

Cross-correlations between volume change and price change

Podobnik, Boris; Horvatić, Davor; Petersen, Alexander M.; Stanley, H. Eugene

Source / Izvornik: **Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2009, 106, 22079 - 22084**

Journal article, Published version

Rad u časopisu, Objavljena verzija rada (izdavačev PDF)

<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0911983106>

Permanent link / Trajna poveznica: <https://urn.nsk.hr/urn:nbn:hr:217:581748>

Rights / Prava: [In copyright](#) / [Zaštićeno autorskim pravom.](#)

Download date / Datum preuzimanja: **2024-12-31**



Repository / Repozitorij:

[Repository of the Faculty of Science - University of Zagreb](#)



Cross-correlations between volume change and price change

Boris Podobnik^{a,b,c,1}, Davor Horvatic^d, Alexander M. Petersen^a, and H. Eugene Stanley^{a,1}

^aCenter for Polymer Studies and Department of Physics, Boston University, Boston, MA 02215; ^bZagreb School of Economics and Management, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia; ^cFaculty of Civil Engineering, University of Rijeka, 51000 Rijeka, Croatia; and ^dFaculty of Science, University of Zagreb, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia

Contributed by H. Eugene Stanley, October 22, 2009 (sent for review September 19, 2009)

In finance, one usually deals not with prices but with growth rates R , defined as the difference in logarithm between two consecutive prices. Here we consider not the trading volume, but rather the volume growth rate \tilde{R} , the difference in logarithm between two consecutive values of trading volume. To this end, we use several methods to analyze the properties of volume changes $|\tilde{R}|$, and their relationship to price changes $|R|$. We analyze 14,981 daily recordings of the Standard and Poor's (S & P) 500 Index over the 59-year period 1950–2009, and find power-law cross-correlations between $|R|$ and $|\tilde{R}|$ by using detrended cross-correlation analysis (DCCA). We introduce a joint stochastic process that models these cross-correlations. Motivated by the relationship between $|R|$ and $|\tilde{R}|$, we estimate the tail exponent $\tilde{\alpha}$ of the probability density function $P(|\tilde{R}|) \sim |\tilde{R}|^{-1-\tilde{\alpha}}$ for both the S & P 500 Index as well as the collection of 1819 constituents of the New York Stock Exchange Composite Index on 17 July 2009. As a new method to estimate $\tilde{\alpha}$, we calculate the time intervals τ_q between events where $\tilde{R} > q$. We demonstrate that $\bar{\tau}_q$, the average of τ_q , obeys $\bar{\tau}_q \sim q^{\tilde{\alpha}}$. We find $\tilde{\alpha} \approx 3$. Furthermore, by aggregating all τ_q values of 28 global financial indices, we also observe an approximate inverse cubic law.

econophysics | finance | volatility

There is a saying on Wall Street that “it takes volume to move stock prices.” A number of studies have analyzed the relationship between price changes and the trading volume in financial markets (1–14). Some of these studies (1, 3–6) have found a positive relationship between price change and the trading volume. In order to explain this relationship, Clarke assumed that the daily price change is the sum of a random number of uncorrelated intraday price changes (3), so predicted that the variance of the daily price change is proportional to the average number of daily transactions. If the number of transactions is proportional to the trading volume, then the trading volume is proportional to the variance of the daily price change.

The cumulative distribution function (cdf) of the absolute logarithmic price change $|R|$ obeys a power law

$$P(|R| > x) \sim x^{-\alpha}. \quad [1]$$

It is believed (15–18) that $\alpha \approx 3$ (“inverse cubic law”), outside the range $\alpha < 2$ characterizing a Lévy distribution (18, 19). A parallel analysis of Q , the volume traded, yields a power law (20–28)

$$P(Q > x) \sim x^{-\alpha_Q}. \quad [2]$$

To our knowledge, the logarithmic volume change— \tilde{R} and its relation to the logarithmic price change R —has not been analyzed, and this analysis is our focus here.

Data Analyzed

- A. We analyze the Standard and Poor's (S & P) 500 Index recorded daily over the 59-year period January 1950–July 2009 (14,981 total data points).
- B. We also analyze 1,819 New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) Composite members comprising this index on 17 July 2009, recorded at one-day intervals (6,794,830 total data points).

Both data sets are taken from <http://finance.yahoo.com>. Different companies comprising the NYSE Composite Index have time series of different lengths. The average time series length is 3,735 data points, the shortest time series is 10 data points, and the longest is 11,966 data points. If the data display scale-independence, then the same scaling law should hold for different time periods.

- C. We also analyze 28 worldwide financial indices from <http://finance.yahoo.com>, recorded daily.
 - (i) Eleven European indices (ATX, BEL20, CAC 40, DAX, AEX General, OSE All Share, MIBTel, Madrid General, Stockholm General, Swiss Market, FTSE 100),
 - (ii) Twelve Asian indices (All Ordinaries, Shanghai Composite, Hang Seng, BSE 30, Jakarta Composite, KLSE Composite, Nikkei 225, NZSE 50, Straits Times, Seoul Composite, Taiwan Weighted, TA-100), and
 - (iii) Five American and Latin American indices (MerVal, Bovespa, S & P TSX Composite, IPC, S & P 500 Index).

For each of the 1,819 companies and 28 indices, we calculate over the time interval of one day the logarithmic change in price $S(t)$,

$$R_t \equiv \ln \left(\frac{S(t+1)}{S(t)} \right), \quad [3]$$

and also the logarithmic change in trading volume $Q(t)$ (29),

$$\tilde{R}_t \equiv \ln \left(\frac{Q(t+1)}{Q(t)} \right). \quad [4]$$

For each of the 3,694 time series, we also calculate the absolute values $|R_t|$ and $|\tilde{R}_t|$ and define the “price volatility” (30) and “volume volatility,” respectively,

$$V_R \equiv \frac{|R_t|}{\sigma_R} \quad [5]$$

and

$$V_{\tilde{R}} \equiv \frac{|\tilde{R}_t|}{\sigma_{\tilde{R}}}, \quad [6]$$

where $\sigma_R \equiv ((|R_t|^2) - \langle |R_t|^2 \rangle)^{1/2}$ and $\sigma_{\tilde{R}} \equiv ((|\tilde{R}_t|^2) - \langle |\tilde{R}_t|^2 \rangle)^{1/2}$ are the respective standard deviations.

Methods

Recently, several papers have studied the return intervals τ between consecutive price fluctuations above a volatility

Author contributions: B.P., D.H., A.M.P., and H.E.S. designed research, performed research, analyzed data, and wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

¹To whom correspondence may be addressed: E-mail: hes@bu.edu or bp@phy.hr.

threshold q . The probability density function (pdf) of return intervals $P_q(\tau)$ scales with the mean return interval $\bar{\tau}$ as (31–33)

$$P_q(\tau) = \bar{\tau}^{-1} f\left(\frac{\tau}{\bar{\tau}}\right), \quad [7]$$

where $f(x)$ is a stretched exponential. Similar scaling was found on the intratrade time scale for $q = 0$ (34). In this paper, we analyze either (i) separate indices or (ii) aggregated data mimicking the market as a whole. In case i, e.g., the S & P 500 Index for any q , we calculate all the τ values between consecutive index fluctuations and calculate the average return interval $\bar{\tau}$. In case ii, we estimate average market behavior, e.g., by analyzing all the 500 members of the S & P 500 Index. For each q and each company, we calculate all τ_q values and their average.

For any given value of Q in order to improve statistics, we aggregate all the τ values in one dataset and calculate $\bar{\tau}$. If the pdf of large volatilities is asymptotically power-law distributed, $P(|x|) \sim |x|^{-1-\alpha}$, and $P(|\tilde{x}|) \sim |\tilde{x}|^{-1-\tilde{\alpha}}$, we propose an estimator that relates the mean return intervals $\bar{\tau}_q$ with α , where $\bar{\tau}_q$ is calculated for both case i and case ii. Because on average there is one volatility above threshold q for every $\bar{\tau}_q$ volatilities, then

$$1/\bar{\tau}_q \approx \int_q^\infty P(|x|) dx = P(|x| > q) \sim q^{-\alpha}. \quad [8]$$

For both case i and case ii, we calculate $\bar{\tau}_q$ for varying q , and obtain an estimate for α through the relationship

$$\bar{\tau}_q \propto q^\alpha. \quad [9]$$

We compare our estimate for α in the above procedure with the α value obtained from $P(|R| > Q)$, by using an alternative method of Hill (35). If the pdf follows a power law $P(x) \sim Ax^{-(1+\alpha)}$, we estimate the power-law exponent α by sorting the normalized returns by their size, $x_1 > x_2 > \dots > x_N$, with the result (35)

$$\alpha = (N - 1) \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \ln \frac{x_i}{x_N} \right]^{-1}, \quad [10]$$

where $N - 1$ is the number of tail data points. We employ the criterion that N does not exceed 10% of the sample size which, to a good extent, ensures that the sample is restricted to the tail part of the pdf (36).

A new method based on detrended covariance, detrended cross-correlations analysis (DCCA), has recently been proposed (37). To quantify power-law cross-correlations in nonstationary time series, consider two long-range cross-correlated time series $\{y_i\}$ and $\{y'_i\}$ of equal length N , and compute two integrated signals $Y_k \equiv \sum_{i=1}^k y_i$ and $Y'_k \equiv \sum_{i=1}^k y'_i$, where $k = 1, \dots, N$. We divide the entire time series into $N - n$ overlapping boxes, each containing $n + 1$ values. For both time series, in each box that starts at i and ends at $i + n$, define the “local trend” to be the ordinate of a linear least-squares fit. We define the “detrended walk” as the difference between the original walk and the local trend.

Next, calculate the covariance of the residuals in each box $f_{\text{DCCA}}^2(n, i) \equiv \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{k=i}^{i+n} (Y_k - Y'_{k,i})(Y_k - Y'_{k,i})$. Calculate the detrended covariance by summing over all overlapping $N - n$ boxes of size n ,

$$F_{\text{DCCA}}^2(n) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{N-n} f_{\text{DCCA}}^2(n, i). \quad [11]$$

If cross-correlations decay as a power law, the corresponding detrended covariances are either always positive or always negative, and the square root of the detrended covariance grows with time window n as

$$F_{\text{DCCA}}(n) \propto n^{\lambda_{\text{DCCA}}}, \quad [12]$$




Fig. 1. Autocorrelations and cross-correlations in absolute values of price changes $|R_t|$ of Eq. 3 and trading-volume changes $|\tilde{R}_t|$ of Eq. 4 for daily returns of the S & P 500 Index. (A) The cross-correlation function $C(R, \tilde{R})$ between R and \tilde{R} , and the cross-correlation function $C(|R|, |\tilde{R}|)$ between $|R|$ and $|\tilde{R}|$. (B) For $R(t)$, and $\tilde{R}(t)$, we show the rms of the detrended variance $F_{\text{DFA}}(n)$ for $|R|$ and $|\tilde{R}|$ and also the rms of the detrended covariance (37), $F_{\text{DCCA}}(n)$. The two DFA exponents $\lambda_{|R|}$ and $\lambda_{|\tilde{R}|}$ imply that power-law autocorrelations exist in both $|R|$ and $|\tilde{R}|$. The DCCA exponent implies the presence of power-law cross-correlations. Power-law cross-correlations between $|R|$ and $|\tilde{R}|$ imply that current price changes depend upon previous changes but also upon previous volume changes and vice versa.

where λ_{DCCA} is the cross-correlation exponent. If, however, the detrended covariance oscillates around zero as a function of the time scale n , there are no long-range cross-correlations.

When only one random walk is analyzed ($Y_k = Y'_k$), the detrended covariance $F_{\text{DCCA}}^2(n)$ reduces to the detrended variance

$$F_{\text{DFA}}(n) \propto n^{\lambda_{\text{DFA}}} \quad [13]$$

used in the detrended fluctuation analysis (DFA) method (38).

Results of Analysis

We first investigate the daily closing values of the S & P 500 Index adjusted for stock splits together with their trading volumes. In Fig. 1A, we show the cross-correlation function between $|R_t|$ and $|\tilde{R}_t|$ and the cross-correlation function between R_t and \tilde{R}_t . The solid lines are 95% confidence interval for the autocorrelations of an independent and identically distributed random variables (i.i.d.) process. The cross-correlation function between R_t and \tilde{R}_t is practically negligible and stays within the 95% confidence interval. On the contrary, the cross-correlation function between $|R_t|$

stock price changes, calculated for an “average” stock, is believed to follow $P(R) \approx R^{-(1+\alpha)}$ where $\alpha \approx 3$, as empirically found for a wide range of different stock markets (15, 17).

Next, we test whether this law holds more generally. To this end, we analyze the absolute values of price changes, $|R_t|$ (see Eq. 3), for five different levels of financial aggregation: (i) Europe, (ii) Asia, (iii) North and South America, (iv) the world without the U.S.A, and (v) the entire world. For each level of aggregation, we find that the average return interval $\bar{\tau}_q \sim q^{-3}$.

Model

In order to model long-range cross-correlations between $|R_t|$ and $|\bar{R}_t|$, we introduce a new joint process for price changes

$$\epsilon_t = \sigma_t \eta_t \tag{14}$$

$$\sigma_t^2 = \omega + \alpha \epsilon_{t-1}^2 + \beta \sigma_{t-1}^2 + \tilde{\gamma} \tilde{\epsilon}_{t-1}^2 \tag{15}$$

and for trading-volume changes

$$\tilde{\epsilon}_t = \tilde{\sigma}_t \tilde{\eta}_t \tag{16}$$

$$\tilde{\sigma}_t^2 = \tilde{\omega} + \tilde{\alpha} \tilde{\epsilon}_{t-1}^2 + \tilde{\beta} \tilde{\sigma}_{t-1}^2 + \gamma \epsilon_{t-1}^2. \tag{17}$$

If $\gamma = \tilde{\gamma} = 0$, Eqs. 14–17 reduce to two separate processes of ref. 41. Here, η_t and $\tilde{\eta}_t$ are two i.i.d. stochastic processes each chosen as Gaussian distribution with zero mean and unit variance. In order to fit two time series, we define free parameters $\omega, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}$, which we assume to be positive (41). The process of Eqs. 14–17 is based on the generalized autoregressive conditional heteroscedasticity (GARCH) process (obtained from Eqs. 14 and 15 when $\tilde{\gamma} = 0$) introduced to simulate long-range autocorrelations through $\beta \neq 0$. The GARCH process also generates the power-law tails as often found in empirical data (see refs. 15–18), and also Fig. 2B. In the process of Eqs. 14–17, we obtain cross-correlations because the time-dependent standard deviation σ_t for price changes depends not only on its past values (through α and β), but also on past values of trading-volume errors ($\tilde{\gamma}$). Similarly, $\tilde{\sigma}_t$ for trading-volume changes depends not only on its past values (through $\tilde{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\beta}$) but also on past values of price errors (γ).

For the joint stochastic process of Eqs. 14–17 with $\beta = \tilde{\beta} = 0.65$, $\alpha = \tilde{\alpha} = 0.14$, $\gamma = \tilde{\gamma} = 0.2$, we show in Fig. 5A the cross-correlated time series of Eqs. 15 and 17. In Fig. 5B, we show the autocorrelation function for $|\epsilon_t|$ and the cross-correlation function, which practically overlap because of the choice of parameters.

If stationarity is assumed, we calculate the expectation of Eqs. 15 and 17 and because, e.g., $E(\sigma_t^2) = E(\sigma_{t-1}^2) = E(\epsilon_{t-1}^2) = \sigma_0^2$, we obtain $\sigma_0^2(1 - \alpha - \beta) = \omega + \tilde{\gamma}\sigma_0^2$ and similarly $\tilde{\sigma}_0^2(1 - \tilde{\alpha} - \tilde{\beta}) = \tilde{\omega} + \gamma\sigma_0^2$. So stationarity generally assumes that $\alpha + \beta < 1$ as found for the GARCH process (41). However, for the choice of parameters in the previous paragraph for which $\sigma_0 = \tilde{\sigma}_0$ stationarity assumes that $\sigma_0^2(1 - \alpha - \beta - \tilde{\gamma}) = \omega$. This result explains why the persistence of variance measured by $\alpha + \beta$ should become negligible in the presence of volume in the GARCH process (10). In order to have finite σ_0^2 , we must assume $\alpha + \beta + \tilde{\gamma} < 1$.

It is also possible to consider integrated generalized autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity (IGARCH) and fractionally integrated generalized autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity (FIGARCH) processes with joint processes




Fig. 5. Cross-correlations between two time series generated from the stochastic process of Eqs. 14–17, with $\beta = \tilde{\beta} = 0.65$, $\alpha = \tilde{\alpha} = 0.14$, $\gamma = \tilde{\gamma} = 0.2$, and $\omega = \tilde{\omega} = 0.01$. In A, we show the time series ϵ and $\tilde{\epsilon}$ of Eqs. 14–17, where the latter time series is shifted for clarity. These two time series follow each other due to the terms $\gamma \neq 0$ and $\tilde{\gamma} \neq 0$. In B, we show the autocorrelation function $A(n)$ for $|\epsilon_t|$ and the cross-correlation function $C(|\tilde{\epsilon}_t|, |\epsilon_t|)$. The 95% confidence intervals for no cross-correlations are shown (solid lines) along with the best exponential fit of $A(n)$ (dotted curve).

for price and volume change, a potential avenue for future research (46).

Summary

In order to investigate possible relations between price changes and volume changes, we analyze the properties of $|\bar{R}|$, the logarithmic volume change. We hypothesize that the underlying processes for logarithmic price change $|R|$ and logarithmic volume change $|\bar{R}|$ are similar. Consequently, we use the traditional methods that are used to analyze changes in trading price to analyze changes in trading volume. Two major empirical findings are:

(i) we analyze a well-known U.S. financial index, the S & P 500 Index over the 59-year period 1950–2009, and find power-law cross-correlations between $|\bar{R}|$ and $|R|$. We find no cross-correlations between \bar{R} and R ; and

(ii) we demonstrate that, at different levels of aggregation, ranging from the S & P 500 Index to an aggregation of different worldwide financial indices, $|\bar{R}|$ approximately follows the same cubic law as $|R|$. Also, we find that the central region of the pdf, $P(|\bar{R}|)$, follows an exponential function as reported for annually recorded variables, such as gross domestic product (42, 43), company sales (44), and stock prices (45).

In addition to empirical findings, we offer two theoretical results:

(i) to estimate the tail exponent $\tilde{\alpha}$ for the pdf of $|\bar{R}|$, we develop an estimator which relates $\tilde{\alpha}$ of the cdf $P(|\bar{R}| > x) \approx x^{-\tilde{\alpha}}$ to the average return interval $\bar{\tau}_q$ between two consecutive volatilities above a threshold q (31); and

(ii) we introduce a joint stochastic process for modeling simultaneously $|R|$ and $|\bar{R}|$, which generates the cross-correlations between $|R|$ and $|\bar{R}|$. We also provide conditions for stationarity.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank the National Science Foundation and the Ministry of Science of Croatia for financial support.

1. Ying CC (1966) Stock market prices and volume of sales. *Econometrica* 34: 676–685.
2. Crouch RL (1970) The volume of transactions and price changes on the New York Stock Exchange. *Financ Anal J* 26:104–109.
3. Clark PK (1973) A subordinated stochastic process model with finite variance for speculative prices. *Econometrica* 41:135–155.
4. Epps TW, Epps ML (1976) The stochastic dependence of security price changes and transaction volumes: implications for the mixture-of-distribution hypothesis. *Econometrica* 44:305–321.
5. Rogalski RJ (1978) The dependence of prices and volume. *Rev Econ Stat* 60:268–274.

6. Cornell B (1981) The relationship between volume and price variability in future markets. *J Futures Markets* 1:303–316.
7. Tauchen G, Pitts M (1983) The price variability-volume relationship on speculative markets. *Econometrica* 51:485–505.
8. Grammatikos T, Saunders A (1986) Futures price variability: a test of maturity and volume effects. *J Business* 59:319–330.
9. Karpoff JM (1987) The relation between price changes and trading volume: A survey. *J Financ Quant Anal* 22:109–126.
10. Lamoureux CG, Lastrapes WD (1990) Heteroskedasticity in stock return data: Volume versus GARCH effects. *J Finance* 45:221–229.

11. Gallant AR, Rossi PE, Tauchen G (1992) Stock prices and volume. *Rev Financ Stud* 5:199–242.
12. Tauchen G, Zhang H, Liu M (1996) Volume, volatility, and leverage: A dynamic analysis. *J Economet* 74:177–208.
13. Gabaix X, Gopikrishnan P, Plerou V, Stanley HE (2003) A theory of power-law distributions in financial market fluctuations. *Nature* 423:267–270.
14. Gabaix X, Gopikrishnan P, Plerou V, Stanley HE (2006) Institutional investors and stock market volatility. *Q J Econ* 121:461–504.
15. Lux T (1996) The stable Paretian hypothesis and the frequency of large returns: An examination of mayor German stocks. *Appl Financ Econ* 6:463–475.
16. Gopikrishnan P, Meyer M, Amaral LAN, Stanley HE (1998) Inverse cubic law for the probability distribution of stock price variations. *Eur Phys J B* 3:139–140.
17. Gopikrishnan P, Plerou V, Amaral LAN, Meyer M, Stanley HE (1999) Scaling of the distributions of fluctuations of financial market indices. *Phys Rev E* 60:5305–5316.
18. Plerou V, Gopikrishnan P, Amaral LAN, Meyer M, Stanley HE (1999) Scaling of the distributions of price fluctuations of individual companies. *Phys Rev E* 60:6519–6529.
19. Mandelbrot BB (1963) The variation of certain speculative prices. *J Business* 36:394–419.
20. Gopikrishnan P, Plerou V, Gabaix X, Stanley HE (2000) Statistical properties of share volume traded in financial markets. *Phys Rev E* 62:R4493–R4496.
21. Plerou V, Stanley HE (2007) Tests of scaling and universality of the distributions of trade size and share volume: evidence from three distinct markets. *Phys Rev E* 76:046109.
22. Rácz É, Eisler Z, Kertész J (2009) Comment on “Tests of scaling and universality of the distributions of trade size and share volume: evidence from three distinct markets”.
23. Plerou V, Stanley HE (2009) Reply to “Comment on ‘Tests of scaling and universality of the distributions of trade size and share volume: evidence from three distinct markets’”.
24. Gopikrishnan P, Gabaix X, Amaral LAN, Stanley HE (2001) Price fluctuations, market activity and trading volume. *Quant Finance* 1:262–270.
25. Eisler Z, Kertész J (2005) Size matters: Some stylized facts of the stock market revisited. *Eur Phys J B* 51:145–154.
26. Eisler Z, Kertész J (2006) Scaling theory of temporal correlations and size-dependent fluctuations in the traded value of stocks. *Phys Rev E* 73:046109.
27. Farmer JD, Lillo F (2004) On the origin of power-law tails in price fluctuations. *Quant Finance* 314:C7–C11.
28. Plerou V, Gopikrishnan P, Gabaix X, Stanley HE (2004) On the origins of power-law fluctuations in stock prices. *Quant Finance* 4:C11–C15.
29. Ausloos M, Ivanova K (2002) Mechanistic approach to generalized technical analysis of share prices and stock market indices. *Eur Phys J B* 27:177–187.
30. Liu Y, et al. (1999) The statistical properties of the volatility of price fluctuations. *Phys Rev E* 60:1390–1400.
31. Yamasaki K, Muchnik L, Havlin S, Bunde A, Stanley HE (2005) Scaling and memory in volatility return intervals in stock and currency markets. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 102:9424–9428.
32. Wang F, Yamasaki K, Havlin S, Stanley HE (2006) Scaling and memory of intraday volatility return intervals in stock market. *Phys Rev E* 73:026117.
33. Wang F, Yamasaki K, Havlin S, Stanley HE (2008) Indication of multiscaling in the volatility return intervals of stock markets. *Phys Rev E* 77:016109.
34. Ivanov P Ch, Yuen A, Podobnik B, Lee Y (2004) Common scaling patterns in intraday times of U.S. stocks. *Phys Rev E* 69:056107.
35. Hill BM (1975) A simple general approach to inference about the tail of a distribution. *Ann Stat* 3:1163–1174.
36. Pagan A (1996) The econometrics of financial markets. *J Empirical Finance* 3:15–102.
37. Podobnik B, Stanley HE (2008) Detrended cross-correlation analysis: A new method for analyzing two nonstationary time series. *Phys Rev Lett* 100:084102.
38. Peng C K, et al. (1994) Mosaic organization of DNA nucleotides. *Phys Rev E* 49:1685–1689.
39. Hu K, Ivanov PCh, Chen Z, Carpena P, Stanley HE (2001) Effect of trends on detrended fluctuation analysis. *Phys Rev E* 64:011114.
40. Ding Z, Engle RF, Granger CWJ (1993) A long memory property of stock market returns and a new model. *J Empirical Finance* 1:83–106.
41. Bollerslev T (1986) Generalized autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity. *J Economet* 31:307–327.
42. Lee Y, Amaral LAN, Canning D, Meyer M, Stanley HE (1998) Universal features in the growth dynamics of complex organizations. *Phys Rev Lett* 81:3275–3278.
43. Podobnik B, et al. (2008) Size-dependent standard deviation for growth rates: Empirical results and theoretical modeling. *Phys Rev E* 77:056102.
44. Stanley M H R, et al. (1996) Scaling behavior in the growth of companies. *Nature* 379:804–806.
45. Podobnik B, Horvatic D, Petersen AM, Stanley HE (2009) Quantitative relations between risk, return and firm size. *Europhys Lett* 85:50003.
46. Bollerslev T, Mikkelsen HO (1996) Modeling and pricing long memory in stock market volatility. *J Economet* 73:151–184.