

Izolacija i karakterizacija mikrosatelitnih biljega ljekovite kadulje (*Salvia officinalis* L., Lamiaceae)

Radosavljević, Ivan

Doctoral thesis / Disertacija

2012

Degree Grantor / Ustanova koja je dodijelila akademski / stručni stupanj: **University of Zagreb, Faculty of Science / Sveučilište u Zagrebu, Prirodoslovno-matematički fakultet**

Permanent link / Trajna poveznica: <https://um.nsk.hr/um:nbn:hr:217:897040>

Rights / Prava: [In copyright](#)/[Zaštićeno autorskim pravom.](#)

Download date / Datum preuzimanja: **2025-02-03**



Repository / Repozitorij:

[Repository of the Faculty of Science - University of Zagreb](#)





SVEUČILIŠTE U ZAGREBU
PRIRODOSLOVNO-MATEMATIČKI FAKULTET
BIOLOŠKI ODSJEK

Ivan Radosavljević

**IZOLACIJA I KARAKTERIZACIJA
MIKROSATELITNIH BILJEGA LJEKOVITE
KADULJE (*Salvia officinalis* L.,
LAMIACEAE)**

DOKTORSKI RAD

Zagreb, 2012.



UNIVERSITY OF ZAGREB
FACULTY OF SCIENCE
DIVISION OF BIOLOGY

Ivan Radosavljević

**ISOLATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF
MICROSATELLITE MARKERS IN COMMON
SAGE (*Salvia officinalis* L., LAMIACEAE)**

DOCTORAL THESIS

Zagreb, 2012.

Ovaj je doktorski rad izrađen u Botaničkom zavodu Biološkog odsjeka Prirodoslovno-matematičkog fakulteta Sveučilišta u Zagrebu, pod vodstvom prof.dr.sc. Zlatka Libera. Istraživanja su provedena u sklopu znanstvenog projekta "Filogenija i genetska raznolikost endemičnih biljaka dinarsko-jadranskog krša" (šifra projekta: 119-1191193-1232), financiranog od strane Ministarstva znanosti i športa Republike Hrvatske, a u sklopu Sveučilišnog poslijediplomskog doktorskog studija Biologije pri Biološkom odsjeku Prirodoslovno-matematičkog fakulteta Sveučilišta u Zagrebu. Dio istraživanja je sufinanciran od strane projekata "Genetic Structure of Dalmatian Sage (*Salvia officinalis* L.) Populations: A Model for a Collaborative Research on MAP Genetic Resources (SEEDNet)", "Bioraznolikost ljekovitog i aromatičnog bilja (šifra projekta: 178-1191193-0212, MZOŠ)", "Kmetijske rastline – genetika in sodobne tehnologije " (šifra projekta: P4 – 0077, Ministrstvo za visoko šolstvo, znanost in tehnologijo, Republika Slovenija).

Sveučilište u Zagrebu
Prirodoslovno-matematički fakultet
Biološki odsjek, Botanički zavod

Doktorska disertacija

Izolacija i karakterizacija mikrosatelitnih biljega ljekovite kadulje (*Salvia officinalis* L.;
Lamiaceae)

Ivan Radosavljević

Botanički zavod, Biološki odsjek, Prirodoslovno-matematički fakultet,
Marulićev trg 9A, Zagreb, Hrvatska

Cilj ove disertacije bio je razvijanje i primjena mikrosatelitnih biljega na populacijama ljekovite kadulje (*Salvia officinalis* L.). Isto tako nastojalo se primijeniti razvijene biljege na drugim vrstama roda *Salvia* L. te vrsti *Rosmarinus officinalis* L.. Razvijeno je ukupno 29 biljega, a primjenom osam najinformativnijih provedena je populacijsko-genetička analiza 45 populacija ljekovite kadulje s Balkanskog poluotoka. Srodstveni odnosi između većine populacija bili su korelirani s njihovom geografskom udaljenošću. Izuzetak je pet populacija za koje se pretpostavlja da nisu prirodne nego potječu iz uzgoja. Prema alelnom bogatstvu središte genetičke raznolikosti ljekovite kadulje nalazi se u Srednjoj i Južnoj Dalmaciji. Primjena mikrosatelitnih biljega ljekovite kadulje na kratkozupčastoj kadulji (*S. brachyodon* Vandas), otkrila je prolaz populacije s poluotoka Pelješca kroz genetsko usko grlo. Dobiveni rezultat naglašava potrebu preispitivanja statusa ugroženosti ove endemične vrste. Mikrosatelitna analiza ljekovite i grčke kadulje na otoku Visu (*S. fruticosa* Mill.) potvrdila je postojanje hibridne svojte *S. x auriculata* Mill..

(51 stranica, 177 literaturnih navoda, jezik izvornika: hrvatski)

Rad je pohranjen u Središnjoj biološkoj knjižnici Prirodoslovno-matematičkog fakulteta Sveučilišta u Zagrebu, Trg Marka Marulića 20/II, 10000 Zagreb

Ključne riječi: mikrosateliti, ljekovita kadulja, cross-amplifikacija, populacijska genetika

Mentor: Zlatko Liber, prof.dr.sc.

Ocjenjivači: Zlatko Šatović, prof.dr.sc.

Zlatko Liber, prof.dr.sc.

Jernej Jakše, doc.dr.sc.

BASIC DOCUMENTATION CARD

University of Zagreb
Faculty of Science
Division of Biology

Doctoral thesis

Isolation and characterization of microsatellite markers in common sage
(*Salvia officinalis* L.; Lamiaceae)

Ivan Radosavljević

Department of Botany, Faculty of Science,
Marulićev trg 9A, Zagreb, Croatia

The goal of this dissertation was development and application of microsatellite markers on common sage populations, as well as their application on other sage species and *Rosmarinus officinalis* L. Overall, 29 markers were developed, and by using eight of highest informativity, population genetic analysis of 45 common sage populations from Balkan peninsula was performed. Relationships between most of populations were corelated with their geographical distribution, with exception of 5 populations which are believed to originate from cultivation. According to allelic richness, center of common sage genetic diversity is located in Central and Southern Dalmatia. Application of microsatellite markers from common sage on *Salvia brachyodon* Vandas revealed a recent bottleneck event in population from Pelješac peninsula, thus stressing the need for redefining of conservation status of this endemic species. Microsatellite analysis of common and greek sage (*S. fruticosa* Mill.) from Island of Vis confirmed presence of lineage of hybrid origin, *S x auriculata* Mill..

(51 pages, 177 references, original in Croatian)

Thesis deposited in Central biological library, Department of Biology, Faculty of Science,
University of Zagreb – Trg Marka Marulića 20/II, HR-10000 Zagreb, Croatia

Key words: mikrosatellites, common sage, cross-amplification, population genetics

Supervisor: Zlatko Liber, associate professor

Reviewers: Zlatko Šatović, professor

Zlatko Liber, associate professor

Jernej Jakše, assistant professor

SADRŽAJ

| | |
|--|----|
| 1. UVOD..... | 1 |
| 1.1. Genetički biljezi | 2 |
| 1.2. Mikrosateliti kao genetički biljezi..... | 5 |
| 1.2.1. Mikrosateliti – karakteristike i primjena..... | 5 |
| 1.2.2. Evolucija mikrosatelita | 6 |
| 1.2.3. Izolacija mikrosatelita | 7 |
| 1.2.3.1. Izolacija mikrosatelita posredstvom obogaćenih knjižnica..... | 7 |
| 1.2.3.2. RAMP metoda izolacija mikrosatelita | 8 |
| 1.2.3.3. RAHM metoda izolacije mikrosatelita | 8 |
| 1.2.3.4. FIASCO metoda izolacije mikrosatelita | 8 |
| 1.2.3.5. Pirosekvenciranje | 9 |
| 1.2.3.6. Izolacija mikrosatelita iz EST regija i njihove značajke..... | 9 |
| 1.2.5. Ograničenja i poteškoće u primjeni mikrosatelitnih biljega | 10 |
| 1.2.5.1. Specifičnost mikrosatelitnih biljega i unakrsno umnožavanje | 11 |
| 1.2.5.2. Nul-aleli | 12 |
| 1.2.5.3. Problem velikih genoma | 13 |
| 1.2.5.4. Mutacijski modeli mikrosatelitnih lokusa..... | 14 |
| 1.3. Populacijska genetika..... | 15 |
| 1.3.1. Genetička raznolikost i srodstveni odnosi | 15 |
| 1.3.2. Genetičko usko grlo i učinak osnivača | 16 |
| 1.3.3. Hibridizacija i specijacija..... | 17 |
| 1.3.4. Klonalnost | 19 |
| 1.4. Kadulja (<i>Salvia</i> L.)..... | 21 |
| 1.4.1. <i>Salvia officinalis</i> L. – ljekovita kadulja | 21 |
| 1.4.2. <i>Salvia fruticosa</i> Mill. – grčka kadulja | 22 |
| 1.4.3. <i>Salvia brachyodon</i> Vandas – kratkozupčasta kadulja | 23 |
| 1.5. Ciljevi istraživanja | 25 |
| 2. RASPRAVA | 26 |
| 2.1. Detekcija i razvoj mikrosatelitnih biljega vrste <i>S. officinalis</i> L. posredstvom obogaćenih knjižnica..... | 27 |
| 2.1.1. Karakterizacija mikrosatelitnih lokusa..... | 28 |

| | |
|--|----|
| 2.2. Genetička raznolikost i srodstveni odnosi unutar vrste <i>S. officinalis</i> L..... | 29 |
| 2.3. Testiranje i primjena razvijenih početnica na srodnim vrstama; unakrsno umnožavanje | 30 |
| 2.3.1. Studija slučaja grčke kadulje (<i>Salvia fruticosa</i> Mill.) | 30 |
| 2.3.2. Studija slučaja kratkozupčaste kadulje (<i>Salvia brachyodon</i> Vandas) | 31 |
| 2.4. Populacijsko-genetička istraživanja vrsta <i>S. officinalis</i> L. i <i>S. fruticosa</i> Mill. na otoku Visu | 32 |
| 2.4.1. Genetička struktura populacije <i>S. fruticosa</i> Mill. Na otoku Visu | 32 |
| 2.4.2. Hibridizacija vrsta <i>S. officinalis</i> L. i <i>S. fruticosa</i> Mill. na otoku Visu | 33 |
| 2.5. Kratkozupčasta kadulja (<i>Salvia brachyodon</i> Vandas) - genetičko usko grlo, klonalnost i konzervacijske implikacije | 34 |
| 3. ZAKLJUČAK | 36 |
| 4. LITERATURA..... | 38 |

PRILOG 1.

**Genetic Structure of Dalmatian Sage (*Salvia officinalis* L.) Populations:
A Model for a Collaborative Research on MAP Genetic Resources**

- Project Report -



SEEDNet (South East European Development Network on Plant Genetic Resources)

In order to ensure a long-term conservation of its valuable plant genetic resources and promote for a sustainable utilisation a number of national institutions in the region establish SEEDNet (South East European Development Network on Plant Genetic Resources) in 2004 in order to strengthen the national efforts. The main objective of SEEDNet is long-term conservation and sustainable utilisation of the diversity of PGR within the region through a well co-ordinated network of functional national programmes. The network activities comprise ex and in situ conservation, utilisation of PGR, and institution and capacity building. SEEDNet operates through six crop oriented and one thematic regional working groups. All activities of the network are planned and supervised by the Regional Steering Committee. The network is financially supported by the Swedish International Development Agency (Sida). The Swedish Biodiversity Centre (CBM), Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences provides the secretariat and coordination for SEEDNet.

The SEEDNet Medicinal and Aromatic Plants (MAP) Working Group

The SEEDNet MAP WG has 12 members appointed by the SEEDNet partner institutions. The chair is Zora Dajić, Serbia.

Project title:

Genetic Structure of Dalmatian Sage (*Salvia officinalis* L.) Populations: A Model for a Collaborative Research on MAP Genetic Resources

Project coordinator:

Zlatko Šatović, University of Zagreb, Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Seed Science and Technology, University of Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia (zsatovic@agr.hr)

Project partners:

1. Alban Ibraliu, Agricultural University of Tirana, Tirana, Albania (alzhama@yahoo.com)
2. Senad Murtić, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Sarajevo, Sarajevo, BiH-FBiH (murtics@hotmail.com)
3. Dragana Pećanac, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Banja Luka, Banja Luka, BiH-RS (dragoljupce1@yahoo.com)
4. Kana Varbanova, Institute of Plant Genetic Resources (IPGR), Sadovo, Bulgaria (varbanovak@abv.bg)
5. Zlatko Šatović, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia (zsatovic@agr.hr)
6. Elez Krasniqi, Faculty of Science, University of Pristina, Pristina, Kosovo (e.krasniqi@yahoo.com; elez_krasniqi@yahoo.com)
7. Gjoshe Stefkov, Faculty of Pharmacy, Skopje, Macedonia (gstefkov@yahoo.com)
8. Maria Goncariuc, Institute of Genetics and Plant Physiology, Academy of Sciences of Moldova, Chisinau, Moldova (mgoncariuc@yahoo.com; mgoncariuc@mail.md)
9. Danijela Stešević, Faculty of Sciences, University of Montenegro, Podgorica, Montenegro (denist@t-com.me)
10. Diana Batir Rusu, National Genebank, Suceava, Romania (dia_sv@yahoo.com)
11. Dea Baričević, Biotechnical Faculty, Ljubljana, Slovenia (dea.baricevic@bf.uni-lj.si)
12. Zora Dajić, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Belgrade, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia (dajic@agrif.bg.ac.rs)

Project duration:

01/05/2009 - 31/12/2010

Genetic Structure of Dalmatian Sage (*Salvia officinalis* L.) Populations: A Model for a Collaborative Research on MAP Genetic Resources

Summary

Biochemical analysis of essential oils and DNA fingerprinting via microsatellite markers were utilized to define the extent of diversity existing in Dalmatian sage (*Salvia officinalis* L.) populations in SEEDNet partner countries (Albania, BiH-FBiH, BiH-RS, Croatia, Bulgaria, Kosovo, Macedonia, Moldova, Montenegro, Romania, Slovenia and Serbia). Ecogeographical surveys and collecting of Dalmatian sage populations have been carried out in order to collect leaf material to be used in the analyses of essential oil composition as well as microsatellite marker diversity. Seed samples of each population were collected and stored in national collections/gene banks.

Biochemical analysis of 46 populations included the essential oil extraction and the assessment of essential oil content and composition by Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC/MS). A total of 81 volatiles were identified as constituents of investigated essential oils. The 12 main constituents representing more than 10% of the total oil content in at least a single population were: camphene, β -pinene, 1,8-cineole, trans-sabinene hydrate, cis-thujone, trans-thujone, camphor, borneol, trans-caryophyllene, α -humulene, viridiflorol and manool. Considerable variation among populations was found for the composition of the essential oils. By using multivariate analyses the populations were classified into nine main chemotypes.

A total of 45 populations represented by 20 to 25 plants were included in genetic analysis by eight microsatellite markers revealing a total of 186 alleles. The allelic richness per population ranged from 2.7 to 10.4, with a mean value of 7.3. Unrooted Neighbor-joining tree based on Cavalli-Sforza's chord distance showed that the most of the populations grouped together in accordance with geographical position of their collecting sites, from Slovenia in the North-West of the Region to Macedonia in the South-East, with the exception of five populations (two from Kosovo, one from Serbia, two from Romania, and two from Moldova) that grouped separately from the rest. Having in mind that these seven samples also had a considerable lower allelic richness in comparison to the rest, it is plausible that these samples represent cultivated material (as confirmed in case of samples Serbia and Romania) or naturalized population of plants that have escaped from earlier cultivation and grow spontaneously (as confirmed in case of Moldavian samples). The results of the model-based clustering methods as implemented in STRUCTURE software were in accordance to those obtained by distance-based method.

Key words: *Salvia officinalis* L., essential oil composition, chemotypes, microsatellite markers, genetic diversity

1. Introduction

Efficiency of the conservation efforts depends on the amount of information on accessions held at genebank collections. As a complement to traditional use of morphological traits in characterization and evaluation, modern conservation programmes concerning medicinal and aromatic plant species include genetic and biochemical information on the accessions. The assessment of genetic and biochemical diversity is a starting point for the introduction of accessions into plant breeding programmes and agricultural production since commercial gathering could have a negative impact on biodiversity conservation.

The genus *Salvia* represents one of the largest genera in the Lamiaceae family, comprising nearly 1,000 species throughout the Old and New Worlds. Dalmatian sage (*Salvia officinalis* L.), also known as common sage or garden sage, is a perennial subshrub native to the northern coastal region of the Mediterranean and grows wild in the calcareous mountains of northern and central Spain, southern France and the western part of the Balkan Peninsula (Hedge, 1972). It is economically the most important species of the *Salvia officinalis* group (Putievsky et al. 1990) along with *Salvia fruticosa* Mill. Dalmatian sage is used as an herb with beneficial healing properties and its dried leaf (*Salviae folium*) is an authorized drug in most pharmacopoeias. Dalmatian is cultivated in the countries of the Balkan Peninsula, throughout the Mediterranean region, and in the USA. Although knowledge and use of Dalmatian sage can be dated back to the Greek Era, there is remarkable confusion concerning its taxonomy, distribution and variability.

The chemical composition of Dalmatian sage essential oils varies widely among populations (Kuštrak et al., 1984; Pitarević et al., 1984; Perry et al., 1999; Mockute et al., 2003; Zutić et al., 2003; Elementi et al., 2006; Marić et al., 2006; Bernotienė et al., 2007; Ben Farhat, 2009) and a number of classifications have been proposed in order to group different genotypes/populations into chemotypes (Tucker and Maciarello, 1990). The most commonly reported major constituents of Dalmatian sage essential oil were cis-thujone, trans-thujone, camphor and 1,8 cineole.

Previous researches of genetic diversity and structure of Dalmatian sage in Croatia include studies of Židovec (2004) using RAPD (Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA) markers and Jug-Dujaković (2009) using AFLP (Amplified Fragment Length Polymorphism) markers. Both studies revealed high variability within the populations, while genetic differentiation among populations showed the pattern of isolation-by-distance. Recently, in the framework of the Croatian national project entitled 'Biodiversity of Medicinal and

Aromatic Plants', microsatellites, or simple sequence repeats (SSRs), have been developed for Dalmatian sage (Molecular Ecology Resources Primer Development Consortium, 2010; Radosavljević et al., 2011) and 23 populations from Croatia and two from Bosnia and Herzegovina have been analysed by eight microsatellites markers.

The objectives of this research were (A) to determine essential oil composition of Dalmatian sage populations collected in SEEDNet partner countries and to classify them into distinct chemotypes, and (B) to assess the amount and structure of population genetic diversity by microsatellite markers.

2. Material and Methods

2.1 Ecogeographical survey and collecting of Dalmatian sage populations

Ecogeographical surveys and collecting of Dalmatian sage populations have been successfully carried out in 11 SEEDNet partner countries including Albania, BiH-FBiH, BiH-RS, Bulgaria, Kosovo, Macedonia, Moldova, Montenegro, Romania, Slovenia and Serbia. At least two collecting missions were organized in each partner country in order to collect leaf material of two Dalmatian sage populations to be used in the analyses of essential oil composition as well as microsatellite marker diversity. Seed samples of each population were collected and stored in national collections/gene banks. The information on 25 Dalmatian sage populations (23 from Croatia and two from BiH-FBiH) previously analysed in the framework of the Croatian national project entitled 'Biodiversity of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants' was added.

All investigated accessions are listed in Table 1. A total of 46 populations were included in the essential oil analysis carried out by the Macedonian partner, Gjoshe Stefkov and his team at the Ss. Cyril and Methodius University, Faculty of Pharmacy in Skopje and Mihailo Ristić, IMPR Dr Josif Pančić, Beograd, Serbia. A total of 45 populations were included in microsatellite analysis. Each population had between 20 and 25 individual plants and the total number of individual samples was 1076. DNA extraction and microsatellite analyses were carried out by the Croatian partner, Zlatko Šatović and his team at the University of Zagreb, Faculty of Agriculture (Klaudija Carović-Stanko, Martina Grdiša), Faculty of Science (Zlatko Liber, Ivan Radosavljević, Danijela Greguraš) and the Institute for Adriatic Crops and Karst Reclamation, Split (Marija Jug-Dujaković). Statistical analyses of biochemical and genetic data were carried out by the Croatian partner.

Fig. 1. Ecogeographical survey and collecting of Dalmatian sage populations



Albania: Dr. Alban Ibraliu collecting Dalmatian sage populations in Rrenci Mountain



Bulgaria: Dr. Kana Varbanova collecting Dalmatian sage populations in Emona



Moldova: Dalmatian sage accessions collected and regenerated by Dr. Maria Goncariuc

Table 1. Dalmatian sage populations collected by 12 signatory partners of the SEEDNet project and included in the essential oil (EO) and microsatellite marker (MA) analysis

| No. | Country/Area | Locality | Latitude (N) | Longitude (E) | EO | MA |
|-----|--------------|----------------------------------|--------------|---------------|------------|------------|
| 1 | Slovenia | Soligrad | 45.57 | 13.91 | SVN 1 | SVN 1 |
| 2 | Slovenia | Petrinje | 45.57 | 13.90 | SVN 2 | SVN 2 |
| 3 | Croatia | Sušnjevic | 45.25 | 14.16 | HRV 01 | HRV 01 |
| 4 | Croatia | Kamenjak | 44.77 | 13.91 | HRV 02 | HRV 02 |
| 5 | Croatia | Krk | 45.23 | 14.57 | HRV 03 | HRV 03 |
| 6 | Croatia | Stara Baška | 44.98 | 14.66 | HRV 04 | HRV 04 |
| 7 | Croatia | Cres | 45.06 | 14.37 | HRV 05 | HRV 05 |
| 8 | Croatia | Lošinj | 44.60 | 14.41 | HRV 06 | HRV 06 |
| 9 | Croatia | Vratnik | 44.98 | 14.98 | HRV 07 | HRV 07 |
| 10 | Croatia | Karlobag | 44.52 | 15.10 | HRV 08 | HRV 08 |
| 11 | Croatia | Pag | 44.43 | 15.04 | HRV 09 | HRV 09 |
| 12 | Croatia | Dugi Otok | 44.05 | 15.02 | HRV 10 | HRV 10 |
| 13 | Croatia | Otišina | 44.20 | 15.62 | HRV 11 | HRV 11 |
| 14 | Croatia | Pirovac | 43.83 | 15.72 | HRV 12 | HRV 12 |
| 15 | Croatia | Zrmanja | 44.21 | 16.06 | HRV 13 | HRV 13 |
| 16 | Croatia | Šparadići | 43.63 | 15.96 | HRV 14 | HRV 14 |
| 17 | Croatia | Vinišće | 43.51 | 16.12 | HRV 15 | HRV 15 |
| 18 | Croatia | Unešić | 43.73 | 16.16 | HRV 16 | HRV 16 |
| 19 | Croatia | Biokovo | 43.40 | 16.92 | HRV 17 | HRV 17 |
| 20 | Croatia | Runovići | 43.36 | 17.27 | HRV 18 | HRV 18 |
| 21 | Croatia | Hvar | 43.13 | 16.95 | HRV 19 | HRV 19 |
| 22 | Croatia | Vis | 43.03 | 16.14 | HRV 20 | HRV 20 |
| 23 | Croatia | Pelješac | 42.98 | 17.27 | HRV 21 | HRV 21 |
| 24 | Croatia | Mljet | 42.75 | 17.51 | HRV 22 | HRV 22 |
| 25 | Croatia | Konavle | 42.60 | 18.25 | HRV 23 | HRV 23 |
| 26 | BiH-FBiH | Hutovo blato | 43.04 | 17.71 | BiH-FBiH 1 | BiH-FBiH 1 |
| 27 | BiH-FBiH | Medine | 43.34 | 17.75 | BiH-FBiH 2 | BiH-FBiH 2 |
| 28 | BiH FBiH | Mostar [#] | 43.33 | 17.75 | BiH-FBiH 3 | BiH-FBiH 3 |
| 29 | BiH FBiH | Međugorje [#] | 43.18 | 17.69 | BiH-FBiH 4 | BiH-FBiH 4 |
| 30 | BiH-RS | Ljubinje | 42.92 | 18.03 | BiH-RS 1 | BiH-RS 1 |
| 31 | BiH-RS | Trebinje | 42.71 | 18.40 | BiH-RS 2 | BiH-RS 2 |
| 32 | Montenegro | Pješivci, Nikšić | 42.36 | 19.23 | - | MNE 1 |
| 33 | Montenegro | Sutorman, Bar | 42.15 | 19.12 | MNE | MNE 2 |
| 34 | Albania | Llogora Park | 40.20 | 19.59 | - | ALB 1 |
| 35 | Albania | Rrenci Mountain | 41.83 | 19.58 | - | ALB 2 |
| 36 | Macedonia | Mt. Jablanica, Globočica | 41.32 | 20.58 | MKD 1 | MKD 1 |
| 37 | Macedonia | Mt. Karaormar, Burinac | 41.39 | 20.62 | MKD 2 | MKD 2 |
| 38 | Macedonia | Galičica | 41.62 | 20.81 | MKD 3 | -* |
| 39 | Kosovo | Vermicë | 42.17 | 20.58 | - | KOS 1 |
| 40 | Kosovo | Mirusha | 42.52 | 20.57 | - | KOS 2 |
| 41 | Serbia | Pančevo ^{##} | 44.85 | 20.72 | SRB 1 | SRB 1 |
| 42 | Serbia | Gradište | 43.33 | 22.17 | SRB 2 | SRB 2 |
| 43 | Romania | Bacau, Motoc ^{##} | 46.36 | 27.10 | ROU 1 | ROU 1 |
| 44 | Romania | Bacau, Motoc ^{##} | 46.36 | 27.10 | ROU 2 | -* |
| 45 | Romania | Bacau, Motoc ^{##} | 46.36 | 27.10 | ROU 3 | -* |
| 46 | Romania | Bacau, Motoc ^{##} | 46.36 | 27.10 | ROU 4 | -* |
| 47 | Romania | Bihor, Avram Iancu ^{##} | 46.67 | 21.53 | ROU 5 | ROU 2 |
| 48 | Moldova | Chishinau ^{##} | 47.36 | 28.85 | MDA 1 | MDA 1 |
| 49 | Moldova | Lopatica, Calme ^{##} | 45.95 | 28.41 | MDA 2 | MDA 2 |
| 50 | Bulgaria | Emona | 42.71 | 27.88 | BGR 1 | -** |
| 51 | Bulgaria | Eastern Rhodopes | 41.63 | 25.74 | BGR 2 | -** |

[#]Collected by Marija Jug-Dujaković, Croatia

^{##}Cultivated material (SRB 1, ROU 1-5) or naturalized, non-native populations (MDA 1-2)

*Not included in microsatellite analysis

**DNA extraction failed

2.2 Essential oil extraction and GC/FID/MS analyses

The essential oils were isolated by steam-distillation in the Clevenger apparatus using method from European pharmacopoeia (Ph. Eur. 7). Essential oil samples were analyzed on Agilent 7890A Gas Chromatography system with flame ionization detector (FID), and Agilent 5975C mass spectrometer (MS) also equipped with capillary flow technology which enables simultaneous analysis of the sample on both detectors. HP-5ms (30 m x 0.25 mm, film thickness 0.25 mm) capillary column was used. Operating conditions were as follows: oven temperature 60 °C (5 min), 1 °C/min to 80 °C (2 min); 5 °C/min 280 °C (5 min); flow rate of 1ml/min (He); injector T=260 °C; FID T= 270 °C; 1ml injection volume at split ratio 1:1. The mass spectrometry conditions were: ionization voltage 70 eV, ion source temperature 230 °C, transfer line temperature 280 °C and mass range from 50-500 Da. The MS was operated in scan mode. Identification of the components present in essential oils was made by comparing mass spectra of components in essential oils with those from Nist, Wiley and Adams mass spectra libraries, by AMDIS (Automated Mass Spectral Deconvolution and Identification System) and by comparing literature and estimated Kovats retention indices that were determined using mixture of homologous series of normal alkanes from C9 to C25 in hexane, under the same above mentioned conditions.

2.3 DNA extraction and microsatellite analyses

Genomic DNA samples were extracted using the GenElute™ Plant Genomic DNA Miniprep Kit (Sigma-Aldrich) from dried *Salvia officinalis* L. leaves collected from 45 populations. Eight microsatellite primers were used for the analysis: SoUZ001, SoUZ002, SoUZ003, SoUZ007, SoUZ011 (Molecular Ecology Resources Primer Development Consortium et al., 2010), and SoUZ013, SoUZ014, SoUZ019 (Radosavljevic et al., 2011). Amplification was performed using a GeneAmp® PCR System 9700 (Applied Biosystems) using a two-step PCR protocol with an initial touchdown cycle. The cycling conditions were as follows: 94°C for 5 min; 5 cycles of 45 s at 94°C, 30 s at 60°C, which was lowered by 1°C in each cycle, and 90 s at 72°C; 25 cycles of 45 s at 94°C, 30 s at 55°C, and 90 s at 72°C; and 8-min extension step at 72°C. The products were run on an ABI 3730XL analyzer using the commercial GeneScan service (Macrogen). The results were analyzed using GeneMapper 4.0 software (Applied Biosystems®).

2.4 Data analysis

The relationships among the eight main essential-oil constituents were assessed by Pearson's correlation coefficient as implemented in PROC CORR in SAS (SAS Institute Inc., 2004).

Principal-Components Analysis (PCA) based on 12 main essential-oil constituents was performed using PROC PRINCOMP procedure in SAS. The biplot was constructed by two principal components showing populations and essential-oil constituents. The number of principal components was determined by checking the eigenvalues of the principal components (using the Kaiser criterion that retains components with eigenvalues >1 and SCREE plot), and the cumulative proportion of the variance explained. These principal components were further used in cluster analysis (CA).

The standardized scores of the first five principal components were multiplied by the root of their eigenvalues and the Euclidean distance matrix between all pairs of populations was calculated to be used in cluster analysis (CA). The Average linkage method (i.e. UPGMA) of PROC CLUSTER in SAS was applied in order to determine the optimal number of clusters by calculating and plotting Cubic Clustering Criterion (CCC) statistics and Pseudo F (PSF) statistics. Populations were classified into groups representing distinct chemotypes.

Polymorphism Information Content (*PIC*; Botstein *et al.*, 1980) of each microsatellite marker was calculated by PowerMarker V3.23 (Liu, 2002) software. GENEPOP 4.0 (Raymond and Rousset 1995) was used to estimate population genetic parameters (the average number of alleles per locus, N_{av} ; the observed heterozygosity, H_O ; the expected heterozygosity or gene diversity, H_E ; inbreeding coefficient, F_{IS}) and to test population genotypic frequencies across all loci for conformance to Hardy-Weinberg (HW) expectations (multi-locus test). The allelic richness, N_{ar} , as the measure of the number of alleles per locus independent of sample size was calculated by FSTAT v. 2.9.3.2 programme package (Goudet, 1995; 2002) while the number of private alleles (N_{pr}) per population was assessed by MICROSAT (Minch *et al.*, 1997).

Genetic differentiation between all pairs of populations was measured with pairwise F_{ST} estimates. Pairwise F_{ST} and their respective P -values for significant differences from zero were calculated in FSTAT. Pairwise Cavalli-Sforza's chord distance (Cavalli-Sforza and Edwards, 1967) were calculated and unrooted phylogenetic tree was constructed using Neighbor-joining algorithm with 1,000 bootstraps over microsatellite loci as implemented in

SEQBOOT, GENDIST, NEIGHBOR, and CONSENSE programmes of the PHYLIP ver. 3.6b software package (Felsenstein, 1993).

The analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA; Excoffier *et al.*, 1992) using ARLEQUIN ver. 3.0 (Excoffier *et al.*, 2005). AMOVA was used to partition the total microsatellite diversity among and within populations. The variance components were tested statistically by non-parametric randomisation tests using 10,000 permutations.

Isolation by distance (IBD) among populations was tested using the method of Rousset (1997). A Mantel test (10,000 permutations of population locations among all locations) on the matrix of pairwise $F_{ST}/(1-F_{ST})$ ratios and that of the natural logarithm of geographical distances (in km) between pairs of populations was performed using NTSYS-pc version 2.02 (Rohlf, 1997).

A model-based clustering method was applied to multilocus microsatellite data to infer genetic structure and to define the number of clusters (gene pools) in the dataset using the Structure v. 2.3.3 software (Pritchard *et al.*, 2000). Given a value for the number of clusters, this method assigns individual genotypes from the entire sample to clusters in a way in which linkage disequilibrium (LD) is maximally explained. Ten runs of structure were performed by setting the number of clusters (K) from 1 to 11. Each run consisted of a burn-in period of 200,000 steps followed by 1,000,000 MCMC (Monte Carlo Markov Chain) replicates, assuming an admixture model and correlated allele frequencies. No prior information was used to define the clusters. The choice of the most likely number of clusters (K) was carried out by comparing the logarithmized probabilities of data $[\Pr(X|K)]$ for each value of K (Pritchard *et al.* 2000), as well as by calculating an ad hoc statistic ΔK based on the rate of change in the log probability of data between successive K values, as described by Evanno *et al.* (2005).

3. Results

3.1 Essential oil composition

Using GC/FID/MS analyses a total of 81 volatiles were identified as constituents of investigated essential oils. In each population identified compounds represented more than 85% of the oil. The 12 main constituents representing more than 10% of the total oil content in at least a single population were selected for further analysis: camphene (C01), β -pinene (C02), 1,8-cineole (C03), trans-sabinene hydrate (C04), cis-thujone (C05), trans-thujone (C06), camphor (C07), borneol (C08), trans-caryophyllene (C09), α -humulene (C10), viridiflorol (C11), and manool (C12).

A few of the main essential-oil constituents showed high intercorrelation ($r > 0.75$; Table 2). Trans-caryophyllene (C09) was highly and positively correlated with α -humulene (C10). α -humulene (C10), viridiflorol (C11) and manool (C12) were highly and positively correlated among each other.

Table 2. Pearson's correlation coefficients among the 12 main essential-oil constituents of Dalmatian sage

| Compound | Compound | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|-----|
| | C01 | C02 | C03 | C04 | C05 | C06 | C07 | C08 | C09 | C10 | C11 | C12 |
| C01 camphene | | ** | ns | ns | ns | ns | ** | * | ** | *** | *** | *** |
| C02 β -pinene | 0.47 | | ns | ns | ** | ns | ** | ns | ns | * | ** | ** |
| C03 1,8-cineole | -0.08 | -0.19 | | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns |
| C04 trans-sabinene hydrate | 0.04 | -0.06 | 0.13 | | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns |
| C05 cis-thujone | -0.25 | -0.38 | 0.05 | -0.27 | | ns | * | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns |
| C06 trans-thujone | 0.07 | -0.18 | 0.00 | -0.12 | -0.01 | | ** | ns | * | ns | ns | ns |
| C07 camphor | 0.38 | 0.38 | -0.10 | 0.15 | -0.32 | -0.46 | | ns | * | ** | *** | ** |
| C08 borneol | -0.36 | -0.08 | 0.23 | -0.13 | -0.22 | -0.13 | -0.04 | | ns | ns | ns | ns |
| C09 trans-caryophyllene | -0.39 | -0.24 | -0.13 | 0.01 | -0.23 | -0.29 | -0.36 | 0.12 | | *** | *** | *** |
| C10 α -humulene | -0.48 | -0.35 | -0.12 | 0.00 | -0.22 | -0.26 | -0.41 | 0.20 | 0.93 | | *** | *** |
| C11 viridiflorol | -0.54 | -0.46 | 0.03 | -0.07 | -0.03 | -0.14 | -0.48 | 0.18 | 0.69 | 0.84 | | *** |
| C12 manool | -0.53 | -0.43 | -0.05 | 0.11 | -0.09 | -0.24 | -0.39 | 0.22 | 0.67 | 0.81 | 0.87 | |

The significance of the correlations is indicated as follows: ***, significance at the 0.1% nominal level; **, significance at the 1% nominal level; *, significance at the 5% nominal level; ns, not significant.

The 12 main essential-oil constituents of the 46 populations of Dalmatian sage were further analyzed using principle components analysis (PCA), with five components having eignevalues higher than one and explaining 82.86% of the total variation (Table 3).

Table 3. Component loadings of the eight essential-oil constituents on the first five principal components

| Compound | Principal component | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|------------------------|--------|-----|--------|-----|--------|-----|--------|-----|--------|-----|
| | PC1 | | PC2 | | PC3 | | PC4 | | PC5 | | |
| C01 | camphene | 0.688 | *** | 0.263 | ns | 0.265 | ns | 0.201 | ns | 0.085 | ns |
| C02 | β -pinene | 0.550 | *** | 0.521 | *** | 0.169 | ns | -0.200 | ns | 0.259 | ns |
| C03 | 1,8-cineole | -0.015 | ns | -0.237 | ns | -0.762 | *** | 0.237 | ns | 0.045 | ns |
| C04 | trans-sabinene hydrate | 0.015 | ns | 0.319 | * | -0.251 | ns | 0.757 | *** | -0.356 | * |
| C05 | cis-thujone | -0.002 | ns | -0.737 | *** | 0.035 | ns | -0.334 | * | -0.537 | *** |
| C06 | trans-thujone | 0.168 | ns | -0.608 | *** | 0.247 | ns | 0.417 | ** | 0.557 | *** |
| C07 | camphor | 0.557 | *** | 0.592 | *** | -0.213 | ns | -0.182 | ns | -0.262 | ns |
| C08 | borneol | -0.292 | * | 0.114 | ns | -0.641 | *** | -0.335 | * | 0.482 | *** |
| C09 | trans-caryophyllene | -0.823 | *** | 0.330 | * | 0.213 | ns | 0.014 | ns | 0.028 | ns |
| C10 | α -humulene | -0.922 | *** | 0.269 | ns | 0.158 | ns | 0.014 | ns | 0.046 | ns |
| C11 | viridiflorol | -0.917 | *** | 0.022 | ns | 0.065 | ns | 0.014 | ns | 0.006 | ns |
| C12 | manool | -0.894 | *** | 0.148 | ns | 0.015 | ns | 0.073 | ns | -0.078 | ns |
| Eigenvalue | | 4.369 | | 1.978 | | 1.336 | | 1.147 | | 1.113 | |
| % of Variance | | 36.405 | | 16.487 | | 11.136 | | 9.558 | | 9.271 | |

The biplot based on first two principal components, jointly explaining 52.89% of the total variation is shown in Fig. 2. The first principal components axis (PC1), explaining 36.41% of the total variation, clearly separated the four populations from Romania (ROU 1-4) showing high contents of trans-caryophyllene (C09), α -humulene (C10), viridiflorol (C11), and manool (C12), from the populations originating from BiH-FBiH, Bulgaria and Croatia, which were characterized by relatively high levels of camphene (C01), β -pinene (C02), and camphor (C07). Along the second axis, explaining 16.49% of the total variation, populations from Bulgaria, a population from Romania (ROU 5) and some populations from BiH-FBiH (BiH-FBiH 2 and 3) and Croatia, characterized by a high camphor (C07) and β -pinene (C02) content were distinguished from the rest of populations from BiH-FBiH (BiH-FBiH 1 and 4) and Croatia, which contained high cis-thujone (C05) and trans-thujone (C06) content.

Fig. 2. Biplot of the principal-components analysis based on the 12 main essential-oil constituents of 46 Dalmatian sage populations





Fig. 3. UPGMA dendrogram of cluster analysis on 46 populations of Dalmatian sage using the first five principal components. Eight major clusters (I-IX) are indicated.



Cluster analysis of 46 Dalmatian sage populations based on the first five principal components resulted in the dendrogram shown in Fig. 3. The highest values of both PSF statistics and CCC were obtained for nine clusters. Thus, the classification of 46 Dalmatian sage populations into nine chemotypes was the optimal solution. Tentative classification of Dalmatian sage populations into nine chemotypes along with their description is presented in Table 4.

Table 4. The most represented compounds on average across populations belonging to different Dalmatian sage chemotypes

| Chemotype | n | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|-----------|----|----------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|---------------|
| I | 19 | cis-thujone | camphor | | | |
| II | 6 | <u>camphor</u> | cis-thujone | 1,8-cineole | | |
| III | 3 | <u>cis-thujone</u> | 1,8-cineole | | | |
| IV | 6 | cis-thujone | camphor | <i>viridiflorol</i> | | |
| V | 4 | <u>trans-thujone</u> | cis-thujone | | | |
| VI | 2 | camphor | <u>b-pinene</u> | <i>camphene</i> | | |
| VII | 4 | cis-thujone | <u>a-humulene</u> | <i>viridiflorol</i> | <i>trans-caryophyllene</i> | <i>manool</i> |
| VIII | 1 | cis-thujone | trans-thujone | <u>borneol</u> | <u>1,8-cineole</u> | <i>manool</i> |
| IX | 1 | camphor | <u>trans-sabinene hydrate</u> | 1,8-cineole | | |

n - number of populations belonging to each chemotype

Underlined - distinctly higher average % (higher than one standard deviation above the overall mean)

Italic - less than 10% on average

3.2 Genetic diversity

A total of 186 alleles was found across the eight markers, the number of alleles per locus ranging from 13 (SoUZ013) to 39 (SoUZ001), with a mean value of 23.25 alleles per locus (Table 5). All microsatellite loci displayed high values of PIC (from 0.678 to 0.939), permitting the identification of all the individuals analysed.

Table 5. Allelic diversity of eight microsatellite loci scored in 45 Dalmatian sage (*Salvia officinalis* L.) populations

| No. | Locus | Repeat Motif | Size Range | N _a | PIC |
|---------|---------|---------------------|------------|----------------|-------|
| 1 | SoUZ001 | (AG) ₁₅ | 159-221 | 39 | 0.939 |
| 2 | SoUZ002 | (TG) ₁₁ | 177-218 | 20 | 0.779 |
| 3 | SoUZ003 | (GT) ₁₃ | 174-216 | 22 | 0.757 |
| 4 | SoUZ007 | (GT) ₁₁ | 138-210 | 15 | 0.678 |
| 5 | SoUZ011 | (GA) ₂₅ | 156-212 | 29 | 0.923 |
| 6 | SoUZ013 | (AAC) ₈ | 179-215 | 13 | 0.813 |
| 7 | SoUZ014 | (AGA) ₁₀ | 175-244 | 24 | 0.888 |
| 8 | SoUZ019 | (AGA) ₁₆ | 132-199 | 24 | 0.753 |
| Average | | | | 23.25 | 0.816 |
| Total | | | | 186 | |

N_a - total number of alleles

Main parameters describing intrapopulation diversity of 45 Dalmatian sage populations are shown in Table 6. The allelic richness (N_{ar}) as revealed by eight microsatellite loci in 45 Dalmatian sage populations ranged from 2.707 (SRB 1) to 10.409 (HRV 23), with a mean value of 7.277. Five populations exhibited the allelic richness lower than 5 (KOS 1, KOS 2, SRB 1, ROU 1, ROU 2, MDA 1, MDA 2) while two populations had the values of allelic richness higher than 10 (HRV 21, HRV 23). A total of 32 private alleles has been detected in 14 Dalmatian sage populations. The highest number of private alleles was observed in population from Albania (ALB 1). The observed heterozygosity (H_o) ranged from 0.313 (SRB 1) to 0.854 (SVN 2), with a mean value of 0.854, while the expected heterozygosity (H_E) ranged from 0.377 (SRB 1) to 0.847 (HRV 23), with a mean value of 0.720. The multi-locus test for conformance to Hardy-Weinberg (HW) equilibrium was significant ($P < 0.05$) in case of 12 populations. The highly significant ($P < 0.001$) excess of heterozygotes was found in two Romanian populations (ROU 1, ROU 2), while the highly significant ($P < 0.001$) deficit of heterozygotes was observed in two Croatian populations (HRV 07, HRV 22).

Table 6. Genetic diversity of 45 Dalmatian sage populations

| Abbr. | Country | Population | n | N _{av} | N _{ar} | N _{pa} | H _O | H _E | F _{IS} [#] | |
|------------|------------|--------------|----|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|------------------------------|-----|
| SVN 1 | Slovenia | Soligrad | 23 | 8.750 | 7.930 | 0 | 0.745 | 0.795 | 0.063 | ns |
| SVN 2 | Slovenia | Petrinje | 24 | 9.125 | 8.258 | 0 | 0.854 | 0.795 | -0.075 | ns |
| HRV 01 | Croatia | Šušnjeвица | 25 | 6.875 | 6.359 | 0 | 0.701 | 0.720 | 0.026 | ns |
| HRV 02 | Croatia | Kamenjak | 25 | 7.500 | 6.874 | 0 | 0.702 | 0.700 | -0.003 | ns |
| HRV 03 | Croatia | Krk | 25 | 8.500 | 7.708 | 0 | 0.717 | 0.734 | 0.024 | ns |
| HRV 04 | Croatia | Stara Baška | 25 | 8.000 | 7.279 | 0 | 0.761 | 0.749 | -0.017 | ns |
| HRV 05 | Croatia | Cres | 24 | 7.750 | 7.122 | 0 | 0.765 | 0.729 | -0.049 | ns |
| HRV 06 | Croatia | Lošinj | 25 | 8.250 | 7.374 | 1 | 0.680 | 0.708 | 0.039 | ns |
| HRV 07 | Croatia | Vratnik | 24 | 8.000 | 7.363 | 0 | 0.674 | 0.771 | 0.126 | *** |
| HRV 08 | Croatia | Karlobag | 24 | 7.625 | 6.968 | 2 | 0.724 | 0.773 | 0.063 | ** |
| HRV 09 | Croatia | Pag | 25 | 8.750 | 7.948 | 0 | 0.754 | 0.759 | 0.008 | ns |
| HRV 10 | Croatia | Dugi Otok | 24 | 8.625 | 7.644 | 0 | 0.667 | 0.679 | 0.018 | ns |
| HRV 11 | Croatia | Otišina | 25 | 8.375 | 7.527 | 0 | 0.714 | 0.736 | 0.031 | ns |
| HRV 12 | Croatia | Pirovac | 24 | 8.000 | 7.107 | 0 | 0.707 | 0.708 | 0.002 | ns |
| HRV 13 | Croatia | Zrmanja | 24 | 8.375 | 7.689 | 0 | 0.688 | 0.751 | 0.084 | ns |
| HRV 14 | Croatia | Šparadići | 24 | 10.000 | 9.160 | 2 | 0.698 | 0.743 | 0.061 | ns |
| HRV 15 | Croatia | Vinišće | 25 | 9.625 | 8.646 | 0 | 0.735 | 0.785 | 0.063 | ns |
| HRV 16 | Croatia | Unešić | 25 | 10.000 | 8.990 | 0 | 0.736 | 0.771 | 0.045 | ns |
| HRV 17 | Croatia | Biokovo | 24 | 8.875 | 8.189 | 0 | 0.754 | 0.764 | 0.013 | ns |
| HRV 18 | Croatia | Runovići | 24 | 10.000 | 9.014 | 1 | 0.734 | 0.781 | 0.059 | ns |
| HRV 19 | Croatia | Hvar | 25 | 10.375 | 9.144 | 1 | 0.745 | 0.755 | 0.013 | ns |
| HRV 20 | Croatia | Vis | 24 | 7.125 | 6.655 | 0 | 0.717 | 0.712 | -0.007 | ns |
| HRV 21 | Croatia | Pelješac | 25 | 11.500 | 10.091 | 3 | 0.754 | 0.769 | 0.019 | ns |
| HRV 22 | Croatia | Mljet | 25 | 9.500 | 8.685 | 2 | 0.643 | 0.761 | 0.155 | *** |
| HRV 23 | Croatia | Konavle | 25 | 11.500 | 10.409 | 0 | 0.825 | 0.847 | 0.026 | * |
| BiH-FBiH 1 | BiH-FBiH | Hutovo blato | 24 | 8.125 | 7.477 | 0 | 0.817 | 0.758 | -0.077 | ns |
| BiH-FBiH 2 | BiH-FBiH | Međine | 21 | 6.625 | 6.313 | 0 | 0.826 | 0.744 | -0.111 | ** |
| BiH-FBiH 3 | BiH-FBiH | Mostar | 25 | 10.625 | 9.709 | 1 | 0.762 | 0.796 | 0.043 | ** |
| BiH-FBiH 4 | BiH-FBiH | Medjugorje | 25 | 10.500 | 9.471 | 1 | 0.818 | 0.815 | -0.004 | ns |
| BiH-RS 1 | BiH-RS | Ljubinje | 24 | 9.625 | 8.866 | 0 | 0.746 | 0.800 | 0.068 | ** |
| BiH-RS 2 | BiH-RS | Trebinje | 22 | 10.625 | 9.847 | 2 | 0.777 | 0.833 | 0.067 | ns |
| MNE 1 | Montenegro | Nikšić | 24 | 7.375 | 6.721 | 0 | 0.693 | 0.745 | 0.070 | ns |
| MNE 2 | Montenegro | Bar | 22 | 8.375 | 7.651 | 1 | 0.699 | 0.718 | 0.026 | ns |
| ALB 1 | Albania | Llogora | 24 | 9.875 | 8.967 | 10 | 0.744 | 0.766 | 0.029 | ns |
| ALB 2 | Albania | Rrenci | 23 | 9.625 | 8.694 | 0 | 0.743 | 0.802 | 0.073 | ns |
| MKD 1 | Macedonia | Globočica | 24 | 7.250 | 6.458 | 3 | 0.683 | 0.699 | 0.024 | ns |
| MKD 2 | Macedonia | Burinec | 22 | 6.625 | 6.210 | 2 | 0.720 | 0.725 | 0.007 | ns |
| KOS 1 | Kosovo | Vermičë | 23 | 4.250 | 4.089 | 0 | 0.598 | 0.561 | -0.067 | ns |
| KOS 2 | Kosovo | Mirusha | 24 | 4.375 | 4.076 | 0 | 0.505 | 0.561 | 0.100 | * |
| SRB 1 | Serbia | Pančevo | 20 | 2.750 | 2.707 | 0 | 0.313 | 0.377 | 0.171 | * |
| SRB 2 | Serbia | Gradište | 24 | 5.625 | 5.256 | 0 | 0.537 | 0.612 | 0.123 | ** |
| ROU 1 | Romania | Motoc | 24 | 4.250 | 4.189 | 0 | 0.783 | 0.610 | -0.283 | *** |
| ROU 2 | Romania | Bihor | 22 | 3.750 | 3.639 | 0 | 0.793 | 0.612 | -0.296 | *** |
| MDA 1 | Moldova | Chishinau | 23 | 4.125 | 3.886 | 0 | 0.592 | 0.595 | 0.004 | ns |
| MDA 2 | Moldova | Lopatca | 24 | 3.250 | 3.121 | 0 | 0.545 | 0.497 | -0.096 | ns |
| | Average | | | 7.969 | 7.277 | | 0.709 | 0.720 | 0.015 | |
| | Min | | | 2.750 | 2.707 | | 0.313 | 0.377 | -0.296 | |
| | Max | | | 11.500 | 10.409 | | 0.854 | 0.847 | 0.171 | |


n - sample size; N_{av} - average number of alleles; N_{ar} - average number of alleles per locus independent of sample size (allelic richness); N_{pr} - total number of private alleles; H_O - observed heterozygosity; H_E - expected heterozygosity; F_{IS} - inbreeding coefficient.

[#]Probabilities of heterozygote deficiency/excess: “***” corresponds to significance at the 1% nominal level, “**” significance at the 5% nominal level and “ns” depicts non-significant values

The lowest value of genetic differentiation (F_{ST}) was observed between two Slovenian populations (SVN 1 / SVN 2; 0.003) while the highest value was found between two Serbian populations (SRB 1 / SRB 2; 0.412). Out of 990 tests of pairwise genetic differentiation, only nine were not significant: (1) SVN 1 / SVN 2, (2) SVN 1 / HRV 07, (3) SVN 2 / HRV 07, (4) HRV 03 / HRV 04, (5) HRV 03 / HRV 07, (6) HRV 14 / HRV 15, (7) HRV 23 / BiH-RS 2, (8) KOS 1 / KOS 2, (9) KOS 1 / ROU 1.

The average Cavalli-Sforza's chord distance between pairs of populations was 0.067 ranging from 0.008 between two populations from Kosovo (KOS 1 / KOS 2) to 0.131 between two populations from Serbia (SRB 1 / SRB 2). Unrooted Neighbor-joining tree based on Cavalli-Sforza's chord distance between 45 Dalmatian sage populations is shown in Fig. 4. The most of the populations grouped together in accordance with geographical position of the collecting sites, from Slovenia in the North-West of the Region to Macedonia in the South-East, with the exception of seven populations (KOS 1, KOS 2, SRB 1, ROU 1, ROU 2, MDA 1, MDA 2) that grouped separately from the rest. Having in mind that these seven samples also have a considerable lower allelic richness in comparison to the rest, it is plausible that these samples represent cultivated material (as confirmed in case of sample SRB 1 that represents the cultivated material produced by the Institute for Medicinal Plant Research "Dr Josif Pančić", Serbia as well as in case of samples ROU 1 and 2 representing landraces traditionally cultivated in Romania) or naturalized population of plants that have escaped from earlier cultivation and grow spontaneously (as confirmed in case of Moldavian samples, MDA 1 and MDA 2).

Fig. 4. Unrooted Neighbor-joining tree based on Cavalli-Sforza's chord distance between 45 Dalmatian sage populations. Allelic richness of each populations is indicated.




The Analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) showed that most of total genetic diversity was attributable to differences between individuals within populations (86.63%). However, the highly significant ϕ -value of the among population component suggested the existence of genotypic differentiation (Tab. 7). By excluding seven non-native populations the within-population component of genetic diversity amounted to 89.80, but ϕ -value (0.102) was still highly significant ($P < 0.0001$)

Tab. 7. AMOVA analysis for the partitioning of microsatellite diversity among and between 46 Dalmatian sage populations

| Source of variation | df | Variance components | % Total variance | ϕ -Statistics | P(ϕ) |
|---------------------|------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------|-------------|
| Among populations | 44 | 0.432 | 13.37 | 0.134 | < 0.0001 |
| Within populations | 2107 | 2.802 | 86.63 | | |


The correlation between matrices of genetic [$F_{ST}/(1-F_{ST})$ ratios] and geographical [$\ln(\text{km})$] distances was relatively high and highly significant ($r = 0.51$; $P < 0.0001$) indicating that 25.81% of the variance of the variance in genetic distance between populations could be explained by isolation-by-distance (Figure 5). Seven non-native populations (KOS 1, KOS 2, SRB 1, ROU 1, ROU 2, MDA 1, MDA 2) were excluded from the analysis.

Fig. 5. Isolation-by-distance among populations assessed by plotting of $F_{ST}/(1-F_{ST})$ ratios against the natural logarithm of geographic distances (in km) among populations.



The results of the model-based clustering methods as implemented in STRUCTURE software were in accordance to those obtained by distance-based method (Fig. 6). The proportions of membership of each individual in each cluster (i.e. gene pool) were calculated for $K = 2$ to 5 based on the run with the highest $\ln[\Pr(X|K)]$. At $K = 2$ to 5, all the individuals from seven non-native populations (KOS 1, KOS 2, SRB 1, ROU 1, ROU 2, MDA 1, MDA 2) have consistently been assigned to a separate gene pool (A). The spontaneous populations belonging to gene pool B at $K = 2$, split into gene pools B and C at $K = 3$ in accordance with geographical distribution. The same is true for further divisions at $K = 4$ and 5. At $K = 5$, the gene pool B is predominant in Slovenian populations and in Croatian populations from Northern Adriatic. The gene pool C is characteristic for Croatian populations from Middle Adriatic. The gene pool D can be found in the majority of individuals belonging to Croatian populations from Southern Adriatic (with the exception of the population HRV 20 from Vis belonging to gene pool E) as well as to BiH-FBiH and BiH-RS populations, to one population from Montenegro (MNE 1), and to one population from Albania (ALB 1). Finally, Croatian population from Vis (HRV 20), one population from Montenegro (MNE 2), one population from Albania (ALB 2), two Macedonian populations and one Serbian population (SRB 2) predominantly belong to gene pool E.

Fig. 6. Genetic structure of 45 Dalmatian sage populations. Proportions of membership for $K = 2$ to 5 clusters are given as estimated by Structure. Each individual plant is represented by a single vertical line divided into colours. Each colour represents one cluster, and the length of the coloured segment shows the individual's estimated proportion of membership in that cluster. White lines separate populations that are labeled below the figure



References:

- Bazina, E., Makris, A., Vender, C., Skoula, M. 2002. Genetic and chemical relations among selected clones of *Salvia officinalis*. J of Herbs, Spices & Medicinal Plants 9: 269-273
- Ben Farhat, M., Jordan, M. J., Chaouech-Hamada, R., Landoulsi, A., Sotomayor, J. A. 2009. Variations in essential oil, phenolic compounds, and antioxidant activity of Tunisian cultivated *Salvia officinalis* L. J Agr Food Chem 57 (21) 10349-10356
- Bernotienė, G., Nivinskienė, O., Butkienė, R., Mockutė, D. 2007. Essential oil composition variability in sage (*Salvia officinalis* L.). Chemija (Vilnius) 18(4)38-43
- Botstein, D., White, R.L., Sholnick, M., David, R.W. 1980. Construction of a genetic linkage map in man using restriction fragment length polymorphisms. Am J Hum Genet 32: 314-331
- Cavalli-Sforza, L.L., Edwards, A.W. 1967. Phylogenetic analysis: Models and estimation procedures. Am J Hum Genet. 19: 233-257
- Elementi, S., D'Antuono, L.F., Schülz, H., Krüger, H., Schütze, W., Steuer, B. 2006. *Salvia officinalis* L. essential oil and carnosic acid analysis by means of NIR spectroscopy. Acta Hort 723: 243-248
- Evanno, G., Regnaut, S., Goudet, J. 2005. Detecting the number of clusters of individuals using the software STRUCTURE: a simulation study. Molecular Ecology 14: 2611-2620.
- Excoffier, L., Laval, G., Schneider, S. 2005. Arlequin ver. 3.0: An integrated software package for population genetics data analysis. Evolutionary Bioinformatics Online 1:47-50.
- Excoffier, L., Smouse, P.E., Quattro, J.M. 1992. Analysis of molecular variance inferred from metric distances among DNA haplotypes: application to human mitochondrial DNA restriction sites. Genetics 131: 479-491.
- Felsenstein, J. 1993. PHYLIP (Phylogeny Inference Package) version 3.5c. Department of Genetics, University of Washington, Seattle.
- Hedge, I.C. 1972. *Salvia*. In: Tutin, T.G., Heywood, V.H., Burges, N.A., Moore, D.M., Valentine, D.H., Walters, S.M., Webb, D.A. (eds.) Flora Europaea, vol. 3, 188-192. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, Great Britain.
- Jug-Dujaković, M. 2010. Genetic and Biochemical Diversity of Dalmatian Sage (*Salvia officinalis* L.). PhD Thesis. University of Zagreb, Faculty of Agriculture, Zagreb, Croatia
- Kuštrak, D., Kuftinec, J., Blažević, N. 1984. Yields and composition of sage oils from different regions of the Yugoslavian Adriatic coast. J Nat Prod 47: 520-524
- Liu, J. 2002. Powermarker - A Powerful Software for Marker Data Analysis. North Carolina State University Bioinformatics Research Center, Raleigh, NC (www.powermarker.net)
- Marić, S., Maksimović, M., Miloš, M. (2006) The impact of the locality altitudes and stages of development on the volatile constituents of *Salvia officinalis* L. from Bosnia and Herzegovina. J Essent Oil Res 18(2) 178-180
- Minch, E., Ruiz-Linares, A., Goldstein, D., Feldman, M., Cavalli-Sforza, L.L. 1997. MICROSAT: a computer program for calculating various statistics on microsatellite allele data, ver. 1.5d. Stanford University, Stanford, CA (hpgl.stanford.edu/projects/microsat)
- Mockute, D., Nivinskiene, O., Bernotiene, O., Butkiene, R. 2003. The cis-thujone chemotype of *Salvia officinalis* L. essential oils. Chemija (Vilnius), 14 (4) 216-220
- Molecular Ecology Resources Primer Development Consortium et al. 2010. Permanent Genetic Resources added to Molecular Ecology Resources Database 1 October 2009-30 November 2009. Molecular Ecology Resources 10(2): 404-408
- Perry, N.B., Anderson, R.E., Brennan, N.J., Douglas, M.H., Heaney, A.J., McGimpsey, J.A., Smallfield, B.M. 1999. Essential oils from Dalmatian sage (*Salvia officinalis* L.): variations among individuals, plant parts, seasons, and sites. J Agr Food Chem 47 (5): 2048-2054
- Pitarević, I., Kuftinec, J., Blažević, N., Kuštrak, D. 1984. Seasonal variation of essential oil yield and composition of Dalmatian sage, *Salvia officinalis*. J Nat Prod 47(3): 409-412
- Pritchard, J.K., Stephens, M., Donnelly, P. 2000. Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data. Genetics 155: 945-959.
- Putievsky, E., Ravid, U., Diwan-Rinzler, N., Zohary, D. 1990. Genetic affinities and essential oil composition of *Salvia officinalis* L., *S. fruticosa* Mill., *S. tomentosa* Mill. and their hybrids. Flavor and Fragrance Journal 5: 121-123.
- Radosavljević, I., Jakse, J., Javornik, B., Satović, Z., Liber, Z. 2011. New microsatellite markers for *Salvia officinalis* L. (*Lamiaceae*) and cross-amplification in closely related species. American Journal of Botany 98(11): e316-e318
- Raymond, M., Rousset, F. 1995. GENEPOP (version 1.2): population genetics software for exact tests and ecumenicism. J. Hered. 86: 248-249
- Rohlf, F.J. 1997. NTSys PC: Numerical taxonomy and multivariate analysis system, version 2.02h. Exeter Software, New York, USA.
- Rousset, F. 1997. Genetic differentiation and estimation of gene flow from F-statistics under isolation by distance. Genetics 145: 1219-1228
- SAS Institute Inc. 2004. SAS/STAT 9.1 User's Guide. SAS Institute, Cary, NC.
- Tucker, A. O., Maciarello, M. J. 1990. Essential oils of cultivars of Dalmatian sage (*Salvia officinalis* L.). J Essent Oil Res 2:139-144
- Zutić, I., Putievsky, E., Dudai, N. 2003. Influence of harvest dynamics and cut height on yield components of sage. Journal of herbs, spices and medicinal plants 10 (4): 49-61
- Židovec, V. 2004. Variability of the natural populations of common sage (*Salvia officinalis* L.). PhD Thesis. University of Zagreb, Faculty of Agriculture, Zagreb, Croatia

Project collaborators:

1. Zlatko Liber, University of Zagreb, Faculty of Science, Department of Botany, Zagreb, Croatia
2. Marija Jug-Dujaković, Institute for Adriatic Crops and Karst Reclamation, Split, Croatia
3. Ivan Radosavljević, University of Zagreb, Faculty of Science, Department of Botany, Zagreb, Croatia
4. Danijela Greguraš, University of Zagreb, Faculty of Science, Department of Botany, Zagreb, Croatia
5. Klaudija Carović-Stanko, University of Zagreb, Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Seed Science and Technology, University of Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia
6. Martina Grdiša, University of Zagreb, Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Seed Science and Technology, University of Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia

PRILOG 2.

Tablica 1. Mikrosatelitna raznolikost populacije ljekovite kadulje (*Salvia officinalis* L.) i grčke kadulje (*Salvia fruticosa* Mill.) na otoku Visu

| S.off. | Marker | N _g | N _A | R | H _O | H _E | Fis | P | |
|--------|-------------|----------------|----------------|---------|----------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|------------|
| | SoUZ001 | 15 | 10 | 165-205 | 0,875 | 0,828 | -0,057 | 0,950 | ns |
| | SoUZ002 | 12 | 7 | 181-203 | 0,792 | 0,772 | -0,026 | 0,288 | ns |
| | SoUZ003 | 12 | 7 | 174-192 | 0,583 | 0,652 | 0,106 | 0,551 | ns |
| | SoUZ007 | 11 | 6 | 196-208 | 0,783 | 0,717 | -0,091 | 0,742 | ns |
| | SoUZ011 | 11 | 8 | 166-186 | 0,750 | 0,686 | -0,094 | 0,877 | ns |
| | SoUZ013 | 10 | 6 | 182-206 | 0,826 | 0,760 | -0,087 | 0,534 | ns |
| | SoUZ014 | 15 | 8 | 175-241 | 0,870 | 0,840 | -0,035 | 0,561 | ns |
| | SoUZ019 | 6 | 5 | 132-159 | 0,227 | 0,423 | 0,463 | 0,019 | * |
| | Mean | 11,50 | 7,13 | | 0,717 | 0,712 | -0,007 | 0,464 | ns |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| S.fru. | Marker | Ng | Nav | Range | HO | HE | Fis | P | |
| | SoUZ003 | 12 | 7 | 187-205 | 0,667 | 0,698 | 0,044 | 0,655 | ns |
| | SoUZ005 | 3 | 3 | 120-150 | 0,125 | 0,198 | 0,367 | 0,025 | * |
| | SoUZ007 | 3 | 3 | 202-222 | 0,250 | 0,227 | -0,100 | 1,000 | ns |
| | SoUZ009 | 3 | 3 | 209-217 | 0,125 | 0,159 | 0,216 | 0,130 | ns |
| | SoUZ013 | 7 | 6 | 176-218 | 0,458 | 0,645 | 0,289 | 0,002 | ** |
| | SoUZ014 | 4 | 4 | 197-221 | 0,167 | 0,160 | -0,040 | 1,000 | ns |
| | SoUZ016 | 14 | 9 | 167-197 | 0,583 | 0,734 | 0,205 | 0,078 | ns |
| | SoUZ020 | 4 | 4 | 198-213 | 0,125 | 0,122 | -0,022 | 1,000 | ns |
| | Mean | 6,25 | 4,88 | | 0,313 | 0,368 | 0,151 | 0,000 | *** |

N_g – broj genotipova, N_A – broj alela, R- raspon duljina umnoženih ulomaka, H_O – zapažena heterozigotnost, H_E – očekivana heterozigotnost, Fis – koeficijent samooplodnje

Tablica 2. Signifikantnost Wilcoxonovog testa za suvišak [P(E)] i nedostatak [P(D)] heterozigotnosti u odnosu na heterozigotnost populacije koja je u ravnoteži mutacija i pomaka na temelju tri mutacijska modela (IAM, TPM, SMM) kod populacije ljekovite kadulje (*Salvia officinalis* L.) i grčke kadulje (*Salvia fruticosa* Mill.) na otoku Visu

| Populacija | IAM | IAM | TPM | TPM | SMM | SMM |
|---------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| | P(D) | P(E) | P(D) | P(E) | P(D) | P(E) |
| <i>Salvia officinalis</i> | 0,809 | 0,230 | 0,320 | 0,727 | 0,014 | 0,990 |
| <i>Salvia fruticosa</i> | 0,010 | 0,994 | 0,002 | 1,000 | 0,002 | 1,000 |

IAM - model beskonačnog broja alela (*infinite allele model*; IAM); TPM - dvofazni model (*two-phase model*; TPM); SMM - model postupnih mutacija (*stepwise mutation model*; SMM)

$P(E)$ - Signifikantnost Wilcoxonovog testa za suvišak heterozigotnosti u odnosu heterozigotnost populacije koja je u ravnoteži mutacija i pomaka ($H_E > H_{EQ}$): genetsko usko grlo

$P(D)$ - Signifikantnost Wilcoxonovog testa za nedostatak heterozigotnosti u odnosu heterozigotnost populacije koja je u ravnoteži mutacija i pomaka ($H_E < H_{EQ}$): populacija u ekspanziji


Tablica 3. Signifikantnost Wilcoxonovog testa za suvišak [P(E)] i nedostatak [P(D)] heterozigotnosti u odnosu na heterozigotnost populacije koja je u ravnoteži mutacija i pomaka na temelju tri mutacijska modela (IAM, TPM, SMM) kod dvije populacije kratkozupčaste kadulje (*Salvia brachyodon* Vandas)

| Populacija | IAM | IAM | TPM | TPM | SMM | SMM |
|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| | P(D) | P(E) | P(D) | P(E) | P(D) | P(E) |
| Pelješac | 1.000 | 0.002 | 0.986 | 0.020 | 0.156 | 0.875 |
| Orjen | 0.996 | 0.006 | 0.902 | 0.125 | 0.422 | 0.629 |


IAM - model beskonačnog broja alela (*infinite allele model*; IAM); TPM - dvofazni model (*two-phase model*; TPM); SMM - model postupnih mutacija (*stepwise mutation model*; SMM)

$P(E)$ - Signifikantnost Wilcoxonovog testa za suvišak heterozigotnosti u odnosu heterozigotnost populacije koja je u ravnoteži mutacija i pomaka ($H_E > H_{EQ}$): genetsko usko grlo


$P(D)$ - Signifikantnost Wilcoxonovog testa za nedostatak heterozigotnosti u odnosu heterozigotnost populacije koja je u ravnoteži mutacija i pomaka ($H_E < H_{EQ}$): populacija u ekspanziji



Slika 1. Neighbour Net diagram 79 istraživanih jedinki *S.officinalis*, *S. fruticosa* i njihovog potencijalnog križanca na otoku Visu



Slika 2. Struktura izvornih populacija na temelju Bayesovske analize pomoću programa STRUCTURE pri $K = 2$: svaka je jedinka predstavljena stupcem, a boja odgovara postotku gena (Q) jedinke koji potječe iz određene izvorne populacije



Slika 3. Nezakorijenjeno stablo izrađeno na temelju matrice genetske udaljenosti između 180 jedinki kratkozupčaste kadulje (*Salvia brachyodon* Vandas). Vrijednosti bootstrap veće od 50% dobivene na temelju 1000 pseudo ponavljanja označene su na pojedinim granama

ZNANSTVENI RADOVI

ŽIVOTOPIS

OSOBNI PODACI

Ime i prezime: Ivan Radosavljević
Adresa: Gornji Draganec 63, 43245 Gornji Draganec
Mobilni telefon: 099 83 53 230
E-mail: ivanrad@biol.pmf.hr
Datum i mjesto rođenja: 22.12.1981.g., Zagreb

OBRAZOVANJE

2000.-2005.: PMF Sveučilišta u Zagrebu, Biološki odsjek
- smjer: dipl.prof.biologije i kemije
1996.-2000.: Srednja škola: Škola za medicinske sestre
Mlinarska
- medicinski tehničar
1988.-1996.: Osnovna škola "Gustav Krklec", Zagreb

RADNO ISKUSTVO

2007.g. – danas: znanstveni novak, Prirodoslovno-matematički fakultet Sveučilišta u Zagrebu, Biološki odsjek, Botanički zavod, Rooseveltov trg 6, HR – 10000 Zagreb. Suradnik na projektu "Filogenija i genetska raznolikost endemičnih biljaka dinarsko-jadranskog krša"

2007.g. – danas: Sudjelovanje u sveučilišnoj nastavi (praktikum) iz kolegija; Kormofita, Sistematska botanika, Filogenija i molekularna sistematika, Botanika i Terenska nastava iz botanike.

PUBLIKACIJE

Znanstveni radovi u časopisima citiranim u Current Contents bazi

Molecular Ecology Resources Primer Development Consortium; An J, Bechet A, Berggren A, Brwon SK, Bruford MW, Cai Q, Cassel-Lundhagen A, Cezilly F, Chen SL...Radosavljević... i sur. (2010) Permanent genetic resources added to molecular ecology resources database 1 October 2009–30 November 2009. Mol. Ecol. Resour. 10: 404–408.

Radosavljević I, Satovic Z, Jakse J, Javornik B, Greguraš D, Jug-Dujaković M, Liber Z (2012) Development of new microsatellite markers for *Salvia officinalis* L. and its potential use in conservation-genetic studies of narrow endemic *Salvia brachyodon* Vandas. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 13: 12082-12093.

Radosavljević I, Jakse J, Javornik B, Satovic Z, Liber Z (2011) New microsatellite markers for *Salvia officinalis* (Lamiaceae) and cross-amplification in closely related species. *Am. J. Bot.* 98: 316–318.

Zbornici radova međunarodnih znanstvenih skupova

Posterska priopćenja

1. Radosavljević, Ivan; Bogdanović, Sandro; Šatović, Zlatko; Liber, Zlatko. Natural hybridization between *Salvia officinalis* L. and *Salvia fruticosa* Mill. (Lamiaceae) as revealed by microsatellite markers // International Symposium on "Evolution of Balkan Biodiversity" / Rešetnik, Ivana ; Bogdanović, Sandro ; Alegro, Antun (ur.). Zagreb : BalkBioDiv Consortium and Croatian Botanical Society, 2012. 65-65 (poster, međunarodna recenzija, sažetak, znanstveni).
2. Šatović, Zlatko; Baričević, Dea; Batir Rusu, Diana; Carović-Stanko, Klaudija; Chatzopoulou, Paschalina; Dajić-Stevanović, Zora; Goncariuc, Maria; Grdiša, Martina; Greguraš, Danijela; Ibraliu, Alban; Jug-Dujaković, Marija; Krasniqi, Elez; Murtić, Senad; Pećanac, Dragana; Radosavljević, Ivan; Stefkov, Gjoshë; Stešević, Danijela; Varbanova, Kana; Liber, Zlatko. Genetic structure of Dalmatian sage (*Salvia officinalis* L.) populations // Book of Abstracts, 7th Conference on Medicinal and Aromatic Plants of Southeast European Countries / Marković, Tatjana (ur.). Beograd : Institute for Medicinal and Aromatic Plants Research 'Dr Josif Pančić', 2012. 5-5 (predavanje, međunarodna recenzija, sažetak, znanstveni).
3. Šatović, Zlatko; Liber, Zlatko; Jug-Dujaković, Marija; Radosavljević, Ivan; Greguraš, Danijela; Ristić, Mihailo; Pljevljakušić, Dejan; Dajić-Stevanović, Zora; Gunjača, Jerko. Association mapping of essential oil components in Dalmatian sage (*Salvia officinalis* L.) // XVth Meeting of the EUCARPIA Section - Biometrics in Plant Breeding - Programme, Information, Abstracts / Piepho, Hans-Peter (ur.). Stuttgart - Hohenheim : Universität Hohenheim, 2012. 76-76 (poster, međunarodna recenzija, sažetak, znanstveni).
4. Šatović, Zlatko; Liber, Zlatko; Belaj, Angjelina; Radosavljević, Ivan; Šindrak, Zoran; Benčić, Đani. Genetska raznolikost hrvatskih kultivara maslina // Zbornik sažetaka 46. hrvatskog i 6. međunarodnog simpozija agronoma / Pospišil, Milan (ur.). Zagreb : Sveučilište u Zagrebu, Agronomski fakultet, 2011. 98-99 (poster, međunarodna recenzija, sažetak, znanstveni).
5. Greguraš, Danijela; Šatović, Zlatko; Jug-Dujaković, Marija; Radosavljević, Ivan; Liber, Zlatko. Genetic diversity in Croatian populations of Dalmatian sage (*Salvia officinalis* L.) // Book of Abstracts of Third Croatian Botanical Congress / Jasprica, N. ; Pandža, M.; Milović, M. (ur.). Zagreb: Hrvatsko botaničko društvo, 2010. 78-79 (poster, međunarodna recenzija, sažetak, znanstveni).

6. Radosavljević, Ivan; Jakše, Jernej; Šatović, Zlatko; Javornik, Branka; Liber, Zlatko. Development, characterization and cross-amplification of microsatellite markers from common sage (*Salvia officinalis* L., Lamiaceae) // Book of Abstracts of Third Croatian Botanical Congress / Jasprica, N. ; Pandža, M. ; Milović, M. (ur.). Zagreb : Hrvatsko botaničko društvo, 2010. 164-165 (poster,domaća recenzija,sažetak).

7. Greguraš, Danijela; Šatović, Zlatko; Pruša, Monika; Radosavljević, Ivan; Liber, Zlatko. Genetička raznolikost i klonalna struktura populacija kratkozupčaste kadulje (*Salvia brachyodon* Vandas)// Book of Abstracts of 11th Croatian Biological Congress/ Jelaska, S.D.; Klobučar, G.I.V.; Šerić Jelaska, L.; Leljak Levanić, D.; Lukša, Ž. (ur.). Zagreb: Hrvatsko biološko društvo, 2012. 155-156 (poster, međunarodna recenzija, sažetak, znanstveni).

USAVRŠAVANJE

| | |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| Veljača – travanj 2008.g. | Znanstveni boravak, Biotehniška fakulteta Univerze v Ljubljani, Ljubljana, Slovenija |
| Studeni 2011.g. – veljača 2012.g. | Znanstveni boravak, Biotehniška fakulteta Univerze v Ljubljani, Ljubljana, Slovenija |