Elliptic flow of muons from heavy-flavour hadron decays at forward rapidity in Pb-Pb collisions at √sNN=2.76 TeV

(ALICE Collaboration) Adam, J.; ...; Antičić, Tome; ...; Erhardt, Filip; ...; Gotovac, Sven; ...; Mudnić, Eugen; ...; ...

Source / Izvornik: **Physics Letters B, 2016, 753, 41 - 56**

Journal article, Published version Rad u časopisu, Objavljena verzija rada (izdavačev PDF)

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2015.11.059>

Permanent link / Trajna poveznica: <https://urn.nsk.hr/urn:nbn:hr:217:107248>

Rights / Prava: [Attribution 4.0 International](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) / [Imenovanje 4.0 međunarodna](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Download date / Datum preuzimanja: **2024-12-28**

Repository / Repozitorij:

[Repository of the Faculty of Science - University o](https://repozitorij.pmf.unizg.hr)f [Zagreb](https://repozitorij.pmf.unizg.hr)

[Physics Letters B 753 \(2016\) 41–56](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2015.11.059)

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.ScienceDirect.com/)

Physics Letters B

www.elsevier.com/locate/physletb

Elliptic flow of muons from heavy-flavour hadron decays at forward rapidity in Pb–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 2.76 TeV

.ALICE [Collaboration](#page-11-0) *-*

A R T I C L E I N F O A B S T R A C T

Article history: Received 15 July 2015 Received in revised form 19 November 2015 Accepted 20 November 2015 Available online 2 December 2015 Editor: L. Rolandi

Keywords: LHC ALICE experiment Pb–Pb collisions Heavy-flavour decay muons Elliptic flow

The elliptic flow, v_2 , of muons from heavy-flavour hadron decays at forward rapidity (2.5 \times *y* \times 4) is measured in Pb–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 2.76 TeV with the ALICE detector at the LHC. The scalar product, two- and four-particle *Q* cumulants and Lee–Yang zeros methods are used. The dependence of the *v*² of muons from heavy-flavour hadron decays on the collision centrality, in the range 0–40%, and on transverse momentum, p_T , is studied in the interval $3 < p_T < 10$ GeV/*c*. A positive $v₂$ is observed with the scalar product and two-particle *Q* cumulants in semi-central collisions (10–20% and 20–40% centrality classes) for the p_T interval from 3 to about 5 GeV/*c* with a significance larger than 3σ , based on the combination of statistical and systematic uncertainties. The *v*₂ magnitude tends to decrease towards more central collisions and with increasing p_T . It becomes compatible with zero in the interval $6 < p_T < 10$ GeV/*c*. The results are compared to models describing the interaction of heavy quarks and open heavy-flavour hadrons with the high-density medium formed in high-energy heavy-ion collisions.

© 2015 CERN for the benefit of the ALICE Collaboration. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Funded by SCOAP³.

1. Introduction

Experiments with ultra-relativistic heavy-ion collisions aim at investigating the properties of strongly-interacting matter at very high temperatures and energy densities. Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) calculations on the lattice predict, under these conditions, the formation of a Quark–Gluon Plasma (QGP), where color confinement vanishes and chiral symmetry is partially restored $[1-5]$. Heavy quarks (charm and beauty) are created in initial hard-scattering processes on a time scale shorter than the QGP formation time. Subsequently, they interact with the medium constituents via inelastic $[6,7]$ and elastic $[8-10]$ processes. Therefore, heavy quarks are regarded as effective probes of the QGP properties.

Heavy-quark energy loss due to in-medium interactions can be studied by means of the nuclear modification factor *R*_{AA}, defined as the ratio of the yield of heavy-flavour particles measured in nucleus–nucleus (AA) collisions to that observed in proton– proton (pp) collisions scaled by the number of binary nucleon– nucleon collisions. The PHENIX and STAR Collaborations measured, in central Au–Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 200 GeV, a strong suppression corresponding to a R_{AA} of about 0.2-0.3 for heavy-flavour decay electrons at mid-rapidity (*y*) and transverse momentum p_T > 5 GeV/*c* [\[11–17\].](#page-10-0) A similar suppression was also measured by the STAR Collaboration for mid-rapidity D^0 mesons [\[18\].](#page-10-0) A significant suppression was also observed by the PHENIX Collaboration at forward rapidity for muons from heavy-flavour hadron decays in central Cu–Cu collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV [\[19\].](#page-10-0) At the LHC, the ALICE Collaboration reported a similar effect in central Pb–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 2.76 TeV for D mesons at mid-rapidity [\[20\]](#page-10-0) and muons from heavy-flavour hadron decays at forward rapid-ity [\[21\]](#page-10-0) in the interval $2 < p_T < 16$ GeV/*c* and $4 < p_T < 10$ GeV/*c*, respectively. The CMS Collaboration measured a significant suppression of non-prompt J*/ψ* from beauty-hadron decays in the interval $6.5 < p_T < 30$ GeV/*c* ($3 < p_T < 30$ GeV/*c*) and $|y| < 2.4$ $(1.6 < |y| < 2.4)$ [\[22,23\].](#page-10-0) A first measurement of non-prompt $]/\psi$ by the ALICE Collaboration at mid-rapidity (|*y*| *<* 0*.*8) and in the interval $4.5 < p_T < 10$ GeV/*c* has been recently published [\[24\].](#page-10-0)

Further insights into the QGP evolution and the in-medium interactions can be gained from the study of the azimuthal anisotropy of particles carrying heavy quarks which, in contrast to light quarks, have experienced the full system evolution. The study of azimuthal anisotropy is a field of intense experimental and theoretical investigations (see [\[25\]](#page-10-0) and references therein). In non-central collisions, the initial spatial anisotropy of the overlap region, elongated in the direction perpendicular to the reaction plane, defined by the beam axis and the impact parameter of the collision, is converted into an anisotropy in momentum space through rescatterings [\[26\].](#page-10-0) Experimentally, the study of the particle azimuthal anisotropy is based on a Fourier expansion of azimuthal distributions given by:

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2015.11.059>

⁻ E-mail address: alice-publications@cern.ch.

^{0370-2693/© 2015} CERN for the benefit of the ALICE Collaboration. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Funded by SCOAP³.

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 N}{\mathrm{d}p_{\mathrm{T}}\mathrm{d}\varphi} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\mathrm{d}N}{\mathrm{d}p_{\mathrm{T}}} \bigg(1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_n(p_{\mathrm{T}}) \cos[n(\varphi - \Psi_n)] \bigg), \tag{1}
$$

where φ and p_T are the particle azimuthal angle and transverse momentum, respectively. The Fourier coefficients, *vn*, characterize the anisotropy of produced particles and Ψ_n is the azimuthal angle of the initial-state symmetry plane for the *n*th harmonic, introduced to account for the event-by-event fluctuations of the initial nucleon density profile. The second Fourier coefficient, v_2 , which can also be expressed as $v_2 = \langle \cos[2(\varphi - \Psi_2)] \rangle$, is named elliptic flow.

The v_2 of heavy-flavour hadrons is expected to provide information on the collective expansion and degree of thermalization of heavy quarks in the medium at low p_T ($p_T < 2-3$ GeV/*c*). The participation of heavy quarks in the collective expansion is expected to give a positive v_2 [\[26\].](#page-10-0) Moving towards intermediate p_T (3 < p_T < 6 GeV/*c*), the v_2 Fourier coefficient is also expected to be sensitive to the presence of recombination processes in the hadronization of heavy quarks [\[27,28\].](#page-10-0) At high p_T ($p_T > 6$ GeV/*c*), the v_2 measurement can constrain the path-length dependence of the in-medium parton energy loss, which becomes the dominant contribution to the azimuthal anisotropy and is also predicted to give a positive v_2 [\[29,30\],](#page-10-0) thus complementing the R_{AA} measurement.

The PHENIX Collaboration reported a positive v_2 of heavyflavour decay electrons at mid-rapidity in Au–Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 200 GeV, reaching a maximum value of about 0.15 at $p_T = 1.5$ GeV/*c* in semi-central collisions [\[14,15,31\].](#page-10-0) A similar behavior was also observed by the STAR Collaboration [\[32\].](#page-10-0) Recently, a v_2 value significantly larger than zero was measured for D mesons at mid-rapidity in Pb–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 2.76 TeV [\[33,](#page-10-0) [34\].](#page-10-0) A complementary measurement at the same energy, provided by the heavy-flavour decay muon elliptic flow at forward rapidity $(2.5 < y < 4)$, is of great interest in order to provide new constraints for models that implement the heavy-quark interactions with the medium. Finally, the measurement is also important for the interpretation of the J*/ψ* elliptic flow results at forward rapidity [\[35\]](#page-10-0) in terms of a regeneration production from deconfined charm quarks in the medium.

In this Letter, we present the measurement of the elliptic flow of muons from heavy-flavour hadron decays at forward rapidity $(2.5 < y < 4)$ in Pb–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV recorded with the ALICE detector. The elliptic flow is measured using different methods: scalar product [\[36\],](#page-10-0) two- and four-particle *Q* cumulants [\[37,38\]](#page-10-0) and Lee–Yang zeros [\[39–41\].](#page-10-0) These methods exhibit different sensitivities to flow fluctuations and correlations not related to the azimuthal asymmetry in the initial geometry (nonflow effects). The v_2 coefficient is measured as a function of p_T in the interval $3 < p_T < 10$ GeV/*c* and in three centrality classes in the range 0-40%. The centrality dependence of v_2 is presented in the interval $3 < p_T < 5$ GeV/*c*.

The Letter is organized as follows. The ALICE detector, with an emphasis on the muon spectrometer, and the data sample are presented in Section 2. The analysis details, the methods for the v_2 measurement, the inclusive muon v_2 determination, the procedure for the subtraction of the background of muons from decays of light-flavour hadrons and the study of systematic uncertainties, are described in Section 3. The v_2 results for muons from heavyflavour decays are presented in Section 4 . The v_2 measurement in semi-central collisions as well as the published *R*_{AA} in central collisions are compared to model calculations in Section [5.](#page-8-0) Finally, conclusions are given in Section [6.](#page-9-0)

2. ALICE experiment and data sample

The ALICE detector is described in detail in $[42,43]$. The apparatus is composed of a set of central barrel detectors (pseudorapidity coverage $|\eta|$ < 0.9) located inside a solenoid magnet that generates a field of 0.5 T parallel to the beam direction, a muon spectrometer $(-4 < \eta < -2.5^1)$ and a set of detectors for event characterization and triggering located in the forward and backward *η* regions. The muon spectrometer consists of a passive front absorber made of carbon, concrete and steel, a beam shield, a 3 Tm dipole magnet, tracking chambers, a muon filter (iron wall) and trigger chambers. The muon tracking system is composed of five stations, each including two planes of cathod pad chambers, with the third station inside the dipole magnet. The muon tracking system is completed by four trigger planes of resistive plate chambers downstream of the iron wall, which absorbs hadrons that punch through the front absorber, as well as secondary particles produced inside it and low momentum muons ($p < 4$ GeV/*c*).

Two scintillator arrays (V0) covering the pseudo-rapidity intervals −3*.*7 *< η <* −1*.*7 and 2*.*8 *< η <* 5*.*1 are used for triggering, for collision centrality determination and for beam-induced background rejection. The Zero Degree Calorimeters (ZDC), located at 114 m from the centre of the detector on both sides, can detect spectator protons and neutrons and are also used for the offline rejection of beam-induced background and electromagnetic interactions. The Silicon Pixel Detector (SPD), that composes the two innermost layers of the Inner Tracking System (ITS), is used for the interaction vertex reconstruction. The Time Projection Chamber (TPC), which measures charged-particle tracks with full azimuthal coverage in $|\eta|$ < 0.9, is used in this analysis for the measurement of the reference particles (Section [3.1\)](#page-3-0).

The results presented in this Letter are obtained from the data sample recorded with ALICE during the 2011 Pb–Pb run. The data were collected with a minimum-bias trigger requiring the coincidence of signals in the two V0 arrays in synchronization with the passage of two crossing bunches. In addition, the recorded event sample was enriched with central and semi-central Pb–Pb collisions by applying thresholds, at the trigger level, on the V0 signal amplitude. The beam-induced background (beam–gas interactions) was reduced by using the timing information from the V0 and ZDC detectors. Furthermore, a minimal energy deposit in the ZDC was required to reject the contribution from electromagnetic Pb– Pb interactions. Only events with a reconstructed primary vertex within ± 10 cm from the nominal position of the interaction vertex along the beam direction are analyzed. The Pb–Pb collisions are classified according to their degree of centrality by means of the sum of the amplitudes of the signals in the V0 detector and the centrality classes are defined as percentiles of the total hadronic Pb–Pb cross section $[44]$. The analysis is carried out in three centrality classes: 0–10% (using the sample with trigger on central collisions), 10–20% and 20–40% (using the sample with trigger on semi-central collisions). The analyzed data sample corresponds to an integrated luminosity of 11.3 μ b⁻¹ in the 0–10% centrality class and of 3.5 μ b⁻¹ in the other two centrality classes.

3. Data analysis

The elliptic flow of muons from heavy-flavour hadron decays, $v_2^{\mu \leftarrow HF}$, is obtained from the measurement of the inclusive muon elliptic flow, v_2^{μ} , by subtracting the elliptic flow of muons from pri-

¹ In the ALICE reference frame, the muon spectrometer covers a negative *η* range and consequently a negative *y* range. In the following, given that the colliding system is symmetric, the results are presented with a positive *y* notation.

mary charged pion and kaon decays $v^{\mu\leftarrow\pi,K}_2$ (Sections 3.1 and [3.4\)](#page-4-0), as:

$$
v_2^{\mu \leftarrow HF} = \frac{v_2^{\mu} - f^{\mu \leftarrow \pi, K} \cdot v_2^{\mu \leftarrow \pi, K}}{1 - f^{\mu \leftarrow \pi, K}},
$$
\n(2)

where $f^{\mu \leftarrow \pi,K}$ is the muon background fraction, defined as the ratio of the yield of muons from primary charged pion and kaon decays to that of inclusive muons. The measurement of the $v_2^{\mu \leftarrow \text{HF}}$ coefficient is carried out in the interval $3 < p_T < 10$ GeV/*c* in order to limit the systematic uncertainty on the subtraction of the muon background contribution.

3.1. Track selection

The selection criteria for particles of interest, muon tracks, are similar to those used in the previous analyses of pp collisions at $√s = 2.76$ TeV and 7 TeV and Pb–Pb collisions at $√s_{NN} = 2.76$ TeV [\[21,45\].](#page-10-0) The tracks are required to be within the geometrical acceptance of the muon spectrometer, with $-4 < \eta < -2.5$ and $170° < \theta_{\text{abs}} < 178°$, where θ_{abs} is the polar angle measured at the end of the absorber. In order to improve the muon identification, a reconstructed track in the tracking chambers is required to match a track segment in the trigger chambers. This leads to a very efficient rejection of the background produced by charged hadrons, which are absorbed in the iron wall. Furthermore, a cut on the product $p \cdot DCA$ of the track momentum p and distance of closest approach (*DCA*) to the primary vertex is applied to remove the beam-induced background tracks and fake tracks coming from the superposition of several particles crossing the muon spectrometer. Due to multiple scattering in the front absorber, the *DCA* distribution of tracks coming form the interaction vertex is expected to be described by a Gaussian function, its width being dependent on the absorber material and proportional to 1/*p*. Background tracks have a very broad distribution in *p* · *DCA* and are effectively rejected by a cut at 6σ , where σ is extracted from a Gaussian fit to the *p* · *DCA* distribution measured in two intervals of θ_{abs} , corresponding to different materials in the front absorber. The relative momentum resolution of reconstructed tracks varies from about 1% to 4% for tracks with momentum between 20 GeV*/c* and 100 GeV/*c*. After the cuts are applied, in the region $p_T > 3$ GeV/*c* the residual background to heavy-flavour decay muons consists of muons from decays of primary charged pions and kaons² and it amounts to 5–15%, depending on p_T and on collision centrality (Section [3.4\)](#page-4-0).

The mid-rapidity charged-particle tracks used to determine the flow vector \dot{Q}_n or the generating function (Section 3.2) are called in the following reference particles. They are defined as tracks measured in the TPC in $|\eta|$ < 0.8. These are required to have at least 70 associated space points out of the maximum of 159, a *χ*² per degree of freedom (ndf) for the momentum fit in the range χ^2 /ndf < 2 and a transverse momentum value in the interval $0.2 < p_T < 5$ GeV/c. Additionally, tracks are rejected if their distance of closest approach to the primary vertex is larger than 3 cm in the plane transverse to the beam direction or in the longitudinal direction.

3.2. Flow analysis methods

The elliptic flow measurement is carried out using various methods that have different sensitivities to flow fluctuations and non-flow effects [\[46\].](#page-10-0) Flow fluctuations are mainly due to eventby-event fluctuations of the initial density profile, while non-flow effects correspond to correlations not related to the azimuthal anisotropy in the initial state, such as resonance decays, jets and Bose–Einstein correlations between identical particles. It is worth mentioning that, in the present analysis, most of these non-flow effects are strongly suppressed by introducing an *η* gap between reference particles and particles of interest [\[47\].](#page-10-0) In this analysis, the scalar product [\[36\],](#page-10-0) two- and four-particle *Q* cumulants [\[37,](#page-10-0) [38\]](#page-10-0) and Lee–Yang zeros [\[39–41\]](#page-10-0) methods are employed. The description of these methods will be limited to the features specific to the present analysis. The following notations are introduced: $v_2^{\mu(\mu \leftarrow HF)}$ {SP}, refers to the measurement using the scalar product, $v_2^{\mu(\mu \leftarrow \text{HF})}\{2\}$ and $v_2^{\mu(\mu \leftarrow \text{HF})}\{4\}$ correspond to the ones using the two-particle Q cumulants and four-particle Q cumulants, while $v_2^{\mu(\mu \leftarrow \text{HF})}\{LYZ\text{-}Prod\}$ and $v_2^{\mu(\mu \leftarrow \text{HF})}\{LYZ\text{-}Sum\}$ are obtain Lee–Yang zeros with product and sum generating functions. The superscripts μ and $\mu \leftarrow$ HF refer to inclusive muons and muons from heavy-flavour hadron decays, respectively. It is worth mentioning that these methods are more accurate than the standard event plane method, which yields a measurement lying between the event-averaged mean value and the root-mean-square value in the presence of flow fluctuations [\[48,49\].](#page-10-0) Moreover, the multiparticle correlation methods (four-particle *Q* cumulants and Lee– Yang zeros) are less affected by non-flow correlations than twoparticle correlation methods, but they cannot be used reliably when the muon flow magnitude is small and when the number of muons is small in the selected phase-space region e.g. in central and peripheral collisions, respectively [\[37,39\].](#page-10-0) Under these conditions, the scalar product and two-particle cumulant methods provide a v_2 measurement in a wider centrality range.

The scalar product method $[36,48]$, derived from the standard event plane technique $[48]$, is based on the measurement of the flow vector \tilde{Q}_n [\[36\]](#page-10-0) computed from reference particles. In order to determine the elliptic flow, the \overline{Q}_2 vector in a given event is expressed as:

$$
\vec{Q}_2 = \Big(\sum_{j=1}^N \cos 2\varphi_j, \sum_{j=1}^N \sin 2\varphi_j\Big),\tag{3}
$$

where φ_i is the particle azimuthal angle and *N* is the multiplicity of reference particles.

With this method the 2nd harmonic coefficient is given by:

$$
v_2{SP} = \frac{\langle \vec{Q}_2 \cdot \vec{u}_{2,i}(\eta, p_T) \rangle}{2\sqrt{\langle \vec{Q}_2^A \cdot \vec{Q}_2^B \rangle}},
$$
\n(4)

where the brackets in the numerator indicate the average over muons at forward rapidity, in all events. The vector Q_2 is calculated from Eq. (3) and the vector $\vec{u}_{2,i} = (\cos 2\varphi_i, \sin 2\varphi_i)$ is the unit vector of the *i*th muon. In the denominator, each sample of reference particles used to compute \overline{Q}_2 is divided into two sub-samples of same multiplicity in symmetrical η intervals, $-0.8 < \eta < -0.5$ and $0.5 < \eta < 0.8$, separated by a η gap of one unit of pseudorapidity, labeled with the superscripts A and B and the brackets correspond to the average over events.

The cumulant technique [\[37,38\]](#page-10-0) is based on a cumulant expansion of multi-particle azimuthal correlations. Different order cumulants have different sensitivities to flow fluctuations. In the present analysis, two- and four-particle cumulants are used to extract the muon elliptic flow. The results presented in the following are obtained from a direct calculation of multi-particle cumulants performed by using the *Q* -cumulant technique [\[38\],](#page-10-0) which is based on the moments of the magnitude of the flow vector \vec{Q}_2 . It is

² Note that the contribution of muons from secondary light hadron decays produced inside the front absorber is negligible for $p_T > 3$ GeV/*c* [\[45\].](#page-10-0)

worth mentioning that in this approach the cumulants are not biased by the interferences between various harmonics. The reference elliptic flow values V_2 evaluated from the 2nd order cumulant *c*2{2} and 4th order cumulant *c*2{4} with reference particles are given by $V_2\{2\} = \sqrt{c_2\{2\}}$ and $V_2\{4\} = \sqrt[4]{-c_2\{4\}}$, respectively. Once the reference elliptic flow is estimated, the muon elliptic flow with respect to the reference elliptic flow is obtained from the 2nd and 4th order cumulants according to:

$$
v_2\{2\} = \frac{d_2\{2\}}{V_2\{2\}} \text{ and } v_2\{4\} = \frac{d_2\{4\}}{V_2\{4\}^3},\tag{5}
$$

where d_2 {2} and d_2 {4} are the 2nd and 4th order cumulants of selected muons [\[38\].](#page-10-0)

The Lee–Yang zeros method [\[39–41\]](#page-10-0) relies on correlations involving all particles in the event. This is the limit of cumulants when the order of cumulants goes to infinity. The method is based on the location of the zeros in the complex plane, of a generating function of azimuthal correlations, which relates the position of the first minimum of the generating function to the magnitude of the reference elliptic flow V_2 defined as:

$$
V_2 \equiv \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^{M} \cos[2(\varphi_j - \Psi_2)] \right\rangle_{\text{events}},\tag{6}
$$

where *M* is the multiplicity of reference particles and the average is taken over all events. For this purpose, the following complexvalued generating function is evaluated as a function of a positive real variable *r* and few, typically five, equally spaced reference angles *ϑ* (LYZ-Prod method):

$$
G^{\vartheta}(ir) \equiv \left\langle \prod_{j=1}^{M} (1 + ir \cos[2(\varphi_j - \vartheta)]) \right\rangle_{\text{events}}.
$$
 (7)

The first positive minimum of $|G^\vartheta (ir)|$, denoted as r^ϑ_0 , allows one to estimate V_2^{ϑ} , which can be written as $V_2^{\vartheta} = j_{01}/r_0^{\vartheta}$, where $j_{01} \simeq$ 2.405 is the first root of the Bessel function. Once the first minimum r_0^{ϑ} is determined, the differential muon elliptic flow is estimated with respect to the reference flow V^ϑ_2 as detailed in [\[41\].](#page-10-0) Finally, the result is averaged over all ϑ angles. An alternative form of the generating function provided with the LYZ-Sum method is:

$$
G^{\vartheta}(ir) \equiv \left\langle \exp\left(ir \sum_{j=1}^{M} \cos[2(\varphi_j - \vartheta)] \right) \right\rangle_{\text{events}}.
$$
 (8)

The version of the method involving a product for the construction of the generation function (Eq. (7)) was designed to disentangle interferences between different harmonics, which is not the case with the generating function using a sum of the individual reference particle contributions. Both generating functions are used in this analysis.

Note that, for all methods, autocorrelation effects are avoided because the particles (muons) used in the determination of the flow are not included in the estimation of the reference flow.

3.3. Inclusive muon elliptic flow

The elliptic flow of inclusive muons, v_2^μ , is studied with twoparticle correlation methods (scalar product and two-particle *Q* cumulants) in the centrality intervals 0–10%, 10–20% and 20–40%. In the 20–40% centrality interval, the multi-particle correlation methods (four-particle *Q* cumulants and Lee–Yang zeros) are also used.

Several sources of systematic uncertainty affecting the muon elliptic flow measurement are considered. These take into account the changes due to the variations of the reference particle selection criteria as in [\[33,34,50\],](#page-10-0) to allow us to check the robustness of the v_2^{μ} measurement. Since the collision impact parameter distribution could slightly depend on the observable used for the centrality determination, a systematic uncertainty is estimated by repeating the analysis using the number of clusters in the outermost layer of the SPD and the number of tracks in the TPC as centrality estimators, instead of the V0 signal amplitude. The systematic uncertainty due to the effect of TPC tracks from different Pb–Pb collisions piled-up in the same recorded event is estimated by applying a tighter cut to remove outliers in the multiplicity distribution of reference particles. This is done by requiring that the centrality values determined using the V0 signal amplitude and the number of TPC tracks do not differ by more than 5%. An additional systematic uncertainty specific to the scalar product is evaluated by varying the *η* gap between the two sub-events from 1 to 0.8 *η*-units (see Eq. [\(4\)](#page-3-0) and [\[36\]\)](#page-10-0). The various systematic uncertainties are added in quadrature. They tend to increase with increasing p_T (see [Fig. 1\)](#page-5-0). A summary of the systematic uncertainties, in the interval $3 < p_T < 4.5$ GeV/*c*, is presented in [Table 1.](#page-5-0)

[Fig. 1](#page-5-0) shows the *p*_T-differential muon elliptic flow (v_2^{μ}) in the 0–10%, 10–20% and 20–40% centrality classes as obtained using the various methods. The values of v_2^{μ} slightly increase from central to semi-central collisions and this effect is more pronounced in the p_T interval $3 < p_T < 4.5$ GeV/*c*. The two-particle correlation methods (scalar product and two-particle *Q* cumulants) give consistent results over the whole p_T range, indicating that these methods have a similar sensitivity to non-flow effects³ and in particular to flow fluctuations. A similar agreement is found when comparing the multi-particle correlation methods (four-particle *Q* cumulants and Lee–Yang zeros) to each other. No significant difference between the v_2^{μ} results extracted with Lee–Yang zeros using either the sum or product generating function is seen, hence indicating that interferences between harmonics are negligible [\[51\].](#page-10-0) Moreover, four-particle *Q* cumulants give comparable results as Lee–Yang zeros. The four-particle *Q* cumulants and Lee–Yang zeros are expected to be less affected by non-flow effects than scalar product or two-particle *Q* cumulants [\[52\].](#page-11-0) However, as mentioned non-flow effects are expected to be negligible, even with twoparticle correlation techniques, due to the large *η* between reference particles and inclusive muons. Finally, the central values of v_2^{μ} obtained with four-particle *Q* cumulants or Lee–Yang zeros are systematically smaller than with two-particle correlation methods, although compatible within uncertainties. Such differences may indicate that initial fluctuations play a role in the development of the final momentum-space anisotropy.

3.4. Muon background subtraction

The subtraction of the muon background contribution to the measured v_2^{μ} requires an estimate of the elliptic flow of muons from charged pion and kaon decays, $v_2^{\mu \leftarrow \pi,K}$, and of the background fraction, $f^{\mu \leftarrow \pi,K}$ (see Eq. [\(2\)\)](#page-3-0). The determination of the $v_2^{\mu \leftarrow \pi,K}$ coefficient requires two steps. First, the p_T - and *η*-differential *v*² of charged particles measured in |*η*| *<* 2*.*5 by the ATLAS Collaboration in Pb–Pb collisions $[53]$ and the p_T distributions of charged pions and kaons measured in |*y*| *<* 0*.*8 by

 3 Note that, in this analysis, most non-flow correlations are suppressed, even with two-particle correlation methods since reference particles and inclusive muons are separated by at least 1.7 *η*-units. However, it is worth mentioning that the main difference between the two methods is the *η* gap between the two sub-samples used to compute \overline{Q}_2 (Eq. [\(4\)\)](#page-3-0) which also allows to partly remove non-flow effects.

\sim ----

Systematic uncertainty sources affecting the inclusive muon elliptic flow measurement in the 0–10%, 10–20% and 20–40% centrality classes for the interval $3 < p_T < 4.5$ GeV/*c*. They are given as a percentage of the v_2 value.

Fig. 1. p_T -differential inclusive muon v_2 in 2.5 < y < 4 and various centrality intervals, in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 2.76 TeV. The symbols are placed at the centre of the *p*^T interval and, for visibility, the points from two-particle *Q* cumulants and Lee–Yang zeros with product generating function are shifted horizontally. The vertical error bars represent the statistical uncertainty, the horizontal error bars correspond to the width of the bin (not shown for the shifted data points) and the open boxes are the systematic uncertainties. The p_T intervals used with the Lee–Yang zeros method are different with respect to the other methods. Upper panels: results from two-particle correlation flow methods (scalar product and two-particle *Q* cumulants) in the 0–10% (left) and 10–20% (right) centrality intervals. Lower panels: results in the 20–40% centrality interval from two-particle correlation flow methods (scalar product and two-particle *Q* cumulants) and from four-particle *Q* cumulants (left), and from four-particle *Q* cumulants and Lee–Yang zeros (right).

the ALICE Collaboration in pp and Pb–Pb collisions [\[54,55\]](#page-11-0) are extrapolated to forward rapidity. Then, the p_T distributions of muons from charged pion and kaon decays, needed to estimate $f^{\mu \leftarrow \pi,K}$ and $v^{\mu \leftarrow \pi,K}_2$, are generated according to a simulation taking into account the decay kinematics and the effect of the front absorber.

The p_T - and η -differential elliptic flow of charged particles in $|\eta|$ < 2.5, v_2^{ch} , is extrapolated to forward rapidity using:

$$
v_2^{ch}(p_T, \eta) = F(\eta) \cdot v_2^{ch}(p_T, 2 < |\eta| < 2.5),
$$
\n(9)

where $v_2^{\text{ch}}(p_T, 2 < |\eta| < 2.5)$ is the measured charged-particle elliptic flow in $2 < |\eta| < 2.5$ with the event plane method. Since

the $v_2^{\text{ch}}(p_{\text{T}})$ measured by the ATLAS Collaboration is affected by statistical fluctuations, it is assumed that in the interval 10 *<* $p_T < 20$ GeV/*c*, needed to simulate the decay muons up to $p_T =$ 10 GeV/*c*, v_2^{ch} remains constant with a value given by the one measured in the interval $10 < p_T < 12$ GeV/*c*. The extrapolation factor $F(\eta)$ is calculated by parameterizing the η -differential v_2^{ch} measured by the ATLAS Collaboration in various p_T intervals with a second order polynomial. In the interval $7 < p_T < 20$ GeV/*c*, the ATLAS v_2^{ch} does not show a dependence on η in $|\eta| < 2.5$. Therefore, for $p_T > 7$ GeV/*c*, $F(\eta)$ is computed as the average between a flat extrapolation function and the extrapolation factor obtained with the parabolic parameterization in $4 < p_T < 7$ GeV/*c*.

The mid-rapidity charged pion and kaon p_T distributions measured in Pb–Pb collisions are extrapolated to forward rapidity using the same strategy as in $[21]$ and summarized in the following. Assuming that the nuclear modification factor $R_{AA}^{\pi,K}$ of charged pions and kaons in Pb–Pb collisions does not depend on rapidity up to $y = 4$ [\[21,56\],](#page-10-0) the p_T distributions of charged pions and kaons at forward rapidity can be expressed as:

$$
\frac{dN_{\text{PbPb}}^{\pi,\text{K}}}{dp_{\text{T}}dy} = \langle T_{\text{AA}} \rangle \cdot \frac{d\sigma_{\text{pp}}^{\pi,\text{K}}}{dp_{\text{T}}dy} \cdot [R_{\text{AA}}^{\pi,\text{K}}(p_{\text{T}})]_{y=0},\tag{10}
$$

where $\langle T_{AA} \rangle$ is the average nuclear overlap function in centrality classes under study, estimated as described in [\[57\].](#page-11-0) The systematic uncertainty introduced by the assumption on $R_{\text{AA}}^{\pi, \text{K}}$ will be discussed later. The rapidity extrapolation of the mid-rapidity pion and kaon p_T -differential cross sections measured in pp collisions [\[21,58\]](#page-10-0) is done according to:

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \sigma_{\text{pp}}^{\pi,\text{K}}}{\mathrm{d}p_{\text{T}} \mathrm{d}y} = \left[\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \sigma_{\text{pp}}^{\pi,\text{K}}}{\mathrm{d}p_{\text{T}} \mathrm{d}y}\right]_{y=0} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{-y^2}{2\sigma_y^2}\right),\tag{11}
$$

σ^y being estimated from Monte-Carlo event generators (see [\[21\]](#page-10-0) for details).

The elliptic flow of muons from charged pion and kaon decays, $v_2^{\mu \leftarrow \pi, K}$, in 2.5 < *y* < 4 and in various centrality classes,⁴ is obtained by means of fast simulations using $v_2^{ch}(\eta, p_T)$ given by Eq. [\(9\)](#page-5-0) and charged pion and kaon p_T distributions as obtained from Eqs. (10) – (11) . The absorber effect is accounted for by rejecting the pions and kaons that do not decay within a distance corresponding to one interaction length from the beginning of the absorber. The simulation was repeated twice, considering that charged particles are either all pions or all kaons.

The background fraction, $f^{\mu \leftarrow \pi, \hat{k}}$, is calculated as the ratio of the p_T -differential yield of muons from charged pion and kaon decays in $2.5 < y < 4$ obtained in the simulation to the measured *p*T-differential yield of inclusive muons.

The systematic uncertainties affecting the estimated $v_2^{\mu \leftarrow \pi,K}$ are summarized in Table 2. They originate from i) the method used to measure the charged-particle v_2^{ch} in ATLAS, ii) the η and p_T extrapolation of v_2^{ch} and iii) the treatment of the charged-particle v_2^{ch} in the fast simulation procedure. As the event plane method was used for the v_2^{ch} measurement in ATLAS, the results range between the mean $(\langle v_2^{\text{ch}} \rangle)$ and R.M.S. $(\sqrt{\langle (v_2^{\text{ch}})^2 \rangle})$ of the true v_2^{ch} values due to fluctuations, depending on the event plane resolution which varies with the collision centrality [\[49\].](#page-10-0) According to a Monte-Carlo Glauber model [\[49\],](#page-10-0) the ratio $\sqrt{\langle v_2^2 \rangle} / \langle v_2 \rangle$ is expected

Table 2

Systematic uncertainty sources affecting the estimated $v_2^{\mu \leftarrow \pi,K}$ for the interval 3 < $p_T < 10$ GeV/*c*. They are stated as a percentage of the v_2 value. The given range reflects the dependence on the collision centrality.

Source	Systematic uncertainty (%)
Input v_2^{ch} bias v_2^{ch} η extrapolation	9
	$9 - 12$
v_2^{ch} high p_T extrapolation	$13 - 15$
π and K in fast simulations	≤ 1

to vary from about 1.06 to 1.15. Consequently, a conservative systematic uncertainty of 15% is applied to account for this bias and is propagated to $v_2^{\mu \leftarrow \pi,K}$. The systematic uncertainty due to the η extrapolation of v_2^{ch} is evaluated using several fit functions (first and third order polynomials, and Gaussian function) in the region $p_T < 7$ GeV/*c*, and for larger p_T values an additional systematic uncertainty due to the extrapolation procedure is considered. The latter is determined by comparing the results obtained with the two extrapolation functions used in the interval $p_T > 7$ GeV/*c*. The systematic uncertainty due to the assumption on v_2^{ch} in the region $p_T > 10$ GeV/*c* is estimated by varying v_2^{ch} between 0 and the value in $10 < p_T < 12$ GeV/*c* in the fast simulations. Such uncertainty affects mainly the high p_T region ($p_T > 7$ GeV/*c*). Finally, the systematic uncertainty obtained by treating charged particles separately as pions and kaons is found to be negligible. The various systematic uncertainty sources are propagated to the estimated $v_2^{\mu\leftarrow\pi,K}$ and added in quadrature.

The systematic uncertainty on $f^{\mu \leftarrow \pi,K}$, detailed in [\[21\],](#page-10-0) includes the uncertainty on the generated p_T distributions of muons from charged pion and kaon decays, and the uncertainty on the measured inclusive muon p_T distributions. The former originates from the input charged pion and kaon distributions, the rapidity extrapolation and the absorber effect. The systematic uncertainty on the measured inclusive muon yields contains the systematic uncertainty on detector response, residual mis-alignment and centrality dependence of the efficiency. This gives a total systematic uncertainty on $f^{\mu \leftarrow \pi,K}$ of about 21% in the interval 3 $< p_T <$ 4*.*5 GeV*/c* with almost no dependence on the collision centrality. Finally, as done for the measurement of the heavy-flavour decay muon *R*_{AA} [\[21\],](#page-10-0) the systematic uncertainty due to the unknown suppression of charged particles at forward rapidity is calculated by varying $f^{\mu \leftarrow \pi,K}$ from 0 to two times the estimated value. This corresponds to a variation of $R_{AA}^{\pi,K}(p_T)$ at forward rapidity from 0 up to two times $[R_{AA}^{\pi,K}(p_T)]_{y=0}$. This systematic uncertainty amounts to 10–30% in the interval $3 < p_T < 4.5$ GeV/*c*, depending on the collision centrality and the flow analysis method.

[Fig. 2](#page-7-0) presents the estimated background elliptic flow ($v_2^{\mu \leftarrow \pi, K}$, left) and background fraction ($f^{\mu \leftarrow \pi,K}$, right) as a function of p_T in the 0–10%, 10–20% and 20–40% centrality classes. The open boxes represent the systematic uncertainties previously discussed, except for the systematic uncertainty due to the unknown suppression of charged particles at forward rapidity which is treated separately. The estimated *^vμ*←*π,*^K ² and *^f ^μ*←*π,*^K decrease with increasing *^p*T. A decreasing trend of the magnitude of $v_2^{\mu \leftarrow \pi,K}$ from semi-central collisions towards central collisions is also observed.

Finally, the systematic uncertainty on the elliptic flow of muons from heavy-flavour decays, $v_2^{\mu \leftarrow HF}$, contains two contributions: the systematic uncertainties on $v_2^{\mu}, v_2^{\mu \leftarrow \pi, K}$ and $f^{\mu \leftarrow \pi, K}$ propagated according to the definition of $v_2^{\mu \leftarrow HF}$ given in Eq. [\(2\),](#page-3-0) and the systematic uncertainty due to the unknown suppression of charged particles at forward rapidity. The final systematic uncertainty on $v_2^{\mu \leftarrow HF}$ is obtained by adding in quadrature the two contributions.

⁴ The $v_2^{\mu-\pi,K}$ of muons from charged pion and kaon decays in the 20–40% centrality class is then obtained from the mean of the charged-particle v_2 in 20–30% and 30–40% centrality classes, with an additional systematic uncertainty provided by the difference with respect to the results in these two centrality classes.

Fig. 2. Estimated background v_2 ($v_2^{\mu \leftarrow \pi,K}$, left) and background fraction ($f^{\mu \leftarrow \pi,K}$, right) as a function of p_T in 2.5 < y < 4 and various centrality intervals, in Pb–Pb collisions at √*S*NN = 2.76 TeV. The symbols are placed at the centre of the *p*_T interval and, for visibility, the points for the centrality classes 10–20% and 20–40% are shifted horizontally. The horizontal error bars correspond to the width of the bin (not shown for the shifted values) and the open boxes are the systematic uncertainties. See the text for details.

Fig. 3. p_T -differential elliptic flow of muons from heavy-flavour decays, $v_2^{\mu\leftarrow HF}$, in 2.5 < y < 4 and various centrality intervals, in Pb–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_\text{NN}}$ = 2.76 TeV. The symbols are placed at the centre of the p_T interval and, for visibility, the points from two-particle Q cumulants and Lee-Yang zeros with product generating function are shifted horizontally. The meaning of the symbols is the same as in [Fig. 1.](#page-5-0) The horizontal error bars are not plotted for shifted data points. The p_T intervals used with the Lee–Yang zeros method are different with respect to the other methods. Upper panels: results from two-particle correlation flow methods (scalar product and two-particle *Q* cumulants) in the 0–10% (left) and 10–20% (right) centrality intervals. Lower panels: results in the 20–40% centrality interval from two-particle correlation flow methods (scalar product and two-particle *Q* cumulants) and from four-particle *Q* cumulants (left), and from four-particle *Q* cumulants and Lee–Yang zeros (right). See the text for details.

It amounts to about 12%–36% in the interval $3 < p_T < 4.5$ GeV/*c*, depending on the collision centrality and the flow analysis method.

4. Results

Fig. 3 presents the p_T -differential elliptic flow of muons from
heavy-flavour hadron decays, $v_L^{\mu} \leftarrow HF$, calculated with Eq. [\(2\).](#page-3-0) The
results are shown for the 0–10% (upper, left), 10–20% (upper, right) and 20–40% (bottom) centrality classes using the same flow methods as for the measurement of the inclusive muon elliptic flow [\(Fig. 1\)](#page-5-0). When comparing the results to those obtained for in-clusive muons [\(Fig. 1\)](#page-5-0), one can notice that $v_2^{\mu \leftarrow HF}$ and v_2^{μ} are similar due to the small background fraction (5% to 15%) in the p_T interval 3–10 GeV*/c*. The differences between the various methods are similar to those discussed for the measurement of the inclusive muon v_2^{μ} i.e. i) scalar product and two-particle Q cumulants give compatible results, ii) consistent results are also found with four-particle *Q* cumulants and Lee–Yang zeros, and iii) the $v_2^{\mu \leftarrow HF}$ values extracted from these multi-particle correlation methods are smaller, although still compatible within un-

Fig. 4. Elliptic flow of muons from heavy-flavour hadron decays as a function of the collision centrality in 2.5 $\lt y \lt 4$ and $3 \lt p_T \lt 5$ GeV/c, in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 2.76 TeV. The results are obtained with the horizontal error bars correspond to the width of the centrality bin. For visibility, the points from scalar product are shifted horizontally and the horizontal error bars are not plotted.

certainties, than the ones obtained with two-particle correlation methods. As mentioned in Section [3.3,](#page-4-0) such differences are expected if initial-state fluctuations play a role in the development of the final momentum-space anisotropy.

A positive $v_2^{\mu \leftarrow \text{HF}}$ is observed at intermediate p_{T} for the 20–40% and 10–20% centrality classes with a significance larger than 3*σ* when combining statistical and systematic uncertainties. In the 20–40% centrality class, the values of the significance in the in*terval* $3 < p_T < 4$ GeV/*c* ($4 < p_T < 5.5$ GeV/*c*) are 4σ (3.2*σ*) and 4*.*3*σ* (3*.*8*σ*) with scalar product and two-particle *Q* cumulants, respectively. In the 10–20% centrality class and in the interval $3 < p_T < 4.5$ GeV/c, the values of the significance correspond to 4*.*4*σ* both with scalar product and two-particle *Q* cumulants. This behavior results from the interplay between the significant interaction of heavy quarks with the expanding medium and the pathlength dependence of in-medium parton energy loss [\[29,30\].](#page-10-0) The $v^{\mu\leftarrow\text{HF}}_2$ of muons from heavy-flavour hadron decays decreases with increasing p_T and becomes compatible with zero in the high p_T region.

Fig. 4 shows the centrality dependence of the elliptic flow of muons from heavy-flavour hadron decays in the interval $3 < p_T <$ 5 GeV*/c*. It is investigated with scalar product and two-particle *Q* cumulants, which can be applied in a wider event-multiplicity (i.e. centrality) interval compared to multi-particle correlation methods. A significant decrease of the v_2 magnitude towards central collisions is observed. This is expected from the decrease of the initial spatial anisotropy from semi-central to central collisions.

ALICE has measured the elliptic flow of prompt D mesons in |*y*| *<* 0*.*8 in three centrality classes in the interval 0–50% with various two-particle correlation methods [\[33,34\].](#page-10-0) Similar trends as those reported here for muons from heavy-flavour decays are observed, although in different p_T and rapidity intervals. In particular, a positive v_2 was observed for D mesons in semi-central collisions in $2 < p_T < 6$ GeV/*c* with a significance of 5.7σ .

The positive elliptic flow of muons from heavy-flavour hadron decays has been observed in a p_T interval from 3 to about 5 GeV/ c where the charm contribution is expected to be dominant with respect to the beauty component according to perturbative QCD calculations [\[21\].](#page-10-0) This measurement supports the interpretation of the J/ψ positive v_2 at forward rapidity [\[35\]](#page-10-0) in terms of a significant contribution to J/ψ production from recombination of flowing charm quarks in the deconfined medium.

5. Comparison with models

The results presented in this publication may constrain models describing the interactions of heavy quarks with the medium via elastic (collisional) and inelastic (radiative) processes, and in particular the parton energy loss dependence on the path-length within the medium.

The elliptic flow coefficient and the nuclear modification factor of muons from heavy-flavour hadron decays [\[21\]](#page-10-0) are compared to the following three models. The MC@sHQ $+$ EPOS transport model [\[59\]](#page-11-0) treats the propagation of heavy quarks in the medium including collisional and radiative energy loss, within a 3 + 1 dimensional fluid dynamical expansion based on the EPOS model $[60,61]$. The hadronization of heavy quarks takes place at the transition temperature via recombination at low p_T and fragmentation at intermediate and high p_T . The final-state hadronic interactions are not included in the model. TAMU [\[62\]](#page-11-0) is a transport model including only collisional processes via the Langevin equation. The hydrodynamical expansion is constrained by p_T spectra and elliptic flow data of light-flavour hadrons. The hadronization is modeled including a component of recombination of heavy quarks with light-flavour hadrons in the QGP. The diffusion of heavy-flavour mesons in the hadronic phase is also included. BAMPS [\[63–65\]](#page-11-0) is a partonic transport model based on the Boltzmann approach to multi-parton scatterings. It includes collisional processes with a running strong coupling constant. The lack of radiative contributions is accounted for by scaling the binary cross section with a correction factor, tuned to describe the nuclear modification factor and elliptic flow results at RHIC energies. Vacuum fragmentation functions are used for the hadronization.

[Fig. 5](#page-9-0) shows a comparison of the three models with the measurement of the p_T -differential elliptic flow of muons from heavy-flavour hadron decays in the 20–40% centrality class (upper panel) and of the p_T -differential nuclear modification factor of muons from heavy-flavour hadron decays in the 0–10% cen-trality class [\[21\]](#page-10-0) (lower panel). In the interval $3 < p_T < 5$ GeV/c, the BAMPS model describes the $v_2^{\mu \leftarrow HF}$ data within uncertainties, while the TAMU and MC@sHQ+EPOS models give $v_2^{\mu \leftarrow HF}$ values lower than the data. The three models describe the $v_2^{\mu \leftarrow HF}$ data at higher p_T , although the sizeable experimental uncertainties affect the significance of the comparison. The BAMPS model tends to slightly underestimate the R_{AA} of muons from heavy-flavour decays in the 10% most central collisions, while the MC@sHQ+EPOS

Fig. 5. Upper panel: p_T -differential elliptic flow of muons from heavy-flavour hadron decays in 2.5 < y < 4, in Pb–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 2.76 TeV for the centrality class 20–40% compared to various transport model predictions: MC@sHQ + EPOS [\[59–61\],](#page-11-0) TAMU [\[62\]](#page-11-0) and BAMPS [\[63–65\].](#page-11-0) The TAMU model is shown with a theoretical uncertainty band. Lower panel: p_T -differential R_{AA} of muons from heavy-flavour hadron decays for the centrality class 0–10% from [\[21\]](#page-10-0) compared to the same models as for $v_2^{\mu \leftarrow HF}$.

model tends to overestimate it. The TAMU model describes the R_{AA} measurement over the entire p_T interval within uncertainties. These comparisons indicate that it is challenging to simultaneously describe the strong suppression of high- p_T muons from heavy-flavour hadron decays in central collisions and the azimuthal anisotropy in semi-central collisions. Similar trends are also observed in the mid-rapidity region from the comparison of the *R*_{AA} and v_2 of D mesons with model calculations [\[34\].](#page-10-0)

6. Conclusions

In summary, we have reported on a measurement of the elliptic flow of muons from heavy-flavour hadron decays at forward rapidity in central and semi-central Pb–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV with the ALICE detector at the LHC.

Measurements have been carried out using several methods which exhibit different sensitivity to initial-state fluctuations and non-flow correlations. The systematic comparison of scalar product, two- and four-particle *Q* cumulants and Lee–Yang zeros helps in understanding the processes that build up the observed differences between two-particle correlation methods and multi-particle correlation methods and suggests that flow fluctuations are significant.

The magnitude of the elliptic flow of muons from heavy-flavour hadron decays increases from central to semi-central collisions and decreases with increasing p_T , becoming compatible with zero at high p_T . The results indicate a positive elliptic flow with the scalar product and two-particle *Q* cumulants in semi-central collisions (10–20% and 20–40% centrality classes) for the p_T interval from 3 to about 5 GeV/*c* with a significance larger than 3*σ* . The elliptic flow in semi-central collisions and the previously published nuclear modification factor in the 10% most central collisions were compared with transport model calculations. These comparisons show that a simultaneous description of R_{AA} and v_2 over the whole p_T interval remains a challenge. The results reported in this Letter in various centrality classes may provide further important constraints to the models.

Acknowledgements

The ALICE Collaboration would like to thank all its engineers and technicians for their invaluable contributions to the construction of the experiment and the CERN accelerator teams for the outstanding performance of the LHC complex. The ALICE Collaboration gratefully acknowledges the resources and support provided by all Grid centres and the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid (WLCG) collaboration. The ALICE Collaboration acknowledges the following funding agencies for their support in building and running the ALICE detector: State Committee of Science, World Federation of Scientists (WFS) and Swiss Fonds Kidagan, Armenia; Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), Financiadora de Estudos e Projetos (FINEP), Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP); National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC), the Chinese Ministry of Education (CMOE) and the Ministry of Science and Technology of China (MSTC); Ministry of Education and Youth of the Czech Republic; Danish Natural Science Research Council, the Carlsberg Foundation and the Danish National Research Foundation; The European Research Council under the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme; Helsinki Institute of Physics and the Academy of Finland; French CNRS-IN2P3, the 'Region Pays de Loire', 'Region Alsace', 'Region Auvergne' and CEA, France; German Bundesministerium für Bildung, Wissenschaft, Forschung und Technologie (BMBF) and the Helmholtz Association; General Secretariat for Research and Technology, Ministry of Development, Greece; National Research, Development and Innovation Office (NKFIH), Hungary; Department of Atomic Energy and Department of Science and Technology of the Government of India; Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN) and Centro Fermi – Museo Storico della Fisica e Centro Studi e Ricerche "Enrico Fermi", Italy; Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) KAKENHI and MEXT, Japan; Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna; National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF); Consejo Nacional de Cienca y Tecnologia (CONACYT), Direccion General de Asuntos del Personal Academico (DGAPA), México, Amerique Latine Formation academique – European Commission (ALFA-EC) and the EPLANET Program (European Particle Physics Latin American Network); Stichting voor Fundamenteel Onderzoek der Materie (FOM) and the Nederlandse Organisatie voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek (NWO), Netherlands; Research Council of Norway (NFR); National Science Centre, Poland; Ministry of National Education/Institute for Atomic Physics and National Council of Scientific Research in Higher Education (CNCSI-UEFISCDI), Romania; Ministry of Education and Science of Russian Federation, Russian Academy of Sciences, Russian Federal Agency of Atomic Energy, Russian Federal Agency for Science and Innovations and The Russian Foundation for Basic Research; Ministry of Education of Slovakia; Department of Science and Technology, South Africa; Centro de Investigaciones Energeticas, Medioambientales y Tecnologicas (CIEMAT), E-Infrastructure shared between Europe and Latin America (EELA), Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad

(MINECO) of Spain, Xunta de Galicia (Consellería de Educación), Centro de Aplicaciones Tecnológicas y Desarrollo Nuclear (CEA-DEN), Cubaenergía, Cuba, and IAEA (International Atomic Energy Agency); Swedish Research Council (VR) and Knut & Alice Wallenberg Foundation (KAW); Ukraine Ministry of Education and Science; United Kingdom Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC); The United States Department of Energy, the United States National Science Foundation, the State of Texas, and the State of Ohio; Ministry of Science, Education and Sports of Croatia and Unity through Knowledge Fund, Croatia; Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), New Delhi, India; Pontificia Universidad Católica del Perú.

References

- [1] F. Karsch, Lattice simulations of the [thermodynamics](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4B61727363683A323030367873s1) of strongly interacting elementary particles and the [exploration](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4B61727363683A323030367873s1) of new phases of matter in relativistic heavy ion collisions, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 46 (2006) 122–131, [arXiv:hep](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4B61727363683A323030367873s1)[lat/0608003.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4B61727363683A323030367873s1)
- [2] [Wuppertal-Budapest](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib426F7273616E79693A323031306270s1) Collaboration, S. Borsanyi, et al., Is there still any T_c mystery in lattice QCD? Results with physical masses in the [continuum](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib426F7273616E79693A323031306270s1) limit III, J. High Energy Phys. 1009 (2010) 073, [arXiv:1005.3508](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib426F7273616E79693A323031306270s1) [hep-lat].
- [3] S. [Borsanyi,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib426F7273616E79693A32303130636As1) G. Endrodi, Z. Fodor, A. Jakovac, S.D. Katz, et al., The QCD equation of state with [dynamical](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib426F7273616E79693A32303130636As1) quarks, J. High Energy Phys. 1011 (2010) 077, [arXiv:1007.2580](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib426F7273616E79693A32303130636As1) [hep-lat].
- [4] A. Bazavov, T. [Bhattacharya,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib42617A61766F763A323031316E6Bs1) M. Cheng, C. DeTar, H. Ding, et al., The chiral and [deconfinement](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib42617A61766F763A323031316E6Bs1) aspects of the QCD transition, Phys. Rev. D 85 (2012) 054503, [arXiv:1111.1710](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib42617A61766F763A323031316E6Bs1) [hep-lat].
- [5] P. Petreczky, Review of recent highlights in lattice [calculations](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib5065747265637A6B793A32303133716As1) at finite temperature and finite density, PoS ConfinementX (2012) 028, [arXiv:1301.6188](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib5065747265637A6B793A32303133716As1) [\[hep-lat\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib5065747265637A6B793A32303133716As1)
- [6] M. Gyulassy, M. Plumer, Jet [quenching](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4779756C617373793A313939307965s1) in dense matter, Phys. Lett. B 243 (1990) [432–438.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4779756C617373793A313939307965s1)
- [7] R. Baier, Y.L. [Dokshitzer,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib42616965723A31393936736Bs1) A.H. Mueller, S. Peigne, D. Schiff, Radiative energy loss and p_T broadening of [high-energy](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib42616965723A31393936736Bs1) partons in nuclei, Nucl. Phys. B 484 (1997) 265–282, [arXiv:hep-ph/9608322.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib42616965723A31393936736Bs1)
- [8] M.H. Thoma, M. [Gyulassy,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib54686F6D613A31393930666Ds1) Quark damping and energy loss in the high temperature QCD, Nucl. Phys. B 351 (1991) [491–506.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib54686F6D613A31393930666Ds1)
- [9] E. [Braaten,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4272616174656E3A313939316A6As1) M.H. Thoma, Energy loss of a heavy fermion in a hot plasma, Phys. Rev. D 44 (1991) [1298–1310.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4272616174656E3A313939316A6As1)
- [10] E. Braaten, M.H. Thoma, Energy loss of a heavy quark in the [quark–gluon](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4272616174656E3A313939317765s1) plasma, Phys. Rev. D 44 (1991) [2625–2630.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4272616174656E3A313939317765s1)
- [11] PHENIX [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4164636F783A323030326367s1) K. Adcox, et al., Measurement of single electrons and [implications](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4164636F783A323030326367s1) for charm production in Au + Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 130$ GeV, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 (2002) 192303, [arXiv:nucl-ex/0202002.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4164636F783A323030326367s1)
- [12] PHENIX [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib41646C65723A323030347461s1) S. Adler, et al., Centrality dependence of charm production from single electrons [measurement](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib41646C65723A323030347461s1) in Au + Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 200 GeV, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94 (2005) 082301, [arXiv:nucl-ex/0409028.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib41646C65723A323030347461s1)
- [13] PHENIX [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib41646C65723A323030357876s1) S. Adler, et al., Nuclear modification of electron spectra and [implications](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib41646C65723A323030357876s1) for heavy quark energy loss in Au + Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 200 GeV, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96 (2006) 032301, [arXiv:nucl-ex/0510047.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib41646C65723A323030357876s1)
- [14] PHENIX [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib41646172653A323030366E71s1) A. Adare, et al., Energy loss and flow of heavy quarks in Au + Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = [200 GeV,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib41646172653A323030366E71s1) Phys. Rev. Lett. 98 (2007) 172301, [arXiv:nucl-ex/0611018.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib41646172653A323030366E71s1)
- [15] PHENIX [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib41646172653A323031306465s1) A. Adare, et al., Heavy quark production in $p + p$ and energy loss and flow of heavy quarks in Au + Au [collisions](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib41646172653A323031306465s1) at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 200 GeV, Phys. Rev. C 84 (2011) 044905, [arXiv:1005.1627](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib41646172653A323031306465s1) [nucl-ex].
- [16] PHENIX [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib41646172653A32303133797870s1) A. Adare, et al., System-size dependence of open-heavyflavor production in [nucleus–nucleus](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib41646172653A32303133797870s1) collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 200 GeV, Phys. Rev. C 90 (3) (2014) 034903, [arXiv:1310.8286](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib41646172653A32303133797870s1) [nucl-ex].
- [17] STAR [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4162656C65763A323030366462s1) B. Abelev, et al., Transverse momentum and centrality dependence of high- p_T [non-photonic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4162656C65763A323030366462s1) electron suppression in Au + Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 200 GeV, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98 (2007) 192301, [arXiv:nucl-ex/0607012;](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4162656C65763A323030366462s1) STAR [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4162656C65763A323030366462s2) B. Abelev, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 106 (2011) 159902 (Erra[tum\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4162656C65763A323030366462s2)
- [18] STAR [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4164616D637A796B3A32303134756970s1) L. Adamczyk, et al., Observation of D^0 meson nuclear modifications in Au + Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = [200 GeV,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4164616D637A796B3A32303134756970s1) Phys. Rev. Lett. 113 (14) (2014) 142301, [arXiv:1404.6185](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4164616D637A796B3A32303134756970s1) [nucl-ex].
- [19] PHENIX Collaboration, A. Adare, et al., [Nuclear-modification](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib41646172653A323031327078s1) factor for open[heavy-flavor](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib41646172653A323031327078s1) production at forward rapidity in Cu + Cu collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 200 GeV, Phys. Rev. C 86 (2012) 024909, [arXiv:1204.0754](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib41646172653A323031327078s1) [nucl-ex].
- [20] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib414C4943453A323031326162s1) B. Abelev, et al., Suppression of high transverse momentum D mesons in central Pb–Pb [collisions](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib414C4943453A323031326162s1) at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 2.76 TeV, J. High Energy Phys. 1209 (2012) 112, [arXiv:1203.2160](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib414C4943453A323031326162s1) [nucl-ex].
- [21] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4162656C65763A323031327168s1) B. Abelev, et al., Production of muons from heavy flavour decays at forward rapidity in pp and Pb–Pb [collisions](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4162656C65763A323031327168s1) at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109 (2012) 112301, [arXiv:1205.6443](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4162656C65763A323031327168s1) [hep-ex].
- [22] CMS Collaboration, J/ψ results from CMS in Pb–Pb collisions, with 150 μ b⁻¹ data, Tech. Rep. CMS-PAS-HIN-12-014, CERN, Geneva, 2012, [https://cds.cern.ch/](https://cds.cern.ch/record/1472735) [record/1472735](https://cds.cern.ch/record/1472735).
- [23] CMS [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4368617472636879616E3A323031326E70s1) S. Chatrchyan, et al., Suppression of non-prompt J/ψ , prompt I/ψ , and $\Upsilon(1S)$ in Pb–Pb [collisions](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4368617472636879616E3A323031326E70s1) at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV, I. High Energy Phys. 1205 (2012) 063, [arXiv:1201.5069](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4368617472636879616E3A323031326E70s1) [nucl-ex].
- [24] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4164616D3A32303135726261s1) J. Adam, et al., Inclusive, prompt and non-prompt J*/ψ* production at [mid-rapidity](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4164616D3A32303135726261s1) in Pb–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV, arXiv: [1504.07151](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4164616D3A32303135726261s1) [nucl-ex].
- [25] S.A. Voloshin, A.M. Poskanzer, R. Snellings, in: [Relativistic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib566F6C6F7368696E3A323031307261s1) Heavy Ion Physics, in: [Landolt–Boernstein](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib566F6C6F7368696E3A323031307261s1) New Series, vol. 1/23, Springer-Verlag, 2010, p. 5.
- [26] J.-Y. Ollitrault, [Anisotropy](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4F6C6C69747261756C743A31393932626Bs1) as a signature of transverse collective flow, Phys. Rev. D 46 (1992) [229–245.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4F6C6C69747261756C743A31393932626Bs1)
- [27] V. Greco, C. Ko, R. Rapp, Quark [coalescence](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib477265636F3A323030337666s1) for charmed mesons in ultrarelativistic heavy ion collisions, Phys. Lett. B 595 (2004) 202–208, [arXiv:nucl](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib477265636F3A323030337666s1)[th/0312100.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib477265636F3A323030337666s1)
- [28] A. Andronic, P. [Braun-Munzinger,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib416E64726F6E69633A323030337A76s1) K. Redlich, J. Stachel, Statistical hadronization of charm in heavy ion [collisions](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib416E64726F6E69633A323030337A76s1) at SPS, RHIC and LHC, Phys. Lett. B 571 (2003) 36–44, [arXiv:nucl-th/0303036.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib416E64726F6E69633A323030337A76s1)
- [29] M. Gyulassy, I. Vitev, X. Wang, High p_T azimuthal [asymmetry](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4779756C617373793A32303030676Bs1) in noncentral A + A at RHIC, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86 (2001) 2537–2540, [arXiv:nucl-th/0012092.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4779756C617373793A32303030676Bs1)
- [30] E. Shuryak, The azimuthal [asymmetry](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib5368757279616B3A323030316D65s1) at large p_T seem to be too large for a 'jet quenching', Phys. Rev. C 66 (2002) 027902, [arXiv:nucl-th/0112042.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib5368757279616B3A323030316D65s1)
- [31] PHENIX [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib41646C65723A323030356162s1) S. Adler, et al., Measurement of single electron event [anisotropy](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib41646C65723A323030356162s1) in Au + Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 200 GeV, Phys. Rev. C 72 (2005) 024901, [arXiv:nucl-ex/0502009.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib41646C65723A323030356162s1)
- [32] STAR [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4164616D637A796B3A32303134796577s1) L. Adamczyk, et al., Elliptic flow of non-photonic electrons in Au + Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 200, 62.4 and 39 GeV, [arXiv:1405.6348](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4164616D637A796B3A32303134796577s1) [hep[ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4164616D637A796B3A32303134796577s1)
- [33] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4162656C65763A323031336C6361s1) B. Abelev, et al., D meson elliptic flow in non-central PbPb [collisions](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4162656C65763A323031336C6361s1) at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 2.76 TeV, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111 (2013) 102301, arXiv: [1305.2707](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4162656C65763A323031336C6361s1) [nucl-ex].
- [34] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4162656C65763A32303134697061s1) B.B. Abelev, et al., Azimuthal anisotropy of D meson production in Pb–Pb [collisions](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4162656C65763A32303134697061s1) at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 2.76 TeV, Phys. Rev. C 90 (3) (2014) 034904, [arXiv:1405.2001](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4162656C65763A32303134697061s1) [nucl-ex].
- [35] [AL](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib414C4943453A32303133786E61s1)ICE Collaboration, E. Abbas, et al., J/ψ elliptic flow in Pb–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 2.76 TeV, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111 (2013) 162301, [arXiv:1303.5880](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib414C4943453A32303133786E61s1) [nucl[ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib414C4943453A32303133786E61s1)
- [36] STAR [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib41646C65723A323030327075s1) C. Adler, et al., Elliptic flow from two and four particle [correlations](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib41646C65723A323030327075s1) in Au + Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 130 GeV, Phys. Rev. C 66 (2002) 034904, [arXiv:nucl-ex/0206001.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib41646C65723A323030327075s1)
- [37] N. Borghini, P.M. Dinh, J.-Y. Ollitrault, Flow analysis from [multiparticle](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib426F726768696E693A323030317669s1) azimuthal correlations, Phys. Rev. C 64 (2001) 054901, [arXiv:nucl-th/0105040.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib426F726768696E693A323030317669s1)
- [38] A. Bilandzic, R. Snellings, S. Voloshin, Flow analysis with [cumulants:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib42696C616E647A69633A323031306A72s1) direct calculations, Phys. Rev. C 83 (2011) 044913, [arXiv:1010.0233](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib42696C616E647A69633A323031306A72s1) [nucl-ex].
- [39] R. Bhalerao, N. Borghini, J. Ollitrault, Analysis of [anisotropic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4268616C6572616F3A323030337866s1) flow with Lee–Yang zeroes, Nucl. Phys. A 727 (2003) 373–426, [arXiv:nucl-th/0310016.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4268616C6572616F3A323030337866s1)
- [40] R. Bhalerao, N. Borghini, J. [Ollitrault,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4268616C6572616F3A323030337971s1) Genuine collective flow from Lee-Yang zeroes, Phys. Lett. B 580 (2004) 157–162, [arXiv:nucl-th/0307018.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4268616C6572616F3A323030337971s1)
- [41] N. Borghini, R. Bhalerao, J. Ollitrault, [Anisotropic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib426F726768696E693A323030346B65s1) flow from Lee–Yang zeroes: a practical guide, J. Phys. G 30 (2004) S1213–S1216, [arXiv:nucl-th/0402053.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib426F726768696E693A323030346B65s1)
- [42] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib41616D6F64743A323030387A7As1) K. Aamodt, et al., The ALICE experiment at the CERN LHC, J. [Instrum.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib41616D6F64743A323030387A7As1) 3 (2008), S08002.
- [43] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4162656C65763A32303134666661s1) B.B. Abelev, et al., Performance of the ALICE experiment at the CERN LHC, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 29 (2014) 1430044, [arXiv:1402.4476](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4162656C65763A32303134666661s1) [nucl[ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4162656C65763A32303134666661s1)
- [44] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4162656C65763A32303133766561s1) B. Abelev, et al., Centrality dependence of *π*, K, p production in Pb–Pb [collisions](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4162656C65763A32303133766561s1) at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 2.76 TeV, Phys. Rev. C 88 (2013) 044910, [arXiv:1303.0737](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4162656C65763A32303133766561s1) [hep-ex].
- [45] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4162656C65763A323031327069s1) B. Abelev, et al., Heavy flavour decay muon production at forward rapidity in [proton–proton](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4162656C65763A323031327069s1) collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, Phys. Lett. B 708 (2012) 265–275, [arXiv:1201.3791](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4162656C65763A323031327069s1) [hep-ex].
- [46] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib414C4943453A323031316162s1) K. Aamodt, et al., Higher harmonic anisotropic flow mea[surements](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib414C4943453A323031316162s1) of charged particles in Pb–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 2.76 TeV, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107 (2011) 032301, [arXiv:1105.3865](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib414C4943453A323031316162s1) [nucl-ex].
- [47] M. Luzum, Collective flow and long-range [correlations](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4C757A756D3A323031307370s1) in relativistic heavy ion collisions, Phys. Lett. B 696 (2011) 499–504, [arXiv:1011.5773](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4C757A756D3A323031307370s1) [nucl-th].
- [48] A.M. Poskanzer, S. Voloshin, Methods for analyzing [anisotropic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib506F736B616E7A65723A31393938797As1) flow in relativistic nuclear collisions, Phys. Rev. C 58 (1998) [1671–1678,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib506F736B616E7A65723A31393938797As1) arXiv:nucl[ex/9805001.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib506F736B616E7A65723A31393938797As1)
- [49] M. Luzum, J.-Y. Ollitrault, Eliminating experimental bias in [anisotropic-flow](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4C757A756D3A323031326461s1) [measurements](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4C757A756D3A323031326461s1) of high-energy nuclear collisions, Phys. Rev. C 87 (4) (2013) 044907, [arXiv:1209.2323](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4C757A756D3A323031326461s1) [nucl-ex].
- [50] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4162656C65763A323031326469s1) B. Abelev, et al., Anisotropic flow of charged hadrons, pions and [\(anti-\)protons](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4162656C65763A323031326469s1) measured at high transverse momentum in Pb–Pb collisions at √*s*_{NN} = 2.76 TeV, Phys. Lett. B 719 (2013) 18–28, [arXiv:1205.5761](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4162656C65763A323031326469s1) [\[nucl-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4162656C65763A323031326469s1)
- [51] STAR [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4162656C65763A323030386165s1) B. Abelev, et al., Centrality dependence of charged hadron and strange hadron elliptic flow from $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV Au + Au collisions, Phys. Rev. C 77 (2008) 054901, [arXiv:0801.3466](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4162656C65763A323030386165s1) [nucl-ex].
- [52] CMS [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4368617472636879616E3A323031327461s1) S. Chatrchyan, et al., Measurement of the elliptic anisotropy of charged particles [produced](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4368617472636879616E3A323031327461s1) in Pb–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV, Phys. Rev. C 87 (1) (2013) 014902, [arXiv:1204.1409](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4368617472636879616E3A323031327461s1) [nucl-ex].
- [53] ATLAS Collaboration, G. Aad, et al., Measurement of the [pseudorapidity](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib41544C41533A323031316168s1) and transverse momentum [dependence](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib41544C41533A323031316168s1) of the elliptic flow of charged particles in lead–lead collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 2.[76 TeV with](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib41544C41533A323031316168s1) the ATLAS detector, Phys. Lett. B 707 (2012) 330–348, [arXiv:1108.6018](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib41544C41533A323031316168s1) [hep-ex].
- [54] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib43687269737469616E73656E3A3A706332303133s1) P. Christiansen, Identified charged pion, kaon and protonsin pp and Pb–Pb collisins at LHC energies [measured](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib43687269737469616E73656E3A3A706332303133s1) with ALICE, PoS EPS-HEP 2013 [\(2013\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib43687269737469616E73656E3A3A706332303133s1) 173.
- [55] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4162656C65763A323031346C6161s1) B.B. Abelev, et al., Production of charged pions, kaons and protons at large transverse momenta in pp and Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 2.76 TeV, Phys. Lett. B 736 (2014) 196–207, [arXiv:1401.1250](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4162656C65763A323031346C6161s1) [nucl[ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4162656C65763A323031346C6161s1)
- [56] ATLAS [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4161643A32303135776761s1) A. Milov, Centrality dependence of charged particle spectra and R_{CP} in Pb + Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 2.[76 TeV with](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4161643A32303135776761s1) the ATLAS detector at the LHC, J. Phys. G 38 (2011) 124113, [arXiv:1107.0460](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4161643A32303135776761s1) [nucl-ex].
- [57] ALICE Collaboration, B. Abelev, et al., Centrality [determination](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4162656C65763A32303133716F71s1) of Pb–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 2.[76 TeV with](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4162656C65763A32303133716F71s1) ALICE, Phys. Rev. C 88 (4) (2013) 044909, [arXiv:1301.4361](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4162656C65763A32303133716F71s1) [nucl-ex].
- [58] PHENIX [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib41646C65723A323030367975s1) S. Adler, et al., Measurement of single muons at forward rapidity in $p + p$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV and implications for charm production, Phys. Rev. D 76 (2007) 092002, [arXiv:hep-ex/0609032.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib41646C65723A323030367975s1)
- [59] M. [Nahrgang,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4E61687267616E673A32303133786161s1) J. Aichelin, P.B. Gossiaux, K. Werner, Influence of hadronic bound states above T_c on [heavy-quark](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4E61687267616E673A32303133786161s1) observables in Pb + Pb collisions at the CERN Large Hadron Collider, Phys. Rev. C 89 (1) (2014) 014905, [arXiv:1305.6544](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4E61687267616E673A32303133786161s1) [\[hep-ph\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib4E61687267616E673A32303133786161s1)
- [60] K. Werner, I. Karpenko, T. Pierog, M. Bleicher, K. Mikhailov, [Event-by-event](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib5765726E65723A323031306161s1) simulation of the [three-dimensional](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib5765726E65723A323031306161s1) hydrodynamic evolution from flux tube initial conditions in [ultra-relativistic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib5765726E65723A323031306161s1) heavy ion collisions, Phys. Rev. C 82 (2010) 044904, [arXiv:1004.0805](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib5765726E65723A323031306161s1) [nucl-th].
- [61] K. Werner, I. Karpenko, M. Bleicher, T. Pierog, S. [Porteboeuf-Houssais,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib5765726E65723A323031327868s1) Jets, bulk matter, and their [interaction](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib5765726E65723A323031327868s1) in heavy ion collisions at several TeV, Phys. Rev. C 85 (2012) 064907, [arXiv:1203.5704](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib5765726E65723A323031327868s1) [nucl-th].
- [62] M. He, R.J. Fries, R. Rapp, Heavy flavor at the large hadron [collider](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib48653A32303134636C61s1) in a strong coupling approach, Phys. Lett. B 735 (2014) 445–450, [arXiv:1401.3817](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib48653A32303134636C61s1) [nuclth₁
- [63] J. Uphoff, O. Fochler, Z. Xu, C. [Greiner,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib5570686F66663A323031316164s1) Elliptic flow and energy loss of heavy quarks in [ultra-relativistic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib5570686F66663A323031316164s1) heavy ion collisions, Phys. Rev. C 84 (2011) 024908, [arXiv:1104.2295](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib5570686F66663A323031316164s1) [hep-ph].
- [64] O. Fochler, J. Uphoff, Z. Xu, C. Greiner, Jet [quenching](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib466F63686C65723A32303131656Es1) and elliptic flow at RHIC and LHC within a [pQCD-based](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib466F63686C65723A32303131656Es1) partonic transport model, J. Phys. G 38 (2011) 124152, [arXiv:1107.0130](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib466F63686C65723A32303131656Es1) [hep-ph].
- [65] J. Uphoff, O. Fochler, Z. Xu, C. [Greiner,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib5570686F66663A323031326762s1) Open heavy flavor in Pb + Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 2.[76 TeV within](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib5570686F66663A323031326762s1) a transport model, Phys. Lett. B 717 (2012) 430–435, [arXiv:1205.4945](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(15)00915-6/bib5570686F66663A323031326762s1) [hep-ph].

ALICE Collaboration

J. Adam ⁴⁰, D. Adamová ⁸³, M.M. Aggarwal ⁸⁷, G. Aglieri Rinella ^{[36](#page-14-0)}, M. Agnello ¹¹⁰, N. Agrawal ⁴⁸, Z. Ahammed 131 , S.U. Ahn 68 , S. Aiola 135 , A. Akindinov 58 , S.N. Alam 131 , D. Aleksandrov 99 99 99 , B. Alessandro [110](#page-15-0), D. Alexandre [101,](#page-15-0) R. Alfaro Molina [64,](#page-15-0) A. Alici [104](#page-15-0)*,*[12,](#page-14-0) A. Alkin [3,](#page-14-0) J.R.M. Almaraz [118,](#page-15-0) J. Alme [38,](#page-14-0) T. Alt [43](#page-14-0), S. Altinpinar [18](#page-14-0), I. Altsybeev [130,](#page-15-0) C. Alves Garcia Prado [119,](#page-15-0) C. Andrei [78,](#page-15-0) A. Andronic [96,](#page-15-0) V. Anguelov⁹³, J. Anielski ⁵⁴, T. Antičić⁹⁷, F. Antinori ¹⁰⁷, P. Antonioli ¹⁰⁴, L. Aphecetche ¹¹² H. Appelshäuser 53 , S. Arcelli 28 , N. Armesto 17 , R. Arnaldi 110 110 110 , I.C. Arsene 22 22 22 , M. Arslandok 53 , B. Audurier 112 , A. Augustinus 36 , R. Averbeck 96 96 96 , M.D. Azmi 19 , M. Bach 43 , A. Badalà 106 , Y.W. Baek 44 , S. Bagnasco ¹¹⁰, R. Bailhache ⁵³, R. Bala ⁹⁰, A. Baldisseri ¹⁵, F. Baltasar Dos Santos Pedrosa ³⁶, R.C. Baral ⁶¹, A.M. Barbano ¹¹⁰, R. Barbera ²⁹, F. Barile ³³, G.G. Barnaföldi ¹³⁴, L.S. Barnby ¹⁰¹, V. Barret ⁷⁰, P. Bartalini ⁷, K. Barth 36 36 36 , J. Bartke 116 , E. Bartsch 53 , M. Basile 28 , N. Bastid 70 , S. Basu 131 , B. Bathen 54 , G. Batigne 112 , A. Batista Camejo 70 , B. Batyunya 66 , P.C. Batzing 22 , I.G. Bearden 80 , H. Beck 53 , C. Bedda 110 110 110 , N.K. Behera [49](#page-14-0)*,*[48,](#page-14-0) I. Belikov [55,](#page-14-0) F. Bellini [28,](#page-14-0) H. Bello Martinez [2,](#page-14-0) R. Bellwied [121,](#page-15-0) R. Belmont [133,](#page-15-0) E. Belmont-Moreno 64 64 64 , V. Belyaev 76 , G. Bencedi 134 , S. Beole 27 , I. Berceanu 78 78 78 , A. Bercuci 78 , Y. Berdnikov ⁸⁵, D. Berenyi ¹³⁴, R.A. Bertens ⁵⁷, D. Berzano ^{[27](#page-14-0),36}, L. Betev ³⁶, A. Bhasin ⁹⁰, I.R. Bhat ⁹⁰, A.K. Bhati ⁸⁷, B. Bhattacharjee ⁴⁵, J. Bhom ¹²⁷, L. Bianchi ¹²¹, N. Bianchi ⁷², C. Bianchin ^{[133](#page-15-0),57}, J. Bielčík ⁴⁰, J. Bielčíková ⁸³, A. Bilandzic ⁸⁰, R. Biswas ⁴, S. Biswas ⁷⁹, S. Bjelogrlic ⁵⁷, J.T. Blair ¹¹⁷, F. Blanco ¹⁰, D. Blau [99,](#page-15-0) C. Blume [53,](#page-14-0) F. Bock [93](#page-15-0)*,*[74,](#page-15-0) A. Bogdanov [76,](#page-15-0) H. Bøggild [80,](#page-15-0) L. Boldizsár [134,](#page-15-0) M. Bombara [41,](#page-14-0) J. Book 53 , H. Borel 15 , A. Borissov 95 95 95 , M. Borri 82 , F. Bossú 65 , E. Botta 27 , S. Böttger 52 , P. Braun-Munzinger ⁹⁶, M. Bregant ¹¹⁹, T. Breitner ^{[52](#page-14-0)}, T.A. Broker ⁵³, T.A. Browning ⁹⁴, M. Broz ⁴⁰, E.J. Brucken [46](#page-14-0), E. Bruna [110,](#page-15-0) G.E. Bruno [33,](#page-14-0) D. Budnikov [98,](#page-15-0) H. Buesching [53,](#page-14-0) S. Bufalino [27](#page-14-0)*,*[36,](#page-14-0) P. Buncic [36,](#page-14-0) O. Busch ^{[127](#page-15-0),93}, Z. Buthelezi ⁶⁵, J.B. Butt ^{[16](#page-14-0)}, J.T. Buxton ²⁰, D. Caffarri ³⁶, X. Cai ⁷, H. Caines ¹³⁵, L. Calero Diaz 72 , A. Caliva 57 , E. Calvo Villar 102 , P. Camerini 26 , F. Carena 36 , W. Carena 36 , F. Carnesecchi 28 , J. Castillo Castellanos 15 , A.J. Castro 124 , E.A.R. Casula 25 , C. Cavicchioli 36 , C. Ceballos Sanchez⁹, J. Cepila ⁴⁰, P. Cerello ¹¹⁰, J. Cerkala ¹¹⁴, B. Chang ^{[122](#page-15-0)}, S. Chapeland ³⁶ M. Chartier 123 123 123 , J.L. Charvet 15 , S. Chattopadhyay 131 , S. Chattopadhyay 100 , V. Chelnokov 3 , M. Cherney 86 , C. Cheshkov 129 , B. Cheynis 129 , V. Chibante Barroso 36 36 36 , D.D. Chinellato 120 , P. Chochula 36 , K. Choi 95 , M. Chojnacki ⁸⁰, S. Choudhury ¹³¹, P. Christakoglou ⁸¹, C.H. Christensen ⁸⁰, P. Christiansen ³⁴, T. Chujo ¹²⁷, S.U. Chung [95](#page-15-0), Z. Chunhui [57,](#page-15-0) C. Cicalo [105,](#page-15-0) L. Cifarelli [12](#page-14-0)*,*[28,](#page-14-0) F. Cindolo [104,](#page-15-0) J. Cleymans [89,](#page-15-0) F. Colamaria [33](#page-14-0), D. Colella ^{[36](#page-14-0),[33](#page-14-0),59}, A. Collu^{[25](#page-14-0)}, M. Colocci^{[28](#page-14-0)}, G. Conesa Balbastre⁷¹, Z. Conesa del Valle⁵¹, M.E. Connors [135,](#page-16-0) J.G. Contreras [11](#page-14-0)*,*[40,](#page-14-0) T.M. Cormier [84,](#page-15-0) Y. Corrales Morales [27,](#page-14-0) I. Cortés Maldonado [2,](#page-14-0) P. Cortese ³², M.R. Cosentino ¹¹⁹, F. Costa ³⁶, P. Crochet ⁷⁰, R. Cruz Albino ¹¹, E. Cuautle ⁶³, L. Cunqueiro ³⁶, T. Dahms [92](#page-15-0)*,*[37](#page-14-0), A. Dainese [107,](#page-15-0) A. Danu [62](#page-15-0), D. Das [100,](#page-15-0) I. Das [100](#page-15-0)*,*[51,](#page-14-0) S. Das [4,](#page-14-0) A. Dash [120,](#page-15-0) S. Dash [48](#page-14-0),

S. De [119,](#page-15-0) A. De Caro [31](#page-14-0)*,*[12,](#page-14-0) G. de Cataldo [103,](#page-15-0) J. de Cuveland [43,](#page-14-0) A. De Falco [25,](#page-14-0) D. De Gruttola [12](#page-14-0)*,*[31,](#page-14-0) N. De Marco [110,](#page-15-0) S. De Pasquale [31,](#page-14-0) A. Deisting [96](#page-15-0)*,*[93](#page-15-0), A. Deloff [77](#page-15-0), E. Dénes [134](#page-15-0)*,*[i](#page-16-0) , G. D'Erasmo [33,](#page-14-0) D. Di Bari 33 , A. Di Mauro 36 , P. Di Nezza 72 , M.A. Diaz Corchero 10 , T. Dietel 89 , P. Dillenseger 53 , R. Divià [36,](#page-14-0) Ø. Djuvsland [18,](#page-14-0) A. Dobrin [57](#page-15-0)*,*[81,](#page-15-0) T. Dobrowolski [77](#page-15-0)*,*[i](#page-16-0) , D. Domenicis Gimenez [119,](#page-15-0) B. Dönigus [53,](#page-14-0) O. Dordic ²², T. Drozhzhova ^{[53](#page-14-0)}, A.K. Dubey ¹³¹, A. Dubla ⁵⁷, L. Ducroux ¹²⁹, P. Dupieux ⁷⁰, R.J. Ehlers ¹³⁵, D. Elia [103,](#page-15-0) H. Engel [52,](#page-14-0) E. Epple [135,](#page-16-0) B. Erazmus [112](#page-15-0)*,*[36,](#page-14-0) I. Erdemir [53,](#page-14-0) F. Erhardt [128,](#page-15-0) B. Espagnon [51,](#page-14-0) M. Estienne [112,](#page-15-0) S. Esumi [127,](#page-15-0) J. Eum [95,](#page-15-0) D. Evans [101,](#page-15-0) S. Evdokimov [111,](#page-15-0) G. Eyyubova [40,](#page-14-0) L. Fabbietti [37](#page-14-0)*,*[92,](#page-15-0) D. Fabris 107 , J. Faivre 71 , A. Fantoni 72 , M. Fasel 74 , L. Feldkamp 54 , D. Felea 62 , A. Feliciello 110 , G. Feofilov 130 130 130 , J. Ferencei 83 , A. Fernández Téllez 2 , E.G. Ferreiro 17 , A. Ferretti 27 , A. Festanti 30 , V.J.G. Feuillard [15](#page-14-0)*,*[70,](#page-15-0) J. Figiel [116,](#page-15-0) M.A.S. Figueredo [123](#page-15-0)*,*[119,](#page-15-0) S. Filchagin [98](#page-15-0), D. Finogeev [56,](#page-15-0) F.M. Fionda [25,](#page-14-0) E.M. Fiore ³³, M.G. Fleck ⁹³, M. Floris ³⁶, S. Foertsch ⁶⁵, P. Foka ⁹⁶, S. Fokin ⁹⁹, E. Fragiacomo ¹⁰⁹, A. Francescon ^{[36](#page-14-0),30}, U. Frankenfeld ^{[96](#page-15-0)}, U. Fuchs ³⁶, C. Furget ⁷¹, A. Furs ⁵⁶, M. Fusco Girard ³¹, J.J. Gaardhøje ⁸⁰, M. Gagliardi ²⁷, A.M. Gago ¹⁰², M. Gallio ²⁷, D.R. Gangadharan ⁷⁴, P. Ganoti ⁸⁸, C. Gao ⁷, C. Garabatos [96,](#page-15-0) E. Garcia-Solis [13,](#page-14-0) C. Gargiulo [36,](#page-14-0) P. Gasik [92](#page-15-0)*,*[37,](#page-14-0) M. Germain [112,](#page-15-0) A. Gheata [36,](#page-14-0) M. Gheata [62](#page-15-0)*,*[36,](#page-14-0) P. Ghosh [131](#page-15-0), S.K. Ghosh [4,](#page-14-0) P. Gianotti [72,](#page-15-0) P. Giubellino [36](#page-14-0)*,*[110,](#page-15-0) P. Giubilato [30](#page-14-0), E. Gladysz-Dziadus ¹¹⁶, P. Glässel ⁹³, D.M. Goméz Coral ⁶⁴, A. Gomez Ramirez ⁵², P. González-Zamora ^{[10](#page-14-0)}, S. Gorbunov 43 , L. Görlich 116 116 116 , S. Gotovac 115 , V. Grabski 64 , L.K. Graczykowski 132 , K.L. Graham 101 , A. Grelli ⁵⁷, A. Grigoras ^{[36](#page-14-0)}, C. Grigoras ³⁶, V. Grigoriev ⁷⁶, A. Grigoryan ¹, S. Grigoryan ⁶⁶, B. Grinyov ³, N. Grion 109 , J.F. Grosse-Oetringhaus 36 , J.-Y. Grossiord 129 , R. Grosso 36 , F. Guber 56 , R. Guernane 71 , B. Guerzoni ²⁸, K. Gulbrandsen ⁸⁰, H. Gulkanyan ¹, T. Gunji ¹²⁶, A. Gupta ^{[90](#page-15-0)}, R. Gupta ⁹⁰, R. Haake ⁵⁴, Ø. Haaland 18 , C. Hadjidakis 51 , M. Haiduc 62 , H. Hamagaki 126 , G. Hamar 134 , J.W. Harris 135 , A. Harton 13 , D. Hatzifotiadou 104 , S. Hayashi 126 , S.T. Heckel 53 , M. Heide 54 , H. Helstrup 38 , A. Herghelegiu 78 , G. Herrera Corral 11 , B.A. Hess 35 35 35 , K.F. Hetland 38 38 38 , T.E. Hilden 46 , H. Hillemanns 36 , B. Hippolyte 55 55 55 , R. Hosokawa 127 , P. Hristov 36 , M. Huang 18 , T.J. Humanic 20 20 20 , N. Hussain 45 , T. Hussain 19 , D. Hutter 43 , D.S. Hwang ²¹, R. Ilkaev ⁹⁸, I. Ilkiv ⁷⁷, M. Inaba ^{[127](#page-15-0)}, M. Ippolitov ^{[76](#page-15-0),99}, M. Irfan ¹⁹, M. Ivanov ⁹⁶, V. Ivanov ⁸⁵, V. Izucheev 111 , P.M. Jacobs 74 , S. Jadlovska 114 114 114 , C. Jahnke 119 , H.J. Jang 68 , M.A. Janik 132 , P.H.S.Y. Jayarathna ¹²¹, C. Jena ³⁰, S. Jena ¹²¹, R.T. Jimenez Bustamante ⁹⁶, P.G. Jones ¹⁰¹, H. Jung ⁴⁴, A. Jusko ¹⁰¹, P. Kalinak ⁵⁹, A. Kalweit ^{[36](#page-14-0)}, J. Kamin ⁵³, J.H. Kang ¹³⁶, V. Kaplin ⁷⁶, S. Kar ¹³¹, A. Karasu Uysal [69,](#page-15-0) O. Karavichev [56,](#page-15-0) T. Karavicheva [56,](#page-15-0) L. Karayan [93](#page-15-0)*,*[96,](#page-15-0) E. Karpechev [56,](#page-15-0) U. Kebschull [52,](#page-14-0) R. Keidel 137 , D.L.D. Keijdener 57 , M. Keil 36 , M. Mohisin Khan 19 , P. Khan 100 , S.A. Khan 131 , A. Khanzadeev 85 , Y. Kharlov 111 , B. Kileng 38 , B. Kim 136 136 136 , D.W. Kim 44 44 44 , D.J. Kim 122 , H. Kim 136 , J.S. Kim 44 , M. Kim 44 , M. Kim 136 , S. Kim 21 , T. Kim 136 , S. Kirsch 43 , I. Kisel 43 , S. Kiselev 58 , A. Kisiel 132 , G. Kiss 134 , J.L. Klay [6,](#page-14-0) C. Klein [53,](#page-14-0) J. Klein [93](#page-15-0)*,*[36,](#page-14-0) C. Klein-Bösing [54,](#page-14-0) A. Kluge [36,](#page-14-0) M.L. Knichel [93,](#page-15-0) A.G. Knospe [117,](#page-15-0) T. Kobayashi [127,](#page-15-0) C. Kobdaj [113,](#page-15-0) M. Kofarago [36,](#page-14-0) T. Kollegger [43](#page-14-0)*,*[96,](#page-15-0) A. Kolojvari [130,](#page-15-0) V. Kondratiev [130,](#page-15-0) N. Kondratyeva ⁷⁶, E. Kondratyuk ^{[111](#page-15-0)}, A. Konevskikh ⁵⁶, M. Kopcik ¹¹⁴, M. Kour ⁹⁰, C. Kouzinopoulos ³⁶, O. Kovalenko 77 , V. Kovalenko 130 , M. Kowalski 116 116 116 , G. Koyithatta Meethaleveedu 48 , J. Kral 122 , I. Králik 59 , A. Kravčáková ⁴¹, M. Kretz ⁴³, M. Krivda ^{[59](#page-15-0),101}, F. Krizek ⁸³, E. Kryshen ³⁶, M. Krzewicki ⁴³, A.M. Kubera ²⁰, V. Kučera ⁸³, T. Kugathasan ³⁶, C. Kuhn ⁵⁵, P.G. Kuijer ⁸¹, A. Kumar ⁹⁰, J. Kumar ⁴⁸, L. Kumar ^{[79](#page-15-0),87}, P. Kurashvili ⁷⁷, A. Kurepin ⁵⁶, A.B. Kurepin ⁵⁶, A. Kuryakin ⁹⁸, S. Kushpil ⁸³, M.J. Kweon ^{[50](#page-14-0)}, Y. Kwon ¹³⁶, S.L. La Pointe ^{[110](#page-15-0)}, P. La Rocca ²⁹, C. Lagana Fernandes ¹¹⁹, I. Lakomov ³⁶, R. Langoy ⁴², C. Lara ⁵², A. Lardeux 15 , A. Lattuca 27 27 27 , E. Laudi 36 , R. Lea 26 , L. Leardini 93 , G.R. Lee 101 , S. Lee 136 , I. Legrand 36 , F. Lehas 81 , R.C. Lemmon 82 , V. Lenti 103 , E. Leogrande 57 , I. León Monzón 118 , M. Leoncino 27 , P. Lévai 134 , S. Li ^{[7](#page-14-0),70}, X. Li ¹⁴, J. Lien ⁴², R. Lietava ¹⁰¹, S. Lindal ²², V. Lindenstruth ⁴³, C. Lippmann ⁹⁶, M.A. Lisa ²⁰, H.M. Ljunggren 34 , D.F. Lodato 57 , P.I. Loenne 18 18 18 , V. Loginov 76 , C. Loizides 74 , X. Lopez 70 , E. López Torres 9 , A. Lowe 134 , P. Luettig 53 , M. Lunardon 30 , G. Luparello 26 , P.H.F.N.D. Luz 119 , A. Maevskaya 56 , M. Mager 36 , S. Mahajan 90 , S.M. Mahmood 22 , A. Maire 55 , R.D. Majka 135 , M. Malaev 85 , I. Maldonado Cervantes 63 , L. Malinina [66](#page-15-0)*,*[ii,](#page-16-0) D. Mal'Kevich [58,](#page-15-0) P. Malzacher [96,](#page-15-0) A. Mamonov [98,](#page-15-0) V. Manko [99,](#page-15-0) F. Manso [70,](#page-15-0) V. Manzari [103](#page-15-0)*,*[36,](#page-14-0) M. Marchisone [27,](#page-14-0) J. Mareš [60,](#page-15-0) G.V. Margagliotti [26,](#page-14-0) A. Margotti [104,](#page-15-0) J. Margutti [57,](#page-15-0) A. Marín ⁹⁶, C. Markert ¹¹⁷, M. Marquard ⁵³, N.A. Martin ⁹⁶, J. Martin Blanco ¹¹², P. Martinengo ³⁶, M.I. Martínez ², G. Martínez García 112 , M. Martinez Pedreira 36 , Y. Martynov 3 , A. Mas 119 , S. Masciocchi 96 , M. Masera 27 , A. Masoni 105 , L. Massacrier 112 , A. Mastroserio 33 , H. Masui 127 , A. Matyja ¹¹⁶, C. Mayer ¹¹⁶, J. Mazer ¹²⁴, M.A. Mazzoni ¹⁰⁸, D. Mcdonald ¹²¹, F. Meddi ²⁴, Y. Melikyan ⁷⁶,

A. Menchaca-Rocha 64 , E. Meninno 31 , J. Mercado Pérez 93 , M. Meres 39 39 39 , Y. Miake $^{127},$ M.M. Mieskolainen [46](#page-14-0), K. Mikhaylov [66](#page-15-0)*,*[58,](#page-15-0) L. Milano [36,](#page-14-0) J. Milosevic [22,](#page-14-0) L.M. Minervini [103](#page-15-0)*,*[23,](#page-14-0) A. Mischke [57,](#page-15-0) A.N. Mishra ⁴⁹, D. Miśkowiec ⁹⁶, J. Mitra ¹³¹, C.M. Mitu ⁶², N. Mohammadi ⁵⁷, B. Mohanty ^{[131,](#page-15-0)[79](#page-15-0)}, L. Molnar [55](#page-14-0), L. Montaño Zetina [11](#page-14-0), E. Montes [10,](#page-14-0) M. Morando [30,](#page-14-0) D.A. Moreira De Godoy [112](#page-15-0)*,*[54,](#page-14-0) L.A.P. Moreno 2 , S. Moretto 30 , A. Morreale 112 , A. Morsch 36 , V. Muccifora 72 , E. Mudnic $^{115},$ D. Mühlheim [54,](#page-14-0) S. Muhuri [131](#page-15-0), M. Mukherjee [131,](#page-15-0) J.D. Mulligan [135,](#page-16-0) M.G. Munhoz [119,](#page-15-0) R.H. Munzer [92](#page-15-0)*,*[37,](#page-14-0) S. Murray [65](#page-15-0), L. Musa [36](#page-14-0), J. Musinsky [59,](#page-15-0) B.K. Nandi [48,](#page-14-0) R. Nania [104,](#page-15-0) E. Nappi [103](#page-15-0), M.U. Naru [16,](#page-14-0) C. Nattrass 124 , K. Nayak 79 , T.K. Nayak 131 131 131 , S. Nazarenko 98 , A. Nedosekin 58 , L. Nellen 63 , F. Ng 121 , M. Nicassio [96,](#page-15-0) M. Niculescu [62](#page-15-0)*,*[36,](#page-14-0) J. Niedziela [36,](#page-14-0) B.S. Nielsen [80,](#page-15-0) S. Nikolaev [99,](#page-15-0) S. Nikulin [99,](#page-15-0) V. Nikulin [85,](#page-15-0) F. Noferini [104](#page-15-0)*,*[12,](#page-14-0) P. Nomokonov [66,](#page-15-0) G. Nooren [57,](#page-15-0) J.C.C. Noris [2,](#page-14-0) J. Norman [123,](#page-15-0) A. Nyanin [99,](#page-15-0) J. Nystrand [18,](#page-14-0) H. Oeschler 93 , S. Oh 135 , S.K. Oh 67 , A. Ohlson 36 , A. Okatan 69 , T. Okubo 47 47 47 , L. Olah 134 , J. Oleniacz 132 , A.C. Oliveira Da Silva $^{\,119}$, M.H. Oliver $^{\,135}$, J. Onderwaater $^{\,96}$ $^{\,96}$ $^{\,96}$, C. Oppedisano $^{\,110}$, R. Orava $^{\,46}$ $^{\,46}$ $^{\,46}$, A. Ortiz Velasquez 63 , A. Oskarsson 34 , J. Otwinowski 116 , K. Oyama 93 , M. Ozdemir 53 , Y. Pachmayer 93 , P. Pagano 31 , G. Paić 63 , C. Pajares 17 , S.K. Pal 131 , J. Pan 133 , A.K. Pandey 48 48 48 , D. Pant 48 , P. Papcun 114 114 114 , V. Papikyan ^{[1](#page-14-0)}, G.S. Pappalardo ^{[106](#page-15-0)}, P. Pareek ⁴⁹, W.J. Park ⁹⁶, S. Parmar ⁸⁷, A. Passfeld ⁵⁴, V. Paticchio ¹⁰³, R.N. Patra ¹³¹, B. Paul ^{[100](#page-15-0)}, T. Peitzmann ⁵⁷, H. Pereira Da Costa ¹⁵, E. Pereira De Oliveira Filho ¹¹⁹, D. Peresunko ^{[99](#page-15-0),76}, C.E. Pérez Lara ⁸¹, E. Perez Lezama ^{[53](#page-14-0)}, V. Peskov ⁵³, Y. Pestov ⁵, V. Petráček ⁴⁰, V. Petrov [111,](#page-15-0) M. Petrovici [78,](#page-15-0) C. Petta [29,](#page-14-0) S. Piano [109,](#page-15-0) M. Pikna [39,](#page-14-0) P. Pillot [112](#page-15-0), O. Pinazza [104](#page-15-0)*,*[36,](#page-14-0) L. Pinsky ¹²¹, D.B. Piyarathna ¹²¹, M. Płoskoń ^{[74](#page-15-0)}, M. Planinic ¹²⁸, J. Pluta ¹³², S. Pochybova ¹³⁴, P.L.M. Podesta-Lerma [118,](#page-15-0) M.G. Poghosyan [86](#page-15-0)*,*[84,](#page-15-0) B. Polichtchouk [111,](#page-15-0) N. Poljak [128](#page-15-0), W. Poonsawat [113,](#page-15-0) A. Pop [78,](#page-15-0) S. Porteboeuf-Houssais [70,](#page-15-0) J. Porter [74,](#page-15-0) J. Pospisil [83,](#page-15-0) S.K. Prasad [4,](#page-14-0) R. Preghenella [36](#page-14-0)*,*[104,](#page-15-0) F. Prino 110 , C.A. Pruneau 133 , I. Pshenichnov 56 , M. Puccio 110 , G. Puddu 25 , P. Pujahari 133 , V. Punin 98 , J. Putschke ¹³³, H. Qvigstad ²², A. Rachevski ¹⁰⁹, S. Raha ⁴, S. Rajput ⁹⁰, J. Rak ¹²², A. Rakotozafindrabe ¹⁵, L. Ramello ³², F. Rami ⁵⁵, R. Raniwala ⁹¹, S. Raniwala ⁹¹, S.S. Räsänen ⁴⁶, B.T. Rascanu ⁵³, D. Rathee ⁸⁷, K.F. Read [124,](#page-15-0) J.S. Real [71,](#page-15-0) K. Redlich [77,](#page-15-0) R.J. Reed [133,](#page-15-0) A. Rehman [18,](#page-14-0) P. Reichelt [53,](#page-14-0) F. Reidt [93](#page-15-0)*,*[36,](#page-14-0) X. Ren [7,](#page-14-0) R. Renfordt 53 , A.R. Reolon 72 , A. Reshetin 56 , F. Rettig 43 , J.-P. Revol 12 , K. Reygers 93 93 93 , V. Riabov 85 , R.A. Ricci 73 , T. Richert 34 , M. Richter 22 , P. Riedler 36 36 36 , W. Riegler 36 , F. Riggi 29 , C. Ristea 62 , A. Rivetti 110 , E. Rocco 57 , M. Rodríguez Cahuantzi 2 , A. Rodriguez Manso 81 , K. Røed 22 , E. Rogochaya 66 , D. Rohr 43 , D. Röhrich [18,](#page-14-0) R. Romita [123](#page-15-0), F. Ronchetti [72](#page-15-0)*,*[36,](#page-14-0) L. Ronflette [112,](#page-15-0) P. Rosnet [70,](#page-15-0) A. Rossi [30](#page-14-0)*,*[36](#page-14-0), F. Roukoutakis 88 , A. Roy 49 , C. Roy 55 , P. Roy 100 100 100 , A.J. Rubio Montero 10 , R. Rui 26 , R. Russo 27 , E. Ryabinkin ⁹⁹, Y. Ryabov ⁸⁵, A. Rybicki ¹¹⁶, S. Sadovsky ¹¹¹, K. Šafařík ³⁶, B. Sahlmuller ^{[53](#page-14-0)}, P. Sahoo ⁴⁹, R. Sahoo ⁴⁹, S. Sahoo ⁶¹, P.K. Sahu ⁶¹, J. Saini ¹³¹, S. Sakai ⁷², M.A. Saleh ¹³³, C.A. Salgado ¹⁷, J. Salzwedel ²⁰, S. Sambyal ^{[90](#page-15-0)}, V. Samsonov ⁸⁵, L. Šándor ⁵⁹, A. Sandoval ⁶⁴, M. Sano ¹²⁷, D. Sarkar ¹³¹, E. Scapparone ¹⁰⁴, F. Scarlassara ³⁰, R.P. Scharenberg ⁹⁴, C. Schiaua ⁷⁸, R. Schicker ⁹³, C. Schmidt ⁹⁶, H.R. Schmidt ³⁵, S. Schuchmann [53,](#page-14-0) J. Schukraft [36,](#page-14-0) M. Schulc [40,](#page-14-0) T. Schuster [135,](#page-16-0) Y. Schutz [112](#page-15-0)*,*[36,](#page-14-0) K. Schwarz [96,](#page-15-0) K. Schweda ⁹⁶, G. Scioli ²⁸, E. Scomparin ¹¹⁰, R. Scott ¹²⁴, J.E. Seger ^{[86](#page-15-0)}, Y. Sekiguchi ¹²⁶, D. Sekihata ⁴⁷, I. Selyuzhenkov [96](#page-15-0), K. Senosi [65,](#page-15-0) J. Seo [95](#page-15-0)*,*[67,](#page-15-0) E. Serradilla [64](#page-15-0)*,*[10,](#page-14-0) A. Sevcenco [62,](#page-15-0) A. Shabanov [56,](#page-15-0) A. Shabetai 112 112 112 , O. Shadura [3](#page-14-0), R. Shahoyan 36 36 36 , A. Shangaraev 111 111 111 , A. Sharma 90 , M. Sharma 90 , M. Sharma [90,](#page-15-0) N. Sharma [124](#page-15-0)*,*[61,](#page-15-0) K. Shigaki [47](#page-14-0), K. Shtejer [9](#page-14-0)*,*[27,](#page-14-0) Y. Sibiriak [99,](#page-15-0) S. Siddhanta [105,](#page-15-0) K.M. Sielewicz ³⁶, T. Siemiarczuk ⁷⁷, D. Silvermyr ^{[84](#page-15-0),34}, C. Silvestre ⁷¹, G. Simatovic ¹²⁸, G. Simonetti ³⁶, R. Singaraju [131,](#page-15-0) R. Singh [79](#page-15-0), S. Singha [131](#page-15-0)*,*[79,](#page-15-0) V. Singhal [131,](#page-15-0) B.C. Sinha [131,](#page-15-0) T. Sinha [100,](#page-15-0) B. Sitar [39](#page-14-0), M. Sitta 32 , T.B. Skaali 22 , M. Slupecki 122 , N. Smirnov 135 , R.J.M. Snellings 57 , T.W. Snellman 122 , C. Søgaard 34 , R. Soltz 75 , J. Song 95 , M. Song 136 , Z. Song 7 , F. Soramel 30 , S. Sorensen 124 , M. Spacek 40 , E. Spiriti ⁷², I. Sputowska ¹¹⁶, M. Spyropoulou-Stassinaki ⁸⁸, B.K. Srivastava ⁹⁴, J. Stachel ⁹³, I. Stan ⁶², G. Stefanek 77 , E. Stenlund 34 34 34 , G. Steyn 65 , J.H. Stiller 93 , D. Stocco 112 , P. Strmen 39 , A.A.P. Suaide 119 , T. Sugitate ⁴⁷, C. Suire ⁵¹, M. Suleymanov ¹⁶, M. Suljic ^{[26](#page-14-0),[i](#page-16-0)}, R. Sultanov ⁵⁸, M. Šumbera ⁸³, T.J.M. Symons ⁷⁴, A. Szabo ³⁹, A. Szanto de Toledo ^{[119](#page-15-0),[i](#page-16-0)}, I. Szarka ³⁹, A. Szczepankiewicz ³⁶, M. Szymanski ¹³², U. Tabassam [16,](#page-14-0) J. Takahashi [120,](#page-15-0) G.J. Tambave [18,](#page-14-0) N. Tanaka [127,](#page-15-0) M.A. Tangaro [33](#page-14-0), J.D. Tapia Takaki [51](#page-14-0)*,*[iii,](#page-16-0) A. Tarantola Peloni 53 , M. Tarhini 51 , M. Tariq 19 19 19 , M.G. Tarzila 78 , A. Tauro 36 , G. Tejeda Muñoz 2 , A. Telesca [36,](#page-14-0) K. Terasaki [126](#page-15-0), C. Terrevoli [30](#page-14-0)*,*[25,](#page-14-0) B. Teyssier [129,](#page-15-0) J. Thäder [74](#page-15-0)*,*[96,](#page-15-0) D. Thomas [117,](#page-15-0) R. Tieulent 129 , A.R. Timmins 121 , A. Toia 53 , S. Trogolo 110 , V. Trubnikov 3 , W.H. Trzaska 122 , T. Tsuji 126 126 126 , A. Tumkin 98 98 98 , R. Turrisi 107 , T.S. Tveter 22 , K. Ullaland 18 , A. Uras 129 , G.L. Usai 25 , A. Utrobicic 128 ,

M. Vajzer 83 , L. Valencia Palomo $\rm ^{70}$, S. Vallero 27 , J. Van Der Maarel 57 , J.W. Van Hoorne 36 , M. van Leeuwen ⁵⁷, T. Vanat ⁸³, P. Vande Vyvre ³⁶, D. Varga ¹³⁴, A. Vargas ², M. Vargyas ¹²², R. Varma ⁴⁸, M. Vasileiou 88 , A. Vasiliev 99 , A. Vauthier 71 , V. Vechernin 130 , A.M. Veen 57 , M. Veldhoen 57 , A. Velure 18 , M. Venaruzzo [73,](#page-15-0) E. Vercellin 27, S. Vergara Limón 2, R. Vernet 8, M. Verweij [133](#page-15-0)*,*36, L. Vickovic [115,](#page-15-0) G. V[i](#page-16-0)esti ^{30,i}, J. Viinikainen ¹²², Z. Vilakazi ¹²⁵, O. Villalobos Baillie ^{[101](#page-15-0)}, A. Villatoro Tello ², A. Vinogradov ⁹⁹, L. Vinogradov ¹³⁰, Y. Vinogradov ^{[98](#page-15-0),[i](#page-16-0)}, T. Virgili ³¹, V. Vislavicius ³⁴, Y.P. Viyogi ¹³¹, A. Vodopyanov [66,](#page-15-0) M.A. Völkl [93,](#page-15-0) K. Voloshin [58,](#page-15-0) S.A. Voloshin [133,](#page-15-0) G. Volpe [134](#page-15-0)*,*36, B. von Haller 36, I. Vorobyev [92](#page-15-0)*,*37, D. Vranic [96](#page-15-0)*,*36, J. Vrláková 41, B. Vulpescu [70,](#page-15-0) A. Vyushin [98,](#page-15-0) B. Wagner 18, J. Wagner [96,](#page-15-0) H. Wang [57,](#page-15-0) M. Wang ⁷*,*[112,](#page-15-0) D. Watanabe [127,](#page-15-0) Y. Watanabe [126,](#page-15-0) M. Weber 36, S.G. Weber [96,](#page-15-0) J.P. Wessels 54, U. Westerhoff 54 , J. Wiechula 35 , J. Wikne 22 , M. Wilde 54 , G. Wilk 77 , J. Wilkinson 93 , M.C.S. Williams 104 , B. Windelband 93 , M. Winn 93 , C.G. Yaldo 133 , H. Yang 57 , P. Yang 7 , S. Yano 47 , Z. Yin 7 , H. Yokoyama 127 , I.-K. Yoo ⁹⁵, V. Yurchenko ³, I. Yushmanov ⁹⁹, A. Zaborowska ¹³², V. Zaccolo ^{[80](#page-15-0)}, A. Zaman ¹⁶, C. Zampolli ¹⁰⁴, H.J.C. Zanoli ¹¹⁹, S. Zaporozhets ⁶⁶, N. Zardoshti ¹⁰¹, A. Zarochentsev ¹³⁰, P. Závada ⁶⁰, N. Zaviyalov [98,](#page-15-0) H. Zbroszczyk [132,](#page-15-0) I.S. Zgura [62,](#page-15-0) M. Zhalov [85,](#page-15-0) H. Zhang ¹⁸*,*7, X. Zhang [74](#page-15-0)*,*7, Y. Zhang 7, Z. Zhang 7, C. Zhao 22, N. Zhigareva [58](#page-15-0), D. Zhou 7, Y. Zhou [80](#page-15-0)*,*[57](#page-15-0), Z. Zhou 18, H. Zhu ¹⁸*,*7, J. Zhu ⁷*,*[112,](#page-15-0) A. Zichichi ²⁸*,*12, A. Zimmermann [93,](#page-15-0) M.B. Zimmermann ³⁶*,*54, G. Zinovjev 3, M. Zyzak ⁴³

A.I. Alikhanyan National Science Laboratory (Yerevan Physics Institute) Foundation, Yerevan, Armenia

- *Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico*
- *Bogolyubov Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kiev, Ukraine*
- 4 Bose Institute, Department of Physics and Centre for Astroparticle Physics and Space Science (CAPSS), Kolkata, India
- *Budker Institute for Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk, Russia*
- *California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo, CA, United States*
- *Central China Normal University, Wuhan, China*
- *Centre de Calcul de l'IN2P3, Villeurbanne, France*
- *Centro de Aplicaciones Tecnológicas y Desarrollo Nuclear (CEADEN), Havana, Cuba*
- *Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain*
- *Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados (CINVESTAV), Mexico City and Mérida, Mexico*
- *Centro Fermi – Museo Storico della Fisica e Centro Studi e Ricerche "Enrico Fermi", Rome, Italy*
- *Chicago State University, Chicago, IL, USA*
- *China Institute of Atomic Energy, Beijing, China*
- *Commissariat à l'Energie Atomique, IRFU, Saclay, France*
- *COMSATS Institute of Information Technology (CIIT), Islamabad, Pakistan*
- ¹⁷ Departamento de Física de Partículas and IGFAE, Universidad de Santiago de Compostela, Santiago de Compostela, Spain
- *Department of Physics and Technology, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway*
- *Department of Physics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, India*
- *Department of Physics, Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, United States*
- *Department of Physics, Sejong University, Seoul, South Korea*
- *Department of Physics, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway*
- *Dipartimento di Elettrotecnica ed Elettronica del Politecnico, Bari, Italy*
- *Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università 'La Sapienza' and Sezione INFN, Rome, Italy*
- *Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Cagliari, Italy*
- *Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Trieste, Italy*
- *Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Turin, Italy*
- *Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Bologna, Italy*
- *Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Catania, Italy*
- *Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Padova, Italy*
- *Dipartimento di Fisica 'E.R. Caianiello' dell'Università and Gruppo Collegato INFN, Salerno, Italy*
- ³² Dipartimento di Scienze e Innovazione Tecnologica dell'Università del Piemonte Orientale and Gruppo Collegato INFN, Alessandria, Italy
- *Dipartimento Interateneo di Fisica 'M. Merlin' and Sezione INFN, Bari, Italy*
- *Division of Experimental High Energy Physics, University of Lund, Lund, Sweden*
- *Eberhard Karls Universität Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany*
- *European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN), Geneva, Switzerland*
- *Excellence Cluster Universe, Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany*
- *Faculty of Engineering, Bergen University College, Bergen, Norway*
- *Faculty of Mathematics, Physics and Informatics, Comenius University, Bratislava, Slovakia*
- *Faculty of Nuclear Sciences and Physical Engineering, Czech Technical University in Prague, Prague, Czech Republic*
- *Faculty of Science, P.J. Šafárik University, Košice, Slovakia*
- *Faculty of Technology, Buskerud and Vestfold University College, Vestfold, Norway*
- *Frankfurt Institute for Advanced Studies, Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Germany*
- *Gangneung-Wonju National University, Gangneung, South Korea*
- *Gauhati University, Department of Physics, Guwahati, India*
- *Helsinki Institute of Physics (HIP), Helsinki, Finland*
- *Hiroshima University, Hiroshima, Japan*
- *Indian Institute of Technology Bombay (IIT), Mumbai, India*
- *Indian Institute of Technology Indore, Indore (IITI), India*
- *Inha University, Incheon, South Korea*
- *Institut de Physique Nucléaire d'Orsay (IPNO), Université Paris-Sud, CNRS-IN2P3, Orsay, France*
- *Institut für Informatik, Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Germany*
- *Institut für Kernphysik, Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Germany*
- *Institut für Kernphysik, Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster, Münster, Germany*

 Institute for Nuclear Research, Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia Institute for Subatomic Physics of Utrecht University, Utrecht, Netherlands Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia Institute of Experimental Physics, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Košice, Slovakia Institute of Physics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Prague, Czech Republic Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar, India Institute of Space Science (ISS), Bucharest, Romania Instituto de Ciencias Nucleares, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico City, Mexico Instituto de Física, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico City, Mexico iThemba LABS, National Research Foundation, Somerset West, South Africa Joint Institute for Nuclear Research (JINR), Dubna, Russia Konkuk University, Seoul, South Korea Korea Institute of Science and Technology Information, Daejeon, South Korea KTO Karatay University, Konya, Turkey Laboratoire de Physique Corpusculaire (LPC), Clermont Université, Université Blaise Pascal, CNRS-IN2P3, Clermont-Ferrand, France Laboratoire de Physique Subatomique et de Cosmologie, Université Grenoble-Alpes, CNRS-IN2P3, Grenoble, France Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, INFN, Frascati, Italy Laboratori Nazionali di Legnaro, INFN, Legnaro, Italy Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, United States Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA, United States Moscow Engineering Physics Institute, Moscow, Russia National Centre for Nuclear Studies, Warsaw, Poland National Institute for Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest, Romania National Institute of Science Education and Research, Bhubaneswar, India Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark Nikhef, Nationaal Instituut voor Subatomaire Fysica, Amsterdam, Netherlands Nuclear Physics Group, STFC Daresbury Laboratory, Daresbury, United Kingdom Nuclear Physics Institute, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Rež ˇ u Prahy, Czech Republic Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, United States Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina, Russia Physics Department, Creighton University, Omaha, NE, United States Physics Department, Panjab University, Chandigarh, India Physics Department, University of Athens, Athens, Greece Physics Department, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa

Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien (IPHC), Université de Strasbourg, CNRS-IN2P3, Strasbourg, France

Physics Department, University of Jammu, Jammu, India

Physics Department, University of Rajasthan, Jaipur, India

Physik Department, Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany

Physikalisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany

Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, United States

Pusan National University, Pusan, South Korea

Research Division and ExtreMe Matter Institute EMMI, GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung, Darmstadt, Germany

Rudjer Boškovi´c Institute, Zagreb, Croatia

Russian Federal Nuclear Center (VNIIEF), Sarov, Russia

Russian Research Centre Kurchatov Institute, Moscow, Russia

Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Kolkata, India

School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom

Sección Física, Departamento de Ciencias, Pontificia Universidad Católica del Perú, Lima, Peru

Sezione INFN, Bari, Italy

Sezione INFN, Bologna, Italy

Sezione INFN, Cagliari, Italy

Sezione INFN, Catania, Italy

Sezione INFN, Padova, Italy

Sezione INFN, Rome, Italy

Sezione INFN, Trieste, Italy

Sezione INFN, Turin, Italy

SSC IHEP of NRC Kurchatov institute, Protvino, Russia

SUBATECH, Ecole des Mines de Nantes, Université de Nantes, CNRS-IN2P3, Nantes, France

Suranaree University of Technology, Nakhon Ratchasima, Thailand

Technical University of Košice, Košice, Slovakia

Technical University of Split FESB, Split, Croatia

The Henryk Niewodniczanski Institute of Nuclear Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Cracow, Poland

The University of Texas at Austin, Physics Department, Austin, TX, USA

Universidad Autónoma de Sinaloa, Culiacán, Mexico

Universidade de São Paulo (USP), São Paulo, Brazil

Universidade Estadual de Campinas (UNICAMP), Campinas, Brazil

University of Houston, Houston, TX, United States

University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, Finland

University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom

University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN, United States

University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa

University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Japan

University of Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia

Université de Lyon, Université Lyon 1, CNRS/IN2P3, IPN-Lyon, Villeurbanne, France

V. Fock Institute for Physics, St. Petersburg State University, St. Petersburg, Russia

Variable Energy Cyclotron Centre, Kolkata, India

Warsaw University of Technology, Warsaw, Poland

Wayne State University, Detroit, MI, United States

- *Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest, Hungary*
- *Yale University, New Haven, CT, United States*
- *Yonsei University, Seoul, South Korea*
- *Zentrum für Technologietransfer und Telekommunikation (ZTT), Fachhochschule Worms, Worms, Germany*
- ⁱ Deceased.
- ii Also at: M.V. Lomonosov Moscow State University, D.V. Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear, Physics, Moscow, Russia.
- iii Also at: University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas, United States.