

Lagrangian reverse engineering for regular black holes

Bokulić, Ana; Franzin, Edgardo; Jurić, Tajron; Smolić, Ivica

Source / Izvornik: **Physics Letters B, 2024, 854**

Journal article, Published version

Rad u časopisu, Objavljena verzija rada (izdavačev PDF)

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2024.138750>

Permanent link / Trajna poveznica: <https://urn.nsk.hr/urn:nbn:hr:217:875976>

Rights / Prava: [Attribution 4.0 International](#)/[Imenovanje 4.0 međunarodna](#)

Download date / Datum preuzimanja: **2025-03-10**



Repository / Repozitorij:

[Repository of the Faculty of Science - University of Zagreb](#)





Letter

Lagrangian reverse engineering for regular black holes

Ana Bokulić^a, Edgardo Franzin^b, Tajron Jurić^c, Ivica Smolić^{a,*,*}^a Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, University of Zagreb, Bijenička cesta 32, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia^b Dipartimento di Fisica, "Sapienza" Università di Roma, piazzale Aldo Moro 5, 00185, Rome, Italy^c Rudjer Bošković Institute, Bijenička cesta 54, HR-10002 Zagreb, Croatia

ARTICLE INFO

Editor: R. Gregory

Keywords:

Nonlinear electromagnetic fields

Regular black holes

Magnetically charged black holes

ABSTRACT

Nonlinear extensions of classical Maxwell's electromagnetism are among the prominent candidates for theories admitting regular black hole solutions. A quest for such examples has been fruitful, but mostly unsystematic and littered by the introduction of physically unrealistic Lagrangians. We provide a procedure which admits the reconstruction of a nonlinear electromagnetic Lagrangian, consistent with the Euler–Heisenberg Lagrangian in the weak-field limit, from a given metric representing a regular, magnetically charged black hole.

1. Introduction

The ubiquitous presence of singular spacetimes in general relativity [1–5] is usually taken as a signal of a certain incompleteness of the theory. Although it is expected that singularities will be absent in the underlying quantum theory of gravitation or the corresponding effective field theory counterpart [6], there is an intriguing possibility that spacetime may be “regularized” at energies well below those at which quantum gravitational effects become prominent. This motive is one of the many threads in the extensive quest for regular black holes [7–11]. If we set aside invocation of novel fields and interactions, arguably the most conservative approach is to turn to modifications of the classical Maxwell's electromagnetism, coming either from low-energy effective quantum field theory [12], e.g. the Euler–Heisenberg electromagnetic Lagrangian [13], or theoretical constructions motivated by symmetry arguments, e.g. the Born–Infeld model [14,15] and the recently proposed ModMax theory [16]. Unfortunately, neither of these three theories leads to regular black hole solutions [17–21]. On the other hand, over the past several decades, we have witnessed a deluge of proposed electromagnetic theories [22–24], some of which apparently manage to de-singularize black holes. Nevertheless, all such proposals should be taken with a grain of salt and put under scrutiny, as most of them fail to meet some of the basic physical requirements which will be discussed below.

Let us consider nonlinear electromagnetic (NLE) theories based on a Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ which is a function of two electromagnetic invariants, $\mathcal{F} := F_{ab}F^{ab}$ and $\mathcal{G} := F_{ab}\star F^{ab}$. This is the most general

Lagrangian density constructed from the electromagnetic tensor F_{ab} , the spacetime metric g_{ab} and the Levi–Civita tensor ϵ_{abcd} , but it does not include theories with higher derivatives of F_{ab} or nonminimal coupling to gravitation. We sort NLE theories into a simpler, so-called \mathcal{F} -class for which $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F})$, and a more general $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}$ -class for which $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$. Furthermore, it is convenient also to introduce the quantity $\mathcal{H} := (\mathcal{F}^2 + \mathcal{G}^2)^{1/2}$. Special attention is dedicated to the properties of theories for weak fields, where we have abundance of experimental insights. We say that a NLE theory respects the Maxwell's weak field (MWF) limit if $\mathcal{L} = -\mathcal{F}/4 + o(\mathcal{H})$ or the QED weak field (QEDWF) limit if $\mathcal{L} = -\mathcal{F}/4 + \kappa(4\mathcal{F}^2 + 7\mathcal{G}^2) + o(\mathcal{H}^2)$, with $\kappa = \alpha^2/(360 m_e^4)$, α being the fine-structure constant and m_e the electron mass, in some neighbourhood of the origin of the \mathcal{F} - \mathcal{G} plane.

One of the central objectives in this subfield of research is to find a black hole spacetime, regular in some sense (e.g. having well-behaved curvature scalars and possibly being geodesically complete [25]), which is a solution of the Einstein–NLE field equations, with an NLE Lagrangian satisfying the QEDWF limit. In addition, one might ask from the proposed NLE theory a possibility of fitting (with a proper choice of free parameters) to even higher orders of quantum corrections in the weak field limit. Unfortunately, as far as we are aware of, this goal has not yet been reached, although there are some candidates which come pretty close to it.

The seminal paper which stirred the activity around NLE theories, the Ayón-Beato–García's solution [26], has a serious drawback as it tacitly uses different Lagrangians for different parts of the spacetime [27]. On the other hand, their NLE interpretation [28] of the Bardeen's met-

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: ismolic@phy.hr (I. Smolić).

ric [29] does not even satisfy the MWF limit. Similar objections may be addressed to numerous other examples of regular black holes with NLE fields that have appeared in the literature (e.g. some Lagrangians have a pole [30] or are not well-behaved [31] at the origin of the \mathcal{F} - \mathcal{G} plane, while others, even if they satisfy the MWF limit [32], fail at the QEDWF limit, etc.). An interesting $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}$ -class NLE theory, introduced by [33] and re-examined in [24] (note that $4F_{ab}F^{bc}F_{cd}F^{da} = 2\mathcal{F}^2 + \mathcal{G}^2$), admits a regular magnetic black hole solution, but it seems that the proper QEDWF limit is inconsistent with the condition for the existence of horizons.

An important stumbling block on this road was revealed by the Bronnikov's theorem [27], originally proven only for the \mathcal{F} -class theories but recently generalized [34] to $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}$ -class theories, which asserts that an *electrically charged* static, spherically symmetric black hole cannot have bounded curvature scalars at its centre, given that the NLE Lagrangian satisfies the MWF limit. On top of this, there is a series of additional theorems [34] (see also [35]) which constraint such an endeavour even in the presence of a magnetic charge.

A possible way forward is to start from a metric with desired properties and then find the corresponding NLE theory, a procedure which we refer to as “Lagrangian reverse engineering”. An effective approach in the case of \mathcal{F} -class theories was paved by Bronnikov [27,36] and rediscovered by Fan and Wang [32] (cf. objections in [37]). Still, it is not quite evident how to systematically achieve the goals that we have set above. The aim of this paper is to partially fill this gap by providing a procedure which works at least for magnetically charged black holes.

Conventions and notation. Apart from the notation introduced above, we use the abbreviations $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}} := \partial_{\mathcal{F}}\mathcal{L}$, $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G}} := \partial_{\mathcal{G}}\mathcal{L}$, etc. for partial derivatives of the Lagrangian density. Throughout the paper we use the standard Bachmann–Landau’s “big O ” and “small o ” notation. Also, for any $\sigma > 0$, we write $F(r) = O_{\infty}(r^{-\sigma})$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ if $F^{(k)}(r) = O(r^{-(\sigma+k)})$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$.

2. Static spherically symmetric spacetime with NLE fields

For simplicity, we shall focus on static, spherically symmetric black holes (cf. remarks in [38,39] and [40]), with the metric of the form

$$ds^2 = -f(r) dt^2 + \frac{1}{f(r)} dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2), \quad (1)$$

where

$$f(r) = 1 - \frac{2m(r)}{r}. \quad (2)$$

The regularity of the spacetime shall be analysed through three basic curvature invariants, i.e. the Ricci scalar $R := g^{ab}R_{ab}$, the “Ricci squared” $S := R_{ab}R^{ab}$, and the Kretschmann scalar $K := R_{abcd}R^{abcd}$, which for our metric read

$$\begin{aligned} R &= \frac{2}{r^3} (r^2 m')' \\ S &= \frac{2}{r^4} (4m'^2 + r^2 m''^2) \\ K &= \frac{4}{r^6} (4(3m^2 - 4rmm' + 2r^2 m'^2) + (4m - 4rm' + r^2 m'')r^2 m''). \end{aligned}$$

We assume that the electromagnetic field inherits spacetime symmetries [41] and the corresponding ansatz reads

$$\mathbf{F} = -E_r(r) dt \wedge dr + B_r(r) r^2 \sin^2\theta d\theta \wedge d\varphi. \quad (3)$$

From here, it is straightforward to evaluate the electromagnetic invariants,

$$\mathcal{F} = 2(B_r^2 - E_r^2), \quad \mathcal{G} = 4E_r B_r. \quad (4)$$

The generalized Maxwell’s equations (gMax) may be put in the form [34]

$$B_r = \frac{P}{r^2}, \quad \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}} E_r - \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G}} B_r = -\frac{Q}{4r^2}, \quad (5)$$

where P and Q are the magnetic and electric charges of the black hole. The assumed symmetries lead to the reduction of the Einstein’s field equations to the system of differential equations

$$-\frac{m'(r)}{r^2} = \mathcal{L} - \frac{QE_r}{r^2} \quad (6)$$

$$-\frac{m''(r)}{2r} = \mathcal{L} - \frac{4P^2}{r^4} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}} - \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G}} \mathcal{G}. \quad (7)$$

Have we proposed a theory with a given \mathcal{L} , the next step would be to find the “mass function” $m(r)$ and the electric field $E_r(r)$. However, we shall attack the problem from the opposite direction.

3. Reverse engineering

Assuming that one has chosen an appropriate mass function $m(r)$, with the corresponding regular curvature invariants, we may ask whether it is possible to find an NLE Lagrangian density \mathcal{L} (together with an electric field E_r), such that the field equations are satisfied and \mathcal{L} obeys the weak field limits defined above. In general, this is a quite formidable task and, despite being mathematically exact, reverse engineering will be usually fraught with ambiguities. Namely, one has aim to reconstruct a Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ on some domain of the \mathcal{F} - \mathcal{G} plane (if possible, on the whole plane). However, the invariants of the electromagnetic field which is a solution of the Einstein–gMax equations typically cover only some smaller subset of the \mathcal{F} - \mathcal{G} plane. Therefore, the strategy is either to (a) reconstruct \mathcal{L} as much as possible from one solution and then extrapolate the rest of the function (relying on experimental hints or some theoretical principles), or (b) reconstruct \mathcal{L} from two or more different classes of solutions. We shall follow the first option, with a couple of small tricks.

The first simplification is that we look at a special class of NLE Lagrangians of the form

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{F}) + \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{G}). \quad (8)$$

In order to satisfy the QEDWF limit, we further assume that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{F}) &= -\frac{1}{4} \mathcal{F} + 4\kappa \mathcal{F}^2 + o(\mathcal{F}^2) \text{ as } \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0 \text{ and} \\ \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{G}) &= 7\kappa \mathcal{G}^2 + o(\mathcal{G}^2) \text{ as } \mathcal{G} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we assume that $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{G}}(0) = 0$. The second simplification is that we focus on the special, purely magnetic case, with $Q = 0$ and $E_r = 0$. As an immediate consequence we have that $\mathcal{G} = 0$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{G}} = 0$, so that the gMax equation (5) is automatically satisfied and the Einstein’s field equations are reduced to

$$-\frac{m'(r)}{r^2} = \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{F}), \quad (9)$$

$$-\frac{m''(r)}{2r} = \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{F}) - \frac{4P^2}{r^4} \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{F}). \quad (10)$$

Now, as $\mathcal{F} = 2B_r^2$, that is $r = (2P^2/\mathcal{F})^{1/4}$, it follows that the on-shell substitution

$$\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{F}) = -\frac{m'(r)}{r^2} \Big|_{r=(2P^2/\mathcal{F})^{1/4}} \quad (11)$$

may recover the sought function \mathcal{J} . A nice feature of this procedure is that the other equation is automatically satisfied. In this way the problem is essentially reduced to the well-known reverse engineering for \mathcal{F} -class theories [27,32], but we shall upgrade it to meet more stringent demands that we have set from the beginning.

To summarize, we search for a function $m(r)$ such that

(a) the invariants R , S and K are bounded.

This may be achieved if, for example, there is a real constant M such that $m(r) = M + O_{\infty}(r^{-1})$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ and $m(r) = O(r^3)$, $m'(r) = O(r^2)$ and $m''(r) = O(r)$ as $r \rightarrow 0$.

(b) The substitution (11) generates a Lagrangian density with the proper QEDWF limit.

As $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F} = 0$, this condition may be achieved if

$$\frac{m'(r)}{r^2} = \frac{\beta_1}{r^4} + \frac{\beta_2}{r^8} + o(r^{-8}) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty$$

with some appropriate real constants β_1 and β_2 .

(c) The solution represents a black hole spacetime.

The existence of a black hole horizon follows if $f(r)$ has at least one zero on $\langle 0, +\infty \rangle$.

In addition, given that the metric asymptotically approaches the magnetic Reissner–Nordström metric,

$$f(r) = 1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{P^2}{r^2} + o(r^{-2}) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty, \quad (12)$$

we may identify M and P as the black hole mass and magnetic charge, respectively. Note that, strictly speaking, as $\mathcal{F} > 0$ by construction in the magnetic case, this procedure allows us to reconstruct $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{F})$ only for positive \mathcal{F} , but we shall propose a “natural” extension of the function \mathcal{J} .

4. Rational solution

It is expected that the problem defined above has infinite, albeit nontrivial solutions. We choose our candidate out of an arguably simpler family, a rational function

$$2m(r) = \frac{2M - P^2 r^{-1} + s r^{-5}}{1 + b r^{-8}}, \quad (13)$$

with some positive parameters s and b . Note that this ansatz has been built around the classical magnetic Reissner–Nordström solution, $2m_{\text{RN}}(r) = 2M - P^2/r$, which was then expanded by adding carefully chosen powers of the radius in the numerator and denominator. First of all, we have $f(r) = 1 - 2M/r + P^2/r^2 + O(r^{-6})$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ and the corresponding invariants are well behaved at the centre,¹

$$R = \frac{12s}{b} + O(r^4), \quad S = \frac{36s^2}{b^2} + O(r^4), \quad K = \frac{24s^2}{b^2} + O(r^4) \quad (14)$$

as $r \rightarrow 0$. In addition, all three invariants are bounded for $r \in [0, \infty)$ and fall-off as $O(r^{-6})$ or faster at infinity. The Reissner–Nordström limit $(s, b) \rightarrow (0, 0)$ brings us back to $R = 0$ and unbounded $S = O(r^{-8})$ and $K = O(r^{-8})$ as $r \rightarrow 0$, but this limit may not be applied directly to the Taylor series above (just as e.g. the limit $b \rightarrow 0$ applied to the Taylor series of the function $\psi(r) = 1/(r+b)$ around $r=0$ does not lead to the associated Laurent series $1/r$).

Black hole horizons appear at roots of the polynomial equation $f(r) = 0$, that is

$$h(r) = r^8 - 2Mr^7 + P^2 r^6 - sr^2 + b = 0. \quad (15)$$

First, note that $h(0) = b > 0$. Furthermore, a convenient way to write the polynomial h is

$$h(r) = r^6(r - r_-)(r - r_+) + b(1 - (r/r_0)^2) \quad (16)$$

with $r_{\pm} = M \pm \sqrt{M^2 - P^2}$ and $r_0 = \sqrt{b/s}$. Assuming that $M > P$ and $r_0 < r_+$, the intersection $V := (\langle r_-, r_+ \rangle \cap \langle r_0, +\infty \rangle) \subseteq \langle 0, +\infty \rangle$ is nonempty and for any $r \in V$ we have $h(r) < 0$. Thus, by the intermediate value theorem, the polynomial h has (at least) one zero on $\langle 0, +\infty \rangle$, implying that (at least) one black hole horizon exists. In addition, as $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} h(r) = +\infty$, we know that at least one more zero of h (thus, one more horizon) exists.

¹ We refrain from writing out the complete expressions for R , S and K ; we only note that they are rational functions with a denominator of the form $(r^8 + b)^n$, where $n = 3$ for R and $n = 6$ for S and K .

The thermodynamic properties of rotating black holes with NLE fields in $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}$ -class theory were analysed in [42] in complete generality, via covariant phase space formalism (the generalized Smarr formula was proven earlier in [43]) and we shall not repeat the straightforward application of these formulas to this particular case.

Let us now turn to the central problem, i.e. the reconstruction of the Lagrangian. Taking the Taylor series with remainder of Eq. (11), we have

$$\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{F}) = -\frac{1}{4}\mathcal{F} + \frac{5s}{8P^4}\mathcal{F}^2 + O(\mathcal{F}^{11/4}) \quad (17)$$

as $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0^+$. Thus, the QEDWF limit will be satisfied by taking $s = 32\kappa P^4/5$. It is convenient to write the other parameter as $b = \kappa \ell^2 P^4$, where ℓ is some dimensional constant with dimension of length. This leads us to the final form of the function \mathcal{J} ,

$$\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{F}) = -\frac{1}{5(4 + \kappa(\ell\mathcal{F})^2)^2} (20\mathcal{F} - 320\kappa\mathcal{F}^2 + \gamma\mathcal{F}^{11/4} - 35\kappa\ell^2\mathcal{F}^3 + 48\kappa^2\ell^2\mathcal{F}^4), \quad (18)$$

with $\gamma = 80\sqrt[4]{2} \cdot \kappa \ell^2 M P^{-3/2}$. Now that the \mathcal{F} -dependent part of the NLE Lagrangian has been reconstructed, one should “read everything backwards” and look at the Lagrangian parameters (κ , γ and ℓ in Eq. (18)) as our starting point, part of the definition of the theory. Here we notice that the mass M and the magnetic charge P are *not independent* in this theory, a feature known in many other NLE theories² [24]. This fact can be interpreted at least in two ways, either (a) the mass M is completely of electromagnetic origin, given by $M = \gamma P^{3/2}/(80\sqrt[4]{2} \cdot \kappa \ell^2)$, or (b) the allowed magnetic charge is given by $P^{3/2} = 80\sqrt[4]{2} \cdot \kappa \ell^2 M/\gamma$. An obstacle for the extension of the Lagrangian to negative values of \mathcal{F} appears with the noninteger power in the $\mathcal{F}^{11/4}$ term, but may be resolved if we replace $\mathcal{F}^{11/4}$ with $\text{sgn}(\mathcal{F})|\mathcal{F}|^{11/4}$. In this way the function \mathcal{J} will be at least of class C^2 on the domain $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{R}$.

It is known that for NLE [22,42] the null energy condition (NEC) holds iff $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}} \leq 0$, while the dominant energy condition (DEC) holds iff $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}} \leq 0$ and $g^{ab}T_{ab} \leq 0$. As in our theory, for this particular metric, we have $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}} = -7r^8/(4b) + O(r^9)$ and $g^{ab}T_{ab} = -48/(5\pi\ell^2) + O(r^4)$ as $r \rightarrow 0$, we know that NEC and DEC hold at least in some neighbourhood of the centre $r = 0$ (cf. remarks in [46,47]).

Now, the important question is whether this metric ansatz may be extended in order to admit fitting of the associated function \mathcal{J} to even higher orders of some established corrections to Maxwell’s electromagnetic Lagrangian. We note that the generalized function

$$2m(r) = \frac{2M - P^2 r^{-1} + s_1 r^{-5} + \dots + s_k r^{-(4k+1)}}{1 + b r^{-4(k+1)}} \quad (19)$$

with real parameters (s_1, \dots, s_k, b) asymptotically behaves as the magnetic Reissner–Nordström black hole and has regular invariants at the centre,

$$R = \frac{12s_k}{b} + O(r^4), \quad S = \frac{36s_k^2}{b^2} + O(r^4), \quad K = \frac{24s_k^2}{b^2} + O(r^4) \quad (20)$$

as $r \rightarrow 0$, given that $b \neq 0$. A technical obstacle in the analysis of the horizon structure for this metric is that we have to deal with a polynomial equation of high degree. Furthermore, the ansatz (19) leads to a Lagrangian function \mathcal{J} , with the convenient form

$$\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{F}) = -\frac{1}{4}\mathcal{F} + \frac{5s_1}{8P^4}\mathcal{F}^2 + \dots + \frac{(4k+1)s_k}{2^{k+2}P^{2k+2}}\mathcal{F}^{k+1} + O(\mathcal{F}^{(4k+7)/4}) \quad (21)$$

as $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0$. The formal extension of the function \mathcal{J} to negative values of \mathcal{F} may be performed as above.

² We note in passing that mass-charge dependence is absent in somewhat different approach to regular black holes with electromagnetic field nonminimally coupled to gravity [44,45].

As was stressed from the beginning, our construction rests upon the simplification that the black hole is purely magnetically charged, which “hides” any \mathcal{G} -dependent terms in the Lagrangian. A next step would be the generalization of the NLE Lagrangian reconstruction from dyonic regular black holes. Noting that $\mathcal{F}^2 + \mathcal{G}^2 = 4(E_r^2 + B_r^2)^2$ and $\mathcal{F} + \sqrt{\mathcal{F}^2 + \mathcal{G}^2} = 4P^2/r^4$, a possible Lagrangian candidate could be read off from one of the Einstein’s field equations,

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) = \frac{QE_r - m'(r)}{r^2} \Big|_* \quad (22)$$

where $*$ stands for the substitution $r = (4P^2/(\mathcal{F} + \sqrt{\mathcal{F}^2 + \mathcal{G}^2}))^{1/4}$ and $E_r = \mathcal{G}r^2/4P$. However, this equality holds only on-shell, for the particular solution, and there is no *a priori* guarantee that this procedure reconstructs the *general* corresponding Lagrangian. Also, a highly non-trivial consistency check is that the rest of the field equations are satisfied with such an ansatz (which is not automatic as in the purely magnetic case). We leave the investigation of this question for future work.

5. Final remarks

We have outlined a programme focused on the quest for more realistic models of regular classical black holes, which do not invoke novel fields or extensions of general relativity, but are based upon nonlinear electromagnetic fields which agree with the weak field limits of quantum corrections to Maxwell’s electromagnetic Lagrangian. Due to no-go theorems [27,34], the “price” to be paid here is the introduction of a magnetic charge and the crucial question is whether a small amount (consistent with astrophysical constraints) might be sufficient to “desingularize” black holes. Resting upon the elements of previously used reverse engineering procedures, our model establishes a first step in this quest. The next, important one would be the generalization to static regular dyonic black holes and then, even more ambitious, the generalization to rotating regular black holes (see [48] for an attempt to construct a regular rotating black hole with \mathcal{F} -class NLE Lagrangian, alas without a functional form of the Lagrangian, and criticism of Newman–Janis algorithm in [49]). Finally, given that we have an example of rotating regular black hole, with an NLE Lagrangian passing all the criteria set above, one has to analyse its stability [50] and questions about “mass inflation” at the inner horizon [51,52] in order to corroborate the inclusion of such solution among physically realistic models.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Data availability

No data was used for the research described in the article.

Acknowledgements

Research of A.B., T.J. and I.S. was supported by the Croatian Science Foundation Project No. IP-2020-02-9614. E.F. acknowledges funding support from the MIUR PRIN (Grant 2020KR4KN2 “String Theory as a bridge between Gauge Theories and Quantum Gravity”), FARE (GW-NEXT, CUP: B84I20000100001, 2020KR4KN2) programmes, and from EU Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie Grant Agreement no. 101007855.

References

[1] S.W. Hawking, R. Penrose, The singularities of gravitational collapse and cosmology, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* 314 (1970) 529–548.

[2] R. Penrose, Gravitational collapse and space-time singularities, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 14 (1965) 57.

[3] S.W. Hawking, Singularities in the Universe, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 17 (1966) 444.

[4] G.F.R. Ellis, B.G. Schmidt, Singular space-times, *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* 8 (1977) 915–953.

[5] R. Geroch, What is a singularity in general relativity?, *Ann. Phys.* 48 (1968) 526–540.

[6] B. Knorr, A. Platania, Sifting quantum black holes through the principle of least action, *Phys. Rev. D* 106 (2) (2022) L021901.

[7] A. Colléaux, S. Chinaglia, S. Zerbini, Nonpolynomial Lagrangian approach to regular black holes, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* 27 (03) (2018) 1830002.

[8] L. Sebastiani, S. Zerbini, Some remarks on non-singular spherically symmetric space-times, *Astronomy* 1 (2) (2022) 99–125.

[9] H. Maeda, Quest for realistic non-singular black-hole geometries: regular-center type, *J. High Energy Phys.* 11 (2022) 108.

[10] C. Lan, H. Yang, Y. Guo, Y.-G. Miao, Regular black holes: a short topic review, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* 62 (9) (2023) 202.

[11] A. Biasi, O. Evnin, S. Sypas, de Sitter bubbles from anti-de Sitter fluctuations, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 129 (25) (2022) 251104.

[12] G.V. Dunne, Heisenberg–Euler Effective Lagrangians: Basics and Extensions, *World Scientific*, 2004, pp. 445–522.

[13] W. Heisenberg, H. Euler, Folgerungen aus der Diracschen Theorie des Positrons, *Z. Phys.* 98 (1936) 714–732.

[14] M. Born, On the quantum theory of the electromagnetic field, *Proc. R. Soc. A* 143 (1934) 410–437.

[15] M. Born, L. Infeld, Foundations of the new field theory, *Proc. R. Soc. A* 144 (1934) 425–451.

[16] I. Bandos, K. Lechner, D. Sorokin, P.K. Townsend, A non-linear duality-invariant conformal extension of Maxwell’s equations, *Phys. Rev. D* 102 (2020) 121703.

[17] D.A. García, I.H. Salazar, J.F. Plebański, Type-D solutions of the Einstein and Born–Infeld nonlinear-electrodynamics equations, *Nuovo Cimento B Serie* 84 (1984) 65–90.

[18] I.H. Salazar, A. García, J. Plebański, Duality rotations and type D solutions to Einstein equations with nonlinear electromagnetic sources, *J. Math. Phys.* 28 (1987) 2171–2181.

[19] H. Yajima, T. Tamaki, Black hole solutions in Euler–Heisenberg theory, *Phys. Rev. D* 63 (2001) 064007.

[20] R. Ruffini, Y.-B. Wu, S.-S. Xue, Einstein–Euler–Heisenberg theory and charged black holes, *Phys. Rev. D* 88 (2013) 085004.

[21] D. Flores-Alfonso, B.A. González-Morales, R. Linares, M. Maceda, Black holes and gravitational waves sourced by non-linear duality rotation-invariant conformal electromagnetic matter, *Phys. Lett. B* 812 (2021) 136011.

[22] J. Plebański, *Lectures on Non-linear Electrodynamics*, Nordita, 1970.

[23] D.P. Sorokin, Introductory notes on non-linear electrodynamics and its applications, *Fortschr. Phys.* 70 (7–8) (2022) 2200092.

[24] K.A. Bronnikov, Regular black holes sourced by nonlinear electrodynamics, 2022.

[25] R. Carballo-Rubio, F. Di Filippo, S. Liberati, M. Visser, Geodesically complete black holes, *Phys. Rev. D* 101 (2020) 084047.

[26] E. Ayón-Beato, A. García, Regular black hole in general relativity coupled to nonlinear electrodynamics, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 80 (1998) 5056–5059.

[27] K.A. Bronnikov, Regular magnetic black holes and monopoles from nonlinear electrodynamics, *Phys. Rev. D* 63 (2001) 044005.

[28] E. Ayón-Beato, A. García, The Bardeen model as a nonlinear magnetic monopole, *Phys. Lett. B* 493 (2000) 149–152.

[29] J.M. Bardeen, Non-singular general relativistic gravitational collapse, in: *Proceeding of the International Conference GR5, Tbilisi, Georgia, 1968*, pp. 174–175.

[30] S.I. Kruglov, A model of nonlinear electrodynamics, *Ann. Phys.* 353 (2014) 299–306.

[31] S.I. Kruglov, Magnetic black holes with generalized ModMax model of nonlinear electrodynamics, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* 31 (04) (2022) 2250025.

[32] Z.-Y. Fan, X. Wang, Construction of regular black holes in general relativity, *Phys. Rev. D* 94 (12) (2016) 124027.

[33] I.P. Denisova, B.D. Garmaev, V.A. Sokolov, Compact objects in conformal nonlinear electrodynamics, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 79 (6) (2019) 531.

[34] A. Bokulić, I. Smolić, T. Jurić, Constraints on singularity resolution by nonlinear electrodynamics, *Phys. Rev. D* 106 (6) (2022) 064020.

[35] R. Tsuda, R. Suzuki, S. Tomizawa, Existence conditions of nonsingular dyonic black holes in nonlinear electrodynamics, 2023.

[36] K.A. Bronnikov, Dyonic configurations in nonlinear electrodynamics coupled to general relativity, *Gravit. Cosmol.* 23 (4) (2017) 343–348.

[37] K.A. Bronnikov, Comment on “Construction of regular black holes in general relativity”, *Phys. Rev. D* 96 (12) (2017) 128501.

[38] R. Pellicer, R.J. Torrence, Nonlinear electrodynamics and general relativity, *J. Math. Phys.* 10 (1969) 1718–1723.

[39] J. Diaz-Alonso, D. Rubiera-García, Electrostatic spherically symmetric configurations in gravitating nonlinear electrodynamics, *Phys. Rev. D* 81 (2010) 064021.

[40] A. Bokulić, I. Smolić, Generalizations and challenges for the spacetime block-diagonalization, *Class. Quantum Gravity* 40 (16) (2023) 165010.

[41] I. Barjašić, L. Gulin, I. Smolić, Nonlinear electromagnetic fields and symmetries, *Phys. Rev. D* 95 (12) (2017) 124037.

[42] A. Bokulić, T. Jurić, I. Smolić, Black hole thermodynamics in the presence of nonlinear electromagnetic fields, *Phys. Rev. D* 103 (12) (2021) 124059.

- [43] L. Gulin, I. Smolić, Generalizations of the Smarr formula for black holes with nonlinear electromagnetic fields, *Class. Quantum Gravity* 35 (2) (2018) 025015.
- [44] P.A. Cano, Á. Murcia, Electromagnetic quasitopological gravities, *J. High Energy Phys.* 10 (2020) 125.
- [45] P.A. Cano, Á. Murcia, Resolution of Reissner-Nordström singularities by higher-derivative corrections, *Class. Quantum Gravity* 38 (7) (2021) 075014.
- [46] L. Balart, E.C. Vagenas, Regular black holes with a nonlinear electrodynamics source, *Phys. Rev. D* 90 (12) (2014) 124045.
- [47] L. Balart, E.C. Vagenas, Regular black hole metrics and the weak energy condition, *Phys. Lett. B* 730 (2014) 14–17.
- [48] B. Toshmatov, Z. Stuchlík, B. Ahmedov, Generic rotating regular black holes in general relativity coupled to nonlinear electrodynamics, *Phys. Rev. D* 9 (8) (2017) 084037.
- [49] D. Kubizňák, T. Tahamtan, O. Svittek, Slowly rotating black holes in nonlinear electrodynamics, *Phys. Rev. D* 105 (10) (2022) 104064.
- [50] K. Nomura, D. Yoshida, J. Soda, Stability of magnetic black holes in general nonlinear electrodynamics, *Phys. Rev. D* 101 (12) (2020) 124026.
- [51] R. Carballo-Rubio, F. Di Filippo, S. Liberati, C. Pacilio, M. Visser, Regular black holes without mass inflation instability, *J. High Energy Phys.* 09 (2022) 118.
- [52] E. Franzin, S. Liberati, J. Mazza, V. Vellucci, Stable rotating regular black holes, *Phys. Rev. D* 106 (10) (2022) 104060.