First measurement of Ξ0c production in pp collisions at \sqrt{s} = 7 TeV

(ALICE Collaboration) Acharya, S.; ...; Antičić, Tome; ...; Erhardt, Filip; ...; Gotovac, Sven; ...; Jerčić, Marko; ...; ...

Source / Izvornik: **Physics Letters B, 2018, 781, 8 - 19**

Journal article, Published version Rad u časopisu, Objavljena verzija rada (izdavačev PDF)

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2018.03.061>

Permanent link / Trajna poveznica: <https://urn.nsk.hr/urn:nbn:hr:217:552921>

Rights / Prava: [Attribution 4.0 International](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) / [Imenovanje 4.0 međunarodna](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Download date / Datum preuzimanja: **2024-08-29**

Repository / Repozitorij:

[Repository of the Faculty of Science - University o](https://repozitorij.pmf.unizg.hr)f [Zagreb](https://repozitorij.pmf.unizg.hr)

[Physics Letters B 781 \(2018\) 8–19](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2018.03.061)

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.ScienceDirect.com/)

Physics Letters B

www.elsevier.com/locate/physletb

First measurement of Ξ_c^0 production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV

ALICE [Collaboration](#page-8-0)^{*}

A R T I C L E I N F O A B S T R A C T

Article history: Received 15 December 2017 Received in revised form 5 March 2018 Accepted 21 March 2018 Available online 27 March 2018

Editor: L. Rolandi

The production of the charm-strange baryon Ξ_c^0 is measured for the first time at the LHC via its semileptonic decay into $e^+ \Xi^- \nu_e$ in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the ALICE detector. The transverse momentum (p_T) differential cross section multiplied by the branching ratio is presented in the interval $1 < p_T < 8$ GeV/*c* at mid-rapidity, $|y| < 0.5$. The transverse momentum dependence of the Ξ_c^0 baryon production relative to the D^0 meson production is compared to predictions of event generators with various tunes of the hadronisation mechanism, which are found to underestimate the measured crosssection ratio.

© 2018 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Funded by SCOAP3.

Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) as the theory of the strong interaction has been a cornerstone of the Standard Model for several decades. It has been tested through measurements in e^+e^- , pp, pp and ep collisions at momentum-transfer scales where perturbative techniques are applicable [\[1\]](#page-6-0). In particular, measurements of charm hadrons have provided important tests of the theory because perturbative techniques are applicable down to low transverse momentum (p_T) thanks to the large mass of the charm quark compared to the QCD scale parameter ($\Lambda_{\text{QCD}} \sim 200$ MeV). The production cross sections of charm hadrons can be calculated using the factorisation approach as a convolution of three factors [\[2\]](#page-6-0): the parton distribution functions of the incoming protons, the hard-scattering cross section at partonic level and the fragmentation functions of charm quarks into charm hadrons. There are several state-of-the-art calculations adopting different factorisation schemes. The collinear factorisation scheme is used by calculations at next-to-leading order in α_s , such as the general-mass variable flavour number scheme (GM-VFNS) $[3-5]$ and the fixed order with next-to-leading-log resummation ($FONLL$) [\[6,7\]](#page-6-0) approaches, while the k_T factorisation scheme is employed at leading order in Refs. [\[8–10\]](#page-6-0). However, some of these calculations do not provide predictions for heavy-baryon production due to the lack of knowledge about the fragmentation function of charm quarks into baryonic states. Measurements of the production of charm baryons, such as $\Lambda_{\rm c}^+$ and $\Xi_{\rm c}^0$, are essential to develop and test models of the hadronisation process.

While a variety of new charm-baryon resonances, such as $\Omega_{\rm c}^{0}$ [\[11\]](#page-6-0), $\Xi_{\rm cc}^{++}$ [\[12\]](#page-6-0), have recently been found, charm-hadron crosssection measurements at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) are mainly limited to mesons $[13-21]$ $[13-21]$, apart from a few measurements of the Λ_c^+ cross section in pp and p-Pb collisions [\[16,](#page-7-0) [22\]](#page-7-0). In the case of Ξ_c^0 , the existing measurements are currently limited to e^+e^- collisions [\[23–27\]](#page-7-0). New measurements of charmbaryon production are therefore needed to provide further insights into the hadronisation processes in pp collisions. For example, interactions at the partonic level among the produced quarks and gluons, such as colour reconnection, could be stronger in pp collisions than in e^+e^- collisions, resulting in an enhanced production of baryons relative to mesons $[28]$. The measurements of charmbaryon production in pp collisions also serve as a reference for heavy-ion collisions, where a modification of the baryon-to-meson ratio is expected if a substantial fraction of charm quarks hadronises via recombination with other quarks from the deconfined medium created in the collision [\[29–33\]](#page-7-0). Measurements of charmstrange baryons, e.g. Ξ_c^0 , could also provide additional input to better understand the hadronisation mechanism of strange quarks in pp collisions because of their valence quark composition.

In this paper, we report the first measurement of the p_T -differential production cross section of Ξ_c^0 multiplied by the branching ratio (BR) into the semileptonic decay mode, $\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow e^+ \Xi^- \nu_e$ and its ratio to the measured production cross section of D^0 mesons [\[21\]](#page-7-0) as a function of p_{T} , up to 8 GeV/*c*. The absolute branching ratio of this Ξ_c^0 decay is currently unknown [\[34\]](#page-7-0). Using a data sample of pp collisions at \sqrt{s} = 7 TeV recorded with the AL-ICE detector in 2010, the measurement is performed by analysing e^+E^- pairs formed by combining positrons and E^- baryons reconstructed with the detectors of the ALICE central barrel, covering the pseudorapidity interval |*η*| *<* ⁰*.*9. The missing momentum of the neutrino is corrected using unfolding techniques. Charge conjugate modes are implied everywhere, unless otherwise stated. Only the sub-detectors relevant for this data analysis are described below. A more complete and detailed description of the ALICE detector and its performance can be found in Refs. [\[35,36\]](#page-7-0).

0370-2693/© 2018 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)). Funded by SCOAP³.

E-mail address: alice-publications@cern.ch.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2018.03.061>

The detectors used in this analysis include the Inner Tracking System (ITS), the Time Projection Chamber (TPC) and the Time-Of-Flight detector (TOF). These detectors are located in a large solenoid magnet producing a magnetic field of 0.5 T parallel to the LHC beam axis. The ITS consists of six cylindrical layers of silicon detectors, placed at radial distances ranging from 3.9 cm to 43 cm from the nominal beam axis and covering the full azimuth. The two innermost layers consist of Silicon Pixel Detectors (SPD), the two intermediate layers of Silicon Drift Detectors (SDD) and the two outermost layers of Silicon Strip Detectors (SSD). The total material budget of the ITS is on average 7.7% of a radiation length, for particles with $\eta = 0$ [\[37\]](#page-7-0). The ITS spatial resolution enables the measurement of the distance of closest approach (d_0) of tracks to the primary vertex with a resolution better than 75 μm in the transverse plane for $p_T > 1$ GeV/*c* in pp collisions [\[38\]](#page-7-0). The TPC is a cylindrical gaseous detector with a volume of about 90 $m³$. The TPC provides track reconstruction with up to 159 space points at radial distances from the beam axis ranging between 85 cm and 247 cm, within the full azimuth. The TPC cluster-position resolution is about 500 μm along the beam direction and in the transverse direction for tracks with $\eta = 0$ [\[39\]](#page-7-0). The TPC also provides particle identification capabilities via the measurement of the specific ionisation energy loss, d*E/*d*x*, with a resolution of approximately 5.2% in pp collisions [\[36\]](#page-7-0). The TOF detector consists of multi-gap resistive plate chambers placed at a radial distance of 3.7 m from the beam axis and also covers the full azimuth. The TOF detector, with a timing resolution of about 80 ps, measures the time-of-flight of particles relative to the time of the collision, which is determined by the arrival time of the particles at the TOF detector and by the T0 detector, an array of Cherenkov counters placed at $+370$ cm and -70 cm from the nominal interaction point along the beam axis [\[40\]](#page-7-0).

The analysed data sample consists of pp collisions at \sqrt{s} = 7 TeV recorded during the 2010 LHC data taking period with a minimum bias trigger that requires at least one hit in either the SPD or the V0 detectors. The two layers of the SPD detector cover |*η*| *<* ²*.*0. The two V0 detectors, each comprising ³² scintillator tiles, are installed on both sides of the interaction point and cover −3*.*⁷ *< η <* −1*.*7 and ²*.*⁸ *< η <* ⁵*.*1. The trigger condition captures 87% of the pp inelastic cross section $[41]$. The collision vertex is reconstructed with an efficiency of 88% and only events with a reconstructed vertex within 10 cm from the nominal interaction point along the beam direction are used in this analysis. Pile-up events are identified by searching for a second interaction vertex, reconstructed with at least three SPD tracklets (that are two-point track segments connecting hits in the two SPD layers) pointing to a common vertex, which is separated from the first vertex by at least 8 mm. After the selections, the analysed sample corresponds to an integrated luminosity $L_{int} = 5.9 \pm 0.2$ nb⁻¹.

The $\Xi_{\rm c}^0$ candidates are defined from ${\rm e^+E^-}$ pairs by combining a track originating from the primary vertex (denoted by "electron track" in the following) and a reconstructed ∑[−] baryon. Electron tracks satisfying $|\eta|$ < 0.8 and p_T > 0.5 GeV/*c* are required to have at least 100 associated clusters in the TPC (out of which at least 80 are used for the calculation of the dE/dx signal), a χ^2 normalised to the number of TPC clusters smaller than 4 and at least 4 hits in the ITS. It is also required that the electron track has associated hits in the two innermost layers of the ITS, in order to reject electrons from photon conversions occurring in the detector material outside the innermost SPD layer [\[13\]](#page-6-0). Electrons are identified using the d*E/*d*x* measurement in the TPC and the time-of-flight measurement of the TOF detector. In both cases, the selection is applied on the n_{σ}^{TPC} and n_{σ}^{TOF} variables defined as the difference between the measured d*E/*d*x* or time-of-flight values and the one expected for electrons, divided by the corresponding detector res-

Fig. 1. Invariant-mass distribution of Ξ^- → π [−] Λ (and charge conjugate) candidates integrated over p_T . The arrow indicates the world average Ξ^- mass from Ref. [\[34\]](#page-7-0) and the dashed lines indicate the selected interval for the ^{*E*−} candidates.</sup>

olution. The following selection criteria are applied: $|n_{\sigma}^{\text{TOF}}| < 3$ and $-3.9 + 1.2p_T - 0.094p_T^2 < n_{\sigma}^{TPC}(p_T) < 3$. The *p*_T-dependent lower limit on n_{σ}^{TPC} was optimised to reject hadrons. Thus, an electron purity of 98% is achieved over the whole p_T range.

The background from "photonic" electrons (originating from Dalitz decays of neutral mesons and photon conversions in the detector material) remaining in the electron sample are identified using a technique based on the invariant mass of e^+e^- pairs [\[42\]](#page-7-0). The electron tracks are paired with opposite-sign tracks from the same event passing loose selection criteria ($|n_{\sigma}^{\text{TPC}}| < 5$ without TOF requirement) and are identified as photonic electrons if there is at least one pair with an invariant mass smaller than 50 MeV/*c*2. Setting such loose electron identification criteria is meant to increase the efficiency of finding the partners. This improves the signal-tobackground ratio for Ξ_c^0 by about 50%, while the fraction of the signal lost due to misidentifications is less than 2%.

The Ξ^- baryons are reconstructed from the decay chain Ξ^- → *π*[−]Λ, followed by $Λ \rightarrow pπ$ [−]. Tracks used to define $Σ$ [−] candidates are required to have at least 80 clusters in the TPC and a d*E/*d*x* signal in the TPC consistent with the expected values for protons (pions) within 4*σ*. The Ξ[−] and Λ baryons have long lifetimes (*cτ* of about 4.91 cm and 7.89 cm, respectively [\[34\]](#page-7-0)), and thus they can be identified using their characteristic cascade-like or V-shaped de-cay topologies [\[43–45\]](#page-7-0). Pions originating directly from ^{2−} decays are selected by requiring $d_0 > 0.02$ cm; protons and pions originating from Λ decays are required to have $d_0 > 0.07$ cm. The d_0 of the Λ trajectory to the primary vertex is required to be larger than 0.03 cm, while its cosine of the pointing angle, which is the angle between the reconstructed Λ momentum and the line connecting the Λ and Ξ^- decay vertices, is required to be larger than 0.98. The distances of the Ξ^- and Λ decay vertices from the beam line are required to be larger than 0.4 and 2.7 cm, respectively. These selection criteria are tuned to reduce the background, while keeping a high efficiency for the signal. Fig. 1 shows the ^{∑−} peak in the π [−] Λ invariant-mass distribution integrated over *p*_T. Only [−] candidates with invariant masses within 8 MeV/ c^2 from the Ξ^- mass $(1321.71 \pm 0.07 \text{ MeV}/c^2 \text{ [34]})$ $(1321.71 \pm 0.07 \text{ MeV}/c^2 \text{ [34]})$ $(1321.71 \pm 0.07 \text{ MeV}/c^2 \text{ [34]})$ indicated by an arrow in Fig. 1 are kept for further analysis. In this interval, the signal-to-background ratio is about 8.

The e⁺ Ξ [−] pairs are formed from selected positrons and Ξ [−] candidates. Only pairs with an opening angle smaller than 90 degrees are used for the analysis. The background in the e⁺ [≈] pair distribution is estimated by exploiting the fact that $\mathbb{E}^0_{\mathbb{C}}$ baryons decay into e⁺ Ξ[−]*ν*_e (right-sign, RS), but not into e⁻ Ξ[−]*ν*_e (wrongsign, WS), while most of the background sources contribute equally to RS and WS pairs. The yield of WS pairs is therefore used to estimate the background and is subtracted from the yield of RS pairs to obtain the Ξ_c^0 raw yield. The procedure is verified with

Fig. 2. (a) Invariant-mass distributions of right-sign and wrong-sign (and charge conjugate) pairs integrated over the whole $p_{\rm T}$ interval. (b) Invariant-mass distribution of Ξ_c^0 candidates obtained by subtracting the wrong-sign pair yield from the right-sign one compared with the signal distribution from the simulation, which is normalised to the measured RS−WS yield. The arrow indicates the Ξ_c^0 mass [\[34\]](#page-7-0).

pythia 6.4.21 [\[46\]](#page-7-0) simulations using the Perugia-0 tune [\[47\]](#page-7-0) and the GEANT3 transport code $[48]$, including a realistic description of the detector response and alignment during the data taking period. A similar procedure was adopted by the ARGUS and CLEO collaborations studying e^+e^- collisions [\[24,25\]](#page-7-0).

Fig. 2(a) shows the invariant-mass distributions of RS and WS pairs, integrated over the whole p_T interval. The invariant-mass distribution of Ξ_c^0 candidates obtained by subtracting the WS pair yield from the RS one is shown in Fig. 2(b) together with the signal distribution from the simulation, which is normalised to the measured RS−WS yield. The shapes of the two distributions are found to be consistent with each other. Due to the missing momentum of the neutrino, the invariant-mass distribution of the e⁺∑[−] pair does not peak at the Ξ_c^0 mass (2470*.8*5^{+0*.28*} MeV/*c*² [\[34\]](#page-7-0)) indicated by an arrow in Fig. 2(b). The invariant mass of e+*-*− pairs from Ξ_c^0 decays is bounded by the Ξ_c^0 mass due to the missing momentum of the neutrino. Thus only e+*-*− pairs satisfying $m_{\rm eE} <$ 2.5 GeV/ c^2 are selected for further analysis.

In order to obtain the p_T -differential production cross section of Ξ_c^0 baryons, the background-subtracted (WS-subtracted) yield needs to be corrected for: the signal loss due to misidentification of photonic electrons, the Ξ_b contribution in the WS pairs, the missing neutrino momentum, the detector acceptance and the track-reconstruction and the candidate-selection efficiencies. No correction is applied for possible differences in the acceptance of RS and WS pairs, which are found to be negligible for the current analysis based on a study with the mixed-event technique (i.e. by pairing electrons and ∑[−] from different events).

The first correction accounts for the signal loss caused by the misidentification of photonic electrons. The misidentification occurs when electrons from Ξ_c^0 decays accidentally have oppositesign partners giving rise to a very small invariant mass of the $e^+e^$ pair. The misidentification probability is estimated to be less than 2% by applying the tagging algorithm to e^+e^+ and e^-e^- pairs. The correction is applied as a function of the $p_{\rm T}$ of the $\rm e^+E^$ pair.

The second correction accounts for the overestimation of the $\text{background caused by } \Xi_{\text{b}} \to \text{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}\Sigma_{\text{e}}X}$ decays, which produce WS pairs. Since the branching ratio of Ξ_b into $e^-\Xi^-\overline{\nu}_e X$ and the Ξ_b cross section in pp collisions at LHC energies have not been measured yet, two assumptions are made to estimate this contribution. First, the shape of the transverse momentum distribution of the $\Xi_{\rm b}$ baryon is assumed to be the same as that of $\Lambda_{\rm b}^0$, which was measured for $p_T > 10$ GeV/*c* and $|y| < 2$ by the CMS collaboration [\[49\]](#page-7-0). This measurement is extrapolated to $p_T = 0$ using the Tsallis function,

Fig. 3. Correlation between the generated Ξ_c^0 -baryon p_T and the reconstructed e⁺² pair *p*_T, obtained from the simulation based on PYTHIA 6 described in the text. (For interpretation of the colours in the figure(s), the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

$$
Cp_{\rm T}\left[1+\frac{\sqrt{p_{\rm T}^2+m^2}-m}{nT}\right]
$$
 (1)

whose parameters were also determined by the CMS collaboration by fitting the measured distribution. The fit parameters are consistent with those determined by the LHCb collaboration for the measurement of Λ_b^0 down to $p_T = 0$ at forward rapidity $(2 < y < 4.5)$ [\[50\]](#page-7-0). The second hypothesis is made for the total yield of $\Xi_b \rightarrow e^- \Xi^- \overline{\nu}_e X$, which is determined by using the measurements of BR(b $\rightarrow \Xi_b$) · BR($\Xi_b \rightarrow \Xi^- l^- \overline{\nu} X$) [\[51\]](#page-7-0) and BR(b $\rightarrow \Lambda_b^0$) · BR($\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \Lambda l^- \overline{\nu} X$) [\[52\]](#page-7-0) in e⁺e⁻ collisions and by assuming that the fraction of beauty quarks that hadronise into Λ_b^0 and Ξ_b baryons are the same as those in e^+e^- collisions. This assumption is supported by B-meson measurements, which show that the yield of B_s^0 mesons relative to non-strange B mesons is consistent in e^+e^- and $p\bar{p}$ collisions [\[53\]](#page-7-0). The Ξ_b distribution obtained with these assumptions is further processed to take into account the detector acceptance, efficiency and the momentum carried by non-reconstructed decay particles. This is done with the PYTHIA 6 simulation using GEANT3 for particle transport through the detector. The correction increases with p_T and reaches 2% at the highest p_T interval.

The transverse momentum distribution of e⁺ Ξ[−] pairs is corrected for the missing momentum of the neutrino using unfolding techniques. The response matrix to correct for the missing neutrino momentum is generated based on the correlation between the p_T of the Ξ_c^0 baryon and that of the reconstructed $e^+ \Xi^-$ pair, which is obtained from the simulation described above and is shown in Fig. 3. The response matrix includes both the decay kinematics and

Table 1

Summary of systematic uncertainties on the *p*_T-differential cross section of $\Xi_c^0 \to e^+ \Xi^- \nu_e$ for 5 *p*_T intervals. The uncertainty on the missing neutrino momentum is denoted as p_T^{ν} in the table.

Source	Relative systematic uncertainty (%) in the measured p_T intervals (GeV/c)				
	$1 - 2$	$2 - 3.2$	$3.2 - 4.4$	$4.4 - 6$	$6 - 8$
Raw yield					
$(A \times \varepsilon)$	30	22	16	13	14
$p_{\rm T}^{\nu}$	29				10
Normalisation			3.5		

the instrumental effects, such as energy loss and bremsstrahlung in the detector material. The response matrix needs to be determined using a realistic Ξ_c^0 -baryon p_T distribution. However, the distribution is not known *a priori*. Therefore, the response matrix is prepared in two steps. In the first step, the response matrix is obtained with the p_T distribution generated with PYTHIA 6. The resulting Ξ_c^0 momentum distribution is used to produce the response matrix for the second iteration. The unfolding is performed with the RooUnfold [\[54\]](#page-7-0) implementation of the Bayesian unfolding technique [\[55\]](#page-7-0), which is an iterative method based on Bayes' theorem. Convergence of the Bayesian method is achieved after three iterations.

The p_{T} -differential production cross section of $\Xi_\text{\tiny C}^0$ baryons multiplied by the branching ratio into the considered semileptonic decay channel is calculated from the yields obtained by the unfolding approach as follows:

$$
BR \cdot \frac{d^2 \sigma^{Z_c^0}}{dp_T dy} = \frac{N_{Z_c^0}}{2 \cdot \Delta p_T \Delta y \cdot (A \times \varepsilon) \cdot L_{int} \cdot BR_{Z^-}},
$$
(2)

where $N_{\Xi_c^0}$ is the yield in a given $p_{\rm T}$ interval with width $\Delta p_{\rm T}.$ The yield is divided by the integrated luminosity L_{int} of the analysed sample and by the product of the branching ratios of the decays Ξ^- → $\pi^ \Lambda$ (99.887 \pm 0.035% [\[34\]](#page-7-0)) and $\Lambda \to p\pi^-$ (63.9 \pm 0.5% [\[34\]](#page-7-0)), which is indicated as BR_Ξ−. The factor 1/2 is needed because the cross section is computed for the average of Ξ_c^0 and $\overline{\Xi}_c^0$, while the raw yield includes both contributions. The factor $(A \times \varepsilon)$ is the product of the geometrical acceptance (*A*) and the reconstruction and selection efficiency (*ε*) for $\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow e^+ \Xi^- \nu_e$ decays determined for Ξ_c^0 generated in $|y| < 0.8$. Finally, the yield is normalised to one unit of rapidity by dividing it by $\Delta y = 1.6$ under the assumption that the rapidity distribution of Ξ_{c}^0 is uniform in the range $|y| < 0.8$. This assumption is verified with an accuracy of 1% using pythia 6. Note that the flatness of the rapidity distribution in $|y| < 0.8$ is also relevant for the comparison to the D^0 meson cross section, which was determined in |*y*| *<* 0*.*5 [\[21\]](#page-7-0).

The acceptance and the efficiency are calculated from the simulations with an additional correction to take into account the fact that the elastic cross section of anti-protons is not accurate in geant3 [\[56\]](#page-7-0). The correction is calculated using the geant4 transport code [\[57\]](#page-7-0), which has a more accurate description of the cross section, and found to be less than 2%. Since the acceptance and the efficiency depend on the $\Xi_{\rm c}^0$ -baryon $p_{\rm T}$, the $\Xi_{\rm c}^0$ should be generated with a realistic momentum distribution. This was obtained via a two-step procedure similar to that used for the response matrix. Fig. 4 shows the product of the geometrical acceptance and the reconstruction and selection efficiency $(A \times \varepsilon)$ of Ξ_c^0 as a function of p_T .

The systematic uncertainty on the $\Xi_{\rm c}^0$ cross section has different contributions, which are the uncertainties on the raw yield (owing to the procedure of background estimation), on the *(^A* × *ε)* factor (due to imperfections in the simulated samples), on the correction of the missing neutrino momentum (related to the unfolding procedure) and on the normalisation. Table 1 summarises

Fig. 4. Product of acceptance and efficiency $(A \times \varepsilon)$ of Ξ_c^0 baryons generated in $|y| < 0.8$ decaying into $e^+ \Xi^- \nu_e$ as a function of p_T , determined from simulations pythia 6 (see text).

the estimated systematic uncertainties, reporting their values in all the p_T intervals. The total systematic uncertainty is determined by adding the individual contributions in quadrature in each p_T interval.

The systematic uncertainty on the raw yield includes the uncertainties due to the WS subtraction procedure and to the estimation of the Ξ_b contribution. In the WS subtraction procedure described above, it was assumed that all the background sources contribute equally to RS and WS pairs. This is true as long as the background comprises uncorrelated pairs of electrons and Ξ^- . A systematic uncertainty of 4% on the Ξ_c^0 signal yield due to possible differences between RS and WS is estimated from simulations with the pythia 6 event generator by checking the remaining contamination of background pairs in the RS yield after the subtraction of the WS pairs. The WS subtraction could also be affected by the amount of hadron contamination in the electron sample and the signal-tobackground ratio of the Ξ_c^0 signal. This effect is studied by repeating the analysis with different electron identification criteria. The results obtained with these modified criteria are found to be consistent with the ones from the default selections and therefore no systematic uncertainty is assigned. The systematic uncertainty due to the Ξ_b contribution to the WS pairs is estimated by varying the Ξ_b momentum distribution within the quoted uncertainty of about 50% on the cross section of Λ_b^0 in pp collisions [\[49\]](#page-7-0) and the quoted uncertainty of about 50% on the ratio of the fragmentation fractions of beauty quarks into Λ_b^0 and Ξ_b in e⁺e⁻ collisions [\[51,](#page-7-0) [52\]](#page-7-0). The effect on the final results is found to be about 1% because the contribution from \mathbf{E}_b is small. These systematic uncertainties add up to a total uncertainty of 5% for the raw yield extraction.

The systematic uncertainties arising from the reconstruction and selection efficiencies are estimated by repeating the analysis μ with different selection criteria for electrons, Ξ^- and e⁺ Ξ^- pairs and by comparing the corrected yields. Due to the statistical limitations of the Ξ_c^0 sample, the electron efficiencies are studied via variations of the track-quality criteria and of the *nσ* values for the electron identification with TPC and TOF in the $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow e^+ \Lambda \nu_e$ decays, which are analysed with the same procedure and have higher statistical significance. The RMS of the deviations of the corrected

Fig. 5. Inclusive Ξ_c^0 -baryon p_T -differential production cross section multiplied by the branching ratio into $e^+ \Xi^- \nu_e$, as a function of p_T for $|y| < 0.5$, in pp collisions at \sqrt{s} = 7 TeV. The error bars and boxes represent the statistical and systematic uncertainties, respectively. The contribution from Ξ_b decays is not subtracted.

yields relative to the value obtained with the standard selection criteria, which amounts to 4% and 3%, is then assigned as a systematic uncertainty on the reconstruction and selection efficiency. Similarly, a systematic uncertainty of 1% on both the ∑[−] reconstruction and selection efficiency is estimated from the RMS deviation of the inclusive Ξ[−] corrected yield against variations of the criteria applied to select the Ξ[−] decay tracks and its cascade decay topology. In addition, a systematic uncertainty of 4% on the Ξ [−] efficiency due to possible imperfections in the description of the detector material in the simulations [\[44\]](#page-7-0) is considered and summed in quadrature with that estimated from the variation of the selection criteria. The uncertainties on the electron and $\Xi^$ track-quality criteria are considered as correlated and combined linearly. The uncertainty on the e⁺Ξ[−] pair selection efficiency is estimated by varying the selection criteria on the opening angle and the invariant mass of the pair and a systematic uncertainty of 3–27% is assigned depending on p_T . Finally, a systematic uncertainty may also arise from an imperfect description of the acceptance of e⁺∑[−] pairs in the simulation. It is estimated to be 11% by comparing the azimuthal distributions of inclusive electrons and Ξ [−] baryons in the data and in the simulation. The uncertainty on the e⁺∑[−] pair acceptance is summed in quadrature with that on the electron and ∑[−] selection efficiencies, resulting in a systematic uncertainty on the $(A \times \varepsilon)$ correction factor ranging from 13% to 30% depending on p_T .

The systematic uncertainty on the missing neutrino momentum correction with the unfolding procedure is evaluated by varying the prior distribution to the Bayesian unfolding and by using different unfolding techniques, such as the χ^2 minimisation method [\[58,](#page-7-0) [59\]](#page-7-0) and the Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) method [\[60\]](#page-7-0). The RMS deviation of the results, ranging between 4% and 29% depending on p_T , is assigned as a systematic uncertainty. A systematic uncertainty of 3% is also assigned due to the imperfect knowledge of the $\Xi_{\rm c}^0$ -baryon $p_{\rm T}$ distributions used as input for the efficiency calculation and the unfolding procedure from the simulation. It is estimated from the difference induced in the result by adding an additional step in the iterative procedure described above to obtain the input p_T distributions. These systematic uncertainties add up to an uncertainty ranging between 6% and 29% depending on p_T .

Finally, the results have a 3.5% normalisation systematic uncertainty arising from the uncertainty in the determination of the minimum-bias trigger cross section in pp collisions at \sqrt{s} = 7 TeV [\[41\]](#page-7-0).

The p_{T} -differential cross section of Ξ_{c}^{0} baryons multiplied by the branching ratio into e⁺Ξ[−]ν_e is shown in Fig. 5 for the *p*_T interval $1 < p_T < 8$ GeV/*c* at mid-rapidity, $|y| < 0.5$. The error bars and boxes represent the statistical and systematic uncertainties, respectively. The feed down contribution from $\Xi_{\rm b}$, e.g.

Fig. 6. Ratio of the p_T -differential cross sections of Ξ_c^0 baryons (multiplied by the branching ratio into $e^+ = \nu_e$) and D^0 mesons [\[21\]](#page-7-0) as a function of p_T for $|y| < 0.5$, in pp collisions at \sqrt{s} = 7 TeV. The error bars and boxes represent the statistical and systematic uncertainties, respectively. Predictions from theoretical models, (a) PYTHIA 8 with different tunes $[28,62]$. (b) DIPSY $[63]$ and HERWIG 7 $[64]$, are shown as shaded bands representing the range of the currently available theoretical predictions for the branching ratio of the considered Ξ_c^0 decay mode.

 E_b^- → $E_c^0 \pi^-$ [\[61\]](#page-7-0), is not subtracted due to the lack of knowledge of the absolute branching ratios of $\Xi_b \to \Xi_c^0 + X$.

The ratio of the p_T -differential cross section of Ξ_c^0 baryons to that of D^0 mesons [\[21\]](#page-7-0) is shown in Fig. 6. The p_T intervals of the cross-section measurements are combined to have the same p_{T} bin boundaries for Ξ_{c}^{0} and D⁰. The systematic uncertainty in a merged p_T interval is defined by propagating the yield extraction uncertainties of the D^0 measurement as uncorrelated among p_T intervals and all the other uncertainties of the D⁰ and Ξ_c^0 measurements as correlated. The systematic uncertainty on the Ξ_c^0/D^0 ratio is calculated treating all the uncertainties on the Ξ_c^0 and D^0 cross sections as uncorrelated, except for the normalisation uncertainty that cancels out in the ratio. The ratio integrated in the transverse momentum interval $1 < p_T < 8$ GeV/*c* is found to be $(7.0 \pm 1.5 \text{(stat)} \pm 2.6 \text{(syst)}) \times 10^{-3}$.

In Fig. $6(a)$, the measured transverse momentum dependence of the Ξ_c^0/D^0 ratio is compared with predictions from the PYTHIA 8.211 event generator [\[46,65\]](#page-7-0). PYTHIA 8 uses $2 \rightarrow 2$ processes followed by a leading-logarithmic p_T -ordered parton shower for the charm quark pair production and the hadronisation is treated with the Lund string model $[66]$. The figure shows the results obtained with different tunes of hadronisation: the Monash 2013 tune [\[62\]](#page-7-0) and the Mode 0 tune from $[28]$. The latter is based on a model for the hadronisation of multi-parton systems, which includes string formation beyond the leading-colour approximation and is implemented in pythia 8 with specific tuning of the colour reconnection parameters. As compared to the Monash 2013 tune, this model provides a better description of the measured baryon-to-meson ratios in the light-flavour sector. Two other tunes (Mode 2 and Mode 3) provided in Ref. [\[28\]](#page-7-0) give similar Ξ_c^0/D^0 ratios as Mode 0. In Fig. $6(b)$, the measured ratio is also compared to other mod-els implementing different hadronisation mechanisms: DIPSY [\[63\]](#page-7-0) with the rope hadronisation [\[67\]](#page-7-0) and HERWIG 7.0.4 [\[64\]](#page-7-0) with the cluster hadronisation $[68]$. To compare the data with these models, theoretical calculations of the branching ratio, which range between 0.83% and 4.2% [\[69–71\]](#page-7-0), are used. This range defines the width of the bands shown for the model calculations represented in Fig. [6.](#page-5-0) Although the predictions of the Mode 0 tune of PYTHIA 8 are the closest to the data compared to the other models, all calculations underestimate the measured ratio significantly. Thus, this new measurement can provide an important constraint to the models of charm quark hadronisation in pp collisions, once a measurement of the absolute branching ratio of the Ξ_c^0 will become available.

In summary, we reported on the first LHC measurement of the inclusive p_T -differential production cross section of the charmstrange baryon Ξ_c^0 multiplied by the branching ratio into $\mathrm{e^+ E^-} \nu_\mathrm{e}$ in pp collisions at \sqrt{s} = 7 TeV. The ratio of this measurement integrated over $1 < p_T < 8$ GeV/*c* to the production cross section of the D^0 meson integrated over the same p_T interval was found to be $(7.0 \pm 1.5 \text{(stat)} \pm 2.6 \text{(syst)}) \times 10^{-3}$. Several event generators with various models and tunes for the hadronisation mechanism underestimate the measured ratio.

Acknowledgements

The ALICE Collaboration would like to thank all its engineers and technicians for their invaluable contributions to the construction of the experiment and the CERN accelerator teams for the outstanding performance of the LHC complex. The ALICE Collaboration gratefully acknowledges the resources and support provided by all Grid centres and the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid (WLCG) collaboration. The ALICE Collaboration acknowledges the following funding agencies for their support in building and running the ALICE detector: A.I. Alikhanyan National Science Laboratory (Yerevan Physics Institute) Foundation (ANSL), State Committee of Science and World Federation of Scientists (WFS), Armenia; Austrian Academy of Sciences and Nationalstiftung für Forschung, Technologie und Entwicklung, Austria; Ministry of Communications and High Technologies, National Nuclear Research Center, Azerbaijan; Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS), Financiadora de Estudos e Projetos (Finep) and Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP), Brazil; Ministry of Science & Technology of China (MSTC), National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) and Ministry of Education of China (MOEC), China; Ministry of Science, Education and Sports and Croatian Science Foundation, Croatia; Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic, Czech Republic; The Danish Council for Independent Research—Natural Sciences, the Carlsberg Foundation and Danish National Research Foundation (DNRF), Denmark; Helsinki Institute of Physics (HIP), Finland; Commissariat à l'Énergie Atomique (CEA) and Institut National de Physique Nucléaire et de Physique des Particules (IN2P3) and Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), France; Bundesministerium für Bildung, Wissenschaft, Forschung und Technologie (BMBF) and GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung GmbH, Germany; General Secretariat for Research and Technology, Ministry of Education, Research and Religions, Greece; National Research, Development and Innovation Office, Hungary; Department of Atomic Energy, Government of India (DAE), Department of Science and Technology, Government of India (DST), University Grants Commission, Government of India (UGC) and Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), India; Indonesian Institute of Science, Indonesia; Centro Fermi – Museo Storico della Fisica e Centro Studi e Ricerche Enrico Fermi and Instituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN), Italy; Institute for Innovative Science and Technology, Nagasaki Institute of Applied Science (IIST), Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) KAKENHI and Japanese Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT), Japan; Consejo Nacional de Ciencia (CONACYT) y Tecnología, through Fondo de Cooperación Internacional en Ciencia y Tecnología (FONCICYT) and Dirección General de Asuntos del Personal Academico (DGAPA), Mexico; Nederlandse Organisatie voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek (NWO), Netherlands; The Research Council of Norway, Norway; Commission on Science and Technology for Sustainable Development in the South (COMSATS), Pakistan; Pontificia Universidad Católica del Perú, Peru; Ministry of Science and Higher Education and National Science Centre, Poland; Korea Institute of Science and Technology Information and National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF), Republic of Korea; Ministry of Education and Scientific Research, Institute of Atomic Physics and Romanian National Agency for Science, Technology and Innovation, Romania; Joint Institute for Nuclear Research (JINR), Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation and National Research Centre Kurchatov Institute, Russia; Ministry of Education, Science, Research and Sport of the Slovak Republic, Slovakia; National Research Foundation of South Africa, South Africa; Centro de Aplicaciones Tecnológicas y Desarrollo Nuclear (CEADEN), Cubaenergía, Cuba and Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas, Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Spain; Swedish Research Council (VR) and Knut & Alice Wallenberg Foundation (KAW), Sweden; European Organization for Nuclear Research, Switzerland; National Science and Technology Development Agency (NSDTA), Suranaree University of Technology (SUT) and Office of the Higher Education Commission under NRU project of Thailand, Thailand; Turkish Atomic Energy Agency (TAEK), Turkey; National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Ukraine; Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC), United Kingdom; National Science Foundation of the United States (NSF) and United States Department of Energy, Office of Nuclear Physics (DOE NP), United States.

References

- [1] R.K. Ellis, W.J. Stirling, B.R. Webber, OCD and Collider Physics, [Cambridge](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib7163645F626F6F6Bs1) Uni[versity](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib7163645F626F6F6Bs1) Press, 1996.
- [2] J.C. Collins, D.E. Soper, G.F. Sterman, Heavy particle production in [high-energy](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib436F6C6C696E733A31393835676Ds1) hadron [collisions,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib436F6C6C696E733A31393835676Ds1) Nucl. Phys. B 263 (1986) 37.
- [3] B.A. Kniehl, G. Kramer, I. Schienbein, H. [Spiesberger,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4B6E6965686C3A323030346679s1) Inclusive D∗± production in p anti-p [collisions](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4B6E6965686C3A323030346679s1) with massive charm quarks, Phys. Rev. D 71 (2005) 014018, [arXiv:hep-ph/0410289.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4B6E6965686C3A323030346679s1)
- [4] B.A. Kniehl, G. Kramer, I. Schienbein, H. Spiesberger, Collinear [subtractions](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4B6E6965686C3A323030356D6Bs1) in [hadroproduction](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4B6E6965686C3A323030356D6Bs1) of heavy quarks, Eur. Phys. J. C 41 (2005) 199, arXiv:hep-ph/ [0502194.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4B6E6965686C3A323030356D6Bs1)
- [5] B.A. Kniehl, G. Kramer, I. Schienbein, H. Spiesberger, Inclusive [charmed-meson](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4B6E6965686C3A323031327469s1) production at the CERN LHC, Eur. Phys. J. C 72 (2012) 2082, [arXiv:1202.0439](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4B6E6965686C3A323031327469s1) [\[hep-ph\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4B6E6965686C3A323031327469s1)
- [6] M. Cacciari, M. Greco, P. Nason, The p_T spectrum in heavy flavor [hadroproduc](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib43616363696172693A313939386974s1)tion, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (1998) 007, [arXiv:hep-ph/9803400.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib43616363696172693A313939386974s1)
- [7] M. Cacciari, S. Frixione, N. Houdeau, M.L. [Mangano,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib43616363696172693A323031326E79s1) P. Nason, G. Ridolfi, Theoretical [predictions](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib43616363696172693A323031326E79s1) for charm and bottom production at the LHC, J. High Energy Phys. 10 (2012) 137, [arXiv:1205.6344 \[hep-ph\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib43616363696172693A323031326E79s1)
- [8] S. Catani, M. Ciafaloni, F. Hautmann, High-energy [factorization](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib436174616E693A313939306567s1) and small x heavy flavor [production,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib436174616E693A313939306567s1) Nucl. Phys. B 366 (1991) 135.
- [9] M. Luszczak, R. Maciula, A. Szczurek, [Nonphotonic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4C75737A637A616B3A323030386A65s1) electrons at RHIC within *kt* [-factorization](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4C75737A637A616B3A323030386A65s1) approach and with experimental semileptonic decay functions, Phys. Rev. D 79 (2009) 034009, [arXiv:0807.5044 \[hep-ph\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4C75737A637A616B3A323030386A65s1)
- [10] R. Maciula, A. Szczurek, Open charm production at the LHC k_t [-factorization](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4D616369756C613A323031337767s1) approach, Phys. Rev. D 87 (2013) 094022, [arXiv:1301.3033 \[hep-ph\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4D616369756C613A323031337767s1)
- [11] LHCb [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4161696A3A323031376E6176s1) R. Aaij, et al., Observation of five new narrow Ω_c^0 states decaying to ^{∑+} K⁻, Phys. Rev. Lett. 118 (18) (2017) 182001, [arXiv:1703.04639](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4161696A3A323031376E6176s1) [\[hep-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4161696A3A323031376E6176s1)
- [12] LHCb [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4161696A3A32303137756567s1) R. Aaij, et al., Observation of the doubly charmed baryon *-* $_{cc}^{++}$, [arXiv:1707.01621 \[hep-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4161696A3A32303137756567s1)
- [13] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4162656C65763A323031327865s1) B. Abelev, et al., Measurement of electrons from semileptonic [heavy-flavour](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4162656C65763A323031327865s1) hadron decays in pp collisions at [√]*^s* ⁼ 7 TeV, Phys. Rev. ^D 86 (2012) 112007, [arXiv:1205.5423 \[hep-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4162656C65763A323031327865s1)
- [14] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4162656C65763A323031327168s1) B. Abelev, et al., Production of muons from heavy flavour decays at forward rapidity in pp and Pb–Pb [collisions](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4162656C65763A323031327168s1) at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109 (2012) 112301, [arXiv:1205.6443 \[hep-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4162656C65763A323031327168s1)
- [15] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4162656C65763A323031327069s1) B. Abelev, et al., Heavy flavour decay muon production at forward rapidity in [proton–proton](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4162656C65763A323031327069s1) collisions at \sqrt{s} = 7 TeV, Phys. Lett. B 708 (2012) 265–275, [arXiv:1201.3791 \[hep-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4162656C65763A323031327069s1)
- [16] [LH](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4161696A3A323031336D6761s1)Cb Collaboration, R. Aaij, et al., Prompt charm production in pp collisions at [√]*^s* ⁼ 7 TeV, Nucl. Phys. ^B ⁸⁷¹ (2013) 1, [arXiv:1302.2864](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4161696A3A323031336D6761s1) [\[hep-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4161696A3A323031336D6761s1)
- [17] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4162656C65763A32303134676C61s1) B. Abelev, et al., Measurement of electrons from semileptonic [heavy-flavor](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4162656C65763A32303134676C61s1) hadron decays in *pp* collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 2.76$ TeV, Phys. Rev. D 91 (2015) 012001, [arXiv:1405.4117 \[nucl-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4162656C65763A32303134676C61s1)
- [18] LHCb Collaboration, R. Aaij, et al., [Measurements](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4161696A3A32303135627061s1) of prompt charm production [cross-sections](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4161696A3A32303135627061s1) in *pp* collisions at \sqrt{s} = 13 TeV, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2016) 159, [arXiv:1510.01707 \[hep-ex\];](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4161696A3A32303135627061s1) J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2017) 074 (Erratum).
- [19] ATLAS [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4161643A323031357A6978s1) G. Aad, et al., Measurement of D∗±, D± and D± *^s* meson [production](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4161643A323031357A6978s1) cross sections in *pp* collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, Nucl. Phys. B 907 (2016) 717, [arXiv:1512.02913 \[hep-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4161643A323031357A6978s1)
- [20] LHCb Collaboration, R. Aaij, et al., [Measurements](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4161696A3A323031366A6874s1) of prompt charm production cross-sections in *pp* collisions at \sqrt{s} = 5 TeV, [arXiv:1610.02230 \[hep-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4161696A3A323031366A6874s1)
- [21] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib416368617279613A323031376A676Fs1) S. Acharya, et al., Measurement of D-meson production at mid-rapidity in pp collisions at \sqrt{s} = 7 TeV, [arXiv:1702.00766 \[hep-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib416368617279613A323031376A676Fs1)
[22] LHCb Collaboration, Prompt Λ_c^+ production in *pPb* collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ =
- 5*.*02 TeV, Tech. Rep. LHCb-CONF-2017-005. CERN-LHCb-CONF-2017-005, CERN, Geneva, Sep. 2017, [http://cds.cern.ch/record/2282379.](http://cds.cern.ch/record/2282379)
- [23] ARGUS [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib416C6272656368743A313939307A6Bs1) H. Albrecht, et al., Measurement of Ξ_{c} production in e+e− annihilation at 10.5-GeV [center-of-mass](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib416C6272656368743A313939307A6Bs1) energy, Phys. Lett. B 247 (1990) [121.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib416C6272656368743A313939307A6Bs1)
- [24] ARGUS [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib416C6272656368743A313939326A74s1) H. Albrecht, et al., Observation of Ξ_c^0 semileptonic decay, Phys. Lett. B 303 [\(1993\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib416C6272656368743A313939326A74s1) 368.
- [25] CLEO [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib416C6578616E6465723A313939346870s1) J.P. Alexander, et al., First observation of $\Xi_c^+ \to \Xi^0 e^+ \nu_e$ and an [estimate](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib416C6578616E6465723A313939346870s1) of the $\mathbb{E}^+_c/\mathbb{E}^0_c$ lifetime ratio, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74 (1995) 3113; Phys. Rev. Lett. 75 (1995) 4155 [\(Erratum\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib416C6578616E6465723A313939346870s1)
- [26] ARGUS [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib416C6272656368743A313939346872s1) H. Albrecht, et al., Evidence for W exchange in charmed baryon [decays,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib416C6272656368743A313939346872s1) Phys. Lett. B 342 (1995) 397.
- [27] BaBar [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4175626572743A323030356375s1) B. Aubert, et al., Production and decay of Ξ_c^0 at BABAR, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95 (2005) 142003, [arXiv:hep-ex/0504014.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4175626572743A323030356375s1)
- [28] J.R. [Christiansen,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib43687269737469616E73656E3A32303135797161s1) P.Z. Skands, String formation beyond leading colour, J. High Energy Phys. 08 (2015) 003, [arXiv:1505.01681 \[hep-ph\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib43687269737469616E73656E3A32303135797161s1)
- [29] P.R. Sorensen, X. Dong, Suppression of [non-photonic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib536F72656E73656E3A32303035736Ds1) electrons from enhancement of charm baryons in heavy ion [collisions,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib536F72656E73656E3A32303035736Ds1) Phys. Rev. C 74 (2006) 024902, [arXiv:nucl-th/0512042.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib536F72656E73656E3A32303035736Ds1)
- [30] S.H. Lee, K. Ohnishi, S. Yasui, I.-K. Yoo, C.-M. Ko, Λ_c [enhancement](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4C65653A323030377772s1) from strongly coupled [quark–gluon](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4C65653A323030377772s1) plasma, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100 (2008) 222301, arXiv:0709. [3637 \[nucl-th\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4C65653A323030377772s1)
- [31] G. [Martinez-Garcia,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4D617274696E657A4761726369613A323030376866s1) S. Gadrat, P. Crochet, Consequences of a Λ_c/D enhancement effect on the [non-photonic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4D617274696E657A4761726369613A323030376866s1) electron nuclear modification factor in central heavy ion [collisions](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4D617274696E657A4761726369613A323030376866s1) at RHIC energy, Phys. Lett. B 663 (2008) 55, arXiv: [0710.2152 \[hep-ph\];](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4D617274696E657A4761726369613A323030376866s1) Phys. Lett. B 666 (2008) 533 (Erratum).
- [32] Y. Oh, C.M. Ko, S.H. Lee, S. Yasui, Heavy [baryon/meson](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4F683A323030397A6As1) ratios in relativistic heavy ion collisions, Phys. Rev. C 79 (2009) 044905, [arXiv:0901.1382 \[nucl-th\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4F683A323030397A6As1)
- [33] S. Ghosh, S.K. Das, V. Greco, S. Sarkar, J.-e. Alam, [Diffusion](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib47686F73683A323031346F6961s1) of Λ_c in hot [hadronic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib47686F73683A323031346F6961s1) medium and its impact on Λ_c/D ratio, Phys. Rev. D 90 (2014) 054018, [arXiv:1407.5069 \[nucl-th\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib47686F73683A323031346F6961s1)
- [34] Particle Data Group [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4167617368653A323031366B6461s1) K.A. Olive, et al., Review of particle physics, Chin. Phys. C 40 (2016) [100001.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4167617368653A323031366B6461s1)
- [35] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib41616D6F64743A323030387A7As1) K. Aamodt, et al., The ALICE experiment at the CERN LHC, [J. Instrum.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib41616D6F64743A323030387A7As1) 3 (2008) S08002.
- [36] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4162656C65763A32303134666661s1) B. Abelev, et al., Performance of the ALICE experiment at the CERN LHC, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 29 (2014) 1430044, [arXiv:1402.4476 \[nucl](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4162656C65763A32303134666661s1)[ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4162656C65763A32303134666661s1)
- [37] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib41616D6F64743A323031306161s1) K. Aamodt, et al., Alignment of the ALICE Inner Tracking System with cosmic-ray tracks, J. Instrum. 5 (2010) P03003, [arXiv:1001.0502](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib41616D6F64743A323031306161s1) [\[physics.ins-det\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib41616D6F64743A323031306161s1)
- [38] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib414C4943453A323031316161s1) B. Abelev, et al., Measurement of charm production at central rapidity in [proton–proton](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib414C4943453A323031316161s1) collisions at [√]*^s* ⁼ 7 TeV, J. High Energy Phys. ⁰¹ (2012) 128, [arXiv:1111.1553 \[hep-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib414C4943453A323031316161s1)
- [39] J. Alme, et al., The ALICE TPC, a large [3-dimensional](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib416C6D653A323031306B65s1) tracking device with fast readout for ultra-high [multiplicity](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib416C6D653A323031306B65s1) events, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 622 (2010) 316, [arXiv:1001.1950 \[physics.ins-det\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib416C6D653A323031306B65s1)
- [40] ALICE Collaboration, J. Adam, et al., [Determination](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4164616D3A32303136696C6Bs1) of the event collision time with the ALICE [detector](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4164616D3A32303136696C6Bs1) at the LHC, Eur. Phys. J. Plus 132 (2017) 99, arXiv: [1610.03055 \[physics.ins-det\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4164616D3A32303136696C6Bs1)
- [41] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4162656C65763A32303132736561s1) B. Abelev, et al., Measurement of inelastic, single- and [double-diffraction](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4162656C65763A32303132736561s1) cross sections in proton–proton collisions at the LHC with ALICE, Eur. Phys. J. C 73 (2013) 2456, [arXiv:1208.4968 \[hep-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4162656C65763A32303132736561s1)
- [42] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4164616D3A32303135716461s1) J. Adam, et al., Measurement of electrons from heavyflavour hadron decays in p–Pb [collisions](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4164616D3A32303135716461s1) at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 5.02 TeV, Phys. Lett. B 754 (2016) 81, [arXiv:1509.07491 \[nucl-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4164616D3A32303135716461s1)
- [43] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib41616D6F64743A323031317A7A61s1) K. Aamodt, et al., Strange particle production in proton– proton [collisions](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib41616D6F64743A323031317A7A61s1) at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ TeV with ALICE at the LHC, Eur. Phys. J. C 71 (2011) 1594, [arXiv:1012.3257 \[hep-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib41616D6F64743A323031317A7A61s1)
- [44] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4162656C65763A323031326A70s1) B. Abelev, et al., Multi-strange baryon production in *pp* collisions at \sqrt{s} = 7 TeV with ALICE, Phys. Lett. B 712 (2012) 309, [arXiv:1204.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4162656C65763A323031326A70s1) [0282 \[nucl-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4162656C65763A323031326A70s1)
- [45] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4162656C65763A32303134717161s1) B. Abelev, et al., Production of $\Sigma(1385)^{\pm}$ and $\Sigma(1530)^{0}$ in [proton–proton](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4162656C65763A32303134717161s1) collisions at \sqrt{s} = 7 TeV, Eur. Phys. J. C 75 (2015) 1, arXiv: [1406.3206 \[nucl-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4162656C65763A32303134717161s1)
- [46] T. [Sjostrand,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib536A6F737472616E643A323030367A61s1) S. Mrenna, P.Z. Skands, PYTHIA 6.4 physics and manual, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2006) 026, [arXiv:hep-ph/0603175.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib536A6F737472616E643A323030367A61s1)
- [47] P.Z. Skands, The Perugia tunes, in: Proceedings, 1st [International](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib536B616E64733A323030397A6Ds1) Workshop on Multiple Partonic [Interactions](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib536B616E64733A323030397A6Ds1) at the LHC (MPI08), Perugia, Italy, October 27–31, 2008, 2009, p. 284, [arXiv:0905.3418 \[hep-ph\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib536B616E64733A323030397A6Ds1)
- [48] R. Brun, F. Bruyant, F. Carminati, S. Giani, M. Maire, A. McPherson, G. Patrick, L. Urban, GEANT detector description and simulation tool, CERN-W5013, CERN-W-5013, W5013, W-5013.
- [49] CMS [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4368617472636879616E3A323031327867s1) S. Chatrchyan, et al., Measurement of the Λ_b cross section and the $\bar{\Lambda}_{\rm b}$ to $\Lambda_{\rm b}$ ratio with *J*/ $\Psi\Lambda$ decays in *pp* [collisions](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4368617472636879616E3A323031327867s1) at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, Phys. Lett. B 714 (2012) 136, [arXiv:1205.0594 \[hep-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4368617472636879616E3A323031327867s1)
- [50] LHCb [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4161696A3A32303135666561s1) R. Aaij, et al., Study of the production of Λ_b^0 and \overline{B}^0 hadrons in *pp* collisions and first [measurement](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4161696A3A32303135666561s1) of the $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow J/\psi pK^-$ branching fraction, Chin. Phys. C 40 (2016) 011001, [arXiv:1509.00292 \[hep-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4161696A3A32303135666561s1)
- [51] ALEPH [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4275736B756C69633A31393936736Ds1) D. Buskulic, et al., Strange b baryon production and lifetime in Z [decays,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4275736B756C69633A31393936736Ds1) Phys. Lett. B 384 (1996) 449.
- [52] ALEPH [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4261726174653A313939376966s1) R. Barate, et al., Measurement of the *B* baryon lifetime and [branching](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4261726174653A313939376966s1) fractions in *Z* decays, Eur. Phys. J. C 2 (1998) 197.
- [53] CDF Collaboration, T. Aaltonen, et al., Measurement of ratios of [fragmentation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib41616C746F6E656E3A323030387A64s1) fractions for bottom hadrons in $p\bar{p}$ [collisions](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib41616C746F6E656E3A323030387A64s1) at \sqrt{s} = 1.96-TeV, Phys. Rev. D 77 (2008) 072003, [arXiv:0801.4375 \[hep-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib41616C746F6E656E3A323030387A64s1)
- [54] T. Adye, Unfolding algorithms and tests using RooUnfold, in: [Proceedings,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib416479653A32303131676Ds1) [PHYSTAT 2011](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib416479653A32303131676Ds1) Workshop on Statistical Issues Related to Discovery Claims in Search [Experiments](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib416479653A32303131676Ds1) and Unfolding, CERN, Geneva, Switzerland 17–20 January 2011, CERN, Geneva, 2011, p. 313, [arXiv:1105.1160 \[physics.data-an\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib416479653A32303131676Ds1)
- [55] G. D'Agostini, [A multidimensional](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib442741676F7374696E693A313939347A66s1) unfolding method based on Bayes' theorem, Nucl. Instrum. [Methods](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib442741676F7374696E693A313939347A66s1) Phys. Res., Sect. A 362 (1995) 487.
- [56] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib41626261733A32303133727561s1) E. Abbas, et al., Mid-rapidity anti-baryon to baryon ratios in pp collisions at \sqrt{s} = 0.9, 2.76 and 7 TeV [measured](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib41626261733A32303133727561s1) by ALICE, Eur. Phys. J. C 73 (2013) 2496, [arXiv:1305.1562 \[nucl-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib41626261733A32303133727561s1)
- [57] GEANT4 [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib41676F7374696E656C6C693A323030326868s1) S. Agostinelli, et al., GEANT4: a simulation toolkit, Nucl. Instrum. [Methods](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib41676F7374696E656C6C693A323030326868s1) Phys. Res., Sect. A 506 (2003) 250.
- [58] ALICE Collaboration, J.F. Grosse-Oetringhaus, Comments on unfolding methods in ALICE, in: Proceedings, PHYSTAT 2011 Workshop on Statistical Issues Related to Discovery Claims in Search Experiments and Unfolding, CERN, Geneva, Switzerland 17–20 January 2011, CERN, Geneva, 2011, p. 309, [https://](https://inspirehep.net/record/1478299/files/1087459_309-312.pdf) [inspirehep.net/record/1478299/files/1087459_309-312.pdf.](https://inspirehep.net/record/1478299/files/1087459_309-312.pdf)
- [59] V. Blobel, in: 8th CERN School of Comp. CSC'84, Aiguablava, Spain, 9–22 Sep. 1984, CERN-85-09, 88, 1985.
- [60] A. Hocker, V. [Kartvelishvili,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib486F636B65723A313939356B62s1) SVD approach to data unfolding, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 372 (1996) 469, [arXiv:hep-ph/9509307.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib486F636B65723A313939356B62s1)
- [61] LHCb [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4161696A3A323031346C7861s1) R. Aaij, et al., Precision measurement of the mass and lifetime of the Ξ_b^- baryon, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113 (2014) 242002, [arXiv:1409.8568](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4161696A3A323031346C7861s1) [\[hep-ex\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4161696A3A323031346C7861s1)
- [62] P. Skands, S. Carrazza, J. Rojo, Tuning [PYTHIA 8.1:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib536B616E64733A32303134706561s1) the Monash 2013 tune, Eur. Phys. J. C 74 (2014) 3024, [arXiv:1404.5630 \[hep-ph\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib536B616E64733A32303134706561s1)
- [63] C. Bierlich, J.R. Christiansen, Effects of color [reconnection](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib426965726C6963683A32303135726861s1) on hadron flavor observables, Phys. Rev. D 92 (9) (2015) 094010, [arXiv:1507.02091 \[hep-ph\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib426965726C6963683A32303135726861s1)
- [64] M. Bahr, et al., [Herwig++](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib426168723A323030387076s1) physics and manual, Eur. Phys. J. C 58 (2008) 639–707, [arXiv:0803.0883 \[hep-ph\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib426168723A323030387076s1)
- [65] T. Sjostrand, S. Mrenna, P.Z. Skands, A brief [introduction](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib536A6F737472616E643A323030376773s1) to PYTHIA 8.1, Comput. Phys. Commun. 178 (2008) 852, [arXiv:0710.3820 \[hep-ph\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib536A6F737472616E643A323030376773s1)
- [66] B. Andersson, G. Gustafson, G. Ingelman, T. Sjostrand, Parton [fragmentation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib416E64657273736F6E3A313938336961s1) and string [dynamics,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib416E64657273736F6E3A313938336961s1) Phys. Rep. 97 (1983) 31.
- [67] T.S. Biro, H.B. Nielsen, J. Knoll, Color rope model for extreme [relativistic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4269726F3A313938346366s1) heavy ion [collisions,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4269726F3A313938346366s1) Nucl. Phys. B 245 (1984) 449–468.
- [68] B.R. Webber, A QCD model for jet [fragmentation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib5765626265723A313938336966s1) including soft gluon interference, Nucl. Phys. B 238 (1984) [492–528.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib5765626265723A313938336966s1)
- [69] R. [Perez-Marcial,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib506572657A4D61726369616C3A313938397968s1) R. Huerta, A. Garcia, M. Avila-Aoki, Predictions for semileptonic decays of charm baryons. 2. [Nonrelativistic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib506572657A4D61726369616C3A313938397968s1) and MIT bag quark models, Phys. Rev. D 40 (1989) 2955; Phys. Rev. D 44 (1991) 2203 [\(Erratum\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib506572657A4D61726369616C3A313938397968s1)
- [70] R.L. Singleton, [Semileptonic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib53696E676C65746F6E3A313939307965s1) baryon decays with a heavy quark, Phys. Rev. D 43 [\(1991\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib53696E676C65746F6E3A313939307965s1) 2939.
- [71] H.-Y. Cheng, B. Tseng, 1/M corrections to baryonic [form-factors](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4368656E673A313939356665s1) in the quark model, Phys. Rev. D 53 (1996) 1457, [arXiv:hep-ph/9502391;](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4368656E673A313939356665s1) Phys. Rev. D 55 (1997) 1697 [\(Erratum\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(18)30257-0/bib4368656E673A313939356665s1)

ALICE Collaboration

S. Acharya ¹³⁷, D. Adamová ⁹⁴, J. Adolfsson ³⁴, M.M. Aggarwal ⁹⁹, G. Aglieri Rinella ³⁵, M. Agnello ³¹, N. Agrawal 48 , Z. Ahammed 137 , S.U. Ahn 79 , S. Aiola 141 , A. Akindinov 64 , M. Al-Turany 106 , S.N. Alam 137 , D.S.D. Albuquerque [122,](#page-12-0) D. Aleksandrov [90,](#page-12-0) B. Alessandro [58,](#page-11-0) R. Alfaro Molina [74,](#page-11-0) Y. Ali [15,](#page-11-0) A. Alici [12](#page-11-0)*,*[53](#page-11-0)*,*[27,](#page-11-0) A. Alkin ³, J. Alme ²², T. Alt ⁷⁰, L. Altenkamper ²², I. Altsybeev ¹³⁶, C. Alves Garcia Prado ¹²¹, C. Andrei $^{87},$ D. Andreou ³⁵, H.A. Andrews ¹¹⁰, A. Andronic ¹⁰⁶, V. Anguelov ¹⁰⁴, C. Anson ⁹⁷, T. Antičić ¹⁰⁷, F. Antinori ⁵⁶, P. Antonioli [53,](#page-11-0) L. Aphecetche [114,](#page-12-0) H. Appelshäuser [70,](#page-11-0) S. Arcelli [27,](#page-11-0) R. Arnaldi [58,](#page-11-0) O.W. Arnold [105](#page-12-0)*,*[36,](#page-11-0) I.C. Arsene²¹, M. Arslandok ¹⁰⁴, B. Audurier ¹¹⁴, A. Augustinus ³⁵, R. Averbeck ¹⁰⁶, M.D. Azmi ¹⁷, A. Badalà ^{[55](#page-11-0)}, Y.W. Baek ^{[60](#page-11-0),78}, S. Bagnasco ⁵⁸, R. Bailhache ⁷⁰, R. Bala¹⁰¹, A. Baldisseri ^{[75](#page-11-0)}, M. Ball ⁴⁵, R.C. Baral ^{[67](#page-11-0),88}, A.M. Barbano ²⁶, R. Barbera ²⁸, F. Barile ³³, L. Barioglio ²⁶, G.G. Barnaföldi ¹⁴⁰, L.S. Barnby $\frac{93}{2}$, V. Barret 131 , P. Bartalini⁷, K. Barth 35 , E. Bartsch⁷⁰, N. Bastid 131 , S. Basu 139 , G. Batigne 114 , B. Batyunya 77 , P.C. Batzing 21 , J.L. Bazo Alba 111 , I.G. Bearden 91 , H. Beck 104 , C. Bedda 63 63 63 , N.K. Behera 60 60 60 , I. Belikov [133](#page-12-0), F. Bellini [35](#page-11-0)*,*[27,](#page-11-0) H. Bello Martinez [2,](#page-11-0) R. Bellwied [124,](#page-12-0) L.G.E. Beltran [120,](#page-12-0) V. Belyaev [83,](#page-12-0) G. Bencedi [140,](#page-12-0) S. Beole [26,](#page-11-0) A. Bercuci [87](#page-12-0), Y. Berdnikov [96,](#page-12-0) D. Berenyi [140,](#page-12-0) R.A. Bertens [127,](#page-12-0) D. Berzano [58](#page-11-0)*,*[35,](#page-11-0) L. Betev ³⁵, P.P. Bhaduri ¹³⁷, A. Bhasin ¹⁰¹, I.R. Bhat ¹⁰¹, B. Bhattacharjee ⁴⁴, J. Bhom ¹¹⁸, A. Bianchi ²⁶, L. Bianchi ¹²⁴, N. Bianchi ⁵¹, C. Bianchin ¹³⁹, J. Bielčík ³⁹, J. Bielčíková ⁹⁴, A. Bilandzic ^{[36](#page-11-0),[105](#page-12-0)}, G. Biro ¹⁴⁰, R. Biswas 4 , S. Biswas 4 , J.T. Blair 119 , D. Blau 90 , C. Blume 70 , G. Boca 134 , F. Bock 35 , A. Bogdanov 83 , L. Boldizsár [140,](#page-12-0) M. Bombara [40,](#page-11-0) G. Bonomi [135](#page-12-0), M. Bonora [35,](#page-11-0) H. Borel [75,](#page-11-0) A. Borissov [104](#page-12-0)*,*[19,](#page-11-0) M. Borri [126,](#page-12-0) E. Botta 26 26 26 , C. Bourjau 91 , L. Bratrud 70 , P. Braun-Munzinger 106 , M. Bregant 121 , T.A. Broker 70 , M. Broz 39 , E.J. Brucken [46](#page-11-0), E. Bruna [58,](#page-11-0) G.E. Bruno [35](#page-11-0)*,*[33,](#page-11-0) D. Budnikov [108,](#page-12-0) H. Buesching [70,](#page-11-0) S. Bufalino [31,](#page-11-0) P. Buhler [113,](#page-12-0) P. Buncic [35,](#page-11-0) O. Busch [130,](#page-12-0) Z. Buthelezi [76,](#page-11-0) J.B. Butt [15,](#page-11-0) J.T. Buxton [18,](#page-11-0) J. Cabala [116,](#page-12-0) D. Caffarri [35](#page-11-0)*,*[92,](#page-12-0) H. Caines [141,](#page-12-0) A. Caliva [106](#page-12-0)*,*[63,](#page-11-0) E. Calvo Villar [111,](#page-12-0) P. Camerini [25,](#page-11-0) A.A. Capon [113,](#page-12-0) F. Carena [35,](#page-11-0) W. Carena [35,](#page-11-0) F. Carnesecchi [12](#page-11-0)*,*[27,](#page-11-0) J. Castillo Castellanos [75,](#page-11-0) A.J. Castro [127,](#page-12-0) E.A.R. Casula [54,](#page-11-0) C. Ceballos Sanchez [9,](#page-11-0) S. Chandra 137 , B. Chang 125 , W. Chang 7 , S. Chapeland 35 , M. Chartier 126 , S. Chattopadhyay 137 , S. Chattopadhyay [109,](#page-12-0) A. Chauvin [36](#page-11-0)*,*[105,](#page-12-0) C. Cheshkov [132,](#page-12-0) B. Cheynis [132,](#page-12-0) V. Chibante Barroso [35](#page-11-0), D.D. Chinellato 122 , S. Cho 60 , P. Chochula 35 , M. Chojnacki 91 , S. Choudhury 137 , T. Chowdhury 131 , P. Christakoglou⁹², C.H. Christensen ⁹¹, P. Christiansen ^{[34](#page-11-0)}, T. Chujo ¹³⁰, S.U. Chung ¹⁹, C. Cicalo ⁵⁴, L. Cifarelli [12](#page-11-0)*,*[27,](#page-11-0) F. Cindolo [53,](#page-11-0) J. Cleymans [100,](#page-12-0) F. Colamaria [52](#page-11-0)*,*[33,](#page-11-0) D. Colella [52](#page-11-0)*,*[35](#page-11-0)*,*[65,](#page-11-0) A. Collu [82,](#page-12-0) M. Colocci [27,](#page-11-0) M. Concas [58](#page-11-0)*,*[ii,](#page-12-0) G. Conesa Balbastre [81,](#page-12-0) Z. Conesa del Valle [61,](#page-11-0) J.G. Contreras [39,](#page-11-0) T.M. Cormier ⁹⁵, Y. Corrales Morales ⁵⁸, I. Cortés Maldonado ², P. Cortese ³², M.R. Cosentino ¹²³, F. Costa ³⁵, S. Costanza [134,](#page-12-0) J. Crkovská [61,](#page-11-0) P. Crochet [131](#page-12-0), E. Cuautle [72,](#page-11-0) L. Cunqueiro [95](#page-12-0)*,*[71,](#page-11-0) T. Dahms [36](#page-11-0)*,*[105](#page-12-0), A. Dainese 56 , M.C. Danisch 104 , A. Danu 68 , D. Das 109 , I. Das 109 , S. Das 4 , A. Dash 88 88 88 , S. Dash 48 , S. De 49 , A. De Caro [30,](#page-11-0) G. de Cataldo [52,](#page-11-0) C. de Conti [121,](#page-12-0) J. de Cuveland [42,](#page-11-0) A. De Falco [24,](#page-11-0) D. De Gruttola [30](#page-11-0)*,*[12](#page-11-0), N. De Marco [58,](#page-11-0) S. De Pasquale [30,](#page-11-0) R.D. De Souza [122,](#page-12-0) H.F. Degenhardt [121,](#page-12-0) A. Deisting [106](#page-12-0)*,*[104,](#page-12-0) A. Deloff [86,](#page-12-0) C. Deplano ⁹², P. Dhankher ⁴⁸, D. Di Bari ³³, A. Di Mauro ³⁵, P. Di Nezza ⁵¹, B. Di Ruzza ⁵⁶, M.A. Diaz Corchero 10 10 10 , T. Dietel 100 100 100 , P. Dillenseger 70 70 70 , Y. Ding 7 , R. Divià 35 35 35 , Ø. Djuvsland 22 , A. Dobrin 35 , D. Domenicis Gimenez ^{1[21](#page-11-0)}, B. Dönigus ⁷⁰, O. Dordic ²¹, L.V.R. Doremalen ⁶³, A.K. Dubey ¹³⁷, A. Dubla ¹⁰⁶, L. Ducroux ¹³², S. Dudi ⁹⁹, A.K. Duggal ⁹⁹, M. Dukhishyam ⁸⁸, P. Dupieux ¹³¹, R.J. Ehlers ¹⁴¹, D. Elia ⁵², E. Endress 111 111 111 , H. Engel 69 , E. Epple 141 , B. Erazmus 114 , F. Erhardt 98 , B. Espagnon 61 , G. Eulisse 35 , J. Eum [19](#page-11-0), D. Evans [110,](#page-12-0) S. Evdokimov [112,](#page-12-0) L. Fabbietti [105](#page-12-0)*,*[36,](#page-11-0) J. Faivre [81,](#page-12-0) A. Fantoni [51,](#page-11-0) M. Fasel [95,](#page-12-0) L. Feldkamp 71 , A. Feliciello 58 , G. Feofilov 136 , A. Fernández Téllez 2 , E.G. Ferreiro 16 , A. Ferretti 26 , A. Festanti [29](#page-11-0)*,*[35,](#page-11-0) V.J.G. Feuillard [75](#page-11-0)*,*[131,](#page-12-0) J. Figiel [118,](#page-12-0) M.A.S. Figueredo [121](#page-12-0), S. Filchagin [108,](#page-12-0) D. Finogeev [62,](#page-11-0) F.M. Fionda [22](#page-11-0)*,*[24,](#page-11-0) M. Floris [35,](#page-11-0) S. Foertsch [76,](#page-11-0) P. Foka [106](#page-12-0), S. Fokin [90,](#page-12-0) E. Fragiacomo [59,](#page-11-0) A. Francescon [35,](#page-11-0) A. Francisco 114 , U. Frankenfeld 106 106 106 , G.G. Fronze 26 , U. Fuchs 35 , C. Furget 81 , A. Furs 62 , M. Fusco Girard 30 , J.J. Gaardhøje ⁹¹, M. Gagliardi ^{[26](#page-11-0)}, A.M. Gago ^{[111](#page-12-0)}, K. Gajdosova ⁹¹, M. Gallio ²⁶, C.D. Galvan ¹²⁰, P. Ganoti ⁸⁵, C. Garabatos [106,](#page-12-0) E. Garcia-Solis [13,](#page-11-0) K. Garg [28,](#page-11-0) C. Gargiulo [35,](#page-11-0) P. Gasik [105](#page-12-0)*,*[36,](#page-11-0) E.F. Gauger [119,](#page-12-0) M.B. Gay Ducati 73 , M. Germain 114 114 114 , J. Ghosh 109 , P. Ghosh 137 , S.K. Ghosh 4 , P. Gianotti 51 , P. Giubellino [35](#page-11-0)*,*[106](#page-12-0)*,*[58,](#page-11-0) P. Giubilato [29,](#page-11-0) E. Gladysz-Dziadus [118,](#page-12-0) P. Glässel [104,](#page-12-0) D.M. Goméz Coral [74,](#page-11-0) A. Gomez Ramirez [69,](#page-11-0) A.S. Gonzalez [35,](#page-11-0) V. Gonzalez [10,](#page-11-0) P. González-Zamora [10](#page-11-0)*,*[2,](#page-11-0) S. Gorbunov [42,](#page-11-0) L. Görlich 118 , S. Gotovac 117 , V. Grabski 74 , L.K. Graczykowski 138 , K.L. Graham 110 , L. Greiner 82 , A. Grelli ⁶³, C. Grigoras ^{[35](#page-11-0)}, V. Grigoriev ⁸³, A. Grigoryan ¹, S. Grigoryan ^{[77](#page-12-0)}, J.M. Gronefeld ¹⁰⁶, F. Grosa ³¹, J.F. Grosse-Oetringhaus 35 , R. Grosso 106 , F. Guber 62 , R. Guernane 81 , B. Guerzoni 27 , M. Guittiere 114 ,

K. Gulbrandsen ⁹¹, T. Gunji ¹²⁹, A. Gupta ^{[101](#page-12-0)}, R. Gupta ¹⁰¹, I.B. Guzman ², R. Haake ³⁵, C. Hadjidakis ⁶¹, H. Hamagaki ⁸⁴, G. Hamar ¹⁴⁰, J.C. Hamon ¹³³, M.R. Haque ⁶³, J.W. Harris ^{[141](#page-12-0)}, A. Harton ¹³, H. Hassan ⁸¹, D. Hatzifotiadou [53](#page-11-0)*,*[12,](#page-11-0) S. Hayashi [129,](#page-12-0) S.T. Heckel [70,](#page-11-0) E. Hellbär [70,](#page-11-0) H. Helstrup [37,](#page-11-0) A. Herghelegiu [87,](#page-12-0) E.G. Hernandez ², G. Herrera Corral 11 , F. Herrmann 71 , B.A. Hess 103 , K.F. Hetland 37 , H. Hillemanns 35 , C. Hills [126,](#page-12-0) B. Hippolyte [133,](#page-12-0) B. Hohlweger [105,](#page-12-0) D. Horak [39,](#page-11-0) S. Hornung [106,](#page-12-0) R. Hosokawa [130](#page-12-0)*,*[81,](#page-12-0) P. Hristov ³⁵, C. Hughes ^{[127](#page-12-0)}, T.J. Humanic ¹⁸, N. Hussain ⁴⁴, T. Hussain ¹⁷, D. Hutter ⁴², D.S. Hwang ²⁰, J.P. Iddon [126,](#page-12-0) S.A. Iga Buitron [72,](#page-11-0) R. Ilkaev [108,](#page-12-0) M. Inaba [130,](#page-12-0) M. Ippolitov [83](#page-12-0)*,*[90,](#page-12-0) M.S. Islam [109](#page-12-0), M. Ivanov 106 , V. Ivanov 96 , V. Izucheev 112 112 112 , B. Jacak 82 , N. Jacazio 27 , P.M. Jacobs 82 , M.B. Jadhav 48 , S. Jadlovska ¹¹⁶, J. Jadlovsky ¹¹⁶, S. Jaelani ⁶³, C. Jahnke ³⁶, M.J. Jakubowska ¹³⁸, M.A. Janik ¹³⁸, P.H.S.Y. Jayarathna ¹²⁴, C. Jena ⁸⁸, M. Jercic ⁹⁸, R.T. Jimenez Bustamante ¹⁰⁶, P.G. Jones ¹¹⁰, A. Jusko ¹¹⁰, P. Kalinak ⁶⁵, A. Kalweit ³⁵, J.H. Kang ¹⁴², V. Kaplin ⁸³, S. Kar ¹³⁷, A. Karasu Uysal ⁸⁰, O. Karavichev ⁶², T. Karavicheva [62,](#page-11-0) L. Karayan [106](#page-12-0)*,*[104,](#page-12-0) P. Karczmarczyk [35,](#page-11-0) E. Karpechev [62,](#page-11-0) U. Kebschull [69,](#page-11-0) R. Keidel [143](#page-12-0), D.L.D. Keijdener 63 , M. Keil 35 35 35 , B. Ketzer 45 , Z. Khabanova 92 , P. Khan 109 , S. Khan 17 , S.A. Khan 137 , A. Khanzadeev 96 , Y. Kharlov 112 , A. Khatun 17 , A. Khuntia 49 , M.M. Kielbowicz 118 , B. Kileng 37 , B. Kim 130 , D. Kim 142 , D.J. Kim 125 , H. Kim 142 , J.S. Kim 43 , J. Kim 104 , M. Kim 60 , S. Kim 20 20 20 , T. Kim 142 , S. Kirsch 42 , I. Kisel 42 , S. Kiselev 64 64 64 , A. Kisiel 138 , G. Kiss 140 , J.L. Klay 6 , C. Klein 70 , J. Klein 35 , C. Klein-Bösing 71 , S. Klewin [104](#page-12-0), A. Kluge [35](#page-11-0), M.L. Knichel [104](#page-12-0)*,*[35,](#page-11-0) A.G. Knospe [124,](#page-12-0) C. Kobdaj [115,](#page-12-0) M. Kofarago [140,](#page-12-0) M.K. Köhler ¹⁰⁴, T. Kollegger ¹⁰⁶, V. Kondratiev ¹³⁶, N. Kondratyeva ⁸³, E. Kondratyuk ¹¹², A. Konevskikh ^{[62](#page-11-0)}, M. Konyushikhin ¹³⁹, M. Kopcik ¹¹⁶, M. Kour ^{[101](#page-12-0)}, C. Kouzinopoulos ³⁵, O. Kovalenko ^{[86](#page-12-0)}, V. Kovalenko ¹³⁶, M. Kowalski 118 , G. Koyithatta Meethaleveedu 48 , I. Králik 65 , A. Kravčáková 40 40 40 , L. Kreis 106 , M. Krivda ^{[110](#page-12-0),65}, F. Krizek ⁹⁴, E. Kryshen ⁹⁶, M. Krzewicki ⁴², A.M. Kubera ^{[18](#page-11-0)}, V. Kučera ⁹⁴, C. Kuhn ¹³³, P.G. Kuijer ⁹², A. Kumar ¹⁰¹, J. Kumar ⁴⁸, L. Kumar ⁹⁹, S. Kumar ⁴⁸, S. Kundu ⁸⁸, P. Kurashvili ⁸⁶, A. Kurepin 62 , A.B. Kurepin 62 , A. Kuryakin 108 , S. Kushpil 94 , M.J. Kweon 60 , Y. Kwon 142 , S.L. La Pointe 42 , P. La Rocca 28 , C. Lagana Fernandes 121 , Y.S. Lai 82 , I. Lakomov 35 , R. Langoy 41 , K. Lapidus 141 , C. Lara 69 , A. Lardeux 21 , A. Lattuca 26 26 26 , E. Laudi 35 , R. Lavicka 39 , R. Lea 25 , L. Leardini 104 , S. Lee 142 , F. Lehas 92 , S. Lehner ¹¹³, J. Lehrbach ⁴², R.C. Lemmon ⁹³, E. Leogrande ⁶³, I. León Monzón ¹²⁰, P. Lévai ¹⁴⁰, X. Li ¹⁴, X.L. Li⁷, J. Lien ⁴¹, R. Lietava 110 , B. Lim 19 , S. Lindal 21 , V. Lindenstruth 42 , S.W. Lindsay 126 , C. Lippmann ¹⁰⁶, M.A. Lisa ¹⁸, V. Litichevskyi ⁴⁶, A. Liu ⁸², W.J. Llope ^{[139](#page-12-0)}, D.F. Lodato ^{[63](#page-11-0)}, P.I. Loenne ²², V. Loginov [83,](#page-12-0) C. Loizides [82](#page-12-0)*,*[95,](#page-12-0) P. Loncar [117,](#page-12-0) X. Lopez [131,](#page-12-0) E. López Torres [9,](#page-11-0) A. Lowe [140,](#page-12-0) P. Luettig [70,](#page-11-0) J.R. Luhder [71,](#page-11-0) M. Lunardon [29,](#page-11-0) G. Luparello [25](#page-11-0)*,*[59,](#page-11-0) M. Lupi [35,](#page-11-0) T.H. Lutz [141,](#page-12-0) A. Maevskaya [62](#page-11-0), M. Mager [35](#page-11-0), S.M. Mahmood [21,](#page-11-0) A. Maire [133,](#page-12-0) R.D. Majka [141](#page-12-0), M. Malaev [96,](#page-12-0) L. Malinina [77](#page-12-0)*,*[iii,](#page-12-0) D. Mal'Kevich [64,](#page-11-0) P. Malzacher 106 , A. Mamonov 108 , V. Manko 90 , F. Manso 131 , V. Manzari 52 , Y. Mao 7 , M. Marchisone [128](#page-12-0)*,*[132](#page-12-0)*,*[76,](#page-11-0) J. Mareš [66,](#page-11-0) G.V. Margagliotti [25,](#page-11-0) A. Margotti [53](#page-11-0), J. Margutti [63,](#page-11-0) A. Marín [106,](#page-12-0) C. Markert 119 , M. Marquard 70 , N.A. Martin 106 , P. Martinengo 35 , J.A.L. Martinez 69 , M.I. Martínez 2 , G. Martínez García ¹¹⁴, M. Martinez Pedreira ³⁵, S. Masciocchi ¹⁰⁶, M. Masera ²⁶, A. Masoni ⁵⁴, L. Massacrier [61,](#page-11-0) E. Masson [114,](#page-12-0) A. Mastroserio [52,](#page-11-0) A.M. Mathis [36](#page-11-0)*,*[105,](#page-12-0) P.F.T. Matuoka [121,](#page-12-0) A. Matyja [127,](#page-12-0) C. Mayer 118 , J. Mazer 127 , M. Mazzilli 33 , M.A. Mazzoni 57 , F. Meddi 23 , Y. Melikyan $^{83},$ A. Menchaca-Rocha ⁷⁴, E. Meninno ³⁰, J. Mercado Pérez ¹⁰⁴, M. Meres ³⁸, S. Mhlanga ¹⁰⁰, Y. Miake ¹³⁰, M.M. Mieskolainen [46,](#page-11-0) D.L. Mihaylov [105,](#page-12-0) K. Mikhaylov [77](#page-12-0)*,*[64](#page-11-0), A. Mischke [63](#page-11-0), A.N. Mishra [49,](#page-11-0) D. Miśkowiec ¹⁰⁶, J. Mitra ^{[137](#page-12-0)}, C.M. Mitu ⁶⁸, N. Mohammadi ^{[63](#page-11-0),[35](#page-11-0)}, A.P. Mohanty ⁶³, B. Mohanty ⁸⁸, M. Mohisin Khan ^{[17](#page-11-0),iv}, E. Montes ¹⁰, D.A. Moreira De Godoy ⁷¹, L.A.P. Moreno ², S. Moretto ²⁹, A. Morreale ¹¹⁴, A. Morsch ³⁵, V. Muccifora ⁵¹, E. Mudnic ¹¹⁷, D. Mühlheim ⁷¹, S. Muhuri ¹³⁷, J.D. Mulligan ¹⁴¹, M.G. Munhoz ^{[121](#page-12-0)}, K. Münning ⁴⁵, R.H. Munzer ⁷⁰, H. Murakami ¹²⁹, S. Murray ⁷⁶, L. Musa ³⁵, J. Musinsky ⁶⁵, C.J. Myers ^{12[4](#page-11-0)}, J.W. Myrcha ¹³⁸, D. Nag ⁴, B. Naik ⁴⁸, R. Nair ⁸⁶, B.K. Nandi ⁴⁸, R. Nania [12](#page-11-0)*,*[53,](#page-11-0) E. Nappi [52,](#page-11-0) A. Narayan [48,](#page-11-0) M.U. Naru [15](#page-11-0), H. Natal da Luz [121,](#page-12-0) C. Nattrass [127,](#page-12-0) S.R. Navarro [2,](#page-11-0) K. Nayak [88,](#page-12-0) R. Nayak [48,](#page-11-0) T.K. Nayak [137,](#page-12-0) S. Nazarenko [108,](#page-12-0) R.A. Negrao De Oliveira [70](#page-11-0)*,*[35,](#page-11-0) L. Nellen [72,](#page-11-0) S.V. Nesbo [37,](#page-11-0) G. Neskovic [42,](#page-11-0) F. Ng [124,](#page-12-0) M. Nicassio [106,](#page-12-0) M. Niculescu [68,](#page-11-0) J. Niedziela [138](#page-12-0)*,*[35,](#page-11-0) B.S. Nielsen [91,](#page-12-0) S. Nikolaev [90,](#page-12-0) S. Nikulin [90,](#page-12-0) V. Nikulin [96,](#page-12-0) A. Nobuhiro [47,](#page-11-0) F. Noferini [12](#page-11-0)*,*[53,](#page-11-0) P. Nomokonov [77](#page-12-0), G. Nooren [63,](#page-11-0) J.C.C. Noris ², J. Norman ^{[81](#page-12-0),126}, A. Nyanin ⁹⁰, J. Nystrand ²², H. Oeschler ^{[19](#page-11-0),[104](#page-12-0),[i](#page-12-0)}, H. Oh ^{[142](#page-12-0)}, A. Ohlson ¹⁰⁴, L. Olah ¹⁴⁰, J. Oleniacz ¹³⁸, A.C. Oliveira Da Silva ¹²¹, M.H. Oliver ¹⁴¹, J. Onderwaater ¹⁰⁶, C. Oppedisano ⁵⁸, R. Orava 46 , M. Oravec 116 , A. Ortiz Velasquez 72 , A. Oskarsson 34 , J. Otwinowski 118 , K. Oyama 84 , Y. Pachmayer 104 , V. Pacik 91 , D. Pagano 135 , G. Paić 72 , P. Palni 7 , J. Pan 139 , A.K. Pandey 48 ,

S. Panebianco 75 , V. Papikyan ¹, P. Pareek ⁴⁹, J. Park ⁶⁰, S. Parmar ⁹⁹, A. Passfeld ⁷¹, S.P. Pathak ¹²⁴, R.N. Patra ¹³⁷, B. Paul ⁵⁸, H. Pei⁷, T. Peitzmann ^{[63](#page-11-0)}, X. Peng⁷, L.G. Pereira ⁷³, H. Pereira Da Costa ^{[75](#page-11-0)}, D. Peresunko ^{[83](#page-12-0),90}, E. Perez Lezama ⁷⁰, V. Peskov ⁷⁰, Y. Pestov ⁵, V. Petráček ³⁹, M. Petrovici ⁸⁷, C. Petta ²⁸, R.P. Pezzi [73,](#page-11-0) S. Piano [59,](#page-11-0) M. Pikna [38,](#page-11-0) P. Pillot [114,](#page-12-0) L.O.D.L. Pimentel [91,](#page-12-0) O. Pinazza [53](#page-11-0)*,*[35](#page-11-0), L. Pinsky [124,](#page-12-0) D.B. Piyarathna ¹²⁴, M. Płoskoń ⁸², M. Planinic ⁹⁸, F. Pliquett ⁷⁰, J. Pluta ^{[138](#page-12-0)}, S. Pochybova ¹⁴⁰, P.L.M. Podesta-Lerma ¹²⁰, M.G. Poghosyan ⁹⁵, B. Polichtchouk ¹¹², N. Poljak ⁹⁸, W. Poonsawat ¹¹⁵, A. Pop ⁸⁷, H. Poppenborg ^{[71](#page-11-0)}, S. Porteboeuf-Houssais ^{[131](#page-12-0)}, V. Pozdniakov ⁷⁷, S.K. Prasad ⁴, R. Preghenella ⁵³, F. Prino ⁵⁸, C.A. Pruneau 139 , I. Pshenichnov 62 , M. Puccio 26 , V. Punin 108 , J. Putschke 139 , S. Raha 4 , S. Rajput 101 101 101 , J. Rak ¹²⁵, A. Rakotozafindrabe ⁷⁵, L. Ramello ³², F. Rami ^{[133](#page-12-0)}, D.B. Rana ¹²⁴, R. Raniwala ¹⁰², S. Raniwala ¹⁰², S.S. Räsänen [46,](#page-11-0) B.T. Rascanu [70,](#page-11-0) D. Rathee [99,](#page-12-0) V. Ratza [45,](#page-11-0) I. Ravasenga [31,](#page-11-0) K.F. Read [127](#page-12-0)*,*[95](#page-12-0), K. Redlich [86](#page-12-0)*,*[v,](#page-12-0) A. Rehman ²², P. Reichelt ^{[70](#page-11-0)}, F. Reidt ³⁵, X. Ren ⁷, R. Renfordt ⁷⁰, A. Reshetin ⁶², K. Reygers ¹⁰⁴, V. Riabov ⁹⁶, T. Richert ^{[63](#page-11-0),[34](#page-11-0)}, M. Richter ²¹, P. Riedler ^{[35](#page-11-0)}, W. Riegler ³⁵, F. Riggi ²⁸, C. Ristea ^{[68](#page-11-0)}, M. Rodríguez Cahuantzi ², K. Røed [21,](#page-11-0) R. Rogalev [112,](#page-12-0) E. Rogochaya [77,](#page-12-0) D. Rohr [35](#page-11-0)*,*[42](#page-11-0), D. Röhrich [22,](#page-11-0) P.S. Rokita [138,](#page-12-0) F. Ronchetti [51](#page-11-0), E.D. Rosas [72,](#page-11-0) K. Roslon [138,](#page-12-0) P. Rosnet [131,](#page-12-0) A. Rossi [56](#page-11-0)*,*[29,](#page-11-0) A. Rotondi [134,](#page-12-0) F. Roukoutakis [85,](#page-12-0) C. Roy [133,](#page-12-0) P. Roy ^{[10](#page-11-0)9}, A.J. Rubio Montero ¹⁰, O.V. Rueda ⁷², R. Rui ²⁵, B. Rumyantsev ⁷⁷, A. Rustamov ⁸⁹, E. Ryabinkin 90 , Y. Ryabov 96 , A. Rybicki 118 , S. Saarinen 46 , S. Sadhu 137 , S. Sadovsky 112 , K. Šafařík 35 , S.K. Saha 137 137 137 , B. Sahlmuller 70 , B. Sahoo 48 , P. Sahoo 49 , R. Sahoo 49 , S. Sahoo 67 , P.K. Sahu 67 , J. Saini 137 , S. Sakai [130,](#page-12-0) M.A. Saleh [139](#page-12-0), J. Salzwedel [18,](#page-11-0) S. Sambyal [101,](#page-12-0) V. Samsonov [96](#page-12-0)*,*[83,](#page-12-0) A. Sandoval [74,](#page-11-0) A. Sarkar [76,](#page-11-0) D. Sarkar ¹³⁷, N. Sarkar ¹³⁷, P. Sarma ⁴⁴, M.H.P. Sas ⁶³, E. Scapparone ⁵³, F. Scarlassara ²⁹, B. Schaefer ⁹⁵, H.S. Scheid ⁷⁰, C. Schiaua ⁸⁷, R. Schicker ¹⁰⁴, C. Schmidt ^{[106](#page-12-0)}, H.R. Schmidt ^{[103](#page-12-0)}, M.O. Schmidt ^{[104](#page-12-0)}, M. Schmidt [103,](#page-12-0) N.V. Schmidt [95](#page-12-0)*,*[70,](#page-11-0) J. Schukraft [35,](#page-11-0) Y. Schutz [35](#page-11-0)*,*[133,](#page-12-0) K. Schwarz [106,](#page-12-0) K. Schweda [106,](#page-12-0) G. Scioli ²⁷, E. Scomparin ⁵⁸, M. Šefčík ⁴⁰, J.E. Seger ⁹⁷, Y. Sekiguchi ¹²⁹, D. Sekihata ⁴⁷, I. Selyuzhenkov [106](#page-12-0)*,*[83,](#page-12-0) K. Senosi [76,](#page-11-0) S. Senyukov [133,](#page-12-0) E. Serradilla [10](#page-11-0)*,*[74,](#page-11-0) P. Sett [48,](#page-11-0) A. Sevcenco [68,](#page-11-0) A. Shabanov ⁶², A. Shabetai ¹¹⁴, R. Shahoyan ³⁵, W. Shaikh ¹⁰⁹, A. Shangaraev ¹¹², A. Sharma ⁹⁹, A. Sharma ¹⁰¹, M. Sharma ¹⁰¹, M. Sharma ¹⁰¹, N. Sharma ⁹⁹, A.I. Sheikh ¹³⁷, K. Shigaki ⁴⁷, S. Shirinkin ⁶⁴, Q. Shou [7,](#page-11-0) K. Shtejer [9](#page-11-0)*,*[26](#page-11-0), Y. Sibiriak [90](#page-12-0), S. Siddhanta [54,](#page-11-0) K.M. Sielewicz [35,](#page-11-0) T. Siemiarczuk [86,](#page-12-0) S. Silaeva [90,](#page-12-0) D. Silvermyr ³⁴, G. Simatovic ⁹², G. Simonetti ³⁵, R. Singaraju ¹³⁷, R. Singh ⁸⁸, V. Singhal ¹³⁷, T. Sinha ¹⁰⁹, B. Sitar ³⁸, M. Sitta ³², T.B. Skaali ²¹, M. Slupecki ¹²⁵, N. Smirnov ¹⁴¹, R.J.M. Snellings ⁶³, T.W. Snellman ¹²⁵, J. Song 19 , M. Song 142 , F. Soramel 29 , S. Sorensen 127 , F. Sozzi 106 , I. Sputowska 118 , J. Stachel 104 , I. Stan 68 , P. Stankus ⁹⁵, E. Stenlund ³⁴, D. Stocco ¹¹⁴, M.M. Storetvedt ³⁷, P. Strmen ³⁸, A.A.P. Suaide ¹²¹, T. Sugitate ⁴⁷, C. Suire 61 , M. Suleymanov 15 , M. Suljic 25 , R. Sultanov 64 , M. Šumbera 94 , S. Sumowidagdo 50 , K. Suzuki 113 , S. Swain 67 67 67 , A. Szabo 38 , I. Szarka 38 , U. Tabassam 15 , J. Takahashi 122 , G.J. Tambave 22 , N. Tanaka [130,](#page-12-0) M. Tarhini [114](#page-12-0)*,*[61,](#page-11-0) M. Tariq [17,](#page-11-0) M.G. Tarzila [87,](#page-12-0) A. Tauro [35](#page-11-0), G. Tejeda Muñoz [2,](#page-11-0) A. Telesca [35,](#page-11-0) K. Terasaki 129 , C. Terrevoli 29 , B. Teyssier 132 , D. Thakur 49 , S. Thakur 137 , D. Thomas 119 , F. Thoresen 91 , R. Tieulent 132 , A. Tikhonov 62 , A.R. Timmins 124 , A. Toia 70 , M. Toppi 51 , S.R. Torres 120 , S. Tripathy 49 , S. Trogolo 26 , G. Trombetta 33 , L. Tropp 40 , V. Trubnikov 3 , W.H. Trzaska 125 , B.A. Trzeciak 63 , T. Tsuji 129 , A. Tumkin ¹⁰⁸, R. Turrisi ⁵⁶, T.S. Tveter ²¹, K. Ullaland ²², E.N. Umaka ¹²⁴, A. Uras ¹³², G.L. Usai ²⁴, A. Utrobicic [98](#page-12-0), M. Vala [116](#page-12-0)*,*[65,](#page-11-0) J. Van Der Maarel [63,](#page-11-0) J.W. Van Hoorne [35](#page-11-0), M. van Leeuwen [63](#page-11-0), T. Vanat [94,](#page-12-0) P. Vande Vyvre ³⁵, D. Varga ¹⁴⁰, A. Vargas ², M. Vargyas ¹²⁵, R. Varma ⁴⁸, M. Vasileiou ⁸⁵, A. Vasiliev ⁹⁰, A. Vauthier [81,](#page-12-0) O. Vázquez Doce [105](#page-12-0)*,*[36,](#page-11-0) V. Vechernin [136,](#page-12-0) A.M. Veen [63,](#page-11-0) A. Velure [22,](#page-11-0) E. Vercellin [26,](#page-11-0) S. Vergara Limón², L. Vermunt ⁶³, R. Vernet ⁸, R. Vértesi ¹⁴⁰, L. Vickovic ¹¹⁷, S. Vigolo ⁶³, J. Viinikainen ^{[125](#page-12-0)}, Z. Vilakazi ¹²⁸, O. Villalobos Baillie ^{[110](#page-12-0)}, A. Villatoro Tello ², A. Vinogradov ⁹⁰, L. Vinogradov ¹³⁶, T. Virgili ³⁰, V. Vislavicius ^{[34](#page-11-0)}, A. Vodopyanov ⁷⁷, M.A. Völkl ¹⁰³, K. Voloshin ⁶⁴, S.A. Voloshin ¹³⁹, G. Volpe ³³, B. von Haller [35,](#page-11-0) I. Vorobyev [105](#page-12-0)*,*[36,](#page-11-0) D. Voscek [116,](#page-12-0) D. Vranic [35](#page-11-0)*,*[106,](#page-12-0) J. Vrláková [40](#page-11-0), B. Wagner [22,](#page-11-0) H. Wang [63](#page-11-0), M. Wang [7,](#page-11-0) Y. Watanabe [129](#page-12-0)*,*[130,](#page-12-0) M. Weber [113,](#page-12-0) S.G. Weber [106,](#page-12-0) A. Wegrzynek [35,](#page-11-0) D.F. Weiser [104,](#page-12-0) S.C. Wenzel 35 , J.P. Wessels 71 , U. Westerhoff 71 , A.M. Whitehead 100 , J. Wiechula 70 , J. Wikne 21 , G. Wilk 86 , J. Wilkinson [104](#page-12-0)*,*[53,](#page-11-0) G.A. Willems [71](#page-11-0)*,*[35,](#page-11-0) M.C.S. Williams [53,](#page-11-0) E. Willsher [110,](#page-12-0) B. Windelband [104,](#page-12-0) W.E. Witt [127,](#page-12-0) R. Xu [7,](#page-11-0) S. Yalcin [80,](#page-12-0) K. Yamakawa [47,](#page-11-0) P. Yang [7,](#page-11-0) S. Yano [47](#page-11-0), Z. Yin [7,](#page-11-0) H. Yokoyama [81](#page-12-0)*,*[130,](#page-12-0) I.-K. Yoo [19,](#page-11-0) J.H. Yoon 60 , E. Yun 19 , V. Yurchenko 3 , V. Zaccolo 58 58 58 , A. Zaman 15 , C. Zampolli 35 , H.J.C. Zanoli 121 121 121 , N. Zardoshti ¹¹⁰, A. Zarochentsev ^{[136](#page-12-0)}, P. Závada ⁶⁶, N. Zaviyalov ¹⁰⁸, H. Zbroszczyk ¹³⁸, M. Zhalov ^{[96](#page-12-0)}, H. Zhang [7](#page-11-0)*,*[22](#page-11-0), X. Zhang [7,](#page-11-0) Y. Zhang [7,](#page-11-0) C. Zhang [63,](#page-11-0) Z. Zhang [131](#page-12-0)*,*[7,](#page-11-0) C. Zhao [21](#page-11-0), N. Zhigareva [64,](#page-11-0) D. Zhou [7,](#page-11-0)

Y. Zhou [91,](#page-12-0) Z. Zhou 22, H. Zhu 22, J. Zhu 7, Y. Zhu 7, A. Zichichi ²⁷*,*12, M.B. Zimmermann 35, G. Zinovjev 3, J. Zmeskal ¹¹³, S. Zou ⁷

- *A.I. Alikhanyan National Science Laboratory (Yerevan Physics Institute) Foundation, Yerevan, Armenia*
- *Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico*
- *Bogolyubov Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kiev, Ukraine*
- ⁴ Bose Institute. Department of Physics and Centre for Astroparticle Physics and Space Science (CAPSS). Kolkata. India
- *Budker Institute for Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk, Russia*
- *California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo, CA, United States*
- *Central China Normal University, Wuhan, China*
- *Centre de Calcul de l'IN2P3, Villeurbanne, Lyon, France*
- *Centro de Aplicaciones Tecnológicas y Desarrollo Nuclear (CEADEN), Havana, Cuba*
- *Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain*
- *Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados (CINVESTAV), Mexico City and Mérida, Mexico*
- *Centro Fermi – Museo Storico della Fisica e Centro Studi e Ricerche "Enrico Fermi", Rome, Italy*
- *Chicago State University, Chicago, IL, United States*
- *China Institute of Atomic Energy, Beijing, China*
- *COMSATS Institute of Information Technology (CIIT), Islamabad, Pakistan*
- ¹⁶ Departamento de Física de Partículas and IGFAE. Universidad de Santiago de Compostela. Santiago de Compostela. Spain
- *Department of Physics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, India*
- *Department of Physics, Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, United States*
- *Department of Physics, Pusan National University, Pusan, Republic of Korea*
- *Department of Physics, Sejong University, Seoul, Republic of Korea*
- *Department of Physics, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway*
- *Department of Physics and Technology, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway*
- *Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università 'La Sapienza' and Sezione INFN, Rome, Italy*
- *Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Cagliari, Italy*
- *Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Trieste, Italy*
- *Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Turin, Italy*
- *Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Bologna, Italy*
- *Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Catania, Italy*
- *Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Padova, Italy*
- *Dipartimento di Fisica 'E.R. Caianiello' dell'Università and Gruppo Collegato INFN, Salerno, Italy*
- *Dipartimento DISAT del Politecnico and Sezione INFN, Turin, Italy*
- ³² Dipartimento di Scienze e Innovazione Tecnologica dell'Università del Piemonte Orientale and INFN Sezione di Torino, Alessandria, Italy
- *Dipartimento Interateneo di Fisica 'M. Merlin' and Sezione INFN, Bari, Italy*
- *Division of Experimental High Energy Physics, University of Lund, Lund, Sweden*
- *European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN), Geneva, Switzerland*
- *Excellence Cluster Universe, Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany*
- *Faculty of Engineering, Bergen University College, Bergen, Norway*
- *Faculty of Mathematics, Physics and Informatics, Comenius University, Bratislava, Slovakia*
- *Faculty of Nuclear Sciences and Physical Engineering, Czech Technical University in Prague, Prague, Czech Republic*
- *Faculty of Science, P.J. Šafárik University, Košice, Slovakia*
- *Faculty of Technology, Buskerud and Vestfold University College, Tonsberg, Norway*
- *Frankfurt Institute for Advanced Studies, Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Germany*
- *Gangneung-Wonju National University, Gangneung, Republic of Korea*
- *Gauhati University, Department of Physics, Guwahati, India*
- *Helmholtz-Institut für Strahlen- und Kernphysik, Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn, Bonn, Germany*
- *Helsinki Institute of Physics (HIP), Helsinki, Finland*
- *Hiroshima University, Hiroshima, Japan*
- *Indian Institute of Technology Bombay (IIT), Mumbai, India*
- *Indian Institute of Technology Indore, Indore, India*
- *Indonesian Institute of Sciences, Jakarta, Indonesia*
- *INFN, Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy*
- *INFN, Sezione di Bari, Bari, Italy*
- *INFN, Sezione di Bologna, Bologna, Italy*
- *INFN, Sezione di Cagliari, Cagliari, Italy*
-
- *INFN, Sezione di Catania, Catania, Italy*
- *INFN, Sezione di Padova, Padova, Italy*
- *INFN, Sezione di Roma, Rome, Italy*
- *INFN, Sezione di Torino, Turin, Italy*
- *INFN, Sezione di Trieste, Trieste, Italy*
- *Inha University, Incheon, Republic of Korea*
- *Institut de Physique Nucléaire d'Orsay (IPNO), Université Paris-Sud, CNRS-IN2P3, Orsay, France*
- *Institute for Nuclear Research, Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia*
- *Institute for Subatomic Physics of Utrecht University, Utrecht, Netherlands*
- *Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia*
- *Institute of Experimental Physics, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Košice, Slovakia*
- *Institute of Physics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Prague, Czech Republic*
- *Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar, India*
- *Institute of Space Science (ISS), Bucharest, Romania*
- *Institut für Informatik, Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Germany*
- *Institut für Kernphysik, Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Germany*
- *Institut für Kernphysik, Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster, Münster, Germany*
- *Instituto de Ciencias Nucleares, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico City, Mexico*
- *Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS), Porto Alegre, Brazil*
- *Instituto de Física, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico City, Mexico*
- *IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Saclay, France*
- *iThemba LABS, National Research Foundation, Somerset West, South Africa*
- *Joint Institute for Nuclear Research (JINR), Dubna, Russia*
- *Konkuk University, Seoul, Republic of Korea*
- *Korea Institute of Science and Technology Information, Daejeon, Republic of Korea*
- *KTO Karatay University, Konya, Turkey*
- *Laboratoire de Physique Subatomique et de Cosmologie, Université Grenoble-Alpes, CNRS-IN2P3, Grenoble, France*
- *Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, United States*
- *Moscow Engineering Physics Institute, Moscow, Russia*
- *Nagasaki Institute of Applied Science, Nagasaki, Japan*
- *National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Physics Department, Athens, Greece*
- *National Centre for Nuclear Studies, Warsaw, Poland*
- *National Institute for Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest, Romania*
- *National Institute of Science Education and Research, HBNI, Jatni, India*
- *National Nuclear Research Center, Baku, Azerbaijan*
- *National Research Centre Kurchatov Institute, Moscow, Russia*
- *Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark*
- *Nikhef, Nationaal instituut voor subatomaire fysica, Amsterdam, Netherlands*
- *Nuclear Physics Group, STFC Daresbury Laboratory, Daresbury, United Kingdom*
- *Nuclear Physics Institute, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Rež ˇ u Prahy, Czech Republic*
- *Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, United States*
- *Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina, Russia*
- *Physics Department, Creighton University, Omaha, NE, United States*
- *Physics department, Faculty of science, University of Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia*
- *Physics Department, Panjab University, Chandigarh, India*
- *Physics Department, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa*
- *Physics Department, University of Jammu, Jammu, India*
- *Physics Department, University of Rajasthan, Jaipur, India*
- *Physikalisches Institut, Eberhard Karls Universität Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany*
- *Physikalisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany*
- *Physik Department, Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany*
- ¹⁰⁶ Research Division and ExtreMe Matter Institute EMMI, GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany
- *Rudjer Boškovi´c Institute, Zagreb, Croatia*
- *Russian Federal Nuclear Center (VNIIEF), Sarov, Russia*
- *Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Kolkata, India*
- *School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom*
- *Sección Física, Departamento de Ciencias, Pontificia Universidad Católica del Perú, Lima, Peru*
- *SSC IHEP of NRC Kurchatov institute, Protvino, Russia*
- *Stefan Meyer Institut für Subatomare Physik (SMI), Vienna, Austria*
- *SUBATECH, IMT Atlantique, Université de Nantes, CNRS-IN2P3, Nantes, France*
- *Suranaree University of Technology, Nakhon Ratchasima, Thailand*
- *Technical University of Košice, Košice, Slovakia*
- *Technical University of Split FESB, Split, Croatia*
- *The Henryk Niewodniczanski Institute of Nuclear Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Cracow, Poland*
- *The University of Texas at Austin, Physics Department, Austin, TX, United States*
- *Universidad Autónoma de Sinaloa, Culiacán, Mexico*
- *Universidade de São Paulo (USP), São Paulo, Brazil*
- *Universidade Estadual de Campinas (UNICAMP), Campinas, Brazil*
- *Universidade Federal do ABC, Santo Andre, Brazil*
- *University of Houston, Houston, TX, United States*
- *University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, Finland*
- *University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom*
- *University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN, United States*
- *University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa*
- *University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan*
- *University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Japan*
- *Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS/IN2P3, LPC, Clermont-Ferrand, France*
- *Université de Lyon, Université Lyon 1, CNRS/IN2P3, IPN-Lyon, Villeurbanne, Lyon, France*
- *Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, IPHC UMR 7178, F-67000 Strasbourg, France*
- *Università degli Studi di Pavia, Pavia, Italy*
- *Università di Brescia, Brescia, Italy*
- *V. Fock Institute for Physics, St. Petersburg State University, St. Petersburg, Russia*
- *Variable Energy Cyclotron Centre, Kolkata, India*
- *Warsaw University of Technology, Warsaw, Poland*
- *Wayne State University, Detroit, MI, United States*
- *Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest, Hungary*
- *Yale University, New Haven, CT, United States*
- *Yonsei University, Seoul, Republic of Korea*
- *Zentrum für Technologietransfer und Telekommunikation (ZTT), Fachhochschule Worms, Worms, Germany*
- ⁱ Deceased.
- ii Dipartimento DET del Politecnico di Torino, Turin, Italy.
- iii M.V. Lomonosov Moscow State University, D.V. Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Moscow, Russia.
- iv Department of Applied Physics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, India.
- Institute of Theoretical Physics, University of Wroclaw, Poland.