Optical absorption in array of Ge/Al-shell nanoparticles in an Alumina matrix

Despoja, Vito; Basioli, Lovro; Parramon, Jordi Sancho; Mičetić, Maja

Source / Izvornik: **Scientific Reports, 2020, 10**

Journal article, Published version Rad u časopisu, Objavljena verzija rada (izdavačev PDF)

<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-56673-8>

Permanent link / Trajna poveznica: <https://urn.nsk.hr/urn:nbn:hr:217:489667>

Rights / Prava: [Attribution 4.0 International](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) / [Imenovanje 4.0 međunarodna](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Download date / Datum preuzimanja: **2025-01-04**

Repository / Repozitorij:

[Repository of the Faculty of Science - University o](https://repozitorij.pmf.unizg.hr)f [Zagreb](https://repozitorij.pmf.unizg.hr)

SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

natureresearch

OPEN Optical absorption in array of Ge/ **Al-shell nanoparticles in an Alumina matrix**

Vito Despoja1,2,3*, Lovro Basioli 4, Jordi Sancho Parramon⁴ & Maja Mičetić 4*

The absorption spectra in array of Ge, Al and Ge/Al-shell nanoparticles immersed in alumina (Al2O3) matrix is calculated in framework of *ab initio* **macroscopic dielectric model. It is demonstrated that absorption is strongly enhanced when germanium nanospheres are encapsulated by Al-shell. Two absorption peaks, appearing in the spectra, correspond to low energy** *ω***+ and high energy** *ω***− plasmons which lie in visible and ultraviolet frequency range, respectively. It is demonstrated that in Ge/Al-shell composite the** *ω***+ plasmon exists only because quantum confnement efect which provides larger Ge band gap (Δ~1.5eV) and thus prevent decay of** *ω***+ plasmon to continuum of interband electron-hole excitation in semiconducting core. Absorption in visible frequency range enhances additional 3 times when alumina is replaced by large dielectric constant insulator, such as SiC, and additional 6 times when** Ge core is replaced by wide band-gap insulator, such as $Si₃N₄$. Strong enhancement of optical absorption **in visible frequency range make this composites suitable for optoelectronic application, such as solar cells or light emitting devices. The simulated plasmon peaks are brought in connection with peaks appearing in ellipsometry measurements.**

Metallic nanoparticles of subwavelength dimensions (considering the wavelength up to UV) due to their reduced dimensionality support dipolar collective electronic modes called localized surface plasmon resonances (LSPR) which can be excited by incident electromagnetic field. The LSPR produce strong evanescent electrical field which can enhance light absorption or light emission in adjacent semiconductor or chemical or biological environment. Tis is why LSPR in metallic nanoparticles in last two decades have been applying to enhance absorption in con-ventional or organic photovoltaics^{1-[3](#page-11-1)} or to enhance light emission in light-emitting device^{4[,5](#page-11-3)}. Strong evanescent feld in metallic nanoparticles can enhance the emission in weakly fuorescent biomolecules such that it also has been applying in biological sensing[6–](#page-11-4)[9](#page-11-5) . Metallic nanostructures can serve as sensing platform even for single molecule detection. For example, following the LSPR frequency shif caused by its interaction with molecular excitons can be exploited for accurate single-molecule detection $10,11$ $10,11$.

Semiconductor nanoparticles, on the other hand, are very applicable in numerous modern nanotechnology devices. They show confinement effects, so their properties can be easily tuned by their size. Especially interesting are Ge quantum dots, as they show very strong confinement effects. They are very applicable in high-efficient energy conversion devices and IR photodetectors^{[12,](#page-11-8)[13](#page-11-9)}.

Nanoparticles with core/shell structure are especially interesting due to the new degree of freedom in creating their properties caused by interaction of core and shell. It has been shown recently that Ge/Si core/shell nanoparticles show strongly enhanced absorption with diferent position of absorption peaks, as well as longer exciton lifetime than in pure Ge or Si nanoparticles^{14–16}. The reason is separation of excited electron and hole in core and shell of the nanoparticle, that is caused by its specific structure. These materials therefore are excellent candidate for application in high-efficient photovoltaic devices. A variety of these interesting properties and applications require extensive experimental and theoretical research of the optical properties of metallic nanostructures and especially of related nano-heterostructures which could have desired plasmonic or some other interesting absorption properties.

In this paper we present the theoretical simulation of optical absorption in lattice of Ge/Al-shell nanoparticles immersed in alumina $(A₂O₃)$ matrix. Each Ge/Al-shell nanoparticle consists of germanium spherical core

¹Institute of Physics, Bijenička 46, HR-10000, Zagreb, Croatia. ²Donostia International Physics Center (DIPC), P. Manuel de Lardizabal, 20018, San Sebastian, Basque Country, Spain. ³Department of Physics, University of Zagreb, Bijenička 32, HR-10000, Zagreb, Croatia. ⁴Ruđer Bošković Institute, Bijenička cesta 54, HR-10000, Zagreb, Croatia. *email: vito@phy.hr; maja.micetic@irb.hr

encapsulated by aluminium spherical shell. We explore the absorption in nanoparticles of various size and shell thickness. For comparison, the absorption in lattice of Ge/Al-shell nanoparticles is compared with absorption in lattice of Ge and Al spherical nanoparticles and with absorption in array of Ge/Al-shell nanoparticles where Ge core and Al_2O_3 matrix are replaced by other semiconductors. It is shown that absorption is strongly enhanced when germanium nanospheres are encapsulated by Al-shell. In absorption spectra dominates two peaks which correspond to low energy *ω*+ and high energy *ω*− plasmons which lie in visible and ultraviolet frequency range, respectively. The appearance of these peaks closely resembles the excitation of bonding and anti-bonding modes in metal-dielectric core-shell systems as result of hybridization of sphere and cavity like modes¹⁷ It is demonstrated that in Ge/Al-shell composite the *ω*+ plasmon exists only because quantum confnement efect which provides larger Ge band gap (Δ ~ 1.5 eV) and thus prevent decay of *ω*+ plasmon to continuum of interband electron-hole excitation in semiconducting core. Absorption in visible frequency range enhances additional 3 times when alumina is replaced by large dielectric constant insulator, such as SiC, and additional 6 times when Ge core is replaced by wide band-gap insulator, such as $Si₃N₄$. Strong enhancement of absorption in visible frequency range makes this composites suitable for optoelectronic application, e.g. in photovoltaic or light emitting devices. The simulated plasmon peaks are brought in connection with peaks appearing in ellipsometry measurements.

The theoretical predictions are well supported by experimental work. A series of thin films consisting of Ge/ Al-shell nanoparticles embedded in alumina matrix were produced by magnetron sputtering deposition¹⁸. The films differ by Al-shell thickness and Ge/Al-shell nanoparticles are regularly ordered in 3D lattice. The optical absorption in Ge/Al-shell lattice signifcantly difer from absorption in pure Ge nanoparticles lattice in the same matrix, and also depends on the shell thickness, as predicted by theory.

The theoretical simulation is provided in the framework of *ab initio* macroscopic dielectric model. This means that dielectric response of each particular component in heterostructure is described by local, macroscopic dielectric function $\epsilon_i(\omega)$, calculated from first principles. The response of whole heterostructure (e.g. Ge-sphere/ Al-shell/Al2O3-matrix) is described by efective dielectric function *ϵef*(*ω*) derived by solving the Poisson equation and implementing the spherical boundary conditions. The electromagnetic energy dissipation rate or optical absorption is derived from imaginary part of efective dielectric function, *P*∝ℑ*ϵef*(*ω*). In Sec. 2 we present the methodology used to calculate the macroscopic and efective dielectric functions (*ϵⁱ* and *ϵef*) of array of Ge/ Al-shell nanoparticles in Al_2O_3 -matrix. In Sec. 3 we present the results for optical absorption in array of Al spheres, Ge spheres and Ge/Al-shell nanoparticles of various radii and shell thicknesses. The advantages of using other semiconductors such as SiC, SiO₂ and Si₃N₄ instead of Ge and Al₂O₃ are studied. Finally, the simulated spectra are compared with experiment. In Sec. 4 we present our concluding remarks.

Modeling of the System

In the first stage of the modeling we obtain the macroscopic dielectric functions $\epsilon_i(\omega)$ of bulk $i =$ Ge, Al and Al₂O₃ crystals by using *ab initio* methodology. Then by solving Poisson equation for boundary conditions we determine the effective macroscopic dielectric function *ε*_{eff} of Ge-core/Al-shell/Al₂O₃-matrix composite.

Ab initio **calculation of bulk crystals dielectric response.** To calculate the Kohn-Sham (KS) wave functions ϕ_{nk} and energy levels $E_n(k)$, i.e. the band structure, of bulk $i = Ge$, Al and Al₂O₃ crystals, we use the plane-wave self-consistent field DFT code (PWSCF) within the QUANTUM ESPRESSO (QE) package¹⁹. The core-electron interaction is approximated by the norm-conserving pseudopotentials²⁰ and the exchange correla-tion (XC) potentials are approximated in Ge by hybrid BLYP^{[21](#page-11-16)}, in $\overline{A}1_2O_3$ by Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof generalized gradient approximations $(GGA)^{22}$ $(GGA)^{22}$ $(GGA)^{22}$ and in Al by Perdew-Zunger local density approximation (LDA)²³ functionals. The crystal structures used in the calculation are; for Ge diamond cubic FCC (with two Ge atoms in unit cell) with lattice constant $a = 5.66 \text{ Å}$, for Al cubic FCC with lattice constant $a = 4.05 \text{ Å}$ and for aluminium-oxide (Al₂O₃) hexagonal (12 Al and 18 O atoms in unit cell) with lattice constants $a = 4.76 \text{ Å}$ and $c = 12.99 \text{ Å}$. The ground state electronic densities of Ge and Al crystals are calculated using the $8\times8\times8$ and of Al₂O₃ crystal using $9\times9\times3$ Monkhorst-Pack²⁴ K-point mesh sampling of the first Brillouin zone (BZ). For all crystals the plane-wave cut-off energy is chosen to be 50Ry (680 eV).

The 3D Fourier transform of independent electrons response function is given by

$$
\chi_{\mathbf{GG'}}^{0}(\mathbf{q},\,\omega)=\frac{2}{\Omega}\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in B.Z.n,m}\frac{f_n(\mathbf{k})-f_m(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q})}{\omega+i\eta+E_n(\mathbf{k})-E_m(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q})}\times\rho_{n\mathbf{k},m\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{G})\,\,\rho_{n\mathbf{k},m\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}^{*}(\mathbf{G}'),\tag{1}
$$

where $f_{nK} = [e^{(E_{nK} - E_F/kT} + 1]^{-1}$ is the Fermi-Dirac distributions at temperature *T*. The matrix elements are

$$
\rho_{n\mathbf{k},m\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{G}) = \left\langle \phi_{n\mathbf{k}} | e^{-i(\mathbf{q}+\mathbf{G})\mathbf{r}} | \phi_{n\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}} \right\rangle_{\Omega},\tag{2}
$$

where **q** is the momentum transfer wave vector and integration is performed over the normalization volume Ω. Plane wave expansion of the wave function has the form $\phi_{n\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Omega}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{r}} \sum_{\mathbf{G}} C_{n\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{G}) e^{i\mathbf{G}\mathbf{r}}$, where **G** are 3D reciprocal lattice vectors, *r* is a 3D position vector, and the coefficients \ddot{C}_{nk} are obtained by solving the Kohn-Sham equations selfconsistently. From response matrix (1) we determine the dielectric matrix

$$
\mathcal{E}_{GG'}(\mathbf{q}, \omega) = \delta_{GG'} - \sum_{\mathbf{G}_1} v_{GG_1}(\mathbf{q}) \chi^0_{\mathbf{G}_1 G'}(\mathbf{q}, \omega), \tag{3}
$$

where bare Coulomb interaction is $v_{GG'}(q) = \frac{4\pi}{|q+G|^2}$ $\delta_{GG'}$. Finally the macroscopic dielectric function of particular crystal can be determined by inverting the dielectric matrix

$$
\epsilon(\omega) = \epsilon_1(\omega) + i\epsilon_2(\omega) = 1/\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{g}=0\mathbf{G}'=0}^{-1}(\mathbf{q} \approx 0, \omega).
$$
 (4)

The probability density $P(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ for the parallel momentum transfer **q** and the energy loss ω of the electron in Electron Energy Loss Spectroscopy (EELS) experiments²⁵ is proportional to the imaginary part of the dynamically screened Coulomb potential $W = v/\epsilon$, usually called the Electron Energy Loss Function (EELF). In optical limit (interested here) the EELF can be expressed in term of macroscopic dielectric function

$$
P(\mathbf{q}\approx 0, \ \omega) \propto -\ \frac{1}{\epsilon(\omega)}.\tag{5}
$$

The wave vector **k** summation in the response function (1) is performed by using $41 \times 41 \times 41$ *k*-point mesh sampling for Ge and Al crystals. Band summations (*n*,*m*) are performed over 20 bands for Al and over 30 bands for Ge. For Al₂O₃ response function calculation we have used 21 \times 21 \times 7 *k*-point mesh sampling and band summations are performed over 120 bands. The damping parameter used in all calculation is $\eta = 100$ meV and temperature is \bar{T} =10 meV. For optically small wave vectors (q \approx 0), used in this modeling, the crystal local field effects are negligible, so the crystal local field effects cutt-off energy is set to be zero.

Macroscopic dielectric functions ϵ_i **.** In order to facilitate understanding the particular features in various nanoparticle/alumina-matrix composites we shall frst analyze the dielectric functions and EELF of particular bulk crystals. Since in the considered composites the germanium will appear in the form of several nanometers large sphere it will be subject of strong quantum-confinement^{26,27}, i.e. Ge nanoparticles band gap should be larger than bulk Ge band-gap. In order to capture this efect the Ge band-gap is (in accordance with ref. [26\)](#page-11-21) increased from Δ = 0.66 eV to Δ = 1.5 eV and in some cases up to Δ = 3.0 eV. The band gap is increased simply such that conduction and valence bands are lifted up and down for equal value, as proposed in ref. 26 , but the band structure is left unchanged. The germanium dielectric function $\epsilon_{Ge}(\omega)$ is then calculated using the same procedure (1–4). Even the shift in band-gap Δ causes just the same horizontal shift in local dielectric function ϵ_M , we shall see, it will not be the case in efective dielectric function *ϵef* because of the screening efects included through the boundary conditions. For example, if in bulk Germanium local dielectric function ϵ_{G_e} the interband peak appears at ω_0 it will not necessarily appear, due to boundary screening effects, at the same frequency in effective dielectric function *ϵef*. In this way we shall include both microscopic quantum efects but also macroscopic screening. Tis approximation we believe is sufficient to model the dielectric response of studied heterostructure. Other possibility would be performing the *ab initio* calculation of nonlocal dynamical susceptibility tensor $\hat{\chi}(r, r'\omega)$ for entire heterostructure, which (in lowest approximation) implies solving of Kohn-Sham equation for unit cell which consists of thousands of Si and Al atoms. Tis is still impossible to provide by using existing computer resources.

Figure $1(a-c)$ show the Ge, Al and $A₁O₃$ dielectric functions. One can notice characteristic insulator-like character, the static $\epsilon_1(\omega \approx 0)$ has finite value and remains almost constant until band gap energy, which is $\Delta \approx 1.5$ eV for Ge and $\Delta \approx 6$ eV for Al₂O₃. The ϵ_2 is zero until $\omega = \Delta$ when $\sigma \to \sigma^*$ interband transitions starts to contribute and ϵ_2 increases. The ϵ_2 of Ge shows sharp peaks at $\omega \sim 3.3$ and 5.3 eV which corresponds to transitions between Van Hove singularities in *σ* and *σ** bands. Because there is no intensive collective modes, such as plasmons, to which external field can be coupled the semiconducting EELF does not show any intensive peak. The Al dielectric function is shown in Fig. [1\(b\)](#page-3-0). One can notice characteristic metallic-like behavior, the static *ϵ*(*ω*≈0) shows Drude singularity coming from infinite number of soft electron-hole intraband transitions within parabolic *s* or *σ* band. Also, due to lack of interband *s*→*d* transitions the Drude peak is only contribution in *ϵ*2. Te Al EELF shows very strong plasmon peak at $\omega_p \approx 15 \text{ eV}$ (where ϵ_2 also crosses zero), whose energy is consistent with simple jellium model predictions. For example, for aluminum, Wigner-Seitz radius is $r_s = 2.07²⁸$ $r_s = 2.07²⁸$ $r_s = 2.07²⁸$ and the jellium plasmon frequency is $\omega_p = 3/r_s^3 = 15.8 \text{ eV}$ which agrees well with the *ab initio* result.

Modeling of the effective dielectric function ϵ_{eff} **The dynamical electronic response and therefore opti**cal properties of very small $(R_C < 2 \text{ nm})$ nanoparticles are entirely defined by its microscopic electronic structure. Then the ω Fourier transform of local polarisation in such system caused by external electrical field $E^{ext}(\mathbf{r},\omega)$ is

$$
\mathbf{P}(r,\,\omega)=\int d\mathbf{r}_1\hat{\chi}(\mathbf{r},\,\mathbf{r}_1,\,\omega)\,\otimes\,\mathbf{E}^{ext}(\mathbf{r}_1,\,\omega),
$$

where *χ*is nonlocal dynamical susceptibility tensor which depends on nanoparticle discrete set of energy levels and wave functions $\{E_n, \phi_n(\mathbf{r})\}$. So the response and optical properties (e.g. optical absorption $P \sim -\omega Im\chi$) strongly depends on nanoparticle shape and dimension because it defines its discrete electronic spectrum²⁹. In this case the quantum confnement efect simulated just by horizontal shif of set of occuped and unoccuped energy levels would lead to incorrect optical spectra. On the other hand the dynamical response of sub-macroscopic $(R_C > 10 \text{ nm})$ nanoparticles can be modeled by local polarisability

$$
\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{r},\,\omega)=\chi(\mathbf{r},\,\omega)\mathbf{E}^{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{r},\,\omega),
$$

which if we eliminate the crystal-local feld efects or variation of dynamical response within unit cell can be additionally simplified and express in term of macroscopic dielectric function $\chi_i(\omega) = [\epsilon_M^i(\omega) - 1]/(4\pi)$ where indices *i* = 1, 2, 3, ... represent different region in nanopaticle heterostructure. The spatial distribution at nanoparticle boundaries is then included by applying boundary conditions. In this investigation the nanoparticles size is $R_C \sim 2$ nm such that we are faced with the problem of intermediate case, between mentioned two limits. However, we believe that the described local limit, in which microscopic efects are preserved through intra and interband excitations included in macroscopic dielectric functions $\epsilon_M^i(\omega)$, while quantum confinement will be phenomenological included through variable HOMO-LUMO band gap, is still valid.

Modelling of Ge/Al₂O₃-matrix and Al-/Al₂O₃-matrix composite can be modeled as tetragonal supperlattice with lateral and perpendicular lattice parameter *a* and *c*, respectively, as illustrated in Fig. [2\(a,b\),](#page-5-0) while Ge/ Al-shell/Al₂O₃-matrix composite has the same arrangement of nanoparticles but they consists of spherical Ge-core of radius R_c and Al-shell of outer radius R_s immersed in alumina matrix, as illustrated in Fig. [2\(c\)](#page-5-0). First we shell consider just one Ge-core/Al-shell nanoparticle immersed in alumina matrix, where response properties of each component Ge-core, Al-shell and Al_2O_3 matrix is described by their macroscopic dielectric functions $\epsilon_{Ge}(u)$, $\epsilon_{Al}(u)$ and $\epsilon_{M}(u)$, respectively. Suppose that such system is under the influence of external homogenous electrical field $E=E_0\hat{z}$. The general solution of Poisson equation $\Delta\phi=0$ for the i-th region, using the spherical coordinates, is then

$$
\phi_i(r, \theta) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \{A_l^i r^l + B_l^i r^{-(l+1)}\} P_l(cos\theta); \quad i = 1, 2, 3
$$

where P_l are Legendre functions, *l* is orbital quantum number and $i=1$, 2 and 3 stay for Ge-core, Al-shell and alumina matrix regions, respectively. Afer using tangential and normal boundary conditions

$$
\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial \theta} \bigg|_{R_i} = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \phi_{i+1}}{\partial \theta} \bigg|_{R_i},
$$

$$
\epsilon_i(\omega) \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial r} \bigg|_{R_i} = \epsilon_{i+1}(\omega) \frac{\partial \phi_{i+1}}{\partial r} \bigg|_{R_i}
$$

and also asymptotic boundary condition

$$
\phi(r\to\infty)=-E_0r\,\cos\theta,
$$

we can obtain the potentials ϕ_i in different regions i=1, 2, 3. Here $R_1 = R_C$ and $R_2 = R_S$. This solutions give good description of potential and charge density distributions in macroscopic dielectric, however considering the nanometer size of regions $i=1, 2$ the solutions $\phi_{1,2}$ are probably very rough approximation. However the quantity which represents averaging over microscopic charge density distribution within the nanoparticle and which therefore has more macroscopic nature is induced dipolar potential far away from nanoparticle (*r*≫*RS*). This potential represents the first non vanishing term $(l=1)$ in $\phi_3(\mathbf{r},\theta)$, i.e.

$$
\phi_3(r,\,\theta) \approx B_1^3 r^{-2} P_1(\cos\theta). \tag{6}
$$

Afer comparison of (6) with expression for point dipole potential *φdip*(*r*)=*p* cos*θ*/*r* it is obvious that the coefficient B_1^3 has meaning of nanoparticle induced dipole momentum, i.e. $p = B_1^3$. After solving the Poisson equation for spherical boundary conditions we get the induced dipole momentum of one Ge-core/Al-shell nanoparticle $p = \alpha(\omega)E_0$, where nanoparticle polarizability is given by

$$
\alpha(\omega) = R_S^3 \frac{[\epsilon_{Al}(\omega) - \epsilon_M(\omega)][\epsilon_{Ge}(\omega) + 2\epsilon_{Al}(\omega)] - [2\epsilon_{Al}(\omega) + \epsilon_M(\omega)][\epsilon_{Al}(\omega) - \epsilon_{Ge}(\omega)][\frac{R_C}{R_S})^3}{[\epsilon_{Al}(\omega) + 2\epsilon_M(\omega)][\epsilon_{Ge}(\omega) + 2\epsilon_{Al}(\omega)] - 2[\epsilon_M(\omega) - \epsilon_{Al}(\omega)][\epsilon_{Ge}(\omega) - \epsilon_{Al}(\omega)][\frac{R_C}{R_S})^3}.
$$
\n(7)

Moreover, considering that the system consists of lattice of polarizable Ge/Al-shell nanoparticles immersed in the polarizable alumina background, instead to bare external field \mathbf{E}_0 the system responds to screened macroscopic electrical field **E**. This means that the induced dipole momentum density is $\mathbf{P} = \chi_{\text{eff}}(\omega)\mathbf{E}$, where effective susceptibility can be written as $\chi_{\text{eff}}(\omega) = [\epsilon_M(\omega) - 1]/4\pi + n\alpha(\omega)$ and where *n* represents the Ge/Al-shell

nanoparticle concentration. Afer using the connection between efective dielectric function and efective susceptibility *ϵef*=1+4*πχef* the efective dielectric function of array of Ge/Al-shell nanoparticles in alumina matrix becomes

$$
\epsilon_{eff}^{(c)}(\omega) = \epsilon_M(\omega) + 4\pi n \alpha(\omega). \tag{8}
$$

For larger metallic concentrations the metallic spheres increase and become to overlap forming undefned Al/alumina matrix boundaries. Te limiting case showing Ge spheres placed in metallic slab of thickness *d*, is illustrated in Fig. $2(d)$, and the real one is a combination of the cases shown in the panels (c) and (d) of Fig.[2](#page-5-0), as illustrated in Fig. [2\(e\).](#page-5-0) For such auxiliary boundary conditions the Poisson equation cannot be solved analytically. However, in the lowest approximation, this situation can be modeled by Ge spheres placed in metallic slab of thickness *d*, as is illustrated in Fig. [2\(d\)](#page-5-0). For such model system the Poisson equation can be solved analytically and its efective dielectric function can be written as

Figure 3. (**a**) Imaginary part of efective dielectric function of spherical Ge (thin black), Al (blue) and Ge/Alshell (thick red) nanoparticles (also illustrated in Fig. $2(a-c)$, respectively) of inner radius $R_C=1.5$ nm and outer radius $R_S = 2.5$ nm placed in Al₂O₃ matrix. (**b**) The same as for (**a**) except that inner radius is $R_C = 2$ nm and outer radius is $R_s = 3.0$ nm. (c) The imaginary part of effective dielectric function of Ge/Al-shell nanoparticles for various outer radii *RS*=2nm(grey), 2.5nm(brown), 3.0nm(turquoise), 3.5nm(blue) and 4.0nm(violet). The inner Ge spherical core radius is $R_C=1.5$ nm. For $R_S=3.5$ and 4.0 the spherical shells become to overlap forming the nanostructure similar to one shown in Fig. $2(e)$ such that effective dielectric function is modeled by Eq.[10](#page-6-1) and parameters (α = 0.5, d = 0.5 nm) and (α = 0.5, d = 1 nm), respectively. Black dashed line shows the imaginary part of effective dielectric function of Ge-spheres of radius $R_C = 1.5$ nm in Al₂O₃ matrix, for comparison. (**d**) The imaginary part of effective dielectric function of Ge/Al-shell nanoparticles of inner radius R_C =2 nm and outer radius R_S =3.0 nm in various dielectric matrices Al₂O₃ (black), SiO₂ (blue), Si₃N₄ (red) and SiC (green). Black dashed line shows the same as for (**c**) except that $R_C = 2$ nm.

$$
\epsilon_{eff}^{(d)}(\omega) = \epsilon_B(\omega) + 4\pi n R_C^3 \frac{\epsilon_{Ge}(\omega) - \epsilon_{Al}(\omega)}{\epsilon_{Ge}(\omega) + 2\epsilon_{Al}(\omega)},\tag{9}
$$

where

$$
\epsilon_B(\omega) = \frac{d}{c} \epsilon_{AI}(\omega) + \left(1 - \frac{d}{c}\right) \epsilon_{M}(\omega)
$$

represents the efective background dielectric function. Finally, if system in Fig. [2\(e\)](#page-5-0) is considered as some mixture of systems in Fig. $2(c,d)$ its effective dielectric function can be approximated as linear combination

$$
\epsilon_{eff}^{(e)}(\omega) = \alpha \epsilon_{eff}^{(c)}(\omega) + (1 - \alpha) \epsilon_{eff}^{(d)}(\omega); \quad \alpha \in [0, 1]. \tag{10}
$$

Therefore, if $\alpha = 1$ the system consists of purely Ge-core/Al-shell nanoparticles and if $\alpha = 0$ the system consists of Ge nanospheres placed in Al slab.

Results and Discussion

The main aim of this research is to investigate the optical absorption of differently designed Ge/Al nanoparticle arrays immersed in various dielectric environments in order to propose the system with the most favorable optical properties in infra-red (IR) *ω*~0–1.5 eV, visible (VIS) *ω*~1.5–3.5 eV and ultraviolet *ω*~3.5–10 eV frequency ranges. The optical absorption or electromagnetic energy dissipation rate can be easily connected with imaginary part of efective dilectric function (ℑ*ϵef*) explained in previous section. Figure [3\(a\)](#page-6-0) shows the imaginary part of effective dielectric function (Eqs.[7](#page-4-0) and [8](#page-5-1)) of array of spherical Ge (thin black), Al (blue) and Ge/Al-shell (thick red) nanoparticles, also sketched in Fig. [2\(a–c\)](#page-5-0), respectively. The nanoparticles are immersed in alumina (Al₂O₃) matrix and have inner radius is $R_C = 1.5$ nm and outer radius is $R_S = 2.5$ nm.

In frequency range *ω*<6 *eV* the array of spherical Ge nanoparticle has low absorption and for larger frequencies the absorption gradually increases. It can be notice that the imaginary part of $A I_2 O_3$ macroscopic dielectric function, shown in Fig. [1\(c\),](#page-3-0) has very similar trend, with optical absorption onset also at *ω*≈6 eV. Tis suggests that in the system of spherical Ge nanoparticles the light will be dominantly absorbed by Al_2O_3 matrix and Ge nanoparticles do not play any important role.

However, if Ge-spheres are replaced by Al-spheres the situation changes signifcantly. Absorption of array of Al-spheres shows sharp peak at $ω_S = 5.3$ eV which corresponds to dipolar plasmon or Mie resonance which represents charge density fluctuations at the Al-sphere/Al₂O₃ interface. Charge density displacement corresponding to dipolar plasmon ω_s are sketched in Fig. [3\(b\).](#page-6-0) In the simple jellium model Al-sphere/Al₂O₃-matrix plasmon frequency can be estimated as $\omega_s = \omega_p / \sqrt{1 + 2\epsilon_M} \approx 5.7 \text{ eV}$ (After solving the Poisson equation and implementing the spherical boundary conditions the effective dielectric function of array of Al-spheres in Al₂O₃ dielectric matrix becomes $\epsilon_{e\!f\!f}(\omega) = 1 + 4\pi n R_{C}^3 \frac{\epsilon_{Al}(\omega) - \epsilon_{M}(\omega)}{\epsilon_{Al}(\omega) + 2\epsilon_{M}(\omega)}$ ω)=1 + $4\pi nR_C^3 \frac{\epsilon_{Al}(\omega) - \epsilon_{M}(\omega)}{\epsilon_{Al}(\omega) + 2\epsilon_{M}(\omega)}$. $\frac{-\epsilon_M(\omega)}{+2\epsilon_M(\omega)}$. After using Drude approximation $\epsilon_{AI}(\omega) = 1 - \frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega^2}$, and static approximation $\omega_M(\omega) \approx \omega_M(\omega = 0) = \omega_M$ the zero of denominator in ϵ_{eff} becomes $\omega_S = \omega_p / \sqrt{1 + 2\epsilon_M}$.), where we used the Al bulk plasmon frequency $\omega_p \approx 15 \, eV$ and alumina static dielectric constant $\dot{\epsilon}_M = 3$ (see Fig. [1\(c\)](#page-3-0)). This nicely agrees with blue peak at $\omega_s \approx 5.3$ eV in Fig. [3\(a\).](#page-6-0) In the frequency interval ω < ω_s the absorption of Al-spheres, similar to the case of Ge-spheres, becomes negligible. Therefore, even this system supports strong plasmon, it is very narrowly localized in UV frequency range and absorption in VIS frequency range remains zero. The absorption properties in VIS frequency range can be improved such that instead of using one-component spherical nanoparticles we can use two-component spherical nanoparticles which consists of semiconducting core and metallic shell (see Fig. $2(c)$).

The thick red line in Fig. $3(a)$ shows that absorption spectra drastically change if Ge-spheres are encapsulated by Al-spherical shell. It can be seen that in absorption spectra dominate two broad peaks, intensive one in UV frequency range, at *ω*[−] =5.9 eV, and second weaker one at *ω*⁺ ≈2.9 eV. Second peak is responsible for substantial enhancement of absorption in VIS frequency range.

Similar to metallic sphere which supports dipolar plasmon ω_s the metallic spherical shell supports two dipolar plasmons, bonding *ω*+, and anti-bonding *ω*−, whose charge density displacements are sketched in Fig. [3\(b\)](#page-6-0). Two broad peaks in Fig. [3\(a\)](#page-6-0) are Landau damped by Ge and by Al2O3 *σ*→*σ** interband continuum. Plasmon *ω*+ is dominantly damped by low energy Ge and *ω*_− by high energy Al₂O₃ interband transitions. Therefore, this Ge/ Al-shell configuration is quite favorable considering that it enables new plasmon ω_+ which falls in VIS frequency range which, even weak and broad, substantially enhance absorption. However, as we shall see, the intensity and frequency of this peak can be additionally manipulated, e.g. by changing the size of nanoparticles, by changing the dielectric matrix or by changing the semiconducting core.

Figure $3(b)$ shows the same as Fig. $3(a)$ except that we change the size of nanoparticles such that the iner radius is now $R_C = 2.0$ nm and outer radius is $R_S = 3.0$ nm. As can be seen the array of Al-spheres (blue line) supports plasmon resonance ω_s which intensity is more than twice stronger and which frequency does not change in comparison with plasmon in Fig. $3(a)$. The latter is consistent with theory of Mie resonances in small spherical nanoparticles (retardation efects are neglected) when frequency of dipolar plasmon (*l*=1) do not depend on sphere radius 30 .

Absorption in Ge/Al-shell confguration is shown by thick red line. In comparison with Fig. [3\(a\)](#page-6-0) the absorption of plasmon *ω*− is more intensive and additionally widened. Absorption of plasmon *ω*+ is enhanced about twice. The peak *ω*₊ is also slightly (about 0.2 eV) red shifted. Therefore, as expected, increase in nanoparticle size (larger Ge core the same Al shell thickness) enhances absorption in VIS frequency range. The appearance of third very broad peak at *ω*≈4 eV in both Fig. [3\(a,b\)](#page-6-0) is very intriguing. Namely, it is not plasmon supported by metallic shell, but also it should not belong to interband transitions in germanium core, considering that absorption in germanium core (thin black line) is strongly suppressed in this frequency range. However, is should be taken into account that when *ω*± plasmons absorb light they create strong evanescent electrical feld which could enable enhanced absorption to interband $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma^*$ transition in germanium core. This phenomenon is very similar to enhanced near field sensing or enhanced Raman spectroscopy techniques. There the localized plasmon near feld is exploited to excite electronic or vibrational modes in individual molecules or in biological or chemical compounds^{10,[31–](#page-11-26)[34](#page-11-27)}. Therefore, the broad peak very likely represents the absorption to germanium core interband transitions *σ*→*σ** which are strongly enhanced (about 20 times) by *ω*± plasmons. A detailed analysis of the nature of the observed plasmon modes is undergoing and will be topic of a future work.

In order to explore how the absorption in array of Ge/Al-shell nanoparticles immersed in Al_2O_3 matrix depends on Al-shell thickness the Fig. [3\(c\)](#page-6-0) shows the imaginary part of effective dielectric function for various Al-shells of outer radii $R_s = 2 \text{ nm(grey)}$, 2.5 nm(brown), 3.0 nm(turquoise), 3.5 nm(blue) and 4.0 nm(violet). For the inner, Ge spherical core, radius we take $R_C=1.5$ nm. Also, for $R_S=3.5$ and 4.0 nm the spherical shells become to overlap forming the nanostructure similar to one shown in Fig. $2(e)$ such that effective dielectric function is modeled by Eq. [10](#page-6-1) where we use parameters (α = 0.5, d = 0.5 nm) and (α = 0.5, d = 1 nm), respectively. Black dashed line shows the imaginary part of effective dielectric function of Ge-spheres in Al_2O_3 matrix of radius *RC*= 1.5 nm, for comparison. Tis graphs demonstrate very fast increase of absorption to plasmon *ω*− with Al-shell thickness. On the other hand absorption to plasmon *ω*+ very slowly increases and remains very small. Te intensity increase is followed by small red shif of plasmon *ω*− and blue shif of plasmon *ω*+. For larger thicknesses R_s = 3.5 and 4.0 nm the Al shells become to overlap, the system becomes conductive and, besides the plasmon peak, strong Drude peak in IR frequency range appears. Obviously this system support strong plasmon resonances *ω*− which lies in UV frequency range and which is therefore, not very promising for chemical sensing or optoelectronic applications. For this applications it would be more preferable if the system would supports

Figure 4. Imaginary part of effective dielectric function in array of (thin black) Ge/Al-shell and (thick red) $Si₃N₄/Al-shell nanoparticles of inner radius $R_C=2$ nm and outer radius $R_S=3.0$ nm placed in Al₂O₃ matrix.$

wide plasmon resonance covering the IR and/or VIS frequency range. One possibility to improve this property is to shift plasmon *ω*_− to lower frequencies or to increase intensity of $ω_+$ plasmon, which already lies in VIS frequency range. This could be achieved e.g. by using another dielectric matrix in which Ge/Al-shell particles would be immersed. Figure [3\(d\)](#page-6-0) shows the absorption of array of Ge/Al-shell nanoparticles of inner radius R_C = 2 nm and outer radius R_S = 3.0 nm in various dielectric matrices A_1O_3 (black), SiO₂ (blue), Si₃N₄ (red) and SiC (green). Black dashed line shows the imaginary part of effective dielectric function of Ge-spheres of radius $R_C = 2$ nm in $\rm Al_2O_3$ matrix, for comparison. Due to their relatively large band gap the dielectric functions of $\rm SiO_2, Si_3N_4$ and $\rm SiC$ are approximated by their static values $\epsilon_{SiO_2} \approx 3.9$, $\epsilon_{Si_1N_4} \approx 7.5$ and $\epsilon_{SiC} \approx 9.6$, respectively. We have proven that this approximation gives satisfactory good results for Al₂O₃ matrix, when we have repl function, shown in Fig. [1\(c\),](#page-3-0) by its statical value $\epsilon_{ALO} \approx 3.0$. For the case of SiO₂ which has dielectric constant similar to Al₂O₃ the plasmon resonances ω_{\pm} almost does not change, although the absorption in VIS frequency interval slightly increases, in comparison with A_2O_3 . For the case of Si_3N_4 and SiC (which have two or three times larger dielectric constants then Al2O3) the intensity of plasmon resonance *ω*− substantially decreases and becomes red shifed for about 0.5 eV, such that it still remains in UV frequency interval. In the same time broad plasmon resonances *ω*+ become red shifed by about 0.4 eV such that now they fall exactly in the middle of VIS frequency range (*ω*⁺ ~ 2 5. eV). Moreover, what is especially important, now the intensity of *ω*+ plasmons become about three times larger than in the case when nanoparticles are immersed in Al_2O_3 . This suggests that materials which consists of Ge/Al-shell nanoparticles immersed in wide band-gap and larger dielectric constant (*ϵ*>5) dielectric matrix will be good absorbers of the most intensive sunlight frequencies and therefore suitable in solar-cells applications. It may also be noted that larger dielectric constant causes red shift of interband σ \to σ^* transitions which also become more prominent peak.

Here we should mention that above analisis do not taken into account the 'dielectric mismatch' efects and the only way how we include the quantum confnement efect, which is also very sensitive on dielectric matrix used, is through shift in band-gap Δ . However, some recent studies³⁵⁻³⁷ show that the 'dielectric mismatch' effects can substantially modify the optical spectrum of CdS quantum dot. Tis studies represent an more extensive and sophysticate approach which consider the electron energy levels in quantum-dot/dielectric herostructure using multibands efective-mass Hamiltonian and envelope-wave function approach. In this model the electron and holes are described by efective mass parabolic bands whose energies at Γ-point also called confnement energies depends on quantum dot size and shape. The envelope wave functions (and therefore the confinement energies) are dermined by boundary conditions at quantum dot edges. The confinement energies are also very sensitive on 'dielectric mismatch' which defnes the height and dispersivity of the potential barrier at quantum-dot/dielectric interface which then defnes the dielectric confnement contribution to confnement energy. Tis means that in our case confnement energy will strongly depend on dielectric matrix used and therefore infuence the nanoparticle electronic structure. Consequently, this could afect the exciton energies and absorption spectra and threfore the results shown in Fig. [3\(d\).](#page-6-0) Here, the 'dielectric mismatch' efects could eventually be include such that for different dielectric matrices we simultaneously change the band gap Δ . However, that approach would also be very questionable because the germanium core is in contact with dielectric matrix through Al-shell which additionally complicate the situation. However we should emphasize here that this investigatinin is not aimed to explore the single particle electron-hole transitions and excitons in Ge-core/Al-shell/Al₂O₃-matrix hetersostructure but rather to explore the modiffication of strong plasmon resonances in Al-shell. Such that the point of Fig. [3\(d\)](#page-6-0) is to demonstrate how the Ge-core and Al_2O_3 -matrix screening affect the ω_+ plasmon intensity. But, of course, we should be aware that the 'dielectric mismatch' efects can compromise our conclusions about other electronic excitations originating from Ge-core.

Another possibility to enhance optical absorption in VIS frequency range is to replace the Ge-core with other, large band-gap semiconductors which would reduce ω_+ plasmon losses to σ \to σ^* interband electron-hole excitations in semiconducting core. In order to demonstrate this effect, we replace the Ge-core by Si_3N_4 -core which has desired band gap of Δ≈5 *eV*. Tin black line in Fig. [4](#page-8-0) shows the imaginary part of efective dielectric function

Figure 5. Imaginary part of efective dielectric function in array of Ge/Al-shell nanoparticles of inner radius R_C =2 nm and outer radius R_S =3.0 nm placed in Al₂O₃ matrix. The Ge band-gap increases due to quantum size effects as $\Delta = 0.66$ eV(black), $\Delta = 1.0$ eV(blue), $\Delta = 1.5$ eV(red), $\Delta = 2.0$ eV(green), $\Delta = 2.5$ eV(magenta) and Δ = 3.0 eV(orange). The line thickness increases with band gap.

in array of Ge/Al-shell nanoparticles of inner radius $R_C = 2$ nm and outer radius $R_S = 3.0$ nm immersed in Al₂O₃ matrix. For comparison, the thick red line shows the same except that Ge-core is replaced by Si_3N_4 -core. It can be notice that Si_3N_4 -core causes strong enhancement (about 6 times) or recuperation of ω_+ plasmon which, even blue shifed, still remains in VIS frequency region. In the same time *ω*− plasmon is blue shifed in far-UV frequency region.

Now we shall demonstrate that the plasmon *ω*+ appears in Ge/Al-shell nanoparticles solely due to quantum-size efects. Namely, the nanometer confnement can signifcantly increase semiconductor band-gap and thus reduces ω_+ plasmon losses to interband $\sigma \to \sigma^*$ transitions. In order to simulate the development of ω_+ plasmon due to quantum-size effect we increase the germanium bulk band-gap from $\Delta = 0.66 \text{ eV}$ to several larger values. Figure [5](#page-9-0) shows imaginary part of efective dielectric function in array of Ge/Al-shell nanoparticles of inner radius $R_C = 2$ nm and outer radius $R_S = 3.0$ nm placed in Al_2O_3 matrix for various Ge band-gaps $\Delta = 0.66$ eV (black), $\Delta = 1.0$ eV(blue), $\Delta = 1.5$ eV(red), $\Delta = 2.0$ eV(green), $\Delta = 2.5$ eV(magenta) and $\Delta = 3.0$ eV(orange). This graphs nicely demonstrate that for bulk Ge band-gap (Δ=0.66 eV) the plasmon *ω*+ almost does not exist, however, its intensity rapidly increases how band gap increases. Also, increasing band-gap causes blue shif of *ω*⁺ plasmon, but such that its spectral weight is still mostly localized in VIS frequency range. For example, for the largest band-gap (Δ = 3.0 eV) this plasmon becomes very intensive peak localized in violet frequency range $ω_+$ ~ 3.1 eV. The plasmon $ω_$ also becomes blue shifted and its intensity slowly decreases how band-gap increases.

Comparison with experiment. In order to explore the possible application of proposed plasmonic resonances in optoelectronic devices some conclusions made will bring into connect with recent experimental realization of Ge-core Al-shell nanoparticles in alumina (L. B., V. D., *et al*., *Ge-metal core-shell nanoparticles in alumina matrix: structure, chemical bonds and absorption, and their optical properties. The proposed simulations can* explain the experiment just qualitatively considering that experimentally fabricated nanoparticles are not perfect concentric spheres, but rather non-concentric ellipsoids and also the samples are not perfectly clean, etc.

Figure [6](#page-10-0)(a) shows the imaginary part of effective dielectric function determined from ellipsometry measurements in array of different nanoparticles immersed in Al_2O_3 matrix^{[18](#page-11-13)}. The average germanium core radius is *R_C*≈1.8 nm. It can be seen that absorption in Ge nanoparticles (magenta) and in Al nanoparticles (black) is much weaker than absorption in Ge/Al-shell nanoparticles, whose shell thickness appears as thin (red), medium thick (green) and thick (blue), which anticipates (already described) advantages of using metallic-shell nanostructures. It can be seen that absorption in array of Ge/Al-shell nanoparticles shows peak which frequency decreases and intensity slowly increases how shell thickness increases. Also in the case of thick shell (blue) the second peak appears in the absorption spectra. We shall try to explain this experiment using the above explored model.

Figure $6(b)$ shows the theoretical simulation of imaginary part of effective dielectric function in array of Ge nanospheres (magenta), Al nanospheres (black) and Ge/Al-shell nanoparticles where the inner (core) radius is R_C = 1.8 nm and outer radius increases as R_S = 2.3 nm (red), R_S = 2.8 nm (green) and R_S = 3.3 nm (blue). The germanium band-gap is here, due to quantum confinement, taken to be $\Delta = 2$ eV. As can be seen, in the shown frequency interval the absorption in array of Ge/Al-shell nanoparticles is much stronger than in array of Ge or Al nanospheres. Also it can be seen that metallic-shell spectrum shows peak which intensity increases with shell thickness, however, its frequency also increases with thickness which is contrary to the experiment. Tese peaks correspond to plasmons *ω*₊ whose frequency, as theoretically expected, increases with shell thickness. In the same time the frequency of plasmon *ω*− (not seen here because its frequency is *ω*[−] >5 eV) decreases with shell thickness and finally for very large thicknesses (*R_S*≫*R_C*) degenerate with plasmon *ω*₊. This theoretical scenario suggests that the decreasing antibonding plasmon *ω*− should be the one which appears in experimental spectra and the second peak which appears for thick shell (blue line in Fig. $6(a)$) maybe corresponds to plasmon ω_+ . However, the simulated frequencies ω_{\pm} are much larger than experimental. One possibility why the experimental frequencies *ω*± are much smaller than simulated is because the Al- shells fully or partially overlap for that flm (see the

Figure 6. (**a**) Ellipsometry measurements of the imaginary part of efective dielectric function in array of Ge nanoparticles (magenta), Al nanoparticles (black) and in array of Ge/Al-shell nanoparticles where red, green and blue lines show results of measurements for thin, medium thick and thick Al-shell, respectivel[y18.](#page-11-13) The average germanium core radius is $R_s \approx 1.8$ nm. (**b**) The theoretical simulation of imaginary part of efective dielectric function in array of Ge nanospheres (magenta), Al nanospheres (black) and Ge/Al-shell nanoparticles, where inner (core) radius is $R_C = 1.8$ nm and outer radii are $R_S = 2.3$ nm (red), $R_S = 2.8$ nm (green) and R_s = 3.3 nm (blue). (**c**) The same as in (**b**) except that Al-shell dielectric function is simulated by Eq. [11,](#page-10-1) where α = 0.3. Nanoparticles are immersed in Al₂O₃ matrix. The germanium band-gap is here, due to quantum confinement, taken to be $\Delta = 2$ eV.

model in Fig. [2\(e\)\)](#page-5-0). The simulations for that case of material structure are shown in Fig. [3\(c\),](#page-6-0) where an intensive peak is visible at low energies. Another possibility why the experimental frequencies ω_{+} are much smaller than simulated is the fact that fabricated metallic shells are not fully clean, i.e. it is possible that they contain some admixture of alumina which would lower the plasmon frequency. If this is the case, then the spherical shell can be modeled as two components linear dielectric which polarizability is $\chi_{shell} = \lambda \chi_{Al} + (1-\lambda) \chi_{Al,O}$; $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, which after using relation $\epsilon_i = 1 + 4\pi \chi_i$; $i =$ Al, Al₂O₃, leads modified metallic-shell dielectric function

$$
\epsilon_{shell}(\omega) = \lambda \epsilon_{Al}(\omega) + (1 - \lambda) \epsilon_{Al_2O_3}(\omega). \tag{11}
$$

Figure $6(c)$ shows the same as Fig. $6(b)$ except that the Al-shell dielectric function is replaced by modified metallic-shell dielectric function (11), where λ = 0.3. As can be seen the plasmon frequencies ω_+ are now much lower and comparable with experimental values. Intensity of *ω*− plasmon increases and its frequency decreases with shell thickness and in the same time another, weaker plasmon ω_+ is present which is all consistent with experimental trend. This scenario obviously suggests large contamination of Al-shell by Al_2O_3 . Contamination of Al-shell by Al₂O₃ is realistic because Al bonds all oxygen to itself, so Ge stays oxygen free¹⁸. Another fact that exist in the experiment and it is not taken into account in the simulation, is distribution of the nanoparticle sizes. It afects both, core and shell. According to the experiment, the standard deviation of the size distributions is 1.5–2.2 nm for smallest and largest shell size, respectively¹⁸. Obviously, the size distribution broadens the peaks shown in the simulations. Finally, electron confnement in thin Al shells may result in intrinsic size efects that reduce electron damping and broaden the resonances³⁸. Therefore, all this should be taken into account for the realistic comparison of the experimental and theoretical data.

Conclusions

We provided the theoretical simulation of optical absorption in lattice of various spherical Al, Ge, Ge-core/ Al-shell nanoparticles immersed in Al_2O_3 matrix. It is demonstrated that the absorption is strongly enhanced when Ge spheres are encapsulated by Al-shell. The lattice of Ge-core/Al-shell nanoparticles is recently experimentally fabricated and explored using various different techniques¹⁸. Strong enhancement of the absorption with respect to only Ge nanoparticles is observed there, and the measured spectra agrees well with the simulations presented here. The Ge-core/Al-shell lattice posses two absorption peaks in analogy to bonding (ω_{+}) and anti-bonding (*ω*−) plasmons appearing in metal-dielectric core-shell particles which lie in VIS and UV frequency range, respectively. The absorption to *ω*₊ plasmon, in VIS frequency range, enhances additional 3 times when Al₂O₃ is replaced by larger dielectric constant insulator, such as SiC. Replacement of Ge-core by wide band-gap insulator, such as Si_3N_4 , prevents plasmon decay to interband $\sigma \to \sigma^*$ electron-hole excitations in semiconducting core, and optical absorption to *ω*+ plasmon enhances additional 6 times. It is shown that in Ge-core/Al-shell system the *ω*+ plasmon exists only because of quantum-size efect (it causes widening of Ge band-gap) which prevents its decay to low-lying interband *σ*→*σ** electron-hole excitations in Ge-core. Strong optical absorption in VIS frequency range suggests that several nanometers large semiconducting-core/metallic-shell nanoparticles could be very suitable for optoelectronic applications. For example, it can improve absorption of visible light in photovoltaic devices or enhance emission in light emitting devices. Also, it can serve as highly light absorbing platform in biological or chemical sensing.

Received: 7 June 2019; Accepted: 11 December 2019; Published online: 09 January 2020

References

- 1. Qu, D. *et al*. Plasmonic core-shell gold nanoparticle enhanced optical absorption in photovoltaic devices. *Applied Physics Letters* **98**, 113119 (2011).
- 2. Notarianni, M. *et al*. Plasmonic efect of gold nanoparticles in organic solar cells. *Solar Energy* **106**, 23–37 (2014).
- 3. Teng, N.-W., Yang, S.-S. & Chen, F.-C. Plasmonic-Enhanced Organic Photovoltaic Devices for Low-Power Light Applications. *IEEE Journal of Photovoltaics* **8**, 752–756 (2018).
- 4. Qiao, Q. *et al*. Localized surface plasmon enhanced light-emitting devices. *J. Mater. Chem.* **22**, 9481–9484 (2012).
- 5. Xing, J. *et al*. Enhancement of emission of InGaN/GaN multiple-quantum-well nanorods by coupling to Au-nanoparticle plasmons. *Journal of Applied Physics* **123**, 193101 (2018).
- 6. Anker, J. N. *et al*. Biosensing with plasmonic nanosensors. *Nat. Mater.* **7**, 442–453 (2008).
- 7. Nusz, G. J., Curry, A. C., Marinakos, S. M., Wax, A. & Chilkoti, A. Rational selection of gold nanorod geometry for label-free plasmonic biosensors. *ACS Nano.* **3**, 795–806 (2009).
- 8. Mayer, K. M. & Hafner, J. H. Localized Surface Plasmon Resonance Sensors. *Chem. Rev.* **111**, 3828–3857 (2011).
- 9. Zijlstra, P., Paulo, P. M. R. & Orrit, M. *Nat. Nano.* **7**, 379–382 (2012).
- 10. Li, M., Cushing, S. K. & Wu, N. Plasmon-enhanced optical sensors: a review. *Analyst* **140**, 386–406 (2015).
- 11. Taylor, A. B. & Zijlstra, P. Single-Molecule Plasmon Sensing: Current Status and Future Prospects. *ACS Sens.* **2**, 1103–1122 (2017).
- 12. Siontas, S. *et al*. High-performance germanium quantum dot photodetectors in the visible and near infrared. *Mat. Sci. Semicon. Proc.* **92**, 19–27 (2019).
- 13. Kamat, P. V. Quantum Dot Solar Cells. Te Next Big Ting in Photovoltaics. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **4**, 908–918 (2013).
- 14. de Oliveira, E. L., Albuqerque, E. L., de Sousa, J. S., Farias, G. A. & Peeters, F. M. Confguration-Interaction Excitonic Absorption in Small Si/Ge and Ge/Si Core/Shell Nanocrystals. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **116**, 4399–4407 (2012).
- 15. Buljan, M. *et al*. Production of three-dimensional quantum dot lattice of Ge/Si coreshell quantum dots and Si/Ge layers in an alumina glass matrix. *Nanotechnology* **26**, 065602 (2015).
- 16. Neki, N. *et al*. Ge/Si core/shell quantum dots in alumina: tuning the optical absorption by the core and shell size. *Nanophotonics* **6**, 1055–1062 (2017).
- 17. Prodan, E., Radlof, C., Halas, N. J. & Nordlander, P. A hybridization model for the plasmon response of complex nanostructures. *Science* **302**(5644), 419–422 (2003).
- 18. Basioli, L. *et al*. *Ge-metal core-shell nanoparticles in alumina matrix. under review* (2020).
- 19. Giannozzi, P. *et al*. QUANTUM ESPRESSO: a modular and open-source sofware project for quantum simulations of materials. *J. Phys.: Conden. Matter* **21**, 395502 (2009).
- 20. Troullier, N. & Martins, J. L. Efcient pseudopotentials for plane-wave calculations. *Phys. Rev. B* **43**, 1993 (1991).
- 21. Hartwigsen, C., Goedecker, S. & Hutter, J. Relativistic separable dual-space Gaussian pseudopotentials from H to Rn. *Phys. Rev. B* **58**, 3641 (1998).
- 22. Perdew, J. P., Burke, K. & Ernzerhof, M. Generalized Gradient Approximation Made Simple. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 3865 (1996).
- 23. Perdew, J. P. & Zunger, A. Self-interaction correction to density-functional approximations for many-electron systems. *Phys. Rev. B* **23**, 5048 (1981).
- 24. Monkhorst, H. J. & Pack, J. D. Special points for Brillouin-zone integrations. *Phys. Rev. B* **13**, 5188 (1976).
- 25. Allison, K. F., Borka, D., Radović, I., Hadžievski, L. & Mišković, Z. L. Dynamic polarization of graphene by moving external charges: Random phase approximation. *Phys. Rev. B* **80**, 195405 (2009).
- 26. Bostedt, C. T. *et al*. Strong quantum-confnement efects in the conduction band of germanium nanocrystals. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **84**, 4056 (2004).
- 27. Barbagiovanni, E. G., Lockwood, D. J., Simpson, P. J. & Goncharova, L. V. Quantum confnement in Si and Ge nanostructures. *Journal of Applied Physics* **111**, 034307 (2012).
- 28. Ashcrof, N. W. & Mermin, N. D. *Solid State Physics*. (Brooks/Cole, South Melbourne, 1976).
- 29. Li, S., Steigerwald, M. L. & Brus, L. E. Surface States in the Photoionization of High-Quality CdSe Core/Shell Nanocrystals. *ACS Nano* **3**, 1267 (2009).
- 30. Mie, G. Beiträge zur Optik trüber Medien, speziell kolloidaler Metallösungen. *Annalen der Physik* **330**, 377–445 (1908).
- 31. Fei, Z. *et al*. Infrared Nanoscopy of Dirac Plasmons at the GrapheneSiO2 Interface. *Nano. Lett.* **11**, 4701–4705 (2011).
- 32. Aćimović, S. S., Kreuzer, M. P., Gonzalez, M. U. & Quidant, R. Plasmon Near-Field Coupling in Metal Dimers as a Step toward Single-Molecule Sensing. *ACS Nano* **3**, 1231–1237 (2009).
- 33. Kasera, S., Herrmann, L. O., del Barrio, J., Baumberg, J. J. & Scherman, O. A. *Scientifc Reports* **4**, 6785 (2014).
- 34. Taylor, R. W. *et al*. *Science Reports* **4**, 5490 (2014).
- 35. Fonoberov, V. A., Pokatilov, E. P. & Balandin, A. A. Exciton states and optical transitions in colloidal CdS quantum dots: Shape and dielectric mismatch efects. *Phys. Rev. B* **66**, 08531 (2002).
- 36. Pokatilov, E. P., Fonoberov, V. A., Fomin, V. M. & Devreese, J. T. Development of an eight-band theory for quantum dot heterostructures. *Phys. Rev. B* **64**, 245328 (2001).
- 37. Foreman, B. A. Elimination of spurious solutions from eight-band kp theory. *Phys. Rev. B* **56**(R12), 748 (1997).
- 38. Kreibig, U. & Vollmer, M. *Optical properties of metal clusters* 25, Springer Science & Business Media (2013).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the QuantiXLie Centre of Excellence, a project co-financed by the Croatian Government, European Union through the European Regional Development Fund - the Competitiveness and Cohesion Operational Programme (Grant KK.01.1.1.01.0004), Croatian Science Foundation (No. IP-2018- 01-3633) and Center of Excellence for Advanced Materials and Sensor Devices (Grant KK.01.1.1.01.0001). Computational resources were provided by the Donostia International Physic Center (DIPC) computing center.

Author contributions

V.D. performed all calculations and wrote the paper. V.D. and M.M. designed the main topic of the paper. M.M. and L.B. prepared the nanostructure material with Ge-core Al-shell quantum dots and determined its structural properties. J.S.P performed the optical measurements. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to V.D. or M.M.

Reprints and permissions information is available at [www.nature.com/reprints.](http://www.nature.com/reprints)

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

T Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International \odot License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

 $© The Author(s) 2020$