Size distribution of FeNiB nanoparticles
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Abstract. Two samples of amorphous nanoparticles FeNiB, one of them with SiO\textsubscript{2} sheath around the core and one without, were investigated by transmission electron microscopy and magnetic measurements. The coating gives mean particle diameters of 4.3 nm compared to 7.2 nm for the uncoated particles. Magnetic measurements prove superparamagnetic behaviour above 160 K (350 K) for the coated (uncoated) sample. With use of effective anisotropy constant $K_{\text{eff}}$ – determined from hysteresis loops – size distributions are determined both from ZFC curves, as well as from relaxation measurements. Both are in good agreement and are very similar for both samples. Comparison with the size distribution determined from TEM pictures shows that magnetic clusters consist of only few physical particles.

1 Introduction

Ultrafine magnetic particles are intensively investigated because of their special magnetic properties emerging due to the confined geometry and modified chemical bonding on surfaces.\textsuperscript{[1,2]} Generally, their large surface area and surface energy make them good candidates for catalysts, as well as for producing media for higher density magnetic recording by special design of their surface through chemical engineering, and for some electromagnetic, environmental and medical applications.\textsuperscript{[1]} However, from fundamental aspects, it is very interesting to investigate the complex magnetism appearing after carefully chosen preparation conditions and composition, leading to different size, saturation of magnetization and magnetic anisotropy.\textsuperscript{[1,2]}

Amorphous magnetic nanoparticles are of special interest in this context, because the absence of crystalline order removes the corresponding anisotropy, and allows to influence stronger the disorder at the surface.\textsuperscript{[3]} Their lower anisotropy than in crystalline state is useful e.g. in enhancement of superconductor properties\textsuperscript{[4]}, as well as in other cases where anisotropy is not wanted.

Transition metal – boron nanoparticles were investigated rarely in the past (much less than spinels and ferrites) in FeNiB samples, concentrate mainly on surface contribution to enhancement of magnetization and anisotropy\textsuperscript{[5,6]}, as well as in CoNiB.\textsuperscript{[3]} Here we investigated FeNiB amorphous particles and the influence of SiO\textsubscript{2} coating on their magnetic properties.

2 Experimental

Amorphous FeNiB nanoparticles were synthesised by chemical reduction of metallic salts.\textsuperscript{[7]} Ni(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2} and Fe(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3} were dissolved in ethanol and reducing agent KBH\textsubscript{4} was dissolved in water. Immediate addition of 50 ml ethanol containing 0.1 ml tetraetoxysilan to part of the thus obtained material gave FeNiB particles which are coated with the SiO\textsubscript{2} shell.\textsuperscript{[8]}

Structure and composition were investigated by X-ray and FEI TECNAI FZO TEM measurements. A 5 T MPMS5 SQUID magnetometer from Quantum Design was used for measurement of field and temperature dependence of magnetization in temperature range 2 to 350 K. Time dependence of magnetic moment was measured for up to 30 min in a QD 9 T PPMS VSM.

3 Results

3.1 Structure

From X-ray diffraction pure amorphous structure for both compounds is concluded. This is confirmed for the FeNiB/SiO\textsubscript{2} compound by TEM diffraction patterns. In
case of the uncoated FeNiB a fraction of less than 6% of crystallites with mean diameter of 2.3 ± 0.2 nm is present. Figure 1 shows TEM pictures for both samples. Particles agglomerate to uncoated FeNiB (figure 1 left). In case of coated FeNiB separation of particles by SiO$_2$ is clearly visible in the HRTEM pictures (figure 1 right). Determination of particle sizes gives log-normal distribution with mean diameter around 4.3 nm for the coated sample, whereas for the uncoated sample the distribution is more Gaussian-like with a mean diameter of 7.2 nm. EELS measurements indicate that within a few percent deviation the Fe to Ni to B ratio is 1:1:1 in both samples. More than 50% of the atoms are oxygen. The obtained ratio of the L$_3$ to L$_2$ edge peak for Fe and Ni was found to be 2.3 and 2.0 for the uncoated FeNiB, whereas for FeNiB/SiO$_2$ the respective values are <2 and <1.4. This indicates that both Fe and Ni are partly oxidized in FeNiB but unoxidized in FeNiB/SiO$_2$. [9]

### 3.2 Magnetic measurements

Due to small size, particles are expected to be magnetically single domains. Magnetic behaviour is therefore expected to show superparamagnetism. [10] Temperature dependence of magnetization was determined both in zero-field-cooled (ZFC) and in field-cooled (FC) mode for different applied fields (figure 2). Starting temperature was in both cases 2 K, and temperature 350 K. Shape of the curve is typical for superparamagnetic behaviour. In low fields both curves show maxima, which shift to lower temperatures with increasing field. Below a distinct temperature $T_B$ splitting of the curves appears. In the superparamagnetic picture $T_B$ can be interpreted as blocking temperature. An extrapolation to zero field gives values of $T_B > 350$ K for FeNiB and $T_B \sim 160$ K for FeNiB/SiO$_2$.

Hysteresis loops were measured in field range ±5 T (figure 3). This field values are large enough to reach the reversible part, but no saturation is reached even at highest fields, indicating that a linear term is superposed to a Langevin type trend. From the inner part of the hysteresis (insets figure 3) coercive field $H_c$ is determined. For coated FeNiB hysteresis becomes fully reversible ($H_c = 0$) above 160 K, whereas for uncoated compound hysteresis for all temperatures show irreversibility. This is in agreement with the $M(T)$ measurements and shows that hysteresis comes from the irreversibility caused by blocking of superparamagnetic moments below $T_B$. [10]

In full temperature regime, where irreversibilities are present, relaxation measurements were performed by cooling the samples in 10 mT to the target temperature. After field reversal to -10 mT time dependence of magnetic moment was recorded for 1 h. Resulting curves are in first approximation logarithmic in time (figure 4) allowing the calculation of a logarithmic relaxation rate [1] $S$ from $M(t) = M_0 - S \ln(t - t_0)$. $M_0$ is the initial
magnetization and \( t_0 \) the starting time of relaxation. Temperature dependence of the thus obtained logarithmic relaxation rate \([2]\) is shown in figure 5. A maximum of \( S(T) \) appears at 26 K for both samples. Below this temperature \( S \) decreases strongly. Extrapolation to zero temperature gives \( S(T) = 0 \), indicating that relaxation is a pure thermal activated process. [2] The rather flat tail at higher temperatures points to a broad distribution of barrier heights. [2]

### 3.3 Magnetic anisotropy and size distribution

Magnetic anisotropy in nanoparticles is present although their structure is amorphous. It is connected with surface contribution and explains the observed magnetic irreversibility. Application of the Stoner-Wohlfarth model gives the anisotropy density \( K = M_s H_c/2 \), where \( M_s \) is saturation magnetization and \( H_c \) coercive field. [11] Density of 7 gcm\(^{-3}\) is used to obtain the volume magnetization for \( M_s \). As an approximation, we used maximum magnetization, obtained at temperature of 2 K in field of 5 T. To obtain the magnetization for the magnetic FeNiB component, we used mass amounts of FeNiB in powder obtained from EELS analysis. The obtained anisotropy density is \( K = 1.1 \times 10^3 \text{ Jm}^{-3} \) and \( K = 9.5 \times 10^3 \text{ Jm}^{-3} \), for uncoated and coated particles, respectively. This is considerably lower than for usual crystalline particles of the similar sizes, and comparable to other amorphous nanoparticles. [2,12,13]

In case of superparamagnetic nanoparticles it is possible to extract their size distribution from magnetic measurements. Procedure is based on transitions of magnetic moments over the anisotropy barrier, for which the relaxation time is given by the Arrhenius law \( \tau = \tau_0 \exp(U/k_B T) \), with \( \tau_0 = 10^{10} \text{ s} \). [2,10] Below blocking temperature \( T_B \) the relaxation of particles statistically takes longer than single point measurement (therefore irreversibility is observed), so that the barrier height is obtained as \( U = 24 k_B T_B \) (\( \tau = 30 \text{ s} \) is used for SQUID measurements). Barrier height can be modelled by simple approximation \( U = K_{eff} V \) and from it the characteristic volume of the particles can be calculated when \( K_{eff} \) is obtained from other measurement, e.g. \( M(H) \). [11]

![Fig. 4. Relaxation of magnetization for some temperatures for FeNiB sample after cooling in field of 10 mT and reversal at measuring temperature to -10 mT.](image)

![Fig. 5. Temperature dependence of logarithmic relaxation rate \( S \) determined from \( M(t) = M_0 - S \ln(t/t_0) \) for coated and uncoated FeNiB samples.](image)

However, in powders always a distribution over barrier sizes is present. Since ZFC \( M(T) \) measurements count how many magnetic moments are unblocked up to temperature \( T \), i.e. for what amount of magnetization this \( T \) is equal to \( T_B \), the distribution of blocking temperatures in the sample is revealed as \( f(T_B) \sim d(T) M_{ZFC}(T)/dT \) (for details see [2,14]). Assuming spherical particles, \( T_B \sim D^3 \), so that \( f(T) \sim d(T) M_{ZFC}(T)/dD\,dD/dT \) gives the distribution over diameter \( f(D) = d(T) M_{ZFC}(T)/dD\,T^{2/3} \), where diameter is given by \( D = (6 \times 10^5 k_B T/\pi k_B)^{1/3} \).

Taking the obtained effective anisotropy density \( K_{eff} \) from \( M(H) \) analysis, the calculated \( f(D) \) distribution is shown in figure 6.

The distribution of magnetic particles over volumes can also be obtained from the performed relaxation measurements. Parameter \( S \) tells how many particles relax, while measuring at a specific temperature. [15] Therefore, after transforming the temperature domain of \( S(T) \) to diameter domain in \( S(D) \) using the same procedure as above, the distribution of particles over diameter is obtained and also shown in figure 6.

This procedure was successful in determination of magnetic unit sizes in different systems, from magnetic nanoparticles [14,15,16], to magnetic clusters in amorphous ribbon [17] and magnetic nano-regions in multiferroics. [18] Therefore, we believe this model is valid in case of presented amorphous nanoparticles.

### 4 Discussion

In both compounds, consistency is found between \( M(T) \) and \( M(H) \) curves, regarding their observed irreversibility ranges. Normalizing the magnetization to mass of FeNiB present in powders, the maximum magnetization at same conditions (2 K, 5 T) is 30.6 emu/g for uncoated, and 44 emu/g for coated FeNiB particles. Therefore coating with SiO\(_2\) leads to higher magnetic order. The temperature where irreversible behaviour appears is higher for the uncoated than for the coated sample, showing that anisotropy barriers are higher in uncoated case than in coated within the framework of blocking of superparamagnetic particles.

Geometric determination of the particle size distribution from TEM pictures give slightly different
indicating that several particles are magnetically coupled, particle size distributions are for both samples at higher performed as described above. Magnetically determined SiO2 sheath, magnetic coupling between particles still that although the grains are better separated due to the distribution function is around 19 nm. This implies mean value and shape. For both, the centre of gravity of mean grain size distributions do only slightly differ in forming magnetic clusters. Magnetically determined for amorphous materials. [2,12,13] Therefore, obtained from the effective magnetic anisotropy density calculated as 4.3 nm is found (figure 6). If those diameters are used, whereas for the coated FeNiB/SiO2 compound a Gaussian-like distribution with a mean value of 7.2 nm is results for the two samples. For the uncoated FeNiB a Gaussian-like distribution with a mean value of 7.2 nm is obtained, whereas for the coated FeNiB/SiO2 compound a log-normal distribution with smaller mean particle size of 4.3 nm is found (figure 6). If those diameters are used, the effective magnetic anisotropy density calculated as \( K_{\text{eff}} = U/V \) where \( U = 24k_B T_\text{th} \) comes in the range of \( 10^7 \text{ J m}^{-3} \), which is unrealistically high, even impossible for amorphous materials. [2,12,13] Therefore, \( K_{\text{eff}} \) obtained from \( M(H) \) is used as realistic one, and analysis performed as described above. Magnetically determined particle size distributions are for both samples at higher mean values than the geometrically determined ones, indicating that several particles are magnetically coupled, forming magnetic clusters. Magnetically determined mean grain size distributions do only slightly differ in mean value and shape. For both, the centre of gravity of the distribution function is around 19 nm. This implies that although the grains are better separated due to the SiO2 sheath, magnetic coupling between particles still appears. This is caused by the lower anisotropy energy of the coated sample, which allows easier reorientation in applied field and the larger magnetization of this sample. The latter fact also indicates, that in the coated particles surface sheath is either thinner than for the uncoated particles and/or there is less moment disorder at the surface.

To summarize, we have investigated two samples of amorphous FeNiB nanoparticles, where in one sample the particles are coated by SiO2 sheath. This coating gives physically smaller particles, enlarged superparamagnetic region, and higher magnetic moments. Determination of anisotropy energy constant \( K_{\text{eff}} \) from the hysteresis loops gives a slightly higher value for the uncoated sample. Using these \( K_{\text{eff}} \) values magnetic grain size distributions were determined, which are for both samples very similar. Comparison with the geometrically determined distribution indicate that the magnetic units consist of only small number of physical nanoparticles.
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