Nuclear quantum shape-phase transitions in oddmass systems

Quan, S.; Li, Zhipan; Vretenar, Dario; Meng, Jie

Source / Izvornik: Physical Review C, 2018, 97

Journal article, Published version Rad u časopisu, Objavljena verzija rada (izdavačev PDF)

https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.97.031301

Permanent link / Trajna poveznica: https://urn.nsk.hr/urn:nbn:hr:217:673505

Rights / Prava: In copyright/Zaštićeno autorskim pravom.

Download date / Datum preuzimanja: 2025-03-31



Repository / Repozitorij:

Repository of the Faculty of Science - University of Zagreb





Nuclear quantum shape-phase transitions in odd-mass systems

S. Quan,¹ Z. P. Li,^{1,*} D. Vretenar,² and J. Meng^{3,4,5}

¹School of Physical Science and Technology, Southwest University, Chongqing 400715, China

²Physics Department, Faculty of Science, University of Zagreb, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia

³State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, School of Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

⁴Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

⁵Department of Physics, University of Stellenbosch, Stellenbosch, South Africa

(Received 14 November 2017; revised manuscript received 2 January 2018; published 2 March 2018)

Microscopic signatures of nuclear ground-state shape-phase transitions in odd-mass Eu isotopes are explored starting from excitation spectra and collective wave functions obtained by diagonalization of a core-quasiparticle coupling Hamiltonian based on energy density functionals. As functions of the physical control parameter—the number of nucleons—theoretical low-energy spectra, two-neutron separation energies, charge isotope shifts, spectroscopic quadrupole moments, and E2 reduced transition matrix elements accurately reproduce available data and exhibit more-pronounced discontinuities at neutron number N = 90 compared with the adjacent eveneven Sm and Gd isotopes. The enhancement of the first-order quantum phase transition in odd-mass systems can be attributed to a shape polarization effect of the unpaired proton which, at the critical neutron number, starts predominantly coupling to Gd core nuclei that are characterized by larger quadrupole deformation and weaker proton pairing correlations compared with the corresponding Sm isotopes.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevC.97.031301

Quantum-mechanical systems can undergo zerotemperature phase transitions upon variation of a nonthermal control parameter. Quantum phase transitions (QPTs) present a very active field of research and have found a variety of applications in many areas of physics and chemistry [1,2]. Nuclear QPTs, in particular, correspond to shape transitions between competing ground-state phases induced by variation of a nonthermal control parameter (number of nucleons) [3-7]. Most experimental and theoretical studies of first- and second-order nuclear QPTs have considered systems with even numbers of protons and neutrons [8-19]. QPTs in odd-A nuclei present a more complex phenomenon because of the coupling between single-particle and collective degrees of freedom. The crucial issues for QPTs in odd-A systems are the influence of the unpaired fermion(s) on the precise location and nature of the phase transition, empirical signatures of QPTs, and the definition and computation of order parameters [20,21]. In recent years, phenomenological geometric models with single- or multi-*i* state coupling [22-25], the interacting boson-fermion framework [23,26,27], and microscopic energy density functionals [28,29] have been employed in extensive studies of QPTs in odd-mass nuclei.

In this paper we report a microscopic study of QPT in oddmass Eu isotopes, calculate a series of observables that can be related to order parameters (low-energy spectra, two-neutron separation energies, isotope shifts, spectroscopic quadrupole moments, and reduced transition matrix elements), both for odd-mass nuclei and the adjacent even-even isotopes, and analyze the polarization effect of the unpaired nucleon on the QPT. The choice of Eu isotopes is motivated by the fact that the best example of a QPT in atomic nuclei is probably in the rare-earth region with $N \approx 90$ neutrons, where a transition between spherical and axially symmetric equilibrium shapes has been extensively investigated both experimentally [11,30–33] and by using a number of theoretical methods [14–17,34]. Moreover, the QPT in the odd-proton and even-neutron Eu isotopes is determined by the same control parameter; that is, the number of neutrons, as in the adjacent even-even Sm and Gd isotopes.

Our model is based on the nuclear covariant density functional theory (CDFT) [35-38]; specifically, the relativistic Hartree-Bogoliubov (RHB) implementation of the CDFT framework, which has successfully been applied to the description of a variety of structure phenomena over the entire chart of nuclides. Modelling excitation spectra and electromagnetic transition rates requires including correlations beyond the static mean field through the restoration of broken symmetries and configuration mixing of symmetry-breaking product states. In the present analysis we employ a generalized five-dimensional collective Hamiltonian (5DCH), with quadrupole deformations as dynamical collective coordinates for the even-A system. The microscopic self-consistent solutions of deformation-constrained triaxial RHB calculations: the single-particle wave functions, occupation probabilities, and quasiparticle energies, are used to calculate the Hamiltonian parameters. The resulting collective potential and inertia parameters as functions of collective coordinates determine the dynamics of the 5DCH [39,40]. For the odd-mass system, we add a quasiparticle to 5DCH and construct a microscopic core-quasiparticle coupling (CQC) Hamiltonian, for which the collective degrees of freedom of the core and the fermion

2469-9985/2018/97(3)/031301(6)

^{*}zpliphy@swu.edu.cn

degrees of freedom of the quasiparticle are described within the same CDFT [41]. The inclusion of both neighboring even-even core nuclei in the CQC Hamiltonian enables the model to take into account shape polarization effects; that is, differences in shapes and related observables between two cores, which are critical for transitional nuclei. The CQC Hamiltonian predicts excitation energies, kinematic and dynamic moments of inertia, and transition rates that are in very good agreement with experiments for deformed odd-mass nuclei [41].

The present analysis starts with the calculation of totalenergy surfaces as functions of quadrupole-deformation coordinates for the even-even Sm and Gd isotopes, using the constrained RHB model based on the PC-PK1 density functional [42] in the particle-hole channel, and a finite-range separable pairing force [43] in the particle-particle channel. The deformation-energy surfaces are displayed in Fig. 1 and exhibit a distinct evolution of prolate deformation with increasing neutron number, from the nearly spherical ¹⁴⁸Sm and ¹⁵⁰Gd, to the well-deformed prolate ¹⁵⁴Sm and ¹⁵⁶Gd, as well as the reduction of the γ dependence of the potentials. The energy surfaces of ¹⁵²Sm and ¹⁵⁴Gd indicate that these are transitional nuclei, characterized by a softer potential around the equilibrium minimum in the β direction. Therefore, with increasing N the shape evolution in Sm and Gd isotopes undergoes a QPT between the vibrational and rotational limits of the Casten symmetry triangle [4], with ¹⁵²Sm and ¹⁵⁴Gd being located closest to the critical point.

Even though shape coexistence and transitions in nuclei have been extensively explored by considering potentialenergy surfaces, a quantitative study of QPT must go beyond the simple Landau approach and include direct computation of observables related to order parameters. In the following we consider spectroscopic properties of odd-mass Eu isotopes that can be associated with order parameters of a shape-phase transition.

Using Sm and Gd as the collective core nuclei, one can construct a microscopic core-quasiparticle coupling Hamiltonian for odd-mass Eu isotopes. The dynamics of the CQC Hamiltonian is determined by the energies, quadrupole matrix elements, and average pairing gaps corresponding to the spherical single-particle states of the unpaired nucleon, and collective excitation states of the two cores, which are calculated by using the triaxial RHB method combined with the 5DCH. The Fermi level λ and coupling strength χ of the corequasiparticle quadrupole interaction are phenomenological parameters adjusted to reproduce the ground-state spin and/or the excitation energies of few lowest levels, separately for positive- and negative-parity states [41].

Figure 2 displays the low-energy positive- and negativeparity bands of ^{149,151,153,155}Eu isotopes as functions of angular momentum, in comparison with available data [44]. The ground-state bands of the adjacent even-even Sm isotopes are also included. The calculated energy levels are grouped into bands according to the dominant *E*2 decay pattern. One notices that the theoretical results are in good agreement with experiment, not only for all the ground-state bands and lowestlying negative-parity bands, but also for the one-quasiparticle excited bands. Only the positive-parity bands 2 and 3 in ¹⁴⁹Eu, and the negative-parity band 2 in ¹⁵¹Eu are too high compared



FIG. 1. Self-consistent RHB triaxial quadrupole energy surfaces in the β - γ plane ($0^{\circ} \leq \gamma \leq 60^{\circ}$) for Sm and Gd isotopes. All energies are normalized with respect to the binding energy of the corresponding ground state. The contours join points on the surface with the same energy, and the separation between neighboring contours is 0.5 MeV.

with the data, possibly because the model space does not include higher-order quasiparticle excitations. The calculated negative-parity band 2 of ^{153,155}Eu exhibits a staggering due to Coriolis coupling that is too strong, but this can be resolved by adding a magnetic-dipole particle-core interaction term to the model Hamiltonian [45]. One also notices that the behavior of the excitation energies versus angular momentum for odd-mass Eu isotopes is consistent with that in the adjacent eveneven Sm isotopes; namely, from a nearly linear dependence characteristic for a spherical vibrator to a parabolic dependence of an axial rotor as neutron number increases. We note that the Sm isotopes, and ¹⁵²Sm in particular, were the first reported



FIG. 2. Low-energy positive-parity (left panels) and negativeparity (middle panels) bands of ^{149,151,153,155} Eu isotopes as functions of angular momentum, in comparison with the available data [44]. The excitation energies of the negative-parity states are shown relative to the corresponding lowest state. The ground-state bands of the adjacent even-even Sm isotopes are also shown in the panels on the right. The theoretical predictions for the odd-mass and even-even isotopes are obtained by using the microscopic CQC Hamiltonian and 5DCH, respectively, based on the PC-PK1 energy density functional and a finite-range separable pairing force. The choice of the Fermi level and coupling strength (λ , χ) in the CQC Hamiltonian: (-4.80, 8.80), (-8.60, 13.4), (-8.05, 11.0), and (-8.70, 9.80) reproduces the positive-parity bands of ¹⁴⁹Eu, ¹⁵¹Eu, ¹⁵³Eu, and ¹⁵⁵Eu, respectively. The corresponding values of (λ, χ) for the negative-parity bands of the four nuclei are (-5.95, 5.00), (-9.70, 24.0), (-6.92, 20.0), and (-8.00, 19.6), respectively. λ is in units of MeV and χ in MeV/ b^2 .

empirical example of a first-order QPT between a vibrator and axial rotor phases [11]. A corresponding phase transition occurs in the odd-mass Eu isotopes. The negative-parity bands and the positive-parity excited bands exhibit a weak-coupling $\Delta J = 2$ structure for ^{149,151}Eu, and rapidly change to the $\Delta J = 1$ systematics of the strong-coupling limit for ^{153,155}Eu.

To identify quantitative signatures of a possible shape QPT, we investigate the observables related to order parameters as functions of the control parameter—nucleon number. A critical point of a QPT is characterized by a sudden change in the order parameter, even though one expects that in small systems with a finite number of particles the transition is, to a certain extent, smoothed out. In Fig. 3 we analyze the evolution with neutron number of the two-neutron separation energies S_{2n} , isotope shifts of the ground-state charge radii: $\langle r_c^2 \rangle_A - \langle r_c^2 \rangle_{A-2}$, spectroscopic quadrupole moments Q_s , and



FIG. 3. (a)–(d) Evolution of the two-neutron separation energies S_{2n} , isotope shifts of the ground-state charge radii $\langle r_c^2 \rangle_A - \langle r_c^2 \rangle_{A-2}$, spectroscopic quadrupole moments Q_s , and reduced transition matrix elements $\langle J_f || E2 || J_i \rangle^2$, as functions of the neutron number in odd-mass Eu isotopes, and (e)–(h) adjacent even-even Sm isotopes. The values calculated with the microscopic CQC Hamiltonian for Eu isotopes, and the 5DCH for Sm isotopes, are compared with available data [44]. Green triangles denote observables calculated with a CQC Hamiltonian that includes only Sm even-even cores.

matrix elements $\langle J_f || E2 || J_i \rangle^2$ for transitions to the ground state. The theoretical values are directly computed by using the excitation energies and collective wave functions obtained with the CQC Hamiltonian. For comparison, we also include the isotopic dependence of the corresponding quantities in the adjacent even-even Sm nuclei, with the predictions of the 5DCH. Very similar values are also obtained for the even-*A* Gd isotopes.



FIG. 4. (a) Probabilities of the dominant configurations, and (b) quasiparticle energies, for the ground states of Eu isotopes calculated with the CDFT-based CQC Hamiltonian. In panel (b) the experimental odd-even mass differences $\Delta^{(5)}$ are calculated by using the five-point formula [47].

The agreement between the predictions and corresponding data is very good both for the even-even and odd-mass nuclei, especially considering that CDFT based on nuclear and pairing functionals are applicable over the entire chart of nuclides. In the context of the present study, an especially important result in Fig. 3 is that all considered observables present pronounced discontinuities at N = 90. This points to the occurrence of a phase transition between spherical and quadrupole-deformed prolate shapes, and the N = 90 isotones appear to be closest to the critical point. Furthermore, it is remarkable that the discontinuities of the order parameters for the odd-mass Eu isotopes are even steeper than those in the even-even Sm isotopes, particularly the isotope shifts and spectroscopic quadrupole moments. This means that the quadrupole interaction between the core and the unpaired fermion reinforces the QPT in odd-mass nuclei compared with the adjacent even-even isotopes. The enhancement of QPT in odd-mass systems was also discussed in Refs. [20,46] by analyzing the contribution of deformation to two-neutron separation energies. Here we not only reproduce the sharper discontinuities in a microscopic calculation but are also able to verify the enhancement of QPT in the odd-mass system by considering several observables.

The mechanism of the enhancement of QPT in odd-mass system is illustrated in Fig. 4, where we plot the probabilities of the dominant configurations in the ground states of the Eu isotopes and, in the lower panel, the corresponding quasiparticle energies. The quasiparticle energy of the ground state corresponds to the lowest eigenvalue of the CQC Hamiltonian, and it is calculated as the difference between the total energy of the odd-mass nucleus and the average value of the energies of the two even-even cores. This is, of course, related to pairing correlations, and we compare the theoretical values to the empirical proton pairing gaps calculated by using the five-point formula [47]. In Fig. 4(a) the ground state of ¹⁴⁹Eu predominantly corresponds to the $2d_{5/2}$ spherical proton configuration, while those of ^{151,153,155}Eu are dominated by the $1g_{7/2}$ configuration. One notices the rapid transition from configurations in which, because of shape fluctuations, the unpaired proton is almost equally coupled to both the Sm and Gd core low-spin yrast states, to ground states in the N = 90 and N = 92 Eu nuclei in which the proton is predominantly coupled to the Gd core. This is because, with the increase of neutron number, both Sm and Gd become markedly prolate deformed, but the Gd isotopes exhibit a slightly larger deformation, as evidenced by the spectroscopic quadrupole moments and matrix elements $\langle J_f || E2 || J_i \rangle^2$ for transitions between yrast states. Consequently, the quadrupole core-proton interaction will favor coupling to the Gd core, and this corresponds to a shape polarization effect that reinforces the QPT observed in the even-even isotopes. In addition, Gd exhibits a proton shell at Z = 64, and one expects a weaker proton pairing compared with Sm. The predominant coupling of the odd proton hole to the Gd core in Eu isotopes with $N \ge 90$ leads to the sudden reduction of the ground-state quasiparticle energy at N = 90 [cf. Fig. 4(b)]. This is also reflected in the pronounced kink observed for the two-neutron separation energies in odd-A Eu isotopes, as compared with the rather flat behavior of S_{2n} around the critical point in the adjacent Sm and Gd isotopes [Figs. 3(a) and 3(e)].

We note that a similar analysis of quantum shape phase transitions in odd-A Eu and Sm was performed in Ref. [28] by using a framework based on EDFs and the particle-plusboson-core coupling. The interacting boson model core Hamiltonian, as well as the single-particle energies and occupation probabilities of the unpaired nucleon, are completely determined by constrained self-consistent mean-field calculations for a specific choice of the EDF and paring interaction. The strength parameters of the particle-core coupling are adjusted to reproduce selected spectroscopic properties of the odd-mass system. Several quantities that can be related to quantum order parameters were computed and their evolution with neutron number analyzed. However, in contrast with the CQC Hamiltonian used in the present calculation, only the eveneven Sm isotopes were considered as core nuclei; that is, the odd-fermion was only coupled to the corresponding A - 1core nucleus. With this choice of the boson core Hamiltonian one cannot analyze the mechanism that, in the present study, enhances the first-order quantum phase transition in odd-mass systems. Namely, starting from the critical point at N = 90, the odd-proton predominantly couples to the A + 1 Gd core nuclei characterized by larger quadrupole deformation and weaker

proton pairing correlations compared with the corresponding Sm isotopes. This effect is quantified in Fig. 3 where, with green triangles, we denote the isotope shifts of the ground-state charge radii, the spectroscopic quadrupole moments, and reduced transition matrix elements of odd-mass Eu isotopes calculated with a CQC Hamiltonian that is based only on Sm even-even cores. Obviously in this case the phase transition is less pronounced, and the agreement of the calculated ground-state quadrupole moments with data is not as good as in the case when the odd proton is allowed to couple to the A + 1 Gd core.

In conclusion, a microscopic analysis of low-energy spectra and observables related to order parameters for a first-order nuclear QPT between spherical and axially deformed shapes in odd-mass Eu isotopes has been performed by solving a core-quasiparticle coupling Hamiltonian based on the PC-PK1 energy density functional. The calculated two-neutron separation energies, isotope shifts, spectroscopic quadrupole moments, and E2 reduced transition matrix elements are in

- S. Sachdev, *Quantum Phase Transitions* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000).
- [2] Understanding Quantum Phase Transitions, edited by L. Carr (CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2010).
- [3] F. Iachello, in *Proceedings of the International School of Physics* "Enrico Ferm" Course CLIII, edited by A. Molinari, L. Riccati, W. M. Alberico, and M. Morando (IOS Press, Amsterdam, 2003).
- [4] R. F. Casten, Nat. Phys. 2, 811 (2006).
- [5] R. F. Casten and E. A. McCutchan, J. Phys. G 34, R285 (2007).
- [6] P. Cejnar and J. Jolie, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 62, 210 (2009).
- [7] P. Cejnar, J. Jolie, and R. F. Casten, Rev. Mod. Phys. 82, 2155 (2010).
- [8] F. Iachello, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 3580 (2000).
- [9] R. F. Casten and N. V. Zamfir, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 3584 (2000).
- [10] F. Iachello, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 052502 (2001).
- [11] R. F. Casten and N. V. Zamfir, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 052503 (2001).
 [12] N. Pietralla and O. M. Gorbachenko, Phys. Rev. C 70, 011304(R)
- (2004).
- [13] J. Jolie, R. F. Casten, P. von Brentano, and V. Werner, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 162501 (2001).
- [14] J. Meng, W. Zhang, S. G. Zhou, H. Toki, and L. S. Geng, Eur. Phys. J. A 25, 23 (2005).
- [15] T. Nikšić, D. Vretenar, G. A. Lalazissis, and P. Ring, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 092502 (2007).
- [16] Z. P. Li, T. Nikšić, D. Vretenar, J. Meng, G. A. Lalazissis, and P. Ring, Phys. Rev. C 79, 054301 (2009).
- [17] Z. P. Li, T. Nikšić, D. Vretenar, and J. Meng, Phys. Rev. C 80, 061301(R) (2009).
- [18] Z. P. Li, T. Nikšić, D. Vretenar, and J. Meng, Phys. Rev. C 81, 034316 (2010).
- [19] Z. P. Li, B. Y. Song, J. M. Yao, D. Vretenar, and J. Meng, Phys. Lett. B 726, 866 (2013).
- [20] F. Iachello, A. Leviatan, and D. Petrellis, Phys. Lett. B 705, 379 (2011).
- [21] D. Petrellis, A. Leviatan, and F. Iachello, Ann. Phys. (NY) 326, 926 (2011).
- [22] F. Iachello, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 052503 (2005).

very good agreement with available data and exhibit sharper discontinuities at neutron number N = 90 compared with those in adjacent even-even Sm and Gd isotopes. The results indicate an enhancement of signatures of the first-order quantum phase transition in the odd-mass system. By analyzing the dominant configurations and quasiparticle energies of the ground state in Eu isotopes, the amplification of the QPT in the odd-mass system can be attributed to the shape polarization effect of the unpaired proton.

This work was supported in part by the NSFC under Grants No. 11475140, No. 11575148, No. 11335002, and No. 11621131001, the Major State 973 Program of China No. 2013CB834400, the QuantiXLie Centre of Excellence, a project co-financed by the Croatian Government and European Union through the European Regional Development Fund the Competitiveness and Cohesion Operational Programme (KK.01.1.1.01), and the Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education under Grant No. 20110001110087.

- [23] C. E. Alonso, J. M. Arias, and A. Vitturi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 052501 (2007).
- [24] Y. Zhang, F. Pan, Y. X. Liu, Z. F. Hou, and J. P. Draayer, Phys. Rev. C 82, 034327 (2010).
- [25] Y. Zhang, F. Pan, Y. X. Liu, Y. A. Luo, and J. P. Draayer, Phys. Rev. C 84, 034306 (2011).
- [26] M. Böyükata, C. E. Alonso, J. M. Arias, L. Fortunato, and A. Vitturi, Phys. Rev. C 82, 014317 (2010).
- [27] M. A. Jafarizadeh, M. Ghapanvari, and N. Fouladi, Phys. Rev. C 92, 054306 (2015).
- [28] K. Nomura, T. Nikšić, and D. Vretenar, Phys. Rev. C 94, 064310 (2016).
- [29] K. Nomura, T. Nikšić, and D. Vretenar, Phys. Rev. C 96, 014304 (2017).
- [30] R. Krücken, B. Albanna, C. Bialik, R. F. Casten, J. R. Cooper, A. Dewald, N. V. Zamfir, C. J. Barton, C. W. Beausang, M. A. Caprio, A. A. Hecht, T. Klug, J. R. Novak, N. Pietralla, and P. von Brentano, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 232501 (2002).
- [31] D. Tonev, A. Dewald, T. Klug, P. Petkov, J. Jolie, A. Fitzler, O. Möller, S. Heinze, P. von Brentano, and R. F. Casten, Phys. Rev. C 69, 034334 (2004).
- [32] O. Möller, A. Dewald, P. Petkov, B. Saha, A. Fitzler, K. Jessen, D. Tonev, T. Klug, S. Heinze, J. Jolie, P. von Brentano, D. Bazzacco, C. A. Ur, E. Farnea, M. Axiotis, S. Lunardi, G. de Angelis, D. R. Napoli, N. Marginean, T. Martinez, M. A. Caprio, and R. F. Casten, Phys. Rev. C 74, 024313 (2006).
- [33] W. D. Kulp, J. L. Wood, P. E. Garrett, C. Y. Wu, D. Cline, J. M. Allmond, D. Bandyopadhyay, D. Dashdorj, S. N. Choudry, A. B. Hayes, H. Hua, M. G. Mynk, M. T. McEllistrem, C. J. McKay, J. N. Orce, R. Teng, and S. W. Yates, Phys. Rev. C 77, 061301(R) (2008).
- [34] E. A. McCutchan, N. V. Zamfir, and R. F. Casten, Phys. Rev. C 69, 064306 (2004).
- [35] P. Ring, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 37, 193 (1996).
- [36] D. Vretenar, A. V. Afanasjev, G. A. Lalazissis, and P. Ring, Phys. Rep. 409, 101 (2005).
- [37] J. Meng, H. Toki, S. G. Zhou, S. Q. Zhang, W. H. Long, and L. S. Geng, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 57, 470 (2006).

- [38] *Relativistic Density Functional for Nuclear Structure*, edited by J. Meng (World Scientific, Singapore, 2016).
- [39] Z. P. Li, T. Nikšić, D. Vretenar, P. Ring, and J. Meng, Phys. Rev. C 81, 064321 (2010).
- [40] T. Nikšić, D. Vretenar, and P. Ring, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 66, 519 (2011).
- [41] S. Quan, W. P. Liu, Z. P. Li, and M. S. Smith, Phys. Rev. C 96, 054309 (2017).
- [42] P. W. Zhao, Z. P. Li, J. M. Yao, and J. Meng, Phys. Rev. C 82, 054319 (2010).
- [43] Y. Tian, Z. Y. Ma, and P. Ring, Phys. Lett. B 676, 44 (2009).
- [44] Brookhaven National Nuclear Data Center, http://www.nndc. bnl.gov (ENSDF).
- [45] P. Protopapas and A. Klein, Phys. Rev. C 55, 1810 (1997).
- [46] Y. Zhang, L. Bao, X. Guan, F. Pan, and J. P. Draayer, Phys. Rev. C 88, 064305 (2013).
- [47] M. Bender, K. Rutz, P.-G. Reinhard, and J. A. Maruhn, Eur. Phys. J. A 8, 59 (2000).