for the relevant Standard Model background channels.

For large colliding systems, such as Pb-Pb collisions at the LHC, the predictions of statistical hadronization and coalescence models are very similar, and they are both able to describe the measured production of nuclei [\[28](#page-6-13)]. The statistical hadronization model (SHM) describes the system as a hadron-resonance gas (HRG) in thermal equilibrium at hadron emission, hence it predicts particle yields starting from the volume and the temperature of the system at chemical freeze-out (T_{chem}) . The grand canonical formulation of the SHM describes the measured production yields of light hadrons and nuclei in Pb-Pb collisions at 2.76 TeV with $T_{chem} = 155 \text{ MeV}$ [[5\]](#page-6-14). This temperature, which successfully describes the yield of light hadrons in central Pb-Pb collisions, is 1–2 orders of magnitude larger than the typical binding energies of light nuclei (a few MeV), and nuclei are likely to interact with the other hadrons in the dense HRG after chemical freeze-out due to the large cross sections [\[29](#page-6-15)], thus further modifying the yield. How these loosely bound objects can be formed and survive in such a hostile environment is still an unsolved question [\[30\]](#page-7-0). The coalescence model uses a different approach to explain the production of nuclei: the size of the nucleon-emitting source, accessible through the analysis of femtoscopic correlations [[31](#page-7-1)], and the nuclear wave function are the two inputs that determine the formation probability of bound states [[23](#page-6-8),[26](#page-6-11)]. While the SHM can compute directly the absolute yields of particles, in the hadron coalescence model the yield of bound states can be computed only relative to the yields of other particles.

The measurement of the production of large bound states in small collision systems, such as pp and $p-Pb$, is considered to allow for conclusive tests [\[28,](#page-6-13)[32\]](#page-7-2) of nucleosynthesis in hadronic collisions. An extreme example is the hypertriton ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}$ H, the bound state of a proton, a neutron, and a Λ baryon. This state is characterized by a very small Λ separation energy, of the order of a few hundreds of keV [\[33](#page-7-3)[,34\]](#page-7-4), and consequently it has a wide wave function that can extend up to a radius of \approx 10 fm [[35](#page-7-5)[,36\]](#page-7-6). The size of the ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}$ H wave function is therefore much larger than the hadron emission radius estimated with a femtoscopic technique in

^{*} Full author list given at the end of the article.

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI.

p-Pb collisions (1–2 fm [\[37,](#page-7-7)[38\]](#page-7-8)). For this reason, the ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}H$ yield in p-Pb collisions predicted by the coalescence model, where the ratio of nucleus size to source size directly influences its yield, is suppressed with respect to the statistical hadronization model expectations, where the nuclear size does not enter explicitly [[23](#page-6-8)[,25,](#page-6-10)[28\]](#page-6-13).

The results presented in this Letter are based on data collected during the 2013 and 2016 p -Pb LHC runs at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 5.02 TeV. With this beam configuration, the nucleon-nucleon center-of-mass system moves in rapidity by $\Delta y_{\rm cms} = 0.465$ in the direction of the proton beam. The ALICE detector and its performance are described in detail in Refs. [[39](#page-7-9)[,40\]](#page-7-10). Collision events are selected by using the information from the V0A and V0C scintillator arrays [[41](#page-7-11)], located on both sides of the interaction point, covering the pseudorapidity intervals $-3.7 < \eta < -1.7$ and $2.8 < \eta <$ 5.1. A coincident signal in both arrays is used as a minimum-bias (MB) trigger. In addition, only events with the primary vertex position within 10 cm along the beam axis to the nominal center of the experiment are selected to benefit from the full acceptance of the detector. Furthermore, to ensure the best possible performance of the detector and the proper normalization of the results, events with more than one reconstructed primary interaction vertex (pileup events) are rejected. In total, about 7.5×10^8 MB events are selected for analysis, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of $\mathcal{L}_{int}^{MB} = 359 \ \mu b^{-1}$, with a relative uncertainty determined by the van der Meer scan to be 3.7% [[42](#page-7-12)]. For this analysis, the 40% of events with the highest multiplicity measured by the V0A detector are used.

The ${}_{\Lambda}^{3}$ H candidates are reconstructed via the charged two-body decay channel ${}_{\Lambda}^{3}H \rightarrow {}_{\Lambda}^{3}He + \pi^-$ (and the related charge conjugated particles for $\frac{3}{\Lambda}$ H \overline{H}). In this Letter, $\frac{3}{\Lambda}$ H and $\frac{3}{4}$ H are combined to reduce the statistical uncertainty.

In the following, we use the notation ${}_{\Lambda}^{3}$ H and ³He for both the particle and the antiparticle, as well as for their average. The charged-particle tracks are reconstructed in the ALICE central barrel with the inner tracking system (ITS) [[43](#page-7-13)] and the time projection chamber (TPC) [\[44\]](#page-7-14), which are located within a solenoid that provides a homogeneous magnetic field of 0.5 T in the direction of the beam axis. These two subsystems provide full azimuthal coverage for chargedparticle trajectories in the pseudorapidity interval $|\eta| < 0.8$. The TPC is also used for the particle identification (PID) of the ³He and the π^- via their specific energy loss dE/dx in the gas volume, with a dE/dx resolution of about 5% [[44](#page-7-14)]. The $n(\sigma_i^{\text{TPC}})$ variable represents the PID response in the TPC expressed in terms of the deviation between the measured and the expected dE/dx for a particle species i, normalized by the detector resolution σ . The expected dE/dx is computed with a parametrized Bethe-Bloch function [[40](#page-7-10)]. Pion and ³He tracks within 5σ ^{TPC} are selected. The identified 3 He and π tracks are then used

to reconstruct the ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}$ H weak decay topology with an algorithm similar to that used in previous analyses [\[45](#page-7-15)[,46\]](#page-7-16). By combining the information on the decay kinematics and decay vertex, several selection variables are defined. Those used in the analysis are the radial distance of the decay vertex from the beam line, the distance of each daughter track from both the primary and the decay vertices, the proper decay length of the candidate (*ct*), and $cos(\theta_P)$, where θ_P is the angle between the total momentum vector of the decay daughters and the straight line connecting the primary and secondary vertices. The final candidate selection based on these variables is performed with a gradient boosted decision tree classifier (BDT) implemented by the XGBoost library [[47](#page-7-17)–[49](#page-7-18)] and trained on a dedicated Monte Carlo (MC) simulated event sample. The MC sample is created using the HIJING event generator $[50]$ for simulating the underlying p-Pb collisions, while ${}_{\Lambda}^{3}H$ are injected with a p_T distribution represented by a m_T exponential function that describes the p_T distribution of ³He as measured in p-Pb collisions [\[5\]](#page-6-14). The particles are transported through the detector geometry using GEANT4 [[51](#page-7-20)], which simulates the interaction with the material and the weak decay of the ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}$ H. The BDT is a supervised learning algorithm that determines how to discriminate between two or more classes, signal and background in this case, by examining sets of examples called the training sets. In this analysis, the training sets are composed of ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}$ H signal candidates extracted from the MC sample and background candidates from paired like-sign ³He and π tracks from the data. For each ${}_{\Lambda}^{3}$ H candidate, the BDT combines topological and single track variables to return a score, which is proportional to the candidate probability of being signal or background. The selection is based on the BDT score, defining a threshold that maximizes the expected signal significance, assuming thermal production. In this analysis, the default BDT score selection corresponds to a 72% signal efficiency and a $3 \times$ 10[−]⁵ background rejection factor. The candidates that pass the BDT selection are used to populate the invariant mass distribution in the transverse momentum interval $0 < p_T < 9$ GeV/c. An excess of entries is observed at a mass near 2.99 GeV/ c^2 , as shown in Fig. [1.](#page-3-0) The unbinned distribution is fitted with a kernel density estimator (KDE) [\[52](#page-7-21)[,53\]](#page-7-22) function tuned on the MC sample to describe the signal and a linear function to describe the background component. The KDE is chosen for smoothing the template extracted from the MC. The invariant mass distribution with the superimposed fit is shown in Fig. [1](#page-3-0).

The significance associated with the signal is evaluated following the procedure described in Ref. [[54](#page-7-23)]: the probability for a background fluctuation to be at least as large as the observed maximum excess (local p -value) is computed by employing the asymptotic formulas for likelihood-based tests. The local p-value is expressed as a corresponding number of standard deviations using the one-sided

FIG. 1. Invariant mass distribution of the ³He + π ⁻ and charge conjugate pairs passing the analysis selections. Vertical lines represent the statistical Poissonian uncertainties. The invariant mass spectrum is fitted with a two-component model: the blue line represents the total fit, while the orange dashed line shows the background component only.

Gaussian tail convention. The excess of entries observed above the expected background has a local significance of 4.6 standard deviations at the nominal ${}_{\Lambda}^{3}$ H mass. The production yield is obtained starting from the signal extracted from the fit to the invariant mass spectrum. Then the fitted signal is corrected for the reconstruction and the selection efficiency, including reconstruction efficiencies for the daughter particles and the topology, the acceptance of the ALICE detector, the number of analyzed events, the branching ratio (BR) of the ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}$ H in the two-body decay channel, and the fraction of ${}_{\Lambda}^{3}H$ that are absorbed in the ALICE detector (f_{abs}) . The simulation of inelastic interactions of the daughter particles is done with GEANT4 and is taken into account in the reconstruction efficiency computation. The BR value is assumed to be 0.25 according to the calculation published in Ref. [[55](#page-7-24)].

The systematic uncertainties originate from (1) the $^{3}_{\Lambda}H$ selection and the signal extraction, (2) the choice of the ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}H$ input p_T distribution in the Monte Carlo sample, and (3) the ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}$ H absorption in the detector. In addition, (4) a 9% systematic uncertainty is added due to the uncertainty of the BR, as explained later in the text. The total uncertainty is obtained as the quadratic sum of the individual contributions. The first contribution, which is the dominant one, is computed by varying simultaneously the BDT threshold $(\pm 5\%)$ and the background fit function (constant, linear, exponential). The standard deviation (rms) of the different yields represents our systematic error associated with the BDT selection and the signal extraction, and it amounts to 14%. The second contribution is evaluated by using different input p_T distributions for the Monte Carlo sample and evaluating the effects on the efficiency. Four different p_T models (mT exponential, p_T exponential, Boltzmann, and blast wave [\[56\]](#page-7-25)) are fitted to the ³He p_T distribution [\[5](#page-6-14)]. For each of them, the efficiency and the yield are computed assuming that the ${}^{3}\text{He}$ and the ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}$ H have the same p_T distributions as already seen for light flavor hadrons with similar masses in all collision systems [\[1,](#page-6-0)[45](#page-7-15)[,57\]](#page-7-26). The rms among the trials is calculated, yielding a systematic uncertainty of 7%. Finally, the uncertainty of f_{abs} is considered. According to Ref. [\[58\]](#page-7-27), the expected absorption cross section of ${}_{\Lambda}^{3}H$ due to the inelastic interactions in the ALICE detector material is \approx 1.5 times that of ³He ($\sigma_{\text{inel}}^{\overline{\text{He}}}$). The value of f_{abs} is computed by simulating the passage of hypertritons through the ALICE detector using this cross section and gives a result of $\approx 3\%$. The systematic uncertainty of f_{abs} is evaluated by employing different cross sections for the ${}_{\Lambda}^{3}$ H from zero (no interactions) to $2\sigma_{\rm inel}^{^3{\rm He}}$. For each variation, $f_{\rm abs}$ is recalculated. This results in a systematic uncertainty on the yield of about 4%. Larger variations of the inelastic cross section are not considered here, as they spoil the exponential trend of the proper decay length spectrum measured in Pb-Pb collisions.

The resulting corrected ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}$ H yield in the rapidity interval $-1 < y < 0$, together with its statistical and systematic uncertainties, is

$$
\frac{dN}{dy} = [6.3 \pm 1.8 \text{(stat)} \pm 1.2 \text{(syst)}] \times 10^{-7}.
$$

The result is compared with the expectations from the canonical SHM [\[25\]](#page-6-10), which assumes exact conservation of baryon number, strangeness, and electric charge across a correlation volume V_c . The SHM predictions are computed using a fixed chemical freeze-out temperature of $T_{chem} =$ 155 MeV, two correlation volumes extending across one unit $(V_c = dV/dy)$, and three units $(V_c = 3dV/dy)$ of rapidity [[25](#page-6-10)]. The size of the correlation volume governs the influence of exact quantum number conservation, with smaller values leading to a stronger suppression of conserved charges and $V_c \rightarrow \infty$ leading to the grand canonical ensemble. The ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}H$ p_T integrated yield is 1.1×10^{-6} and 2.0×10^{-6} with $V_c = dV/dy$ and $V_c = 3dV/dy$, respectively. The dN/dy predictions by the model were obtained using the code released together with the publication [[59](#page-7-28)].

As explained above, in the case of the coalescence model, it is not possible to compare directly the measured absolute yield to the model prediction. Hence, this comparison is attained by computing the ${}_{\Lambda}^{3}H/\Lambda$ ratio and the strangeness population factor $S_3 = \left(\frac{3}{\Lambda} \hat{H}/^3 \text{He}\right) / (\Lambda/p)$ [\[60\]](#page-7-29) using previous ALICE measurements of p , Λ , and ³He yields [\[5](#page-6-14)[,57\]](#page-7-26), as shown in Fig. [2.](#page-4-0) The yield of the Λ baryon, measured in $-0.5 < y < 0$, has been extrapolated to the $^{3}_{\Lambda}$ H rapidity region using MC generators [[61](#page-7-30)–[63](#page-7-31)] that are known to reproduce the pseudorapidity density distribution

FIG. 2. $\frac{3}{\Lambda}H/\Lambda$ (on the left) and S_3 (on the right) measurements in p-Pb (in red) and Pb-Pb collisions [[45](#page-7-15)] (in blue) as a function of mean charged-particle multiplicity. The vertical lines and boxes are the statistical and systematic uncertainties (including the uncertainty on the BR), respectively. The expectations for the canonical statistical hadronization [\[25\]](#page-6-10) and coalescence models are shown [[23](#page-6-8)].

of charged hadrons [\[64\]](#page-7-32). The corresponding correction is approximately 2%. In central Pb-Pb collisions, the data are consistent with both coalescence and SHM predictions, which are similar, as shown in Fig. [2.](#page-4-0) The situation is different for p-Pb collisions, where the two models are well separated. Taking into account the uncertainties of the measurement as well as the model uncertainty, the measured S_3 ratio is compatible with the two-body (deuteron-Λ) and three-body (proton-neutron-Λ) coalescence within 1.2σ and 2σ , respectively. With its large uncertainties, also due to the large uncertainty on the 3 He yield, the S_3 is compatible within 2σ with the SHM calculations too. Hence, the ${}_{\Lambda}^{3}H/\Lambda$ ratio is used as a test for coalescence and SHM predictions in the following. In this case, the measurement is deviating by 3.2σ and 7.9σ from the SHM with $V_c = 1 \frac{dV}{dy}$ and $V_c = 3 \frac{dV}{dy}$, respectively. On the other hand, both the coalescence calculations are within 2σ of the measured ${}_{\Lambda}^{3}H/\Lambda$. It has to be noted that recent measurements of the ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}$ H mass [[34](#page-7-4)] suggest a larger binding energy, and hence a smaller wave function, of the ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}$ H. This would further shift upward the coalescence predictions.

The value of BR = 0.25 for the ${}_{0}^{3}H \rightarrow {}^{3}H + \pi$ decay used in this analysis was computed theoretically in Ref. [\[55\]](#page-7-24). To investigate the uncertainty resulting from this assumption, Fig. [3](#page-4-1) shows the measured ${}_{\Lambda}^{3}H/\Lambda \times BR$. for different theoretical model calculations [[23](#page-6-8),[25](#page-6-10)] assuming a possible variation of the BR value. The variation range is chosen by evaluating the relative deviation between the theoretical R_3 and the world average of all the R_3 measurements, including the most recent measurement in heavy-ion collisions [[65](#page-8-0)], where R_3 is defined as

$$
R_3 = \frac{\Gamma({}^3_\Lambda H \to {}^3He + \pi^-)}{\Gamma({}^3_\Lambda H \to all \pi^- decay channels)}.
$$

This uncertainty on R₃ is propagated to the BR $({}^{3}_{\Lambda}H \rightarrow {}^{3}\text{He} + \pi^-)$ and corresponds to a variation range of $+9\%$ He + π^-) and corresponds to a variation range of $\pm 9\%$ around the nominal value. While the two-body coalescence

FIG. 3. $\frac{3}{\Lambda}H/\Lambda$ times branching ratio as a function of branching ratio. The horizontal line is the measured value, and the band represents statistical and systematic uncertainties added in quadrature. The expectations for the canonical statistical hadronization [\[25\]](#page-6-10) and coalescence models are shown [[23](#page-6-8)].

calculation is compatible with the data for the nominal or larger BR, a discrepancy of 2σ is observed between data and the three-body coalescence prediction. Furthermore, in the whole BR variation interval, the SHM is more than 2.7 σ and 6.9 σ away from the measured ${}_{\Lambda}^{3}H/\Lambda \times BR$ for the $V_c = 1dV/dy$ and $V_c = 3dV/dy$ configurations, respectively.

In summary, the first measurement of the production yield of hypertritons in $p-Pb$ collisions at the LHC is reported. The measurements of yields of $^{3}_{\Lambda}H$ in p-Pb collisions provide an opportunity to potentially discriminate between nucleosynthesis models. The measured p_T integrated yield excludes, with high significance, canonical versions of the SHM with $V_c \geq 3dV/dy$ to explain the (hyper)nuclei production in p -Pb collisions. It remains to be seen if advanced versions of the SHM using the S-matrix approach to account for the interactions among hadrons [\[66\]](#page-8-1) will be able to solve this discrepancy. The ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}H/\Lambda$ ratio is well described by the two-body coalescence prediction, while the three-body formulation is slightly disfavored by our measurement. While the general conclusions of the comparison with the models are unaltered even when considering large variations of the BR $\left(\substack{3 \\ A} H \rightarrow \substack{3 \\ 1} H e + \pi^{-}\right)$ around the value available in literature, the significance of the comparison between data and models is influenced by this uncertainty. Upcoming studies using the LHC Run 2 Pb-Pb data will help to reduce this uncertainty by measuring the ${}_{\Lambda}^{3}H \rightarrow d + p + \pi^{-}$ decay channel relative branching ratio. Furthermore, with the upgraded ALICE apparatus and the upcoming LHC Run 3, it will be possible to reduce both the statistical and the systematic uncertainties of the ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}$ H yield measurements in *pp* [\[67\]](#page-8-2) and *p*-Pb collisions and to study the ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}$ H production as a function of the size of the nucleon-emitting source measured with femtoscopic correlations. These studies may make it possible to decisively distinguish between the two production models.

The ALICE Collaboration would like to thank all its engineers and technicians for their invaluable contributions to the construction of the experiment and the CERN accelerator teams for the outstanding performance of the LHC complex. The ALICE Collaboration gratefully acknowledges the resources and support provided by all Grid centers and the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid (WLCG) Collaboration. The ALICE Collaboration acknowledges the following funding agencies for their support in building and running the ALICE detector: the A. I. Alikhanyan National Science Laboratory (Yerevan Physics Institute) Foundation (ANSL), the State Committee of Science and World Federation of Scientists (WFS), Armenia; the Austrian Academy of Sciences, the Austrian Science Fund (FWF): [M 2467-N36] and Nationalstiftung für Forschung, Technologie und Entwicklung, Austria; the Ministry of Communications and High Technologies, the National Nuclear Research Center, Azerbaijan; Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), Financiadora de Estudos e Projetos (Finep), Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP) and Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS), Brazil; the Ministry of Education of China (MOEC), the Ministry of Science & Technology of China (MSTC) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC), China; the Ministry of Science and Education and Croatian Science Foundation, Croatia; Centro de Aplicaciones Tecnológicas y Desarrollo Nuclear (CEADEN), Cubaenergía, Cuba; the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic, Czech Republic; the Danish Council for Independent Research | Natural Sciences, the VILLUM FONDEN and Danish National Research Foundation (DNRF), Denmark; the Helsinki Institute of Physics (HIP), Finland; Commissariat à l'Energie Atomique (CEA) and Institut National de Physique Nucléaire et de Physique des Particules (IN2P3) and Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), France; Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung (BMBF) and GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung GmbH, Germany; General Secretariat for Research and Technology, Ministry of Education, Research and Religions, Greece; National Research, Development and Innovation Office, Hungary; the Department of Atomic Energy, Government of India (DAE), Department of Science and Technology, Government of India (DST), University Grants Commission, Government of India (UGC), and Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), India; the Indonesian Institute of Science, Indonesia; Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN), Italy; the Institute for Innovative Science and Technology, the Nagasaki Institute of Applied Science (IIST), the Japanese Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology (MEXT), and the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) KAKENHI, Japan; Consejo Nacional de Ciencia (CONACYT) y Tecnología, through Fondo de Cooperación Internacional en Ciencia y Tecnología (FONCICYT) and Dirección General de Asuntos del Personal Academico (DGAPA), Mexico; Nederlandse Organisatie voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek (NWO), Netherlands; the Research Council of Norway, Norway; Commission on Science and Technology for Sustainable Development in the South (COMSATS), Pakistan; Pontificia Universidad Católica del Perú, Peru; the Ministry of Education and Science, National Science Centre and WUT ID-UB, Poland; the Korea Institute of Science and Technology Information and the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF), Republic of Korea; the Ministry of Education and Scientific Research, the Institute of Atomic Physics and Ministry of Research and Innovation and Institute of Atomic Physics, Romania; the Joint Institute for Nuclear Research (JINR), Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation, National Research Centre Kurchatov Institute, the Russian Science Foundation, and Russian Foundation for Basic Research, Russia; Ministry of Education, Science, Research and Sport of the Slovak Republic, Slovakia; the National Research Foundation of South Africa, South Africa; Swedish Research Council (VR) and Knut & Alice Wallenberg Foundation (KAW), Sweden; the European Organization for Nuclear Research, Switzerland; the Suranaree University of Technology (SUT), National Science and Technology Development Agency (NSDTA) and Office of the Higher Education Commission under the NRU project of Thailand, Thailand; the Turkish Energy, Nuclear and Mineral Research Agency (TENMAK), Turkey; the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Ukraine; Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC), United Kingdom; the National Science Foundation of the United States of America (NSF) and the United States Department of Energy, Office of Nuclear Physics (DOE NP), United States of America.

- [1] J. Adam et al. (ALICE Collaboration), Production of light nuclei and anti-nuclei in $p p$ and Pb-Pb collisions at energies available at the CERN Large Hadron Collider, [Phys. Rev. C](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.93.024917) 93[, 024917 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.93.024917)
- [2] S. Acharya et al. (ALICE Collaboration), Measurement of deuteron spectra and elliptic flow in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 2.76 TeV at the LHC, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-5222-x) 77, 658 [\(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-5222-x)
- [3] S. Acharya et al. (ALICE Collaboration), Multiplicity dependence of (anti-)deuteron production in pp collisions dependence of (anti-)deuteron production in *p* at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2019.05.028) **794**, 50 (2019).
- [4] S. Acharya et al. (ALICE Collaboration), Multiplicity dependence of light (anti-)nuclei production in p -Pb collidependence of light (anti-)nuclei production in *p*-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2019.135043) **800**, 135043 [\(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2019.135043)
- [5] S. Acharya et al. (ALICE Collaboration), Production of S. Acharya *et al.* (ALICE Collaboration), Production of $(\text{anti-})^3$ He and $(\text{anti-})^3$ H in *p*-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 5.02 TeV, Phys. Rev. C 101[, 044906 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.101.044906)
- [6] S. Acharya et al. (ALICE Collaboration), (Anti-)deuteron S. Acharya *et al.* (ALICE Collaboration), (Anti-)deuteron production in *pp* collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-8256-4) 80[, 889 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-8256-4)
- [7] S. Acharya et al. (ALICE Collaboration), Production of ⁴He s. Acharya *et al.* (ALICE Conaboration), Production of The and ⁴He in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV at the LHC, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2017.12.004) A971, 1 (2018).
- [8] S. Schael et al. (ALEPH Collaboration), Deuteron and antideuteron production in e^+e^- collisions at the Z resonance, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2006.06.043) 639, 192 (2006).
- [9] B. Alper et al. (British-Scandinavian Collaboration), Large angle production of stable particles heavier than the proton and a search for quarks at the CERN intersecting storage rings, Phys. Lett. 46B[, 265 \(1973\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(73)90700-4).
- [10] S. Henning et al. (British-Scandinavian-MIT Collaboration), Production of deuterons and anti-deuterons in protonproton collisions at the CERN ISR, [Lett. Nuovo Cimento](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02822248) 21[, 189 \(1978\).](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02822248)
- [11] T. Alexopoulos et al. (E735 Collaboration), Cross-sections for deuterium, tritium, and helium production in pp collisions at $s = 1.8$ TeV, Phys. Rev. D 62[, 072004 \(2000\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.62.072004)
- [12] C. Adler et al. (STAR Collaboration), Anti-Deuteron and C. Adler *et al.* (STAR Collaboration), Anti-Deuteron and Anti-³He Production in $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 130 \text{ GeV}$ Au + Au Collisions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87[, 262301 \(2001\);](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.262301) [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.279902) 87[, 279902\(E\) \(2001\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.279902)
- [13] S. S. Adler et al. (PHENIX Collaboration), Deuteron and Antideuteron Production in $Au + Au$ Collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 200 GeV, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**[, 122302 \(2005\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.122302)
- [14] A. Aktas et al. (H1 Collaboration), Measurement of antideuteron photoproduction and a search for heavy stable charged particles at HERA, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s2004-01978-x) 36, 413 (2004).
- [15] D. M. Asner et al. (CLEO Collaboration), Anti-deuteron production in Upsilon(nS) decays and the nearby continuum, Phys. Rev. D 75[, 012009 \(2007\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.75.012009)
- [16] H. Agakishiev et al. (STAR Collaboration), Observation of the antimatter helium-4 nucleus, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10079) 473, 353 [\(2011\);](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10079) [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10264) 475, 412(E) (2011).
- [17] J. Simon-Gillo et al. (NA44 Collaboration), Deuteron and anti-deuteron production in CERN experiment NA44, [Nucl.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0375-9474(95)00259-4) Phys. A590[, 483C \(1995\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0375-9474(95)00259-4)
- [18] T. A. Armstrong et al. (E864 Collaboration), Anti-Deuteron Yield at the AGS and Coalescence Implications, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.2685) Lett. 85[, 2685 \(2000\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.2685).
- [19] S. V. Afanasev et al. (NA49 Collaboration), Deuteron production in central $Pb + Pb$ collisions at 158A GeV, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(00)00746-2) 486, 22 (2000).
- [20] T. Anticic et al. (NA49 Collaboration), Energy and centrality dependence of deuteron and proton production in $Pb + Pb$ collisions at relativistic energies, [Phys. Rev. C](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.69.024902) 69[, 024902 \(2004\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.69.024902)
- [21] S. Mrowczynski, Deuteron formation mechanism, [J. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4616/13/9/011) G 13[, 1089 \(1987\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4616/13/9/011)
- [22] R. Scheibl and U.W. Heinz, Coalescence and flow in ultrarelativistic heavy ion collisions, [Phys. Rev. C](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.59.1585) 59, [1585 \(1999\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.59.1585).
- [23] K.-J. Sun, C. M. Ko, and B. Dönigus, Suppression of light nuclei production in collisions of small systems at the Large Hadron Collider, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2019.03.033) 792, 132 (2019).
- [24] A. Andronic, P. Braun-Munzinger, J. Stachel, and H. Stocker, Production of light nuclei, hypernuclei and their antiparticles in relativistic nuclear collisions, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2011.01.053) 697[, 203 \(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2011.01.053).
- [25] V. Vovchenko, B. Dönigus, and H. Stoecker, Multiplicity dependence of light nuclei production at LHC energies in the canonical statistical model, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2018.08.041) 785, 171 [\(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2018.08.041)
- [26] K. Blum, Kenny Chun Yu Ng, R. Sato, and M. Takimoto, Cosmic rays, antihelium, and an old navy spotlight, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.96.103021) Rev. D 96[, 103021 \(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.96.103021).
- [27] M. Korsmeier, F. Donato, and N. Fornengo, Prospects to verify a possible dark matter hint in cosmic antiprotons with antideuterons and antihelium, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.97.103011) 97, 103011 [\(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.97.103011)
- [28] F. Bellini and A.P. Kalweit, Testing coalescence and statistical-thermal production scenarios for (anti-)(hyper-) nuclei and exotic QCD objects at LHC energies, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.99.054905) C 99[, 054905 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.99.054905)
- [29] D. Oliinychenko, L.-G. Pang, H. Elfner, and V. Koch, Microscopic study of deuteron production in Pb-Pb colli-Microscopic study of deuteron production in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 2.76$ TeV via hydrodynamics and a hadronic afterburner, Phys. Rev. C 99[, 044907 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.99.044907)
- [30] A. Andronic, P. Braun-Munzinger, K. Redlich, and J. Stachel, Decoding the phase structure of QCD via particle production at high energy, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0491-6) 561, 321 [\(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0491-6)
- [31] S. Acharya et al. (ALICE Collaboration), Search for a common baryon source in high-multiplicity pp collisions at the LHC, Phys. Lett. B 811[, 135849 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2020.135849)
- [32] F. Bellini, K. Blum, A. P. Kalweit, and M. Puccio, Examination of coalescence as the origin of nuclei in hadronic collisions, Phys. Rev. C 103[, 014907 \(2021\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.103.014907).
- [33] D. H. Davis, 50 years of hypernuclear physics. I. The early experiments, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2005.01.002) A754, 3 (2005).
- [34] J. Adam et al. (STAR Collaboration), Measurement of the mass difference and the binding energy of the hypertriton and antihypertriton, Nat. Phys. 16[, 409 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41567-020-0799-7)
- [35] H. Nemura, Y. Suzuki, Y. Fujiwara, and C. Nakamoto, Study of light Lambda and Lambda-Lambda hypernuclei with the stochastic variational method and effective Lambda N potentials, [Prog. Theor. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1143/PTP.103.929) 103, 929 [\(2000\).](https://doi.org/10.1143/PTP.103.929)
- [36] F. Hildenbrand and H. W. Hammer, Three-body hypernuclei in pionless effective field theory, [Phys. Rev. C](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.100.034002) 100, 034002 [\(2019\);](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.100.034002) Phys. Rev. C 102[, 039901\(E\) \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.102.039901)
- [37] B. Abelev et al. (ALICE Collaboration), Freeze-out radii extracted from three-pion cumulants in pp , $p-Pb$ and Pb-Pb collisions at the LHC, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2014.10.034) 739, 139 [\(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2014.10.034)
- [38] J. Adam et al. (ALICE Collaboration), Two-pion femto-J. Adam *et al.* (ALICE Collaboration), 1wo-pion remto-
scopy in *p*-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV, [Phys. Rev. C](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.91.034906) 91[, 034906 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.91.034906)
- [39] K. Aamodt et al. (ALICE Collaboration), The ALICE experiment at the CERN LHC, [J. Instrum.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08002) 3, S08002 [\(2008\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08002)
- [40] B. Abelev et al. (ALICE Collaboration), Performance of the ALICE Experiment at the CERN LHC, [Int. J. Mod. Phys. A](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X14300440) 29[, 1430044 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X14300440)
- [41] E. Abbas et al. (ALICE Collaboration), Performance of the ALICE VZERO system, J. Instrum. 8[, P10016 \(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/8/10/P10016)
- [42] B. B. Abelev et al. (ALICE Collaboration), Measurement of **B.** B. Abelev *et al.* (ALICE Conaboration), Measurement or visible cross sections in proton-lead collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 5.02 TeV in van der Meer scans with the ALICE detector, J. Instrum. 9[, P11003 \(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/9/11/P11003).
- [43] K. Aamodt et al. (ALICE Collaboration), Alignment of the ALICE inner tracking system with cosmic-ray tracks, J. Instrum. 5[, P03003 \(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/5/03/P03003).
- [44] J. Alme et al., The ALICE TPC, a large 3-dimensional tracking device with fast readout for ultra-high multiplicity events, [Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2010.04.042) 622, 316 [\(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2010.04.042)
- [45] J. Adam et al. (ALICE Collaboration), ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}$ H and ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}$ H pro-J. Adam *et al.* (ALICE Collaboration), $\hat{\Lambda}$ H and $\hat{\Lambda}$ H production in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2016.01.040) Lett. B 754[, 360 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2016.01.040)
- [46] S. Acharya et al. (ALICE Collaboration), $^{3}_{\Lambda}$ H and $^{3}_{\Lambda}$ H S. Acharya *et al.* (ALICE Collaboration), Λ H and Λ H lifetime measurement in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 5.02 TeV via two-body decay, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2019.134905) 797, 134905 [\(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2019.134905)
- [47] T. Chen and C. Guestrin, Xgboost: A scalable tree boosting system, in Proceedings of the 22nd ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, KDD '16 (Association for

Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 2016), pp. 785–794.

- [48] M. Aaboud et al. (ATLAS Collaboration), Observation of Higgs boson production in association with a top quark pair at the LHC with the ATLAS detector, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2018.07.035) 784, 173 [\(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2018.07.035)
- [49] L. Barioglio, F. Catalano, M. Concas, P. Fecchio, F. Grosa, F. Mazzaschi, and M. Puccio, hipe4ml/hipe4ml, [10.5281/](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5734093) [zenodo.5734093 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5734093)
- [50] X.-N. Wang and M. Gyulassy, HIJING: A Monte Carlo model for multiple jet production in pp, pA and AA collisions, Phys. Rev. D 44[, 3501 \(1991\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.44.3501)
- [51] S. Agostinelli et al. (GEANT4 Collaboration), GEANT4: a simulation toolkit, [Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(03)01368-8) A 506[, 250 \(2003\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(03)01368-8)
- [52] K. S. Cranmer, Kernel estimation in high-energy physics, [Comput. Phys. Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0010-4655(00)00243-5) 136, 198 (2001).
- [53] W. Verkerke and D. P. Kirkby, The RooFit toolkit for data modeling, eConf C0303241, MOLT007 (2003) [\[arXiv:](https://arXiv.org/abs/physics/0306116) [physics/0306116\]](https://arXiv.org/abs/physics/0306116).
- [54] G. Cowan, K. Cranmer, E. Gross, and O. Vitells, Asymptotic formulae for likelihood-based tests of new physics, [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-011-1554-0) 71, 1554 (2011); [Eur. Phys. J. C](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2501-z) 73, 2501(E) (2013)
- [55] H. Kamada, J. Golak, K. Miyagawa, H. Witała, and W. Glöeckle, Pi mesonic decay of the hypertriton, [Phys. Rev. C](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.57.1595) 57[, 1595 \(1998\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.57.1595).
- [56] E. Schnedermann, J. Sollfrank, and U. W. Heinz, Thermal phenomenology of hadrons from 200 A/GeV $S + S$ collisions, Phys. Rev. C 48[, 2462 \(1993\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.48.2462)
- [57] B. Abelev et al. (ALICE Collaboration), Multiplicity dependence of pion, kaon, proton and lambda production dependence of pion, kaon, proton and lambda production
in *p*-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2013.11.020) **728**, [25 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2013.11.020)
- [58] M. V. Evlanov, A. M. Sokolov, V. K. Tartakovsky, S. A. Khorozov, and Y. Lukstinsh, Interaction of hypertritons with nuclei at high-energies, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0375-9474(98)00116-X) A632, 624 [\(1998\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0375-9474(98)00116-X)
- [59] V. Vovchenko and H. Stoecker, Thermal-FIST: A package for heavy-ion collisions and hadronic equation of state, [Comput. Phys. Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2019.06.024) 244, 295 (2019).
- [60] S. Zhang, J. H. Chen, H. Crawford, D. Keane, Y. G. Ma, and Z. B. Xu, Searching for onset of deconfinement via hypernuclei and baryon-strangeness correlations, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2010.01.034) 684[, 224 \(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2010.01.034).
- [61] M. Gyulassy and X.-N. Wang, HIJING 1.0: A Monte Carlo program for parton and particle production in high-energy hadronic and nuclear collisions, [Comput. Phys. Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-4655(94)90057-4) 83[, 307 \(1994\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-4655(94)90057-4)
- [62] T. Pierog, I. Karpenko, J. M. Katzy, E. Yatsenko, and K. Werner, EPOS LHC: Test of collective hadronization with data measured at the CERN Large Hadron Collider, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.92.034906) Rev. C 92[, 034906 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.92.034906)
- [63] S. Roesler, R. Engel, and J. Ranft, The Monte Carlo event generator DPMJET-III, in International Conference on Advanced Monte Carlo for Radiation Physics, Particle Transport Simulation and Applications (MC 2000) (Springer, Berlin, 2000), pp. 1033–1038.
- [64] B. Abelev et al. (ALICE Collaboration), Pseudorapidity Density of Charged Particles in $p + Pb$ Collisions

at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}$, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.032301) 110, 032301 [\(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.032301)

- [65] L. Adamczyk et al. (STAR Collaboration), Measurement of the ${}_{\Lambda}^{3}H$ lifetime in Au + Au collisions at the BNL relativistic heavy ion collider, [Phys. Rev. C](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.97.054909) 97, [054909 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.97.054909)
- [66] J. Cleymans, P.M. Lo, K. Redlich, and N. Sharma, Multiplicity dependence of (multi)strange baryons in the canonical ensemble with phase shift corrections, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.103.014904) C 103[, 014904 \(2021\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.103.014904).
- [67] ALICE Collaboration, Future high-energy pp programme with ALICE (2020), [http://cds.cern.ch/record/2724925.](https://doi.org/http://cds.cern.ch/record/2724925)

S. Acharya,¹⁴³ D. Adamová,⁹⁸ A. Adler,⁷⁶ G. Aglieri Rinella,³⁵ M. Agnello,³¹ N. Agrawal,⁵⁵ Z. Ahammed,¹⁴³ S. Ahmad,¹⁶ S. U. Ahn,⁷⁸ I. Ahuja,³⁹ Z. Akbar,⁵² A. Akindinov,⁹⁵ M. Al-Turany,¹¹⁰ S. N. Alam,^{16,41} D. Aleksandrov,⁹¹ B. Alessandro,⁶¹ H. M. Alfanda,⁷ R. Alfaro Molina,⁷³ B. Ali,¹⁶ Y. Ali,¹⁴ A. Alici,^{26a,26b} N. Alizadehvandchali,¹²⁷ A. Alkin,³⁵ J. Alme,²¹ T. Alt,⁷⁰ L. Altenkamper,²¹ I. Altsybeev,¹¹⁵ M. N. Anaam,⁷ C. Andrei,⁴⁹ D. Andreou,⁹³ A. Andronic,¹⁴⁶ M. Angeletti,³⁵ V. Anguelov,¹⁰⁷ F. Antinori,⁵⁸ P. Antonioli,⁵⁵ C. Anuj,¹⁶ N. Apadula,⁸² L. Aphecetche,¹¹⁷ H. Appelshäuser,⁷⁰ S. Arcelli,^{26a,26b} R. Arnaldi,⁶¹ I. C. Arsene,²⁰ M. Arslandok,^{107,148} A. Augustinus,³⁵ R. Averbeck,¹¹⁰ S. Aziz,⁸⁰ M. D. Azmi,¹⁶ A. Badalà, ⁵⁷ Y. W. Baek, ⁴² X. Bai, ^{110,131} R. Bailhache, ⁷⁰ Y. Bailung, ⁵¹ R. Bala, ¹⁰⁴ A. Balbino, ³¹ A. Baldisseri, ¹⁴⁰ B. Balis, ² M. Ball,⁴⁴ D. Banerjee,^{4a,4b} R. Barbera,^{27a,27b} L. Barioglio,¹⁰⁸ M. Barlou,⁸⁷ G. G. Barnaföldi,¹⁴⁷ L. S. Barnby,⁹⁷ V. Barret,¹³⁷ C. Bartels,¹³⁰ K. Barth,³⁵ E. Bartsch,⁷⁰ F. Baruffaldi,^{28a,28b} N. Bastid,¹³⁷ S. Basu,⁸³ G. Batigne,¹¹⁷ B. Batyunya,⁷⁷ D. Bauri,⁵⁰ J. L. Bazo Alba, ¹¹⁴ I. G. Bearden, ⁹² C. Beattie, ¹⁴⁸ I. Belikov, ¹³⁹ A. D. C. Bell Hechavarria, ¹⁴⁶ F. Bellini, ^{26a, 26b} R. Bellwied, ¹²⁷ S. Belokurova,¹¹⁵ V. Belyaev,⁹⁶ G. Bencedi,⁷¹ S. Beole,^{25a,25b} A. Bercuci,⁴⁹ Y. Berdnikov,¹⁰¹ A. Berdnikova,¹⁰⁷ L. Bergmann,¹⁰⁷ M. G. Besoiu,⁶⁹ L. Betev,³⁵ P. P. Bhaduri,¹⁴³ A. Bhasin,¹⁰⁴ I. R. Bhat,¹⁰⁴ M. A. Bhat,^{4a,4b} B. Bhattacharjee,⁴³ P. Bhattacharya,^{23a,23b} L. Bianchi,^{25a,25b} N. Bianchi,⁵³ J. Bielčík,³⁸ J. Bielčíková,⁹⁸ J. Biernat,¹²⁰ A. Bilandzic,¹⁰⁸ G. Biro,¹⁴⁷ S. Biswas, $4^{4,4b}$ J. T. Blair, 121 D. Blau, 91 M. B. Blidaru, 110 C. Blume, 70 G. Boca, 29,59 F. Bock, 99 A. Bogdanov, 96 S. Boi, 23a,23b J. Bok,⁶³ L. Boldizsár,¹⁴⁷ A. Bolozdynya,⁹⁶ M. Bombara,³⁹ P.M. Bond,³⁵ G. Bonomi,^{59,142} H. Borel,¹⁴⁰ A. Borissov,⁸⁴ H. Bossi,¹⁴⁸ E. Botta,^{25a,25b} L. Bratrud,⁷⁰ P. Braun-Munzinger,¹¹⁰ M. Bregant,¹²³ M. Broz,³⁸ G. E. Bruno,^{34a,34b,109} M. D. Buckland,¹³⁰ D. Budnikov,¹¹¹ H. Buesching,⁷⁰ S. Bufalino,³¹ O. Bugnon,¹¹⁷ P. Buhler,¹¹⁶ Z. Buthelezi,^{74,134} J. B. Butt,¹⁴ S. A. Bysiak,¹²⁰ M. Cai,^{7,28a,28b} H. Caines,¹⁴⁸ A. Caliva,¹¹⁰ E. Calvo Villar,¹¹⁴ J. M. M. Camacho,¹²² R. S. Camacho,⁴⁶ P. Camerini,^{24a,24b} F. D. M. Canedo,¹²³ F. Carnesecchi,^{26a,26b,35} R. Caron,¹⁴⁰ J. Castillo Castellanos,¹⁴⁰ E. A. R. Casula,^{23a,23b} F. Catalano,³¹ C. Ceballos Sanchez,⁷⁷ P. Chakraborty,⁵⁰ S. Chandra,¹⁴³ S. Chapeland,³⁵ M. Chartier,¹³⁰ S. Chattopadhyay,¹⁴³ S. Chattopadhyay,¹¹² A. Chauvin,^{23a,23b} T. G. Chavez,⁴⁶ T. Cheng,⁷ C. Cheshkov,¹³⁸ B. Cheynis,¹³⁸ V. Chibante Barroso,³⁵ D. D. Chinellato,¹²⁴ S. Cho,⁶³ P. Chochula,³⁵ P. Christakoglou,⁹³ C. H. Christensen,⁹² P. Christiansen,⁸³ T. Chujo,¹³⁶ C. Cicalo,⁵⁶ L. Cifarelli,^{26a,26b} F. Cindolo,⁵⁵ M. R. Ciupek,¹¹⁰ G. Clai,^{55[,b](#page-13-0)} J. Cleymans,^{12[6,a](#page-13-1)} F. Colamaria,⁵⁴ J. S. Colburn,¹¹³ D. Colella,^{34a,34b,54,109,147} A. Collu,⁸² M. Colocci,³⁵ M. Concas,^{61[,c](#page-13-2)} G. Conesa Balbastre,⁸¹ Z. Conesa del Valle, 80 G. Contin, 24a,24b J. G. Contreras, 38 M. L. Coquet, 140 T. M. Cormier, 99 P. Cortese, 32 M. R. Cosentino, 125 F. Costa,³⁵ S. Costanza,^{29,59} P. Crochet,¹³⁷ R. Cruz-Torres,⁸² E. Cuautle,⁷¹ P. Cui,⁷ L. Cunqueiro,⁹⁹ A. Dainese,⁵⁸ M. C. Danisch,¹⁰⁷ A. Danu,⁶⁹ I. Das,¹¹² P. Das,⁸⁹ P. Das,^{4a,4b} S. Das,^{4a,4b} S. Dash,⁵⁰ S. De,⁸⁹ A. De Caro,^{30a,30b} G. de Cataldo,⁵⁴ L. De Cilladi,^{25a,25b} J. de Cuveland,⁴⁰ A. De Falco,^{23a,23b} D. De Gruttola,^{30a,30b} N. De Marco,⁶¹ C. De Martin,^{24a,24b} S. De Pasquale,^{30a,30b} S. Deb,⁵¹ H. F. Degenhardt,¹²³ K. R. Deja,¹⁴⁴ L. Dello Stritto,^{30a,30b} S. Delsanto,^{25a,25b} W. Deng,⁷ P. Dhankher,¹⁹ D. Di Bari,^{34a,34b} A. Di Mauro,³⁵ R. A. Diaz,⁸ T. Dietel,¹²⁶ Y. Ding,^{7,138} R. Divià, 35 D. U. Dixit, 19 Ø. Djuvsland, 21 U. Dmitrieva, 65 J. Do, 63 A. Dobrin, 69 B. Dönigus, 70 O. Dordic, 20 A. K. Dubey, 143 A. Dubla,^{93,110} S. Dudi,¹⁰³ M. Dukhishyam,⁸⁹ P. Dupieux,¹³⁷ N. Dzalaiova,¹³ T. M. Eder,¹⁴⁶ R. J. Ehlers,⁹⁹ V. N. Eikeland,²¹ F. Eisenhut,⁷⁰ D. Elia,⁵⁴ B. Erazmus,¹¹⁷ F. Ercolessi,^{26a,26b} F. Erhardt,¹⁰² A. Erokhin,¹¹⁵ M. R. Ersdal,²¹ B. Espagnon,⁸⁰ G. Eulisse,³⁵ D. Evans,¹¹³ S. Evdokimov,⁹⁴ L. Fabbietti,¹⁰⁸ M. Faggin,^{28a,28b} J. Faivre,⁸¹ F. Fan,⁷ A. Fantoni,⁵³ M. Fasel,⁹⁹ P. Fecchio,³¹ A. Feliciello,⁶¹ G. Feofilov,¹¹⁵ A. Fernández Téllez,⁴⁶ A. Ferrero,¹⁴⁰ A. Ferretti,^{25a,25b} V. J. G. Feuillard,¹⁰⁷ J. Figiel,¹²⁰ S. Filchagin,¹¹¹ D. Finogeev,⁶⁵ F. M. Fionda,^{21,56} G. Fiorenza,^{35,109} F. Flor,¹²⁷ A. N. Flores,¹²¹ S. Foertsch,⁷⁴ P. Foka,¹¹⁰ S. Fokin,⁹¹ E. Fragiacomo,⁶² E. Frajna,¹⁴⁷ U. Fuchs,³⁵ N. Funicello,^{30a,30b} C. Furget,⁸¹ A. Furs,⁶⁵ J. J. Gaardhøje,⁹² M. Gagliardi,^{25a,25b} A. M. Gago,¹¹⁴ A. Gal,¹³⁹ C. D. Galvan,¹²² P. Ganoti,⁸⁷ C. Garabatos,¹¹⁰ J. R. A. Garcia,⁴⁶ E. Garcia-Solis,¹⁰ K. Garg,¹¹⁷ C. Gargiulo,³⁵ A. Garibli,⁹⁰ K. Garner,¹⁴⁶ P. Gasik,¹¹⁰ E. F. Gauger,¹²¹ A. Gautam, 129 M. B. Gay Ducati, 72 M. Germain, 117 P. Ghosh, 143 S. K. Ghosh, 4a,4b M. Giacalone, 26a,26b P. Gianotti, 53 P. Giubellino, ^{61,110} P. Giubilato, ^{28a,28b} A. M. C. Glaenzer, ¹⁴⁰ P. Glässel, ¹⁰⁷ D. J. Q. Goh, ⁸⁵ V. Gonzalez, ¹⁴⁵

L. H. González-Trueba,⁷³ S. Gorbunov,⁴⁰ M. Gorgon,² L. Görlich,¹²⁰ S. Gotovac,³⁶ V. Grabski,⁷³ L. K. Graczykowski,¹⁴⁴ L. Greiner,⁸² A. Grelli,⁶⁴ C. Grigoras,³⁵ V. Grigoriev,⁹⁶ A. Grigoryan,^{[1,a](#page-13-1)} S. Grigoryan,^{1,77} O. S. Groettvik,²¹ F. Grosa,^{35,61} J. F. Grosse-Oetringhaus,³⁵ R. Grosso,¹¹⁰ G. G. Guardiano,¹²⁴ R. Guernane,⁸¹ M. Guilbaud,¹¹⁷ K. Gulbrandsen,⁹² T. Gunji,¹³⁵ W. Guo,⁷ A. Gupta,¹⁰⁴ R. Gupta,¹⁰⁴ S. P. Guzman,⁴⁶ L. Gyulai,¹⁴⁷ M. K. Habib,¹¹⁰ C. Hadjidakis,⁸⁰ G. Halimoglu,⁷⁰ H. Hamagaki,⁸⁵ G. Hamar,¹⁴⁷ M. Hamid,⁷ R. Hannigan,¹²¹ M. R. Haque,^{89,144} A. Harlenderova,¹¹⁰ J. W. Harris,¹⁴⁸ A. Harton,¹⁰ J. A. Hasenbichler,³⁵ H. Hassan,⁹⁹ D. Hatzifotiadou,⁵⁵ P. Hauer,⁴⁴ L. B. Havener,¹⁴⁸ S. Hayashi,¹³⁵ S. T. Heckel,¹⁰⁸ E. Hellbär,¹¹⁰ H. Helstrup,³⁷ T. Herman,³⁸ E. G. Hernandez,⁴⁶ G. Herrera Corral,⁹ F. Herrmann,¹⁴⁶ K. F. Hetland,³⁷ H. Hillemanns,³⁵ C. Hills,¹³⁰ B. Hippolyte,¹³⁹ B. Hofman,⁶⁴ B. Hohlweger,⁹³ J. Honermann,¹⁴⁶ G. H. Hong,¹⁴⁹ D. Horak,³⁸ S. Hornung,¹¹⁰ A. Horzyk,² R. Hosokawa,¹⁵ Y. Hou,⁷ P. Hristov,³⁵ C. Hughes,¹³³ P. Huhn,⁷⁰ T. J. Humanic,¹⁰⁰ H. Hushnud,¹¹² L. A. Husova,¹⁴⁶ A. Hutson,¹²⁷ D. Hutter,⁴⁰ J. P. Iddon,^{35,130} R. Ilkaev,¹¹¹ H. Ilyas,¹⁴ M. Inaba,¹³⁶ G. M. Innocenti,³⁵ M. Ippolitov,⁹¹ A. Isakov,^{38,98} M. S. Islam,¹¹² M. Ivanov,¹¹⁰ V. Ivanov,¹⁰¹ V. Izucheev,⁹⁴ M. Jablonski,² B. Jacak,⁸² N. Jacazio,³⁵ P.M. Jacobs,⁸² S. Jadlovska,¹¹⁹ J. Jadlovsky,¹¹⁹ S. Jaelani,⁶⁴ C. Jahnke,^{123,124} M. J. Jakubowska,¹⁴⁴ A. Jalotra,¹⁰⁴ M. A. Janik,¹⁴⁴ T. Janson,⁷⁶ M. Jercic,¹⁰² O. Jevons,¹¹³ A. A. P. Jimenez, 71 F. Jonas, 99,146 P. G. Jones, 113 J. M. Jowett, 35,110 J. Jung, 70 M. Jung, 70 A. Junique, 35 A. Jusko, 113 J. Kaewjai,¹¹⁸ P. Kalinak,⁶⁶ A. Kalweit,³⁵ V. Kaplin,⁹⁶ S. Kar,⁷ A. Karasu Uysal,⁷⁹ D. Karatovic,¹⁰² O. Karavichev,⁶⁵ T. Karavicheva,⁶⁵ P. Karczmarczyk,¹⁴⁴ E. Karpechev,⁶⁵ A. Kazantsev,⁹¹ U. Kebschull,⁷⁶ R. Keidel,⁴⁸ D. L. D. Keijdener,⁶⁴ M. Keil,³⁵ B. Ketzer,⁴⁴ Z. Khabanova,⁹³ A. M. Khan,⁷ S. Khan,¹⁶ A. Khanzadeev,¹⁰¹ Y. Kharlov,⁹⁴ A. Khatun,¹⁶ A. Khuntia,¹²⁰ B. Kileng,³⁷ B. Kim,^{17,63} C. Kim,¹⁷ D. J. Kim,¹²⁸ E. J. Kim,⁷⁵ J. Kim,¹⁴⁹ J. S. Kim,⁴² J. Kim,¹⁰⁷ J. Kim,¹⁴⁹ J. Kim,⁷⁵ M. Kim,¹⁰⁷ S. Kim,¹⁸ T. Kim,¹⁴⁹ S. Kirsch,⁷⁰ I. Kisel,⁴⁰ S. Kiselev,⁹⁵ A. Kisiel,¹⁴⁴ J. P. Kitowski,² J. L. Klay,⁶ J. Klein,³⁵ S. Klein,⁸² C. Klein-Bösing,¹⁴⁶ M. Kleiner,⁷⁰ T. Klemenz,¹⁰⁸ A. Kluge,³⁵ A. G. Knospe,¹²⁷ C. Kobdaj,¹¹⁸ M. K. Köhler,¹⁰⁷ T. Kollegger,¹¹⁰ A. Kondratyev,⁷⁷ N. Kondratyeva,⁹⁶ E. Kondratyuk,⁹⁴ J. Konig,⁷⁰ S. A. Konigstorfer,¹⁰⁸ P. J. Konopka,^{2,35} G. Kornakov,¹⁴⁴ S. D. Koryciak,² L. Koska,¹¹⁹ A. Kotliarov,⁹⁸ O. Kovalenko,⁸⁸ V. Kovalenko,¹¹⁵ M. Kowalski,¹²⁰ I. Králik,⁶⁶ A. Kravčáková,³⁹ L. Kreis,¹¹⁰ M. Krivda,^{66,113} F. Krizek,⁹⁸ K. Krizkova Gajdosova,³⁸ M. Kroesen, 107 M. Krüger, 70 E. Kryshen, 101 M. Krzewicki, 40 V. Kučera, 35 C. Kuhn, 139 P. G. Kuijer, 93 T. Kumaoka, 136 D. Kumar, ¹⁴³ L. Kumar, ¹⁰³ N. Kumar, ¹⁰³ S. Kundu, ^{35,89} P. Kurashvili, ⁸⁸ A. Kurepin, ⁶⁵ A. B. Kurepin, ⁶⁵ A. Kuryakin, ¹¹¹ S. Kushpil,⁹⁸ J. Kvapil,¹¹³ M. J. Kweon,⁶³ J. Y. Kwon,⁶³ Y. Kwon,¹⁴⁹ S. L. La Pointe,⁴⁰ P. La Rocca,^{27a,27b} Y. S. Lai,⁸² A. Lakrathok,¹¹⁸ M. Lamanna,³⁵ R. Langoy,¹³² K. Lapidus,³⁵ P. Larionov,^{35,53} E. Laudi,³⁵ L. Lautner,^{35,108} R. Lavicka,³⁸ T. Lazareva,¹¹⁵ R. Lea,^{24a,24b,59,142} J. Lehrbach,⁴⁰ R. C. Lemmon,⁹⁷ I. León Monzón,¹²² E. D. Lesser,¹⁹ M. Lettrich,^{35,108} P. Lévai,¹⁴⁷ X. Li,¹¹ X. L. Li,⁷ J. Lien,¹³² R. Lietava,¹¹³ B. Lim,¹⁷ S. H. Lim,¹⁷ V. Lindenstruth,⁴⁰ A. Lindner,⁴⁹ C. Lippmann,¹¹⁰ A. Liu,¹⁹ D. H. Liu,⁷ J. Liu,¹³⁰ I. M. Lofnes,²¹ V. Loginov,⁹⁶ C. Loizides,⁹⁹ P. Loncar,³⁶ J. A. Lopez,¹⁰⁷ X. Lopez, 137 E. López Torres, 8 J. R. Luhder, 146 M. Lunardon, 28a,28b G. Luparello, 62 Y. G. Ma, 41 A. Maevskaya, 65 M. Mager,³⁵ T. Mahmoud,⁴⁴ A. Maire,¹³⁹ M. Malaev,¹⁰¹ N. M. Malik,¹⁰⁴ Q. W. Malik,²⁰ L. Malinina,^{7[7,d](#page-13-3)} D. Mal'Kevich,⁹⁵ N. Mallick,⁵¹ P. Malzacher,¹¹⁰ G. Mandaglio,^{33,57} V. Manko,⁹¹ F. Manso,¹³⁷ V. Manzari,⁵⁴ Y. Mao,⁷ J. Mareš,⁶⁸ G. V. Margagliotti,^{24a,24b} A. Margotti,⁵⁵ A. Marín,¹¹⁰ C. Markert,¹²¹ M. Marquard,⁷⁰ N. A. Martin,¹⁰⁷ P. Martinengo,³⁵ J. L. Martinez, ¹²⁷ M. I. Martínez, ⁴⁶ G. Martínez García, ¹¹⁷ S. Masciocchi, ¹¹⁰ M. Masera, ^{25a, 25b} A. Masoni, ⁵⁶ L. Massacrier, ⁸⁰ A. Mastroserio,^{54,141} A. M. Mathis,¹⁰⁸ O. Matonoha,⁸³ P. F. T. Matuoka,¹²³ A. Matyja,¹²⁰ C. Mayer,¹²⁰ A. L. Mazuecos,³⁵ F. Mazzaschi,^{25a,25b} M. Mazzilli,³⁵ M. A. Mazzoni,^{6[0,a](#page-13-1)} J. E. Mdhluli,¹³⁴ A. F. Mechler,⁷⁰ F. Meddi,^{22a,22b} Y. Melikyan,⁶⁵ A. Menchaca-Rocha,⁷³ E. Meninno,^{30a,30b,116} A. S. Menon,¹²⁷ M. Meres,¹³ S. Mhlanga,^{74,126} Y. Miake,¹³⁶ L. Micheletti,^{25a,25b,61} L. C. Migliorin,¹³⁸ D. L. Mihaylov,¹⁰⁸ K. Mikhaylov,^{77,95} A. N. Mishra,¹⁴⁷ D. Miśkowiec,¹¹⁰ A. Modak,^{4a,4b} A. P. Mohanty,⁶⁴ B. Mohanty,⁸⁹ M. Mohisin Khan, ^{16[,e](#page-13-4)} M. A. Molander,⁴⁵ Z. Moravcova,⁹² C. Mordasini,¹⁰⁸ D. A. Moreira De Godoy,¹⁴⁶ L. A. P. Moreno,⁴⁶ I. Morozov,⁶⁵ A. Morsch,³⁵ T. Mrnjavac,³⁵ V. Muccifora,⁵³ E. Mudnic,³⁶ D. Mühlheim, ¹⁴⁶ S. Muhuri, ¹⁴³ J. D. Mulligan, ⁸² A. Mulliri, ^{23a, 23b} M. G. Munhoz, ¹²³ R. H. Munzer, ⁷⁰ H. Murakami, ¹³⁵ S. Murray,¹²⁶ L. Musa,³⁵ J. Musinsky,⁶⁶ J. W. Myrcha,¹⁴⁴ B. Naik,^{50,134} R. Nair,⁸⁸ B. K. Nandi,⁵⁰ R. Nania,⁵⁵ E. Nappi,⁵⁴ A. F. Nassirpour,⁸³ A. Nath,¹⁰⁷ C. Nattrass,¹³³ A. Neagu,²⁰ L. Nellen,⁷¹ S. V. Nesbo,³⁷ G. Neskovic,⁴⁰ D. Nesterov,¹¹⁵ B. S. Nielsen, 92 S. Nikolaev, 91 S. Nikulin, 91 V. Nikulin, 101 F. Noferini, 55 S. Noh, 12 P. Nomokonov, 77 J. Norman, 130 N. Novitzky,¹³⁶ P. Nowakowski,¹⁴⁴ A. Nyanin,⁹¹ J. Nystrand,²¹ M. Ogino,⁸⁵ A. Ohlson,⁸³ V. A. Okorokov,⁹⁶ J. Oleniacz,¹⁴⁴ A. C. Oliveira Da Silva, ¹³³ M. H. Oliver, ¹⁴⁸ A. Onnerstad, ¹²⁸ C. Oppedisano, ⁶¹ A. Ortiz Velasquez, ⁷¹ T. Osako, ⁴⁷ A. Oskarsson, 83 J. Otwinowski, 120 M. Oya, 47 K. Oyama, 85 Y. Pachmayer, 107 S. Padhan, 50 D. Pagano, $59,142$ G. Paić, 71 A. Palasciano, ⁵⁴ J. Pan, ¹⁴⁵ S. Panebianco, ¹⁴⁰ P. Pareek, ¹⁴³ J. Park, ⁶³ J. E. Parkkila, ¹²⁸ S. P. Pathak, ¹²⁷ R. N. Patra, ^{35,104}

B. Paul,^{23a,23b} H. Pei,⁷ T. Peitzmann,⁶⁴ X. Peng,⁷ L. G. Pereira,⁷² H. Pereira Da Costa,¹⁴⁰ D. Peresunko,⁹¹ G. M. Perez,⁸ S. Perrin, ¹⁴⁰ Y. Pestov, ⁵ V. Petráček, ³⁸ M. Petrovici, ⁴⁹ R. P. Pezzi, ^{72,117} S. Piano, ⁶² M. Pikna, ¹³ P. Pillot, ¹¹⁷ O. Pinazza, ^{35,55} L. Pinsky, ¹²⁷ C. Pinto, ^{27a, 27b} S. Pisano, ⁵³ M. Płoskoń, ⁸² M. Planinic, ¹⁰² F. Pliquett, ⁷⁰ M. G. Poghosyan, ⁹⁹ B. Polichtchouk, ⁹⁴ S. Politano, 31 N. Poljak, 102 A. Pop, 49 S. Porteboeuf-Houssais, 137 J. Porter, 82 V. Pozdniakov, 77 S. K. Prasad, $4a,4b$ R. Preghenella,⁵⁵ F. Prino,⁶¹ C. A. Pruneau,¹⁴⁵ I. Pshenichnov,⁶⁵ M. Puccio,³⁵ S. Qiu,⁹³ L. Quaglia,^{25a,25b} R. E. Quishpe,¹²⁷ S. Ragoni,¹¹³ A. Rakotozafindrabe,¹⁴⁰ L. Ramello,³² F. Rami,¹³⁹ S. A. R. Ramirez,⁴⁶ A. G. T. Ramos,^{34a,34b} T. A. Rancien,⁸¹ R. Raniwala,¹⁰⁵ S. Raniwala,¹⁰⁵ S. S. Räsänen,⁴⁵ R. Rath,⁵¹ I. Ravasenga,⁹³ K. F. Read,^{99,133} A. R. Redelbach,⁴⁰ K. Redlich, $88,$ f A. Rehman, 21 P. Reichelt, 70 F. Reidt, 35 H. A. Reme-ness, 37 R. Renfordt, 70 Z. Rescakova, 39 K. Reygers, 107 A. Riabov,¹⁰¹ V. Riabov,¹⁰¹ T. Richert,⁸³ M. Richter,²⁰ W. Riegler,³⁵ F. Riggi,^{27a,27b} C. Ristea,⁶⁹ M. Rodríguez Cahuantzi,⁴⁶ K. Røed,²⁰ R. Rogalev,⁹⁴ E. Rogochaya,⁷⁷ T. S. Rogoschinski,⁷⁰ D. Rohr,³⁵ D. Röhrich,²¹ P. F. Rojas,⁴⁶ P. S. Rokita,¹⁴⁴ F. Ronchetti,⁵³ A. Rosano,^{33,57} E. D. Rosas,⁷¹ A. Rossi,⁵⁸ A. Rotondi,^{29,59} A. Roy,⁵¹ P. Roy,¹¹² S. Roy,⁵⁰ N. Rubini,^{26a,26b} O. V. Rueda, 83 R. Rui, $24a,24b$ B. Rumyantsev, 77 P. G. Russek, 2 A. Rustamov, 90 E. Ryabinkin, 91 Y. Ryabov, 101 A. Rybicki, 120 H. Rytkonen,¹²⁸ W. Rzesa,¹⁴⁴ O. A. M. Saarimaki,⁴⁵ R. Sadek,¹¹⁷ S. Sadovsky,⁹⁴ J. Saetre,²¹ K. Šafařík,³⁸ S. K. Saha,¹⁴³ S. Saha, ⁸⁹ B. Sahoo, ⁵⁰ P. Sahoo, ⁵⁰ R. Sahoo, ⁵¹ S. Sahoo, ⁶⁷ D. Sahu, ⁵¹ P. K. Sahu, ⁶⁷ J. Saini, ¹⁴³ S. Sakai, ¹³⁶ S. Sambyal, ¹⁰⁴ V. Samsonov, 96,101,a 96,101,a 96,101,a D. Sarkar, 145 N. Sarkar, 143 P. Sarma, 43 V. M. Sarti, 108 M. H. P. Sas, 148 J. Schambach, 99,121 H. S. Scheid, 70 C. Schiaua,⁴⁹ R. Schicker,¹⁰⁷ A. Schmah,¹⁰⁷ C. Schmidt,¹¹⁰ H. R. Schmidt,¹⁰⁶ M. O. Schmidt,³⁵ M. Schmidt,¹⁰⁶ N. V. Schmidt,^{70,99} A. R. Schmier,¹³³ R. Schotter,¹³⁹ J. Schukraft,³⁵ Y. Schutz,¹³⁹ K. Schwarz,¹¹⁰ K. Schweda,¹¹⁰ G. Scioli,^{26a,26b} E. Scomparin,⁶¹ J. E. Seger,¹⁵ Y. Sekiguchi,¹³⁵ D. Sekihata,¹³⁵ I. Selyuzhenkov,^{96,110} S. Senyukov,¹³⁹ J. J. Seo,⁶³ D. Serebryakov,⁶⁵ L. Šerkšnytė,¹⁰⁸ A. Sevcenco,⁶⁹ T. J. Shaba,⁷⁴ A. Shabanov,⁶⁵ A. Shabetai,¹¹⁷ R. Shahoyan,³⁵ W. Shaikh,¹¹² A. Shangaraev,⁹⁴ A. Sharma,¹⁰³ H. Sharma,¹²⁰ M. Sharma,¹⁰⁴ N. Sharma,¹⁰³ S. Sharma,¹⁰⁴ U. Sharma,¹⁰⁴ O. Sheibani,¹²⁷ K. Shigaki,⁴⁷ M. Shimomura,⁸⁶ S. Shirinkin,⁹⁵ Q. Shou,⁴¹ Y. Sibiriak,⁹¹ S. Siddhanta,⁵⁶ T. Siemiarczuk,⁸⁸ T. F. Silva,¹²³ D. Silvermyr,⁸³ T. Simantathammakul,¹¹⁸ G. Simonetti,³⁵ B. Singh,¹⁰⁸ R. Singh,⁸⁹ R. Singh,¹⁰⁴ R. Singh,⁵¹ V. K. Singh,¹⁴³ V. Singhal,¹⁴³ T. Sinha,¹¹² B. Sitar,¹³ M. Sitta,³² T. B. Skaali,²⁰ G. Skorodumovs,¹⁰⁷ M. Slupecki,⁴⁵ N. Smirnov,¹⁴⁸ R. J. M. Snellings,⁶⁴ C. Soncco,¹¹⁴ J. Song,¹²⁷ A. Songmoolnak,¹¹⁸ F. Soramel,^{28a,28b} S. Sorensen,¹³³ I. Sputowska,¹²⁰ J. Stachel,¹⁰⁷ I. Stan,⁶⁹ P. J. Steffanic,¹³³ S. F. Stiefelmaier,¹⁰⁷ D. Stocco,¹¹⁷ I. Storehaug,²⁰ M. M. Storetvedt,³⁷ C. P. Stylianidis,⁹³ A. A. P. Suaide,¹²³ T. Sugitate,⁴⁷ C. Suire,⁸⁰ M. Sukhanov,⁶⁵ M. Suljic,³⁵ R. Sultanov, ⁹⁵ M. Šumbera, ⁹⁸ V. Sumberia, ¹⁰⁴ S. Sumowidagdo, ⁵² S. Swain, ⁶⁷ A. Szabo, ¹³ I. Szarka, ¹³ U. Tabassam, ¹⁴ S. F. Taghavi,¹⁰⁸ G. Taillepied,¹³⁷ J. Takahashi,¹²⁴ G. J. Tambave,²¹ S. Tang,^{7,137} Z. Tang,¹³¹ M. Tarhini,¹¹⁷ M. G. Tarzila,⁴⁹ A. Tauro,³⁵ G. Tejeda Muñoz,⁴⁶ A. Telesca,³⁵ L. Terlizzi,^{25a,25b} C. Terrevoli,¹²⁷ G. Tersimonov,³ S. Thakur,¹⁴³ D. Thomas,¹²¹ R. Tieulent,¹³⁸ A. Tikhonov,⁶⁵ A. R. Timmins,¹²⁷ M. Tkacik,¹¹⁹ A. Toia,⁷⁰ N. Topilskaya,⁶⁵ M. Toppi,⁵³ F. Torales-Acosta,¹⁹ T. Tork, 80 S. R. Torres, 38 A. Trifiró, $33,57$ S. Tripathy, $55,71$ T. Tripathy, 50 S. Trogolo, $28a,28b,35$ G. Trombetta, $34a,34b$ V. Trubnikov, 3 W. H. Trzaska, ¹²⁸ T. P. Trzcinski, ¹⁴⁴ B. A. Trzeciak, ³⁸ A. Tumkin, ¹¹¹ R. Turrisi, ⁵⁸ T. S. Tveter, ²⁰ K. Ullaland, ²¹ A. Uras, ¹³⁸ M. Urioni,^{59,142} G. L. Usai,^{23a,23b} M. Vala,³⁹ N. Valle,^{29,59} S. Vallero,⁶¹ N. van der Kolk,⁶⁴ L. V. R. van Doremalen,⁶⁴ M. van Leeuwen,⁹³ P. Vande Vyvre,³⁵ D. Varga,¹⁴⁷ Z. Varga,¹⁴⁷ M. Varga-Kofarago,¹⁴⁷ A. Vargas,⁴⁶ M. Vasileiou,⁸⁷ A. Vasiliev, ⁹¹ O. Vázquez Doce, ^{53,108} V. Vechernin, ¹¹⁵ E. Vercellin, ^{25a,25b} S. Vergara Limón, ⁴⁶ L. Vermunt, ⁶⁴ R. Vértesi, ¹⁴⁷ M. Verweij,⁶⁴ L. Vickovic,³⁶ Z. Vilakazi,¹³⁴ O. Villalobos Baillie,¹¹³ G. Vino,⁵⁴ A. Vinogradov,⁹¹ T. Virgili,^{30a,30b} V. Vislavicius, ⁹² A. Vodopyanov, ⁷⁷ B. Volkel, ³⁵ M. A. Völkl, ¹⁰⁷ K. Voloshin, ⁹⁵ S. A. Voloshin, ¹⁴⁵ G. Volpe, ^{34a, 34b} B. von Haller,³⁵ I. Vorobyev,¹⁰⁸ D. Voscek,¹¹⁹ N. Vozniuk,⁶⁵ J. Vrláková,³⁹ B. Wagner,²¹ C. Wang,⁴¹ D. Wang,⁴¹ M. Weber, 116 R. J. G. V. Weelden, 93 A. Wegrzynek, 35 S. C. Wenzel, 35 J. P. Wessels, 146 J. Wiechula, 70 J. Wikne, 20 G. Wilk, 88 J. Wilkinson,¹¹⁰ G. A. Willems,¹⁴⁶ B. Windelband,¹⁰⁷ M. Winn,¹⁴⁰ W. E. Witt,¹³³ J. R. Wright,¹²¹ W. Wu,⁴¹ Y. Wu,¹³¹ R. Xu,⁷ A. K. Yadav,¹⁴³ S. Yalcin,⁷⁹ Y. Yamaguchi,⁴⁷ K. Yamakawa,⁴⁷ S. Yang,²¹ S. Yano,⁴⁷ Z. Yin,⁷ H. Yokoyama,⁶⁴ I.-K. Yoo,¹⁷ J. H. Yoon,⁶³ S. Yuan,²¹ A. Yuncu,¹⁰⁷ V. Zaccolo,^{24a,24b} C. Zampolli,³⁵ H. J. C. Zanoli,⁶⁴ N. Zardoshti,³⁵ A. Zarochentsev,¹¹⁵ P. Závada,⁶⁸ N. Zaviyalov,¹¹¹ M. Zhalov,¹⁰¹ B. Zhang,⁷ S. Zhang,⁴¹ X. Zhang,⁷ Y. Zhang,¹³¹ V. Zherebchevskii,¹¹⁵ Y. Zhi,¹¹ N. Zhigareva,⁹⁵ D. Zhou,⁷ Y. Zhou,⁹² J. Zhu,^{7,110} Y. Zhu,⁷ A. Zichichi,^{26a,26b} G. Zinovjev,³ and N. Zurlo^{59,142}

(A Large Ion Collider Experiment Collaboration)

¹A.I. Alikhanyan National Science Laboratory (Yerevan Physics Institute) Foundation, Yerevan $\frac{2\lambda CH}{\lambda}$ University of Science and Technolog, Krakow, Poland $A²$ AGH University of Science and Technolog, Krakow, Poland

252003-10

³Bogolyubov Institute for Theoretical Physics, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Kiev, Ukraine
^{4a}Bose Institute, Department of Physics, Kolkata, India
^{4b}Centre for Astroparticle Physics and Space Science (CAP 5 Budker Institute for Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk, Russia ⁶California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo, California, USA
⁷Central China Normal University, Wuhan, China 8 Central China Normal University, Wuhan, China 8 Centra de Anliagaignes Teanalógicas y Desenvollo Nuclear (CEAD) ⁸Centro de Aplicaciones Tecnológicas y Desarrollo Nuclear (CEADEN), Havana, Cuba⁹Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados (CINNESTAV), Maxios City and Márida. A "Centro de Investigación y de Estadios Avanzados (CINVESTAV), Mexico City and Mérida, Mexico (Computivante of Atomic Energy, Beijing, China

¹¹ China Institute of Atomic Energy, Beijing, China

¹¹ Comenius University $\begin{tabular}{c|c|c} \multicolumn{1}{c}{\textbf{``S}}{\textbf{``Dipartimeno}} & $\text{MFM} & \text{Science } \text{Al Tr}, \text{Unversical } \text{diag, } \text{H} \text{as} \text{and} \text{N} \text{as} \text{as} \text{and} \text{N} \text{and} \text{N} \text{and} \text{N} \text{and} \text{N} \text{and} \text{N} \text{and} \text{N$

252003-11

⁵²Indonesian Institute of Sciences, Jakarta, Indonesia
⁵³INFN, Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy
⁵⁴INFN, Sezione di Bari, Bari, Italy
⁵⁵INFN, Sezione di Bologna, Bologna, Italy 56INFN, Sezione di Cagliari, Cagliari, Italy ⁵⁷INFN, Sezione di Catania, Catania, Italy ⁵⁸INFN, Sezione di Padova, Padova, Italy ⁵⁹INFN, Sezione di Pavia, Pavia, Italy
⁶⁰INFN, Sezione di Roma, Rome, Italy ⁶¹INFN, Sezione di Torino, Turin, Italy
⁶²INFN, Sezione di Trieste, Trieste, Italy
⁶³Inha University, Incheon, Republic of Korea
⁶⁴Institute for Gravitational and Subatomic Physics (GRASP), Utrecht University/Nikh ⁷⁰ Institut für Kernphysik, Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität Frankfurt, Gernany

⁷⁹ Institute of Clericias Nucleares, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico

⁷¹ Institute de Clericias Nucleares, Universi ^{95}NRC «Kurchatov» Institute—ITEP, Moscow, Russia
⁹⁶NRNU Moscow Engineering Physics Institute, Moscow, Russia ⁹⁷Nuclear Physics Group, STFC Daresbury Laboratory, Daresbury, United Kingdom
⁹⁸Nuclear Physics Institute of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Řežu Prahy, Czech Republic
⁹⁹Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tenn ¹⁰⁵Physics Department, University of Rajasthan, Jammu, India
¹⁰⁶Physikalisches Institut, Eberhard-Karls-Universität Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany
¹⁰⁷Physikalisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heid GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany

¹¹¹Russian Federal Nuclear Center (VNIIEF), Darmstadt, Germany
¹¹²Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Homi Bhabha National Institute, Kolkata, India
¹¹³School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Birmingham, Birmi ¹²⁶University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa
¹²⁷University of Houston, Houston, Texas, USA
¹²⁸University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, Finland
¹²⁹University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas, USA
¹³⁰University of Li ¹³²University of South-Eastern Norway, Tonsberg, Norway ¹³³University of Tennessee, Tennessee, USA ¹³⁴University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa ¹³⁵University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan ¹³⁶University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Japan ¹³⁷Universit´e Clermont Auvergne, CNRS/IN2P3, LPC, Clermont-Ferrand, France ¹³⁸Universit´e de Lyon, CNRS/IN2P3, Institut de Physique des 2 Infinis de Lyon, Lyon, France ¹³⁹Universit´e de Strasbourg, CNRS, IPHC UMR 7178, F-67000 Strasbourg, France, Strasbourg, France ¹⁴⁰Universit´e Paris-Saclay Centre d'Etudes de Saclay (CEA), IRFU, D´epartment de Physique Nucl´eaire (DPhN), Saclay, France ¹⁴¹Universit `a degli Studi di Foggia, Foggia, Italy ¹⁴²Universit `a di Brescia, Brescia, Italy ¹⁴³Variable Energy Cyclotron Centre, Homi Bhabha National Institute, Kolkata, India ¹⁴⁴Warsaw University of Technology, Warsaw, Poland ¹⁴⁵Wayne State University, Michigan, USA ¹⁴⁶Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster, Institut für Kernphysik, Münster, Germany ¹⁴⁷Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary ¹⁴⁸Yale University, Connecticut, USA ¹⁴⁹Yonsei University, Seoul, Republic of Korea

[a](#page-8-3) Deceased.

^{[b](#page-8-3)}Also at Italian National Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Sustainable Economic Development (ENEA), Bologna, Italy.

[c](#page-8-4) Also at Dipartimento DET del Politecnico di Torino, Turin, Italy.

^{[d](#page-9-0)}Also at M.V. Lomonosov Moscow State University, D.V. Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear, Physics, Moscow, Russia.

[e](#page-9-1) Also at Department of Applied Physics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, India.

[f](#page-10-0) Also at Institute of Theoretical Physics, University of Wroclaw, Poland.